| Th | e purpose of this summary appraisal report i | | | | | | от орогту. |
|--------------|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| | Property Address 6427 Weddel St Borrower Rudalev MI II | Owner of Public Record | ity Taylor | | | Code 48180 | |
| | Legal Description 03f934 Lot 934 Grace | | | Co | unty Wayne | е | |
| | Assessor's Parcel # 60-004-03-0934-000 | | ax Year 2016 | D F | E. Taxes \$ 3, | 651 | |
| | Neighborhood Name Grace Park | | ap Reference 4499-e2 | | nsus Tract 5 8 | | |
| EC | Occupant Owner X Tenant Vaca | | | PUD HOA\$ 0 | Tisus Truct Oc | | per month |
| SUBJEC | Property Rights Appraised X Fee Simple | Leasehold Other (describe) | | | | <u>, por jour</u> | por monun |
| ช | | X Refinance Transaction Other (descri | ne) | | | | |
| | Lender/Client Colony American Finance | - | Suite 1950 Irvine, CA 926 | 514 | | | |
| | Is the subject property currently offered for sale or | has it been offered for sale in the twelve month | prior to the effective date of this app | oraisal? Y | res X No | | |
| | Report data source(s) used, offering price(s), and | date(s). PRD/City website/Region | Il multi listing service | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Ididdid not analyze the contract for sa | le for the subject purchase transaction. Explain | the results of the analysis of the cont | tract for sale or why | the analysis w | vas not performed. | • |
| ┖ | | | | | | | |
| AC. | Contract Drice & Date of Co | intract In the preparty on | lar the aumor of public record? | JVaa DNa | Data Cauras/s | | |
| T. | Contract Price \$ Date of Co Is there any financial assistance (loan charges, sal | | ler the owner of public record? | | Data Source(s | | |
| CONTRAC | If Yes, report the total dollar amount and describe | , , | , etc., to be paid by any party on ben | all of the borrower: | ٦١٠ | 3 | |
| | in res, report the total dollar amount and describe | the nems to be paid. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Í | Note: Race and the racial composition of the n | eighborhood are not appraisal factors. | | | | | |
| | Neighborhood Characteristics | One-Unit Ho | _ <u>-i</u> | One-Unit Hou | sing | Present Land U | Jse % |
| | Location Urban X Suburban Ru | | X Stable Declining | PRICE | | ie-Unit | 95 % |
| ۵ | | der 25% Demand/Supply Shortage | X In Balance Over Supply | \$(000) | ~ - | 1 Unit | 2 % |
| 8 | Growth Rapid X Stable Slo | <u> </u> | | 25 Low | | ılti-Family | 0 % |
| VEIGHBORHOOD | Neighborhood Boundaries The boundaries | | the east, Wick to the | 175 High | | mmercial | 3 % |
| <u>유</u> | south and Monroe to the west in an | | | 70 Pred. | 55 Oth | | 0 % |
| 흐 | Neighborhood Description Employment ce | | | | | | |
| ž | and private schools, shopping malls | | | | | verified by t | ne |
| | United States Postal service. NO of Market Conditions (including support for the above | | | | | neidered to l | ho 2 |
| | sellers market both conventional ar | | | | | | be a |
| | influence on market values. The av | | | in are general | ily typical i | out not an | |
| Ħ | Dimensions 40x129x40x129 | Area 5160 sf | Shape Rectangula | r | View N;Re | es: | |
| | Specific Zoning Classification R-1C | Zoning Description Single | | | | | |
| | | | oning Illegal (describe) | | | | |
| | Is the highest and best use of the subject property | - | | Yes No | If No, describe | e. | |
| | , , , , , | | - | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Utilities Public Other (describe) | Public | Other (describe) | Off-site Improve | ements—Typ | e Public | Private |
| Ξ. | Electricity X | Water X | Other (describe) | Street Concre | | pe Public | Private |
| SITE | Electricity X Gas X | Water X Sanitary Sewer X | | Street Concre | ete | X | Private |
| SITE | Electricity X Gas X Y Yes X | Water X Sanitary Sewer X No FEMA Flood Zone X | FEMA Map # 26163c026 | Street Concre | ete | $\overline{}$ | Private |
| SITE | Electricity X Gas X Y Yes X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes X Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for | Water X Sanitary Sewer X No FEMA Flood Zone X or the market area? X Yes No | FEMA Map # 26163c026 No, describe. | Street Concre Alley None 33e FEM | ete MA Map Date (| 02/02/2012 | Private |
| SITE | Electricity X Gas X Y Yes X | Water X Sanitary Sewer X No FEMA Flood Zone X or the market area? X Yes No | FEMA Map # 26163c026 No, describe. | Street Concre | ete | 02/02/2012 | Private |
| SITE | Electricity X Gas X Y Yes X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes X Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for | Water X Sanitary Sewer X No FEMA Flood Zone X or the market area? X Yes No | FEMA Map # 26163c026 No, describe. | Street Concre Alley None 33e FEM | ete MA Map Date (| 02/02/2012 | Private |
| SITE | Electricity X Gas X Y Yes X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes X Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for | Water X Sanitary Sewer X No FEMA Flood Zone X or the market area? X Yes No | FEMA Map # 26163c026 No, describe. | Street Concre Alley None 33e FEM | ete MA Map Date (| 02/02/2012 | Private |
| SITE | Electricity X Gas X Y Yes X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes X Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for | Water X Sanitary Sewer X No FEMA Flood Zone X or the market area? X Yes No | FEMA Map # 26163c026 No, describe. tal conditions, land uses, etc.)? | Street Concre Alley None 33e FEM | ete MA Map Date (| 02/02/2012 | |
| SITE | Electricity X Gas X Yes X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes X Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for Are there any adverse site conditions or external far | Water X Sanitary Sewer X No FEMA Flood Zone X or the market area? X Yes No actors (easements, encroachments, environme | FEMA Map # 26163c026 No, describe. tal conditions, land uses, etc.)? EXTERIOR DESCRIPTION n | Street Concre Alley None 63e FEM Yes X No | ete //A Map Date (If Yes, desc | 02/02/2012 cribe. | /condition |
| SITE | Electricity X | Water X Sanitary Sewer X No FEMA Flood Zone X or the market area? X Yes No actors (easements, encroachments, environme | FEMA Map # 26163c026 No, describe. tal conditions, land uses, etc.)? EXTERIOR DESCRIPTION n Foundation Walls C/Block | Street Concre Alley None 63e FEM Yes X No naterials/condition ck/Avg. | ete //A Map Date (If Yes, description) | D2/02/2012 cribe. materials | /condition /Avg |
| SITE | Electricity X | Water X Sanitary Sewer X No FEMA Flood Zone X or the market area? X Yes No actors (easements, encroachments, environme FOUNDATION Concrete Slab Crawl Space X Full Basement Partial Basemer nit Basement Area 728 sq. | FEMA Map # 26163c026 No, describe. tal conditions, land uses, etc.)? EXTERIOR DESCRIPTION IN Foundation Walls C/Block Exterior Walls Vinyl/Aft. Roof Surface A/Shir | Street Concre Alley None S3e FEM Yes X No naterials/condition ck/Avg. Avg ngle/Avg | ete //A Map Date (If Yes, desi INTERIOR Floors | D2/02/2012 cribe. materials. Crpt/Vinyl. Plaster/Av Wood/Avg | /condition /Avg erage |
| SITE | Electricity X | Water Sanitary Sewer X No FEMA Flood Zone X or the market area? X Yes No actors (easements, encroachments, environme FOUNDATION Concrete Slab Crawl Space X Full Basement Partial Basemer nit Basement Area 728 sq. Basement Finish 10 | FEMA Map # 26163c026 No, describe. tal conditions, land uses, etc.)? EXTERIOR DESCRIPTION n Foundation Walls C/Bloc Exterior Walls Vinyl// it. Roof Surface A/Shir Gutters & Downspouts Alumin | Street Concre Alley None 63e FEM Yes X No materials/condition ck/Avg. Avg ngle/Avg nium/Avg | INTERIOR Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor | materials, Crpt/Vinyl, Plaster/Av Wood/Avg Ceramic/A | //condition /Avg erage |
| SITE | Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes X Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there are the utilities and office and and of | Water Sanitary Sewer X No FEMA Flood Zone X or the market area? X Yes No actors (easements, encroachments, environme FOUNDATION Concrete Slab Crawl Space X Full Basement Partial Basemer nit Basement Area 728 sq. Basement Finish 10 Outside Entry/Exit Sump Pump | FEMA Map # 26163c026 No, describe. tal conditions, land uses, etc.)? EXTERIOR DESCRIPTION n Foundation Walls C/Bloc Exterior Walls Vinyl// it. Roof Surface A/Shir Gutters & Downspouts Alumin Window Type Vinyl// | Street Concre Alley None 63e FEM Yes X No naterials/condition ck/Avg. Avg ngle/Avg nium/Avg Avg | INTERIOR Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainsco | materials, Crpt/Vinyl, Plaster/Av Wood/Avg Ceramic/A ot Ceramic/A | //condition /Avg erage |
| SITE | Electricity X | Water X Sanitary Sewer X No FEMA Flood Zone X or the market area? X Yes No actors (easements, encroachments, environme FOUNDATION Concrete Slab Crawl Space X Full Basement Partial Basemer nit Basement Area 728 sq. Basement Finish 10 Outside Entry/Exit Sump Pump Evidence of Infestation | FEMA Map # 26163c026 No, describe. tal conditions, land uses, etc.)? EXTERIOR DESCRIPTION n Foundation Walls C/Blod Exterior Walls Vinyl// t. Roof Surface A/Shir % Gutters & Downspouts Alumin Window Type Vinyl// Storm Sash/Insulated Yes/A | Street Concre Alley None 63e FEM Yes X No naterials/condition ck/Avg. Avg ngle/Avg nium/Avg Avg vg | INTERIOR Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainsco | materials, Crpt/Vinyl, Plaster/Av Wood/Avg Ceramic/A None | /condition /Avg erage |
| SITE | Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes X Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there are the Are th | Water Sanitary Sewer No FEMA Flood Zone X or the market area? X Yes No actors (easements, encroachments, environme FOUNDATION Concrete Slab Crawl Space X Full Basement Partial Basemer nit Basement Area 728 sq. Basement Finish 10 Outside Entry/Exit Sump Pump Evidence of Infestation Dampness Settlement | FEMA Map # 26163c026 No, describe. tal conditions, land uses, etc.)? EXTERIOR DESCRIPTION n Foundation Walls C/Blod Exterior Walls Vinyl// t. Roof Surface A/Shir % Gutters & Downspouts Alumin Window Type Vinyl// Storm Sash/Insulated Yes/A Screens Yes/A | Street Concre Alley None 63e FEM Yes X No naterials/condition ck/Avg. Avg ngle/Avg nium/Avg Avg vg | INTERIOR Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainsc Car Storage X Driveway | materials, Crpt/Vinyl, Plaster/Av Wood/Avg Ceramic/A ot Ceramic/A None , # of Cars 2 | /condition /Avg erage |
| SITE | Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes X Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there are the Are th | Water Sanitary Sewer No FEMA Flood Zone X or the market area? X Yes No actors (easements, encroachments, environme FOUNDATION Concrete Slab Crawl Space X Full Basement Partial Basement Basement Area 728 sq. Basement Finish 10 Outside Entry/Exit Sump Pump Evidence of Infestation Dampness Settlement Heating X FWA HWBB Radi | FEMA Map # 26163c026 No, describe. tal conditions, land uses, etc.)? EXTERIOR DESCRIPTION n Foundation Walls C/Blod Exterior Walls Vinyl// t. Roof Surface A/Shir Window Type Vinyl// Storm Sash/Insulated Yes/A Screens Yes/A nt Amenities Window Control of the co | Street Concre Alley None 63e FEM Yes X No naterials/condition ck/Avg. Avg ngle/Avg nium/Avg Avg vg vg oodStove(s) #0 | INTERIOR Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainscc Car Storage X Driveway Driveway Sui | materials, Crpt/Vinyl, Plaster/Av Wood/Avg Ceramic/A ot Ceramic/A None (# of Cars 2 | /condition /Avg erage |
| SITE | Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes X Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there are the unit of Are there are the Are the Are there are the Are | Water Sanitary Sewer No FEMA Flood Zone X or the market area? X Yes No actors (easements, encroachments, environme FOUNDATION Concrete Slab Crawl Space X Full Basement Partial Basemer nit Basement Area 728 sq. i. Basement Finish 10 Outside Entry/Exit Sump Pump Evidence of Infestation Dampness Settlement Heating X FWA HWBB Radi | FEMA Map # 26163c026 No, describe. tal conditions, land uses, etc.)? EXTERIOR DESCRIPTION IN FOUNDATION Walls C/Blood Exterior Walls Vinyl// tt. Roof Surface A/Shirt Gutters & Downspouts Alumin Window Type Vinyl// Storm Sash/Insulated Yes/A Screens Yes/A nt Amenities W | Street Concre Alley None 63e FEM Yes X No naterials/condition ck/Avg. Avg ngle/Avg nium/Avg Avg vg vg oodStove(s) #0 ence Cyclone | INTERIOR Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainscc Car Storage X Driveway Driveway Sur X Garage | materials, Crpt/Vinyl, Plaster/Av Wood/Avg Ceramic/A Di Ceramic/A None Mone Mone Mone Mone Mone Mone Mone M | /condition /Avg erage |
| SITE | Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes X Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for Are there are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for Are there are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for Are there are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for Are there are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for Are there are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for Are there are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for Are there are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for Are there are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for Are there are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for Are the utilities | Water Sanitary Sewer Sanitary Sewer No FEMA Flood Zone X or the market area? X Yes No actors (easements, encroachments, environme FOUNDATION Concrete Slab Crawl Space X Full Basement Partial Basemer nit Basement Area 728 sq. Basement Finish 10 Outside Entry/Exit Sump Pump Evidence of Infestation Dampness Settlement Heating X FWA HWBB Radi Other Fuel Gas Cooling X Central Air Conditioning | FEMA Map # 26163c026 No, describe. tal conditions, land uses, etc.)? EXTERIOR DESCRIPTION n Foundation Walls C/Bloo Exterior Walls Vinyl// it. Roof Surface A/Shir % Gutters & Downspouts Alumin Window Type Vinyl// Storm Sash/Insulated Yes/A Screens Yes/A nt Amenities W Fireplace(s) # 0 X Fe X Patio/Deck Deck X Pe | Alley None Alley None Alley None Alley None Alley None Alley None FEM Yes X No Avg Avg Avg Avg Avg Avg OodStove(s) #0 ence Cyclone orch Concrete | INTERIOR Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainsco Car Storage X Driveway Driveway Sun X Garage Carport | materials, Crpt/Vinyl, Plaster/Av Wood/Avg Ceramic/A Ot Ceramic/A None / # of Cars 2 rface Concrete # of Cars 1 # of Cars 0 | //condition /Avg erage d Avg |
| S | Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes X Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for Are there are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for Are there are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for Are there are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for Are there are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for Are there are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for Are there are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for Are there are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for Are there are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for Are there are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for Are there are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for Are the | Water Sanitary Sewer Sanitary Sewer No FEMA Flood Zone X or the market area? X Yes No actors (easements, encroachments, environme FOUNDATION Concrete Slab Crawl Space X Full Basement Partial Basemer nit Basement Area 728 sq. Basement Finish 10 Outside Entry/Exit Sump Pump Evidence of Infestation Dampness Settlement Heating X FWA HWBB Radi Other Fuel Gas Cooling X Central Air Conditioning Individual Other | FEMA Map # 26163c026 No, describe. tal conditions, land uses, etc.)? EXTERIOR DESCRIPTION n Foundation Walls C/Bloo Exterior Walls Vinyl// it. Roof Surface A/Shir % Gutters & Downspouts Alumin Window Type Vinyl// Storm Sash/Insulated Yes/A Screens Yes/A nt Amenities W Fireplace(s) # 0 X Fe X Patio/Deck Deck X Po | Alley None Alley None Alley None Alley None Alley None Ave Materials/condition Ck/Avg. Avg Materials/condition Ck/Avg Materials/condition Ck/Avg. Avg Materials/condition Ck/Avg No Materials/condition Materials/condition Materials/condition Ck/Avg No Materials/condition Materials/condition Materials/condition Materials/condition Materials/condition Materi | INTERIOR Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainscc Car Storage X Driveway Driveway Sur X Garage | materials, Crpt/Vinyl, Plaster/Av Wood/Avg Ceramic/A Di Ceramic/A None Mone Mone Mone Mone Mone Mone Mone M | //condition /Avg erage d Avg |
| S | Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes X Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for Are there are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for Are there are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for Are there are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for Are there are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for Are there are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for Are there are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for Are there are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for Are there are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for Are there are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for Are there are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for Are the | Water Sanitary Sewer Sanitary Sewer No FEMA Flood Zone X or the market area? X Yes No actors (easements, encroachments, environme FOUNDATION Concrete Slab Crawl Space X Full Basement Partial Basemer nit Basement Area 728 sq. Basement Finish 10 Outside Entry/Exit Sump Pump Evidence of Infestation Dampness Settlement Heating X FWA HWBB Radi Other Fuel Gas Cooling X Central Air Conditioning Individual Other In Dishwasher X Disposal Mide | FEMA Map # 26163c026 No, describe. tal conditions, land uses, etc.)? EXTERIOR DESCRIPTION n Foundation Walls C/Bloc Exterior Walls Vinyl// it. Roof Surface A/Shir Gutters & Downspouts Alumin Window Type Vinyl// Storm Sash/Insulated Yes/A Screens Yes/A nt Amenities W Fireplace(s) # 0 X Fe X Patio/Deck Deck X Porowave P Washer/Dryer Oceans Temporary Amenities Western Store Toware P Washer/Dryer Oceans The Manual Control of the Control of | Alley None Alley None Alley None Alley None Alley None Alley None Avg Avg Avg Avg Avg Avg Avg Av | INTERIOR Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainsce Car Storage X Driveway Driveway Sui X Garage Carport Att. | materials, Crpt/Vinyl, Plaster/Av Wood/Avg Ceramic/A ot Ceramic/A None # of Cars 2 face Concrete # of Cars 1 # of Cars 0 X Det. | /condition /Avg erage J Avg Avg B Built-in |
| S | Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes X Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for Are there are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for Are there are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for Are there are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for Are there are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for Are there are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for Are there are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for Are there are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for Are there are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for Are there are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for Are there are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for Are the | Water Sanitary Sewer Sanitary Sewer No FEMA Flood Zone X or the market area? X Yes No actors (easements, encroachments, environme FOUNDATION Concrete Slab Crawl Space X Full Basement Partial Basemer nit Basement Area 728 sq. Basement Finish 10 Outside Entry/Exit Sump Pump Evidence of Infestation Dampness Settlement Heating X FWA HWBB Radi Other Fuel Gas Cooling X Central Air Conditioning Individual Other In Dishwasher X Disposal Mice | FEMA Map # 26163c026 No, describe. tal conditions, land uses, etc.)? EXTERIOR DESCRIPTION of Foundation Walls C/Blood Exterior Walls Vinyl// it. Roof Surface A/Shire Gutters & Downspouts Alumin Window Type Vinyl// Storm Sash/Insulated Yes/A Screens Yes/A Int Amenities W Fireplace(s) # 0 X For X Patio/Deck Deck X Portowave P Washer/Dryer Consultations of the consultation of the consu | Alley None Alley None Alley None Alley None Alley None Alley None Experiments Ave None Ave None Ave None Ave None Concrete of the None Other (describe) 1,053 Square | INTERIOR Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainsco Car Storage X Driveway Driveway Sur X Garage Carport Att. | materials/ Crpt/Vinyl/ Plaster/Av Wood/Avg Ceramic/A ot Ceramic/A None # of Cars 2 rface Concrete # of Cars 1 # of Cars 0 X Det. | /condition /Avg erage J Avg Avg ave Grade |
| S | Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes X Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there are a bore and the Are there are a bore are the Are there are a bore and the Are there are a bore and the Are there are a bore are the Are the Are there are a bore are the A | Water Sanitary Sewer Sanitary Sewer No FEMA Flood Zone X or the market area? X Yes No actors (easements, encroachments, environme FOUNDATION Concrete Slab Crawl Space X Full Basement Partial Basemer nit Basement Area 728 sq. Basement Finish 10 Outside Entry/Exit Sump Pump Evidence of Infestation Dampness Settlement Heating X FWA HWBB Radi Other Fuel Gas Cooling X Central Air Conditioning Individual Other In Dishwasher X Disposal Min 5 Rooms 3 Bedroc etc.). Typical features and ameni | FEMA Map # 26163c026 No, describe. tal conditions, land uses, etc.)? EXTERIOR DESCRIPTION of Foundation Walls C/Blood Exterior Walls Vinyl// it. Roof Surface A/Shire Gutters & Downspouts Alumin Window Type Vinyl// Storm Sash/Insulated Yes/A Screens Yes/A Int Amenities W Fireplace(s) # 0 X For X Patio/Deck Deck X Portowave P Washer/Dryer Consultations of the consultation of the consu | Alley None Alley None Alley None Alley None Alley None Alley None Experiments Ave None Ave None Ave None Ave None Concrete of the None Other (describe) 1,053 Square | INTERIOR Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainsco Car Storage X Driveway Driveway Sur X Garage Carport Att. | materials/ Crpt/Vinyl/ Plaster/Av Wood/Avg Ceramic/A ot Ceramic/A None # of Cars 2 rface Concrete # of Cars 1 # of Cars 0 X Det. | /condition /Avg erage J Avg Avg ave Grade |
| IMPROVEMENTS | GENERAL DESCRIPTION Units X One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 1.5 Type X Det. Att. S-Det./End U X Existing Proposed Under Const Design (Style) Bungalow Year Built 1953 Effective Age (Yrs) 30 Attic None Drop Stair Stairs Floor X Scuttle Finished Heated Appliances P Refrigerator P Range/Ove Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient items, considered in the estimated value of Describe the condition of the property (including ne | Water Sanitary Sewer Sanitary Sewer No FEMA Flood Zone X or the market area? X Yes No actors (easements, encroachments, environme FOUNDATION Concrete Slab Crawl Space X Full Basement Partial Basemer nit Basement Area 728 sq. Basement Finish 10 Outside Entry/Exit Sump Pump Evidence of Infestation Dampness Settlement Heating X FWA HWBB Radi Other Fuel Gas Cooling X Central Air Conditioning Individual Other Individual Other Dishwasher X Disposal Mic 5 Rooms 3 Bedroc etc.). Typical features and ameniof this report. | FEMA Map # 26163c026 No, describe. tal conditions, land uses, etc.)? EXTERIOR DESCRIPTION n Foundation Walls C/Blod Exterior Walls Vinyl// t. Roof Surface A/Shir % Gutters & Downspouts Alumin Window Type Vinyl// Storm Sash/Insulated Yes/A Screens Yes/A nt Amenities W Fireplace(s) # 0 X Fe X Patio/Deck Deck X Pe Decouve P Washer/Dryer Orowave | Street Concre Alley None S3e FEM Yes X No naterials/condition ck/Avg. Avg ngle/Avg nium/Avg Avg vg vg vg codStove(s) #0 ence Cyclone orch Concrete ther None Other (describe) 1,053 Squar et area. Only in dated-six to te | INTERIOR Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainsc Car Storage X Driveway Driveway Sur X Garage Carport Att. | materials Crpt/Vinyl Plaster/Av Wood/Av Ceramic/A None # of Cars 2 fface Concrete # of Cars 1 # of Cars 1 # of Cars 0 X Det. | /Avg erage d Avg Avg Built-in ove Grade |
| S | GENERAL DESCRIPTION Units X One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 1.5 Type X Det. Att. S-Det./End U X Existing Proposed Under Const Design (Style) Bungalow Year Built 1953 Effective Age (Yrs) 30 Attic None Drop Stair Stairs Floor X Scuttle Finished Heated Appliances P Refrigerator P Range/Ove Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient items, considered in the estimated value of Updated-six to ten years ago; At the | Water Sanitary Sewer Sanitary Sewer No FEMA Flood Zone X or the market area? X Yes No actors (easements, encroachments, environme FOUNDATION Concrete Slab Crawl Space X Full Basement Partial Basemer nit Basement Area 728 sq. Basement Finish 10 Outside Entry/Exit Sump Pump Evidence of Infestation Dampness Settlement Heating X FWA HWBB Radi Other Fuel Gas Cooling X Central Air Conditioning Individual Other In Dishwasher X Disposal Mice 5 Rooms 3 Bedroc etc.). Typical features and amenicate of this report. Seded repairs, deterioration, renovations, remotime of inspection, the subject u | FEMA Map # 26163c026 No, describe. tal conditions, land uses, etc.)? EXTERIOR DESCRIPTION of Foundation Walls C/Blood Exterior Walls Vinyl// tt. Roof Surface A/Shirt Window Type Vinyl// Storm Sash/Insulated Yes/A Screens Yes/A nt Amenities W Fireplace(s) # 0 X Foundation X Pool None Owave P Washer/Dryer D Washer/Dryer Owave P Washer/Dryer D W Washer/Dry | Street Concre Alley None 63e FEM Yes X No naterials/condition ck/Avg. Avg ngle/Avg nium/Avg Avg vg vg vg codStove(s) #0 ence Cyclone orch Concrete ther None Dither (describe) 1,053 Squar et area. Only i | INTERIOR Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainscc Car Storage X Driveway Driveway Sur Att. Fee Feet of Gross items of re- | materials, Crpt/Vinyl, Plaster/Av Wood/Avg Ceramic/A None # of Cars 2 fface Concrete # of Cars 1 # of Cars 1 # of Cars 0 X Det. SE Living Area Abo cal estate we | /condition /Avg erage J Avg Avg Built-in we Grade re |
| S | GENERAL DESCRIPTION Units X One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 1.5 Type X Det. Att. S-Det./End U X Existing Proposed Under Const Design (Style) Bungalow Year Built 1953 Effective Age (Yrs) 30 Attic None Drop Stair Stairs Floor X Scuttle Finished Heated Appliances P Refrigerator P Range/Ove Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient items, considered in the estimated value of Describe the condition of the property (including not updated-six to ten years ago; At the depreciation is based on the age/life | Water Sanitary Sewer Sanitary Sewer No FEMA Flood Zone X or the market area? X Yes No actors (easements, encroachments, environme FOUNDATION Concrete Slab Crawl Space X Full Basement Partial Basement Basement Area 728 sq. Basement Finish 10 Outside Entry/Exit Sump Pump Evidence of Infestation Dampness Settlement Heating X FWA HWBB Radi Other Fuel Gas Cooling X Central Air Conditioning Individual Other In Dishwasher X Disposal Mice S Rooms 3 Bedroce etc.). Typical features and ameniof this report. Seeded repairs, deterioration, renovations, remotime of inspection, the subject use method. Inspection of site and | FEMA Map # 26163c026 No, describe. tal conditions, land uses, etc.)? EXTERIOR DESCRIPTION IN Foundation Walls C/Blood Exterior Walls Vinyl// t. Roof Surface A/Shirt Window Type Vinyl// Storm Sash/Insulated Yes/A Screens Yes/A Int Amenities Washer/Deck Deck X Patio/Deck Deck X Pati | Street Concre Alley None 63e FEM Yes X No naterials/condition ck/Avg. Avg ngle/Avg nium/Avg Avg vg vg vg codStove(s) #0 ence Cyclone orch Concrete ther None Other (describe) 1,053 Squar et area. Only i | INTERIOR Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainsco Car Storage X Driveway Driveway Sur X Garage Carport Att. Te Feet of Gross items of research years a condition. Fosolescence | materials, Crpt/Vinyl, Plaster/Av Wood/Avg Ceramic/A ot Ceramic/A None # of Cars 2 fface Concrete # of Cars 1 # of Cars 0 X Det. SE Living Area Abo cal estate we ago;Bathroom Physical ce. Inspection | /condition /Avg erage J Avg Avg es Built-in ave Grade re |
| S | GENERAL DESCRIPTION Units X One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 1.5 Type X Det. Att. S-Det./End U X Existing Proposed Under Const Design (Style) Bungalow Year Built 1953 Effective Age (Yrs) 30 Attic None Drop Stair Stairs Floor X Scuttle Finished Heated Appliances P Refrigerator P Range/Ove Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient items, considered in the estimated value of Describe the condition of the property (including ne updated-six to ten years ago; At the depreciation is based on the age/life improvements reveals no functiona | Water Sanitary Sewer Sanitary Sewer No FEMA Flood Zone X or the market area? X Yes No actors (easements, encroachments, environme FOUNDATION Concrete Slab Crawl Space X Full Basement Partial Basemer nit Basement Area 728 sq. i. Basement Finish 10 Outside Entry/Exit Sump Pump Evidence of Infestation Dampness Settlement Heating X FWA HWBB Radi Other Fuel Gas Cooling X Central Air Conditioning Individual Other n Dishwasher X Disposal Mic 5 Rooms 3 Bedroc etc.). Typical features and ameni of this report. eeded repairs, deterioration, renovations, remo time of inspection of site and I obsolescence. All utilities (wate | FEMA Map # 26163c026 No, describe. tal conditions, land uses, etc.)? EXTERIOR DESCRIPTION IN FOUNDATION MAILS C/Blood Exterior Walls Vinyl// Exterior Walls Vinyl// Gutters & Downspouts Alumin Window Type Vinyl// Storm Sash/Insulated Yes/A Screens Yes/A Int Amenities W Fireplace(s) # 0 X For X Patio/Deck Deck X Por X Por X Patio/Deck Deck X Por X Patio/Deck Deck X Por X Patio/Deck Deck X Por X | Street Concre Alley None 63e FEM Yes X No naterials/condition ck/Avg. Avg ngle/Avg nium/Avg Avg vg vg vg codStove(s) #0 ence Cyclone orch Concrete ther None Other (describe) 1,053 Squar et area. Only i | INTERIOR Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainsco Car Storage X Driveway Driveway Sur X Garage Carport Att. Te Feet of Gross items of research years a condition. Fosolescence | materials, Crpt/Vinyl, Plaster/Av Wood/Avg Ceramic/A ot Ceramic/A None # of Cars 2 fface Concrete # of Cars 1 # of Cars 0 X Det. SE Living Area Abo cal estate we ago;Bathroom Physical ce. Inspection | /condition /Avg erage J Avg Avg es Built-in ave Grade re |
| S | Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes X Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions on External for Are there are a because I and I are the Are the Are the Area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient items, considered in the estimated value of Describe the condition of the property (including not updated-six to ten years ago; At the depreciation is based on the age/life improvements reveals no functional effective age is lower then the actual for Area and A | Water Sanitary Sewer No FEMA Flood Zone X or the market area? X Yes No actors (easements, encroachments, environme FOUNDATION Concrete Slab Crawl Space X Full Basement Partial Basemer nit Basement Area 728 sq. Basement Finish 10 Outside Entry/Exit Sump Pump Evidence of Infestation Dampness Settlement Heating X FWA HWBB Radi Other Fuel Gas Cooling X Central Air Conditioning Individual Other In Dishwasher X Disposal Mice 5 Rooms 3 Bedroce etc.). Typical features and amenion this report. Beded repairs, deterioration, renovations, remound time of inspection, the subject under the sub | FEMA Map # 26163c026 No, describe. tal conditions, land uses, etc.)? EXTERIOR DESCRIPTION IN Foundation Walls C/Blood Exterior Walls Vinyl// ft. Roof Surface A/Shire Gutters & Downspouts Alumin Window Type Vinyl// Storm Sash/Insulated Yes/A Screens Yes/A Int Amenities Walls Pool None Orowave Pwasher/Dryer Orowave Pwasher/Dryer Masser 1.0 Bath(s) ies for the subjects market was maintained in average surrounding area reveals in gas & electric) were on and maintenance. | Street Concre Alley None Sige FEM Yes X No None Sige FEM None Sige Sige Sige Sige Sige Sige Sige Sige | INTERIOR Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainsce Car Storage X Driveway Driveway Sul X Garage Carport Att. EFeet of Grossitems of received and the condition. Fosolescence working. | materials, Crpt/Vinyl, Plaster/Av Wood/Avg Ceramic/A ot Ceramic/A ot Ceramic/A of Cars 2 rface Concrete # of Cars 1 # of Cars 0 X Det. ss Living Area Abo eal estate we logo; Bathroom Physical ce. Inspection The subjects | /condition /Avg erage J Avg Avg es Built-in ave Grade re |
| S | GENERAL DESCRIPTION Units X One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 1.5 Type X Det. Att. S-Det./End U X Existing Proposed Under Const Design (Style) Bungalow Year Built 1953 Effective Age (Yrs) 30 Attic None Drop Stair Stairs Floor X Scuttle Finished Heated Appliances P Refrigerator P Range/Ove Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient items, considered in the estimated value of Describe the condition of the property (including ne updated-six to ten years ago; At the depreciation is based on the age/life improvements reveals no functiona | Water Sanitary Sewer No FEMA Flood Zone X or the market area? X Yes No actors (easements, encroachments, environme FOUNDATION Concrete Slab Crawl Space X Full Basement Partial Basemer nit Basement Area 728 sq. Basement Finish 10 Outside Entry/Exit Sump Pump Evidence of Infestation Dampness Settlement Heating X FWA HWBB Radi Other Fuel Gas Cooling X Central Air Conditioning Individual Other In Dishwasher X Disposal Mice 5 Rooms 3 Bedroce etc.). Typical features and amenion this report. Beded repairs, deterioration, renovations, remound time of inspection, the subject under the sub | FEMA Map # 26163c026 No, describe. tal conditions, land uses, etc.)? EXTERIOR DESCRIPTION IN Foundation Walls C/Blood Exterior Walls Vinyl// ft. Roof Surface A/Shire Gutters & Downspouts Alumin Window Type Vinyl// Storm Sash/Insulated Yes/A Screens Yes/A Int Amenities Walls Pool None Orowave Pwasher/Dryer Orowave Pwasher/Dryer Masser 1.0 Bath(s) ies for the subjects market was maintained in average surrounding area reveals in gas & electric) were on and maintenance. | Street Concre Alley None 63e FEM Yes X No naterials/condition ck/Avg. Avg ngle/Avg nium/Avg Avg vg vg vg codStove(s) #0 ence Cyclone orch Concrete ther None Other (describe) 1,053 Squar et area. Only i | INTERIOR Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainsor Car Storage X Driveway Driveway Sur X Garage Carport Att. e Feet of Gross items of recent years a condition. Fosolescence working. | materials, Crpt/Vinyl, Plaster/Av Wood/Avg Ceramic/A ot Ceramic/A ot Ceramic/A of Cars 2 rface Concrete # of Cars 1 # of Cars 0 X Det. ss Living Area Abo eal estate we logo; Bathroom Physical ce. Inspection The subjects | /condition /Avg erage J Avg Avg es Built-in ave Grade re |
| S | Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes X Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions on External for Are there are a because I and I are the Are the Are the Area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient items, considered in the estimated value of Describe the condition of the property (including not updated-six to ten years ago; At the depreciation is based on the age/life improvements reveals no functional effective age is lower then the actual for Area and A | Water Sanitary Sewer No FEMA Flood Zone X or the market area? X Yes No actors (easements, encroachments, environme FOUNDATION Concrete Slab Crawl Space X Full Basement Partial Basemer nit Basement Area 728 sq. Basement Finish 10 Outside Entry/Exit Sump Pump Evidence of Infestation Dampness Settlement Heating X FWA HWBB Radi Other Fuel Gas Cooling X Central Air Conditioning Individual Other In Dishwasher X Disposal Mice 5 Rooms 3 Bedroce etc.). Typical features and amenion this report. Beded repairs, deterioration, renovations, remound time of inspection, the subject under the sub | FEMA Map # 26163c026 No, describe. tal conditions, land uses, etc.)? EXTERIOR DESCRIPTION IN Foundation Walls C/Blood Exterior Walls Vinyl// ft. Roof Surface A/Shire Gutters & Downspouts Alumin Window Type Vinyl// Storm Sash/Insulated Yes/A Screens Yes/A Int Amenities Walls Pool None Orowave Pwasher/Dryer Orowave Pwasher/Dryer Masser 1.0 Bath(s) ies for the subjects market was maintained in average surrounding area reveals in gas & electric) were on and maintenance. | Street Concre Alley None Sige FEM Yes X No None Sige FEM None Sige Sige Sige Sige Sige Sige Sige Sige | INTERIOR Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainsce Car Storage X Driveway Driveway Sul X Garage Carport Att. EFeet of Grossitems of received and the condition. Fosolescence working. | materials, Crpt/Vinyl, Plaster/Av Wood/Avg Ceramic/A ot Ceramic/A ot Ceramic/A of Cars 2 rface Concrete # of Cars 1 # of Cars 0 X Det. ss Living Area Abo eal estate we logo; Bathroom Physical ce. Inspection The subjects | /condition /Avg erage J Avg Avg es Built-in ave Grade re |
| S | Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes X Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions on External for Are there are a because I and I are the Are the Are the Area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient items, considered in the estimated value of Describe the condition of the property (including not updated-six to ten years ago; At the depreciation is based on the age/life improvements reveals no functional effective age is lower then the actual for Area and A | Water Sanitary Sewer No FEMA Flood Zone X or the market area? X Yes No actors (easements, encroachments, environme FOUNDATION Concrete Slab Crawl Space X Full Basement Partial Basemer nit Basement Area 728 sq. Basement Finish 10 Outside Entry/Exit Sump Pump Evidence of Infestation Dampness Settlement Heating X FWA HWBB Radi Other Fuel Gas Cooling X Central Air Conditioning Individual Other In Dishwasher X Disposal Mice 5 Rooms 3 Bedroce etc.). Typical features and amenion this report. Beded repairs, deterioration, renovations, remound time of inspection, the subject under the sub | FEMA Map # 26163c026 No, describe. tal conditions, land uses, etc.)? EXTERIOR DESCRIPTION IN Foundation Walls C/Blood Exterior Walls Vinyl// ft. Roof Surface A/Shire Gutters & Downspouts Alumin Window Type Vinyl// Storm Sash/Insulated Yes/A Screens Yes/A Int Amenities Walls Pool None Orowave Pwasher/Dryer Orowave Pwasher/Dryer Masser 1.0 Bath(s) ies for the subjects market was maintained in average surrounding area reveals in gas & electric) were on and maintenance. | Street Concre Alley None Sige FEM Yes X No None Sige FEM None Sige Sige Sige Sige Sige Sige Sige Sige | INTERIOR Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainsce Car Storage X Driveway Driveway Sul X Garage Carport Att. EFeet of Grossitems of received and the condition. Fosolescence working. | materials, Crpt/Vinyl, Plaster/Av Wood/Avg Ceramic/A ot Ceramic/A ot Ceramic/A of Cars 2 rface Concrete # of Cars 1 # of Cars 0 X Det. ss Living Area Abo eal estate we logo; Bathroom Physical ce. Inspection The subjects | /condition /Avg erage J Avg Avg es Built-in ave Grade re |
| S | Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes X Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any physical deficiencies or adverse conditions of EMA Are there any physical deficiencies or adverse condition and the actual Are there any physical deficiencies or adverse condition and the actual Are there any physical deficiencies or adverse condition and the actual Are there any physical deficiencies or adverse condition and the actual Are there any physical deficiencies or adverse condition and the actual Are there any physical deficiencies or adverse condition and the actual Are there any physical deficiencies or adverse conditions. | Water Sanitary Sewer No FEMA Flood Zone X or the market area? X Yes No actors (easements, encroachments, environme FOUNDATION Concrete Slab Crawl Space X Full Basement Partial Basemer nit Basement Area 728 sq. Basement Finish 10 Outside Entry/Exit Sump Pump Evidence of Infestation Dampness Settlement Heating X FWA HWBB Radi Other Fuel Gas Cooling X Central Air Conditioning Individual Other In Dishwasher X Disposal Mice 5 Rooms 3 Bedroc etc.). Typical features and ameniof this report. Beded repairs, deterioration, renovations, remo time of inspection, the subject use method. Inspection of site and I obsolescence. All utilities (wate al age due to continual updating ditions that affect the livability, soundness, or site and all obsolescences. Or site and all obsolescences are set to continual updating ditions that affect the livability, soundness, or site and all obsolescences. | FEMA Map # 26163c026 No, describe. tal conditions, land uses, etc.)? EXTERIOR DESCRIPTION of Foundation Walls C/Blod Exterior Walls Vinyl// t. Roof Surface A/Shire Window Type Vinyl// Storm Sash/Insulated Yes/A Screens Yes/A of Screens Yes/A o | Street Concre Alley None Sige FEM Yes X No None Sige FEM None Sige Sige Sige Sige Sige Sige Sige Sige | INTERIOR Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainsco Car Storage X Driveway Driveway Sur Att. Fee Feet of Gross items of reference of the condition. For solescence working. | materials, Crpt/Vinyl, Plaster/Av Wood/Avg Ceramic/A ot Ceramic/A ot Ceramic/A of Cars 2 rface Concrete # of Cars 1 # of Cars 0 X Det. ss Living Area Abo eal estate we logo; Bathroom Physical ce. Inspection The subjects | /condition /Avg erage J Avg Avg es Built-in ave Grade re |
| S | Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes X Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions on External for Are there are a because I and I are the Are the Are the Area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient items, considered in the estimated value of Describe the condition of the property (including not updated-six to ten years ago; At the depreciation is based on the age/life improvements reveals no functional effective age is lower then the actual for Area and A | Water Sanitary Sewer No FEMA Flood Zone X or the market area? X Yes No actors (easements, encroachments, environme FOUNDATION Concrete Slab Crawl Space X Full Basement Partial Basemer nit Basement Area 728 sq. Basement Finish 10 Outside Entry/Exit Sump Pump Evidence of Infestation Dampness Settlement Heating X FWA HWBB Radi Other Fuel Gas Cooling X Central Air Conditioning Individual Other In Dishwasher X Disposal Mice 5 Rooms 3 Bedroc etc.). Typical features and ameniof this report. Beded repairs, deterioration, renovations, remo time of inspection, the subject use method. Inspection of site and I obsolescence. All utilities (wate al age due to continual updating ditions that affect the livability, soundness, or site and all obsolescences. Or site and all obsolescences are set to continual updating ditions that affect the livability, soundness, or site and all obsolescences. | FEMA Map # 26163c026 No, describe. tal conditions, land uses, etc.)? EXTERIOR DESCRIPTION of Foundation Walls C/Blod Exterior Walls Vinyl// t. Roof Surface A/Shire Window Type Vinyl// Storm Sash/Insulated Yes/A Screens Yes/A of Screens Yes/A o | Street Concre Alley None 63e FEM Yes X No The street Concre Alley None 63e FEM Yes X No The street Concidence Concidence Concrete Conc | INTERIOR Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainsco Car Storage X Driveway Driveway Sur Att. Fee Feet of Gross items of reference of the condition. For solescence working. | materials, Crpt/Vinyl, Plaster/Av Wood/Avg Ceramic/A ot Ceramic/A ot Ceramic/A of Cars 2 rface Concrete # of Cars 1 # of Cars 0 X Det. ss Living Area Abo eal estate we logo; Bathroom Physical ce. Inspection The subjects | /condition /Avg erage J Avg Avg es Built-in ave Grade re |
| S | Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes X Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any adverse site conditions or external for Are there any physical deficiencies or adverse conditions of EMA Are there any physical deficiencies or adverse condition and the actual Are there any physical deficiencies or adverse condition and the actual Are there any physical deficiencies or adverse condition and the actual Are there any physical deficiencies or adverse condition and the actual Are there any physical deficiencies or adverse condition and the actual Are there any physical deficiencies or adverse condition and the actual Are there any physical deficiencies or adverse conditions. | Water Sanitary Sewer No FEMA Flood Zone X or the market area? X Yes No actors (easements, encroachments, environme FOUNDATION Concrete Slab Crawl Space X Full Basement Partial Basemer nit Basement Area 728 sq. Basement Finish 10 Outside Entry/Exit Sump Pump Evidence of Infestation Dampness Settlement Heating X FWA HWBB Radi Other Fuel Gas Cooling X Central Air Conditioning Individual Other In Dishwasher X Disposal Mice 5 Rooms 3 Bedroc etc.). Typical features and ameniof this report. Beded repairs, deterioration, renovations, remo time of inspection, the subject use method. Inspection of site and I obsolescence. All utilities (wate al age due to continual updating ditions that affect the livability, soundness, or site and all obsolescences. Or site and all obsolescences are set to continual updating ditions that affect the livability, soundness, or site and all obsolescences. | FEMA Map # 26163c026 No, describe. tal conditions, land uses, etc.)? EXTERIOR DESCRIPTION of Foundation Walls C/Blod Exterior Walls Vinyl// t. Roof Surface A/Shire Window Type Vinyl// Storm Sash/Insulated Yes/A Screens Yes/A of Screens Yes/A o | Street Concre Alley None 63e FEM Yes X No The street Concre Alley None 63e FEM Yes X No The street Concidence Concidence Concrete Conc | INTERIOR Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainsco Car Storage X Driveway Driveway Sur Att. Fee Feet of Gross items of reference of the condition. For solescence working. | materials, Crpt/Vinyl, Plaster/Av Wood/Avg Ceramic/A ot Ceramic/A ot Ceramic/A of Cars 2 rface Concrete # of Cars 1 # of Cars 0 X Det. ss Living Area Abo eal estate we logo; Bathroom Physical ce. Inspection The subjects | /condition /Avg erage J Avg Avg es Built-in ave Grade re |

| | | | | | | neighborhood rang | | | | | | 74,50 | | |
|--|--|---|----------------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------------|---------------|----------|--------------|------------------|-----------------------|---------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| | rable sale | es in the subject ne | | | | twelve months rang | ing in sa | | | | 59,864 | to \$ 8 | | |
| FEATURE | | SUBJECT | | | | ALE NO. 1 | | | | | SALE NO. 2 | | COMPARABLES | SALE NO. 3 |
| 6427 Weddel St | | | | | 6481 Weddel St | | | | | 6730 Pelham Rd | | | | |
| Address Taylor, MI 4 | Idress Taylor, MI 48180 Taylor, MI 48180 | | | | | | /II 4818 | 80 | | Taylor, MI 48180 | | | | |
| Proximity to Subject | | | 0.30 mi | les SE | | | 0.06 miles SE | | | | 0.27 miles SE | | | |
| Sale Price | \$ | | | | \$ | 76,000 | | | | \$ | 75,000 | | \$ | 59,864 |
| Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area | \$ | 0.00 sq. ft. | | 55 sq. ft. | | | | | 2 sq. ft. | | | |).71 sq. ft. | |
| Data Source(s) | | | | | | ;DOM 33 | | | | | ;DOM 3 | | 217038249 | |
| Verification Source(s) | | | Real,As | | ^ & F | PRD | | | essor | <u>& F</u> | PRD | | Assessor & | PRD |
| VALUE ADJUSTMENTS | DE | SCRIPTION | | RIPTION | | +(-) \$ Adjustment | | | IPTION | | +(-) \$ Adjustment | | CRIPTION | +(-) \$ Adjustment |
| Sale or Financing | | | ArmLth | | | | ArmL | | | | | ArmLt | | |
| Concessions | | | FHA;0 | | | 0 | FHA; | | | | 0 | Conv; | | 0 |
| Date of Sale/Time | | | s10/16; | c10/16 | | | | | 10/16 | | | | 7;c05/17 | |
| Location | N;Res | | N;Res; | | | | N;Re | | | | | N;Res | , | |
| Leasehold/Fee Simple | | Simple | Fee Sin | nple | | | Fee S | | ple | | | Fee S | | |
| Site | 5160 | | 5227 sf | | | 0 | 5160 | | | | | 5500 s | | 0 |
| View | N;Res | | N;Res; | | | | N;Re | | | | | N;Res | • | |
| Design (Style) | | 5;Bungalow | DT1.5;E | Bungalo | WC | | | 5;Bı | ungalo | W | | | ;Bungalow | |
| Quality of Construction | Q4 | | Q4 | | | | Q4 | | | | | Q4 | | |
| Actual Age | 64 | | 78 | | | 0 | 64 | | | | | 78 | | 0 |
| Condition | C4 | | C4 | | | | C4 | | | | | C4 | | |
| Above Grade | Total Bd | | Total Bdrms. | Baths | | | | drms. | Baths | _ | | Total Bdrr | _ | |
| Room Count | 5 3 | 3 1.0 | 6 3 | 1.0 | | 0 | 5 | 3 | 1.0 | _ | | 5 3 | | -2,000 |
| Gross Living Area 20.00 | | 1,053 sq. ft. | | 980 s | q. ft. | 0 | | | ,093 so | ą. ft. | 0 | | 986 sq. ft. | 0 |
| Basement & Finished | | 72sfin | 689sf10 | | | 0 | 900s | | | | -1,000 | 648sf0 | Osfin | 0 |
| Rooms Below Grade | | r0.1ba0o | 0rr0br0. | | | | 0rr0b | or1.0 | Oba0o | | -1,000 | | | 500 |
| Functional Utility | Avera | | Average | | | | Avera | | | | | Avera | | |
| Heating/Cooling | | Central | Gfa/Cer | ntral | | | Gfa/0 | | | | | Gfa/C | entral | |
| Energy Efficient Items | Insul | Wind | Insul W | ind | | | Insul | Wir | nd | | | Insul \ | Vind | |
| Garage/Carport | 1gd2 | dw | 2gd2dw | , | | -1,500 | 1gd2d | wb | | | | 1gd2d | W | |
| Porch/Patio/Deck | Deck, | ,Fence | Patio,Fe | ence | | 0 | Patio | ,Fe | nce | | 0 | Fence | | 2,500 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net Adjustment (Total) | | | + | X - | \$ | 1,500 | + | + (| X - | \$ | 2,000 | X + | \$ | 1,000 |
| Adjusted Sale Price | | | Net Adj. | -2.0% | | | Net Adj | j. | -2.7% | | | Net Adj. | 1.7% | |
| of Comparables | | | Gross Adj. | 2.0% | \$ | 74,500 | Gross A | ∖dj. | 2.7% | \$ | 73,000 | Gross Ad | i. 8.4% \$ | 60,864 |
| I X did did not res | search the | e sale or transfer h | istory of the | subject pr | roper | y and comparable s | ales. If n | ot, ex | plain | | | | | |
| Data source(s) MLS,A: My research did X | ssesso did not r ssesso | or & PRD. reveal any prior sa or & PRD. | les or transfe | ers of the | comp | arable sales for the | year prio | or to th | he date o | f sal | e of the comparable | sale. | page 3). | |
| ITEM | our orr un | | BJECT | unsion in | otory | COMPARABLE SA | | | | | PARABLE SALE NO | | | LE SALE NO. 3 |
| Date of Prior Sale/Transfer | | 30 | | | | - S / II O IDEL SA | | <u> </u> | 1 | J1711 | u IDZE ONEE NO. | - | S S WILL T H W ND | 0, 110. 0 |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer | | \$0 | | | 0 | | | | 0 | | | 0 | | |
| Data Source(s) | | Real.Assess | sor & PR | D | _ | al.Assessor & | PRD | | + | Ass | sessor & PRD | | eal.Assess | or & PRD |
| Effective Date of Data Sour | ce(s) | 06/21/2017 | I I | _ | _ | 21/2017 | ٠.٠٠ | | 06/21 | | | | 6/21/2017 | w. IND |
| Analysis of prior sale or tran | | | roperty and | comparah | | | | | 10012 | ., _(| | | -,- ,, -0 11 | |
| The subject's prope | | | | | | | sted in |) the | e last 1 | 2 r | months | | | |
| Prior sales of the co | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| All sales verified clo | | | | | <u> </u> | ore triair erie y | our uş | <u> </u> | | | ou bolow gila. | | | |
| 7 til dalog vormog die | <u> </u> | y local main | 1100 001 110 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Summary of Sales Compari | ison Annı | roach See At | tached A | ddendi | ım | | | | | | | | | |
| Summary of Sales Compan | ізоп Аррі | 10dc11. <u>000 7 (t</u> | tacrica / t | aacria | uiii | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Indicated Value by Sales C | omnarica | n Annroach ¢ 70 | 000 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Indicated Value by Sales C Indicated Value by: Sale | | | | | | est Approach (if de | rolo= · · | N + O | N 300 | | In comment | proack " | developed) \$ | <u> </u> |
| The high quality of | | | | omnari | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | ompafi | IUCI | approacti det | าบบริโ | iale | S ILS VI | aIJ | my as the DeS | ı value | ii iuicalUI, | ITIC CUSL & |
| income approach to | value | were not de | velopea. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| This are selected to | ▽] " · | | nom1 " | | 07. | monification " | ho-! * | | no.46 - 11 ' | اء ا | dition that I | /ow= - ' ' | ovo k | atad |
| I — '' | X as is, | , | | | | | | | | | dition that the impro | $\overline{}$ | | |
| subject to the following | | | | | | | | | | een | completed, or | subje | ct to the followin | y requirea |
| inspection based on the ext | raordinar | y assumption that | ine condition | ı or deficie | ency | uoes not require alte | ration or | rrepa | ıır: _ | | | | | |
| | | ., : | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Based on a complete vis | | - | | | | | | | | - | | | - | = |
| conditions, and apprais | ser's cer | tification, my (o | | | | | | | | | | t of this r | eport is \$ 70 | ,000 |
| as of 06/19/2017 | | | , which i | s the dat | e of i | nspection and the | ettective | e date | e of this a | appr | raisal. | | | |

| Condition Rating is determined to my best judgement and could be viewed by another appraiser differently. | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| The appraisal problem did not warrant an intensive highest and best use study. Given the nature of the subject real estate, my | | | | | | | | |
| Ine appraisal problem did not warrant an intensive highest and best use study. Given the nature of the subject real estate, my conclusion of highest and best use was based on logic and observed evidence. | | | | | | | | |
| 252 2. Highest and 2001 and 2000 of fugic and 02001 of 071001100. | | | | | | | | |
| In this appraisal assignment, I viewed the interior & exterior of the s | | | | | | | | |
| physical characteristics of the subject improvements that are relevant | nt to the valuation problem. | | | | | | | |
| I used information from county records, owner's comments, assess | sor's records, multiple listing service data to identify the | | | | | | | |
| characteristics of the subject property that are relevant to the valua | | | | | | | | |
| | • | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | E (not required by Fannie Mae) | | | | | | | |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculate | ions. | | | | | | | |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculat Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated to the comparable land sales). | imating site value) Limited vacant land sales in the area with | | | | | | | |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculate | imating site value) Limited vacant land sales in the area with sen from land sales on the MLS that are considered to be most | | | | | | | |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculat Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est comparable size lots in existing subdivisions, therefore sale data tal similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess | imating site value) Limited vacant land sales in the area with ken from land sales on the MLS that are considered to be most sor's records. | | | | | | | |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculat Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est comparable size lots in existing subdivisions, therefore sale data tal similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW | ons. imating site value) Limited vacant land sales in the area with ken from land sales on the MLS that are considered to be most sor's records. OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ 12,400 | | | | | | | |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculat Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est comparable size lots in existing subdivisions, therefore sale data tal similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data localbuilder.net | ons. imating site value) Limited vacant land sales in the area with ken from land sales on the MLS that are considered to be most sor's records. OPINION OF SITE VALUE | | | | | | | |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculat Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est comparable size lots in existing subdivisions, therefore sale data tall similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data localbuilder.net Ouality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 06/22/2017 | ons. imating site value) Limited vacant land sales in the area with ken from land sales on the MLS that are considered to be most sor's records. OPINION OF SITE VALUE | | | | | | | |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculat Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est comparable size lots in existing subdivisions, therefore sale data tall similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data localbuilder.net Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 06/22/2017 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) | Division Limited vacant land sales in the area with | | | | | | | |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculat Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est comparable size lots in existing subdivisions, therefore sale data tall similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data localbuilder.net Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 06/22/2017 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost figures developed from local builders and Verified by appraisers files and local cost data. Physical depreciation is | ons. imating site value) Limited vacant land sales in the area with ken from land sales on the MLS that are considered to be most sor's records. OPINION OF SITE VALUE | | | | | | | |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculat Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est comparable size lots in existing subdivisions, therefore sale data tall similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data localbuilder.net Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 06/22/2017 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost figures developed from local builders and Verified by appraisers files and local cost data. Physical depreciation is based on age/life method. Estimated Remaining Economic Life is | Limited vacant land sales in the area with | | | | | | | |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculat Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est comparable size lots in existing subdivisions, therefore sale data tall similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data localbuilder.net Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 06/22/2017 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost figures developed from local builders and Verified by appraisers files and local cost data. Physical depreciation is | OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ 12,400 Dwelling 1,053 Sq. Ft. @ \$ 25.00. = \$ 18,200 Addt'I Amenities 3,000 Garage/Carport 315 Sq. Ft. @ \$ 20.00. = \$ 6,300 Total Estimate of Cost-New = \$ 106,475 Depreciation 37,579 = \$ (37,579) | | | | | | | |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculat Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est comparable size lots in existing subdivisions, therefore sale data tall similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data localbuilder.net Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 06/22/2017 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost figures developed from local builders and Verified by appraisers files and local cost data. Physical depreciation is based on age/life method. Estimated Remaining Economic Life is | OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ 12,400 Dwelling 1,053 Sq. Ft. @ \$ 25.00. = \$ 18,200 Addt'I Amenities 3,000 Garage/Carport 315 Sq. Ft. @ \$ 20.00. = \$ 106,475 Less 85 Physical Functional External Depreciated Cost of Improvements = \$ 68,896 | | | | | | | |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculat Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est comparable size lots in existing subdivisions, therefore sale data tall similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data localbuilder.net Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 06/22/2017 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost figures developed from local builders and Verified by appraisers files and local cost data. Physical depreciation is based on age/life method. Estimated Remaining Economic Life is | OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ 12,400 Dwelling 1,053 Sq. Ft. @ \$ 25.00. = \$ 18,200 Addt'I Amenities 3,000 Garage/Carport 315 Sq. Ft. @ \$ 20.00. = \$ 6,300 Total Estimate of Cost-New = \$ 106,475 Depreciation 37,579 = \$ (37,579) | | | | | | | |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculat Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est comparable size lots in existing subdivisions, therefore sale data tal similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data localbuilder.net Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 06/22/2017 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost figures developed from local builders and Verified by appraisers files and local cost data. Physical depreciation is based on age/life method. Estimated Remaining Economic Life is 55 years. Not required by Fannie Mae. | OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ 12,400 Dwelling 1,053 Sq. Ft. @ \$ 25.00. = \$ 18,200 Addt'I Amenities 3,000 Garage/Carport 315 Sq. Ft. @ \$ 20.00. = \$ 106,475 Less 85 Physical Functional External Depreciated Cost of Improvements = \$ 68,896 | | | | | | | |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculat Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est comparable size lots in existing subdivisions, therefore sale data tall similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess a similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess a similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess a similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess a similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess a similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess a similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess a similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess as in the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess and similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess and similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess and similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess and similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess and similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess comparable tall sales on the subject property. Value can also be taken from estimated to the subject property and sales on also be taken from assess and calculations, therefore sale data tall similar to the subject property. Value can also between the subject property. Value can also between the subject property and sales on the subject property. Value can also between them sales on the subject property and sales on the subject property. Value can also between the subject property and sales on the subject property and sales on the subject property. Value can also between the subject property and sales on | Limited vacant land sales in the area with | | | | | | | |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculat Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est comparable size lots in existing subdivisions, therefore sale data tall similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess source of cost data localbuilder.net Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 06/22/2017 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost figures developed from local builders and Verified by appraisers files and local cost data. Physical depreciation is based on age/life method. Estimated Remaining Economic Life is 55 years. Not required by Fannie Mae. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 55 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VAL Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier = 1 | Limited vacant land sales in the area with sen from land sales on the MLS that are considered to be most sor's records. OPINION OF SITE VALUE | | | | | | | |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculat Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est comparable size lots in existing subdivisions, therefore sale data tal similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess a sestimated in the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess a sestimated in the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess a sestimated in the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess a sestimated from assess and sestimated in the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess a sestimate of cost data in the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess a sestimated from assess and sestimated from a sestimated from assess and sestimated by appraisance of cost data in the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess and sestimated from a sestimated from assess and sestimated from assess and sestimated from a sestim | Limited vacant land sales in the area with sen from land sales on the MLS that are considered to be most sor's records. OPINION OF SITE VALUE | | | | | | | |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculat Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est comparable size lots in existing subdivisions, therefore sale data tal similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess a similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess a similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess a similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess a similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess a similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess a similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess a similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess and assess a similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess and asse | Limited vacant land sales in the area with sen from land sales on the MLS that are considered to be most sor's records. OPINION OF SITE VALUE | | | | | | | |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculat Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est comparable size lots in existing subdivisions, therefore sale data tal similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess the summary of cost data local property. Value can also be taken from assess the summary of cost data local builder. Net cost of cost data local builder. Net cost data local builder. Net cost data local builder. Net cost figures developed from local builders and Verified by appraisers files and local cost data. Physical depreciation is based on age/life method. Estimated Remaining Economic Life is 55 years. Not required by Fannie Mae. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 55 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VAL Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier = 1 Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) | imating site value) Limited vacant land sales in the area with sen from land sales on the MLS that are considered to be most sor's records. OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ 12,400 Dwelling 1,053 Sq. Ft. @ \$ 75.00. = \$ 78,975 728 | | | | | | | |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculat Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est comparable size lots in existing subdivisions, therefore sale data tal similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est comparable size lots in existing subdivisions, therefore sale data tal similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess the summary of land subject property. Value can also be taken from assess the similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess the similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess the similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess the similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess the similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess the similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess the similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess the similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess the subject property of the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess the subject property of the subject property of the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess the subject property of the subject property of the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess the subject property of the subject property of the subject property of the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess the subject property of the subject property of the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess the subject property of t | imating site value) Limited vacant land sales in the area with sen from land sales on the MLS that are considered to be most sor's records. OPINION OF SITE VALUE | | | | | | | |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculat Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est comparable size lots in existing subdivisions, therefore sale data tall similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess similar to the subject property. Estimated from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 06/22/2017 Cost figures developed from local builders and Verified by appraisers files and local cost data. Physical depreciation is based on age/life method. Estimated Remaining Economic Life is 55 years. Not required by Fannie Mae. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 55 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VAL Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier = 1 Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATIO Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA Legal name of project | imating site value) Limited vacant land sales in the area with sen from land sales on the MLS that are considered to be most sor's records. OPINION OF SITE VALUE | | | | | | | |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculat Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est comparable size lots in existing subdivisions, therefore sale data tall similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess that is important to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess that is important to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess that is important to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess that is important to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess that is important to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess that is important to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess that is important to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess that is important to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess that all sales are calculations, therefore sale data tall similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess that all sales are calculations, therefore sale data tall sales are | imating site value) Limited vacant land sales in the area with cen from land sales on the MLS that are considered to be most sor's records. OPINION OF SITE VALUE | | | | | | | |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculat Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est comparable size lots in existing subdivisions, therefore sale data tall similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess the similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess the similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess the similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess to the similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess to the method of the Hope property builder in control of the Hope property builder in the subject proper | imating site value) Limited vacant land sales in the area with sen from land sales on the MLS that are considered to be most sor's records. OPINION OF SITE VALUE | | | | | | | |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculat Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est comparable size lots in existing subdivisions, therefore sale data tall similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess: ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data localbuilder.net Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 06/22/2017 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost figures developed from local builders and Verified by appraisers files and local cost data. Physical depreciation is based on age/life method. Estimated Remaining Economic Life is 55 years. Not required by Fannie Mae. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 55 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VAL Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier = 100 Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATIO Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOAL Legal name of project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale | imating site value) Limited vacant land sales in the area with cen from land sales on the MLS that are considered to be most sor's records. OPINION OF SITE VALUE | | | | | | | |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculat Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est comparable size lots in existing subdivisions, therefore sale data tal similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess: ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data localbuilder.net Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 06/22/2017 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost figures developed from local builders and Verified by appraisers files and local cost data. Physical depreciation is based on age/life method. Estimated Remaining Economic Life is 55 years. Not required by Fannie Mae. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 55 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VAL Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier = Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATIO Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA Legal name of project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of an existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source(s) | imating site value) Limited vacant land sales in the area with sen from land sales on the MLS that are considered to be most sor's records. OPINION OF SITE VALUE | | | | | | | |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculat Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est comparable size lots in existing subdivisions, therefore sale data tal similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess: ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data localbuilder.net Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 06/22/2017 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost figures developed from local builders and Verified by appraisers files and local cost data. Physical depreciation is based on age/life method. Estimated Remaining Economic Life is 55 years. Not required by Fannie Mae. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 55 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VAL Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier = Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATIO Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA Legal name of project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of an existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source(s) | imating site value) Limited vacant land sales in the area with sen from land sales on the MLS that are considered to be most sor's records. OPINION OF SITE VALUE | | | | | | | |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculat Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for es comparable size lots in existing subdivisions, therefore sale data tal similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess the similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess the similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess the similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess the similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess the similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess the similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess the similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess the similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess the similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess the subject property of the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess the subject to taken from assess the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess the subject contain any multi-dwelling units? | imating site value) Limited vacant land sales in the area with sen from land sales on the MLS that are considered to be most sor's records. OPINION OF SITE VALUE | | | | | | | |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculat Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est comparable size lots in existing subdivisions, therefore sale data tal similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess: ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data localbuilder.net Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 06/22/2017 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost figures developed from local builders and Verified by appraisers files and local cost data. Physical depreciation is based on age/life method. Estimated Remaining Economic Life is 55 years. Not required by Fannie Mae. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 55 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VAL Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier = Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATIO Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA Legal name of project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of an existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source(s) | imating site value) Limited vacant land sales in the area with sen from land sales on the MLS that are considered to be most sor's records. OPINION OF SITE VALUE | | | | | | | |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculat Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for es comparable size lots in existing subdivisions, therefore sale data tal similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess the subject to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess the subject to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess the subject property of the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess the subject to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess the subject to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess the subject to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess the subject to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess the subject to the | imating site value) Limited vacant land sales in the area with sen from land sales on the MLS that are considered to be most sor's records. OPINION OF SITE VALUE | | | | | | | |

Uniform Residential Appraisal Report

File No. 170564WE84

This report form is designed to report an appraisal of a one-unit property or a one-unit property with an accessory unit; including a unit in a planned unit development (PUD). This report form is not designed to report an appraisal of a manufactured home or a unit in a condominium or cooperative project.

This appraisal report is subject to the following scope of work, intended use, intended user, definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. Modifications, additions, or deletions to the intended use, intended user, definition of market value, or assumptions and limiting conditions are not permitted. The appraiser may expand the scope of work to include any additional research or analysis necessary based on the complexity of this appraisal assignment. Modifications or deletions to the certifications are also not permitted. However, additional certifications that do not constitute material alterations to this appraisal report, such as those required by law or those related to the appraiser's continuing education or membership in an appraisal organization, are permitted.

SCOPE OF WORK: The scope of work for this appraisal is defined by the complexity of this appraisal assignment and the reporting requirements of this appraisal report form, including the following definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. The appraiser must, at a minimum: (1) perform a complete visual inspection of the interior and exterior areas of the subject property, (2) inspect the neighborhood, (3) inspect each of the comparable sales from at least the street, (4) research, verify, and analyze data from reliable public and/or private sources, and (5) report his or her analysis, opinions, and conclusions in this appraisal report.

INTENDED USE: The intended use of this appraisal report is for the lender/client to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for a mortgage finance transaction.

INTENDED USER: The intended user of this appraisal report is the lender/client.

DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE: The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby: (1) buyer and seller are typically motivated; (2) both parties are well informed or well advised, and each acting in what he or she considers his or her own best interest; (3) a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market; (4) payment is made in terms of cash in U. S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and (5) the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions* granted by anyone associated with the sale.

*Adjustments to the comparables must be made for special or creative financing or sales concessions. No adjustments are necessary for those costs which are normally paid by sellers as a result of tradition or law in a market area; these costs are readily identifiable since the seller pays these costs in virtually all sales transactions. Special or creative financing adjustments can be made to the comparable property by comparisons to financing terms offered by a third party institutional lender that is not already involved in the property or transaction. Any adjustment should not be calculated on a mechanical dollar for dollar cost of the financing or concession but the dollar amount of any adjustment should approximate the market's reaction to the financing or concessions based on the appraiser's judgment.

STATEMENT OF ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS: The appraiser's certification in this report is subject to the following assumptions and limiting conditions:

- 1. The appraiser will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature that affect either the property being appraised or the title to it, except for information that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. The appraiser assumes that the title is good and marketable and will not render any opinions about the title.
- 2. The appraiser has provided a sketch in this appraisal report to show the approximate dimensions of the improvements. The sketch is included only to assist the reader in visualizing the property and understanding the appraiser's determination of its size.
- 3. The appraiser has examined the available flood maps that are provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (or other data sources) and has noted in this appraisal report whether any portion of the subject site is located in an identified Special Flood Hazard Area. Because the appraiser is not a surveyor, he or she makes no guarantees, express or implied, regarding this determination.
- 4. The appraiser will not give testimony or appear in court because he or she made an appraisal of the property in question, unless specific arrangements to do so have been made beforehand, or as otherwise required by law.
- 5. The appraiser has noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. Unless otherwise stated in this appraisal report, the appraiser has no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent physical deficiencies or adverse conditions of the property (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) that would make the property less valuable, and has assumed that there are no such conditions and makes no guarantees or warranties, express or implied. The appraiser will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist. Because the appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, this appraisal report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property.
- 6. The appraiser has based his or her appraisal report and valuation conclusion for an appraisal that is subject to satisfactory completion, repairs, or alterations on the assumption that the completion, repairs, or alterations of the subject property will be performed in a professional manner.

Uniform Residential Appraisal Report

File No. 170564WE84

APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I have, at a minimum, developed and reported this appraisal in accordance with the scope of work requirements stated in this appraisal report.
- 2. I performed a complete visual inspection of the interior and exterior areas of the subject property. I reported the condition of the improvements in factual, specific terms. I identified and reported the physical deficiencies that could affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property.
- 3. I performed this appraisal in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 4. I developed my opinion of the market value of the real property that is the subject of this report based on the sales comparison approach to value. I have adequate comparable market data to develop a reliable sales comparison approach for this appraisal assignment. I further certify that I considered the cost and income approaches to value but did not develop them, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 5. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on any current agreement for sale for the subject property, any offering for sale of the subject property in the twelve months prior to the effective date of this appraisal, and the prior sales of the subject property for a minimum of three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 6. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on the prior sales of the comparable sales for a minimum of one year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 7. I selected and used comparable sales that are locationally, physically, and functionally the most similar to the subject property.
- 8. I have not used comparable sales that were the result of combining a land sale with the contract purchase price of a home that has been built or will be built on the land.
- 9. I have reported adjustments to the comparable sales that reflect the market's reaction to the differences between the subject property and the comparable sales.
- 10. I verified, from a disinterested source, all information in this report that was provided by parties who have a financial interest in the sale or financing of the subject property.
- 11. I have knowledge and experience in appraising this type of property in this market area.
- 12. I am aware of, and have access to, the necessary and appropriate public and private data sources, such as multiple listing services, tax assessment records, public land records and other such data sources for the area in which the property is located.
- 13. I obtained the information, estimates, and opinions furnished by other parties and expressed in this appraisal report from reliable sources that I believe to be true and correct.
- 14. I have taken into consideration the factors that have an impact on value with respect to the subject neighborhood, subject property, and the proximity of the subject property to adverse influences in the development of my opinion of market value. I have noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that I became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. I have considered these adverse conditions in my analysis of the property value, and have reported on the effect of the conditions on the value and marketability of the subject property.
- 15. I have not knowingly withheld any significant information from this appraisal report and, to the best of my knowledge, all statements and information in this appraisal report are true and correct.
- 16. I stated in this appraisal report my own personal, unbiased, and professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions, which are subject only to the assumptions and limiting conditions in this appraisal report.
- 17. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and I have no present or prospective personal interest or bias with respect to the participants in the transaction. I did not base, either partially or completely, my analysis and/or opinion of market value in this appraisal report on the race, color, religion, sex, age, marital status, handicap, familial status, or national origin of either the prospective owners or occupants of the subject property or of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property or on any other basis prohibited by law.
- 18. My employment and/or compensation for performing this appraisal or any future or anticipated appraisals was not conditioned on any agreement or understanding, written or otherwise, that I would report (or present analysis supporting) a predetermined specific value, a predetermined minimum value, a range or direction in value, a value that favors the cause of any party, or the attainment of a specific result or occurrence of a specific subsequent event (such as approval of a pending mortgage loan application).
- 19. I personally prepared all conclusions and opinions about the real estate that were set forth in this appraisal report. If I relied on significant real property appraisal assistance from any individual or individuals in the performance of this appraisal or the preparation of this appraisal report, I have named such individual(s) and disclosed the specific tasks performed in this appraisal report. I certify that any individual so named is qualified to perform the tasks. I have not authorized anyone to make a change to any item in this appraisal report; therefore, any change made to this appraisal is unauthorized and I will take no responsibility for it.
- 20. I identified the lender/client in this appraisal report who is the individual, organization, or agent for the organization that ordered and will receive this appraisal report.
- 21. The lender/client may disclose or distribute this appraisal report to: the borrower; another lender at the request of the borrower; the mortgagee or its successors and assigns; mortgage insurers; government sponsored enterprises; other secondary market participants; data collection or reporting services; professional appraisal organizations; any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States; and any state, the District of Columbia, or other jurisdictions; without having to obtain the appraiser's or supervisory appraiser's (if applicable) consent. Such consent must be obtained before this appraisal report may be disclosed or distributed to any other party (including, but not limited to, the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media).

Uniform Residential Appraisal Report

File No. 170564WE84

- 22. I am aware that any disclosure or distribution of this appraisal report by me or the lender/client may be subject to certain laws and regulations. Further, I am also subject to the provisions of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that pertain to disclosure or distribution by me.
- 23. The borrower, another lender at the request of the borrower, the mortgagee or its successors and assigns, mortgage insurers, government sponsored enterprises, and other secondary market participants may rely on this appraisal report as part of any mortgage finance transaction that involves any one or more of these parties.
- 24. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.
- 25. Any intentional or negligent misrepresentation(s) contained in this appraisal report may result in civil liability and/or criminal penalties including, but not limited to, fine or imprisonment or both under the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001, et seq., or similar state laws.

SUPERVISORY APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Supervisory Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I directly supervised the appraiser for this appraisal assignment, have read the appraisal report, and agree with the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 2. I accept full responsibility for the contents of this appraisal report including, but not limited to, the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 3. The appraiser identified in this appraisal report is either a sub-contractor or an employee of the supervisory appraiser (or the appraisal firm), is qualified to perform this appraisal, and is acceptable to perform this appraisal under the applicable state law.
- 4. This appraisal report complies with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 5. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.

| APPRAISER | SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (ONLY IF REQUIRED) | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Signature | Signature | | | | |
| Name Bradle, L. Tarnopol | Name | | | | |
| Company Name Appraisal Experts of Michigan | Company Name | | | | |
| Company Address 4 Parklane Blvd Ste. 350 | Company Address | | | | |
| Dearborn, MI 48126 | | | | | |
| Telephone Number <u>313-582-3400</u> | Telephone Number | | | | |
| Email Address info@appraisalexpertsmi.com | Email Address | | | | |
| Date of Signature and Report 06/22/2017 | Date of Signature | | | | |
| Effective Date of Appraisal 06/19/2017 | State Certification # | | | | |
| State Certification # | or State License # | | | | |
| or State License # 1201068739 | State | | | | |
| or Other (describe) State # | State Expiration Date of Certification or License | | | | |
| State MI | | | | | |
| Expiration Date of Certification or License 07/31/2017 | _ _ | | | | |
| ADDRESS OF PROPERTY APPRAISED | SUBJECT PROPERTY | | | | |
| 6427 Weddel St | Did not inspect subject property | | | | |
| Taylor, MI 48180 | | | | | |
| | Date of Inspection | | | | |
| APPRAISED VALUE OF SUBJECT PROPERTY \$ 70,000 | Did inspect interior and exterior of subject property Date of Inspection | | | | |
| LENDER/CLIENT | | | | | |
| Name Appraisal Nation | COMPARABLE SALES | | | | |
| Company Name Colony American Finance | Did not inspect exterior of comparable sales from street | | | | |
| Company Address 4 Park Plz, Suite 1950 | Did inspect exterior of comparable sales from street | | | | |
| Irvine, CA 92614 | Date of Inspection | | | | |
| Email Address | | | | | |

| FEATURE | | SUBJECT | COMPARABLE SALE NO. 4 | | | COMPARABLE SALE NO. 5 | | | | COMPARABLE SALE NO. 6 | | |
|---|-------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--|
| 6427 Weddel St | | ļ | 6750 Mayfair | St | | 6458 We | eddel St | | | | | |
| Address Taylor, MI 4 | 8180 | | Taylor, MI 48 | | | | ЛI 48180 | | | | | |
| Proximity to Subject | | | 0.26 miles SV | ٧ | | 0.04 mile | es SW | | | | | |
| Sale Price | \$ | | | \$ | 74,900 | | \$ | 74,500 | | \$ | | |
| Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area | \$ | 0.00 sq. ft. | \$ 74.38 sq. f | t. | | \$ 66.0 | 5 sq. ft. | | \$ | sq. ft. | | |
| Data Source(s) | | | Real #216091 | 229 | ;DOM 4 | Real #21 | 17044076 | ;DOM 26 | | | | |
| Verification Source(s) | | | Real, Assesso | | | | sessor & I | | | | | |
| VALUE ADJUSTMENTS | DF | SCRIPTION | DESCRIPTION | | +(-) \$ Adjustment | , | RIPTION | +(-) \$ Adjustment | | ESCRIPTION | +(-) \$ Adjustment | |
| Sale or Financing | DE | SORII TION | ArmLth | | +(-) \$ Adjustment | Listing | an rioiv | +(-) # Aujustinent | | LSOKII HOW | +(-) \$ Adjustment | |
| Concessions | | | Conv;0 | | 0 | ;0 | | 0 | | | | |
| • | | | | | 0 | | | | | | | |
| Date of Sale/Time | NI D | | s10/16;c10/16 |) | | Active | | -2,235 | | | | |
| Location | N;Res | | N;Res; | | | N;Res; | | | | | | |
| Leasehold/Fee Simple | | Simple | Fee Simple | | | Fee Sim | ple | | | | | |
| Site | 5160 | | 6098 sf | | 0 | 5082 sf | | 0 | | | | |
| View | N;Res | | N;Res; | | | N;Res; | | | | | | |
| Design (Style) | | 5;Bungalow | DT1.5;Bungal | low | | DT1.5;B | ungalow | | | | | |
| Quality of Construction | Q4 | | Q4 | | | Q4 | | | | | | |
| Actual Age | 64 | | 77 | | 0 | 63 | | 0 | | | | |
| Condition | C4 | | C4 | | | C4 | | | | | | |
| Above Grade | Total Bd | Irms. Baths | Total Bdrms. Bath | ns | | Total Bdrms. | Baths | | Total | Bdrms. Baths | | |
| Room Count | | 3 1.0 | 6 3 1.0 | | 0 | 5 3 | 1.1 | -2,000 | Total | Dams. Dams | | |
| Gross Living Area 20.00 | | 1,053 sq. ft. | 1,007 | | 0 | | ,128 sq. ft. | 0 | | ca ft | | |
| Basement & Finished | 720-4 | 1,053 sq. 11. 72sfin | 772sf0sfin | oy. Il. | | 780sf0sf | | 0 | | sq. ft. | | |
| | 1 | | i i ∠SiUSIIN | | 0 | / 00SIUST | 111 | _ | | | | |
| Rooms Below Grade | | r0.1ba0o | | | 500 | _ | | 500 | - | | | |
| Functional Utility | Avera | | Average | | | Average | | | | | | |
| Heating/Cooling | | Central | Gfa/Central | | | Gfa/Cen | | | | | | |
| Energy Efficient Items | Insul | | Insul Wind | | | Insul Wi | nd | | | | | |
| Garage/Carport | 1gd2d | wb | 1gd2dw | | | 1gd2dw | | | | | | |
| Porch/Patio/Deck | | ,Fence | Patio,Fence | | 0 | Fence | | 2,500 | | | | |
| | | | , | | | | | , | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Not Adjustment (Total) | | | X + - | \$ | 500 | + | X - \$ | 1,235 | | + | | |
| Net Adjustment (Total) | | | | | 300 | | | 1,233 | - | | | |
| Adjusted Sale Price | | | Net Adj. 0.7% | | 75 400 | Net Adj. | -1.7% | 70.005 | Net A | • | | |
| of Comparables | | | Gross Adj. 0.7% | <u>6 \$</u> | 75,400 | | 9.7% \$ | 73,265 | • | | | |
| ITEM | | SUI | BJECT | — | COMPARABLE SA | LE NO. 4 | COMF | PARABLE SALE NO | . 5 | COMPARABL | LE SALE NO. 6 | |
| Data of Drian Cala/Transfor | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Date of Prior Sale/Transfer | | | | $\overline{}$ | | | | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer | | \$0 | | 0 | | | \$0 | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) | | Real, Assess | or & PRD | Re | al,Assessor & | PRD | Real,As | sessor & PRD | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer | | | sor & PRD | Re | al,Assessor & /21/2017 | PRD | | | ı | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sour | ce(s) | Real, Assess 06/21/2017 | sor & PRD | Re | | PRD | Real,As | | 1 | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) | ce(s) | Real, Assess 06/21/2017 | sor & PRD | Re | | PRD | Real,As | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sour | ce(s) | Real, Assess 06/21/2017 | sor & PRD | Re | | PRD | Real,As | | 1 | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sour | ce(s) | Real, Assess 06/21/2017 | sor & PRD | Re | | PRD | Real,As | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sour | ce(s) | Real, Assess 06/21/2017 | sor & PRD | Re | | PRD | Real,As | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sour | ce(s) | Real, Assess 06/21/2017 | sor & PRD | Re | | PRD | Real,As | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sour | ce(s) | Real, Assess 06/21/2017 | sor & PRD | Re | | PRD | Real,As | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sour | ce(s) | Real, Assess 06/21/2017 | sor & PRD | Re | | PRD | Real,As | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sour | ce(s) | Real, Assess 06/21/2017 | sor & PRD | Re | | PRD | Real,As | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sour | ce(s) | Real, Assess 06/21/2017 | sor & PRD | Re | | PRD | Real,As | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sour | ce(s) | Real, Assess 06/21/2017 | sor & PRD | Re | | PRD | Real,As | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sour | ce(s) | Real, Assess 06/21/2017 | sor & PRD | Re | | PRD | Real,As | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sour | ce(s) | Real, Assess 06/21/2017 | sor & PRD | Re | | PRD | Real,As | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sour | ce(s) | Real, Assess 06/21/2017 | sor & PRD | Re | | PRD | Real,As | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sour | ce(s) | Real, Assess 06/21/2017 | sor & PRD | Re | | PRD | Real,As | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sour | ce(s) | Real, Assess 06/21/2017 | sor & PRD | Re | | PRD | Real,As | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sour | ce(s) | Real, Assess 06/21/2017 | sor & PRD | Re | | PRD | Real,As | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sour | ce(s) | Real, Assess 06/21/2017 | sor & PRD | Re | | PRD | Real,As | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sour | ce(s) | Real, Assess 06/21/2017 | sor & PRD | Re | | PRD | Real,As | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sour | ce(s) | Real, Assess 06/21/2017 | sor & PRD | Re | | PRD | Real,As | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sour | ce(s) | Real, Assess 06/21/2017 | sor & PRD | Re | | PRD | Real,As | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sour | ce(s) | Real, Assess 06/21/2017 | sor & PRD | Re | | PRD | Real,As | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sour | ce(s) | Real, Assess 06/21/2017 | sor & PRD | Re | | PRD | Real,As | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sour | ce(s) | Real, Assess 06/21/2017 | sor & PRD | Re | | PRD | Real,As | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sour | ce(s) | Real, Assess 06/21/2017 | sor & PRD | Re | | PRD | Real,As | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sour | ce(s) | Real, Assess 06/21/2017 | sor & PRD | Re | | PRD | Real,As | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sour | ce(s) | Real, Assess 06/21/2017 | sor & PRD | Re | | PRD | Real,As | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sour | ce(s) | Real, Assess 06/21/2017 | sor & PRD | Re | | PRD | Real,As | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sour | ce(s) | Real, Assess 06/21/2017 | sor & PRD | Re | | PRD | Real,As | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sour | ce(s) | Real, Assess 06/21/2017 | sor & PRD | Re | | PRD | Real,As | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sour | ce(s) | Real, Assess 06/21/2017 | sor & PRD | Re | | PRD | Real,As | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sour | ce(s) | Real, Assess 06/21/2017 | sor & PRD | Re | | PRD | Real,As | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sour | ce(s) | Real, Assess 06/21/2017 | sor & PRD | Re | | PRD | Real,As | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sour | ce(s) | Real, Assess 06/21/2017 | sor & PRD | Re | | PRD | Real,As | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sour | ce(s) | Real, Assess 06/21/2017 | sor & PRD | Re | | PRD | Real,As | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sour | ce(s) | Real, Assess 06/21/2017 | sor & PRD | Re | | PRD | Real,As | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sour | ce(s) | Real, Assess 06/21/2017 | sor & PRD | Re | | PRD | Real,As | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sour | ce(s) | Real, Assess 06/21/2017 | sor & PRD | Re | | PRD | Real,As | | | | | |

Uniform Appraisal Dataset Definitions

File No. 170564WE84

Condition Ratings and Definitions

C1 The improvements have been very recently constructed and have not previously been occupied. The entire structure and all components are new and the dwelling features no physical depreciation.*

"Note: Newly constructed improvements that feature recycled materials and/or components can be considered new dwellings provided that the dwelling is placed on a 100% new foundation and the recycled materials and the recycled components have been rehabilitated/re-manufactured into like-new condition. Recently constructed improvements that have not been previously occupied are not considered "new" if they have any significant physical depreciation (i.e., newly constructed dwellings that have been vacant for an extended period of time without adequate maintenance or upkeep).

C2 The improvements feature no deferred maintenance, little or no physical depreciation, and require no repairs. Virtually all building components are new or have been recently repaired, refinished, or rehabilitated. All outdated components and finishes have been updated and/or replaced with components that meet current standards. Dwellings in this category either are almost new or have been recently completely renovated and are similar in condition to new construction.

*Note: The improvements represent a relatively new property that is well maintained with no deferred maintenance and little or no physical depreciation, or an older property that has been recently completely renovated.

C3 The improvements are well maintained and feature limited physical depreciation due to normal wear and tear. Some components, but not every major building component, may be updated or recently rehabilitated. The structure has been well maintained.

*Note: The improvement is in its first-cycle of replacing short-lived building components (appliances, floor coverings, HVAC, etc.) and is being well maintained. Its estimated effective age is less than its actual age. It also may reflect a property in which the majority of short-lived building components have been replaced but not to the level of a complete renovation.

The improvements feature some minor deferred maintenance and physical deterioration due to normal wear and tear. The dwelling has been adequately maintained and requires only minimal repairs to building components/mechanical systems and cosmetic repairs. All major building components have been adequately maintained and are functionally adequate.

*Note: The estimated effective age may be close to or equal to its actual age. It reflects a property in which some of the short-lived building components have been replaced, and some short-lived building components are at or near the end of their physical life expectancy; however, they still function adequately. Most minor repairs have been addressed on an ongoing basis resulting in an adequately maintained property.

C5 The improvements feature obvious deferred maintenance and are in need of some significant repairs. Some building components need repairs, rehabilitation, or updating. The functional utility and overall livability is somewhat diminished due to condition, but the dwelling remains useable and functional as a residence.

*Note: Some significant repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance. It reflects a property in which many of its short-lived building components are at the end of or have exceeded their physical life expectancy but remain functional.

C6 The improvements have substantial damage or deferred maintenance with deficiencies or defects that are severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements. The improvements are in need of substantial repairs and rehabilitation, including many or most major components.

*Note: Substantial repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance or property damage. It reflects a property with conditions severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements.

Quality Ratings and Definitions

- Q1 Dwellings with this quality rating are usually unique structures that are individually designed by an architect for a specified user. Such residences typically are constructed from detailed architectural plans and specifications and feature an exceptionally high level of workmanship and exceptionally high-grade materials throughout the interior and exterior of the structure. The design features exceptionally high-quality exterior refinements and ornamentation, and exceptionally high-quality interior refinements. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are of exceptionally high quality.
- Q2 Dwellings with this quality rating are often custom designed for construction on an individual property owner's site. However, dwellings in this quality grade are also found in high-quality tract developments featuring residences constructed from individual plans or from highly modified or upgraded plans. The design features detailed, high-quality exterior ornamentation, high-quality interior refinements, and detail. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are generally of high or very high quality.
- Q3 Dwellings with this quality rating are residences of higher quality built from individual or readily available designer plans in above-standard residential tract developments or on an individual property owner's site. The design includes significant exterior ornamentation and interiors that are well finished. The workmanship exceeds acceptable standards and many materials and finishes throughout the dwelling have been upgraded from "stock" standards.
- Q4 Dwellings with this quality rating meet or exceed the requirements of applicable building codes. Standard or modified standard building plans are utilized and the design includes adequate fenestration and some exterior ornamentation and interior refinements. Materials, workmanship, finish, and equipment are of stock or builder grade and may feature some upgrades.
- Q5 Dwellings with this quality rating feature economy of construction and basic functionality as main considerations. Such dwellings feature a plain design using readily available or basic floor plans featuring minimal fenestration and basic finishes with minimal exterior ornamentation and limited interior detail. These dwellings meet minimum building codes and are constructed with inexpensive, stock materials with limited refinements and upgrades.
- Q6 Dwellings with this quality rating are of basic quality and lower cost; some may not be suitable for year-round occupancy. Such dwellings are often built with simple plans or without plans, often utilizing the lowest quality building materials. Such dwellings are often built or expanded by persons who are professionally unskilled or possess only minimal construction skills. Electrical, plumbing, and other mechanical systems and equipment may be minimal or non-existent. Older dwellings may feature one or more substandard or non-conforming additions to the original structure.

Definitions of Not Updated, Updated, and Remodeled

Not Updated

Little or no updating or modernization. This description includes, but is not limited to, new homes.

Residential properties of fifteen years of age or less often reflect an original condition with no updating, if no major components have been replaced or updated. Those over fifteen years of age are also considered not updated if the appliances, fixtures, and finishes are predominantly dated. An area that is 'Not Updated' may still be well maintained and fully functional, and this rating does not necessarily imply deferred maintenance or physical /functional deterioration.

Updated

The area of the home has been modified to meet current market expectations. These modifications are limited in terms of both scope and cost.

An updated area of the home should have an improved look and feel, or functional utility. Changes that constitute updates include refurbishment and/or replacing components to meet existing market expectations. Updates do not include significant alterations to the existing structure.

Remodeled

Significant finish and/or structural changes have been made that increase utility and appeal through complete replacement and/or expansion.

A remodeled area reflects fundamental changes that include multiple alterations. These alterations may include some or all of the following: replacement of a major component (cabinet(s), bathtub, or bathroom tile), relocation of plumbing/gas fixtures/appliances, significant structural alterations (relocating walls, and/or the addition of square footage). This would include a complete gutting and rebuild.

Explanation of Bathroom Count

The number of full and half baths is reported by separating the two values by a period. The full bath is represented to the left of the period. The half bath count is represented to the right of the period. Three-quarter baths are to be counted as a full bath in all cases. Quarter baths (baths that feature only toilet) are not to be included in the bathroom count.

Uniform Appraisal Dataset Definitions File No. 170564WE84

| Abbreviation | | | 1 | | |
|--------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Abbrev. | Full Name | Appropriate Fields | Abbrev. | Full Name | Appropriate Fields |
| С | Acres | Area, Site | in | Interior Only Stairs | Basement & Finished Rooms Below Gra |
| ıdjPrk | Adjacent to Park | Location | Lndfl | Landfill | Location |
| djPwr | Adjacent to Power Lines | Location | LtdSght | Limited Sight | View |
| | Adverse | Location & View | Listing | Listing | Sale or Financing Concessions |
| rmLth | Arms Length Sale | Sale or Financing Concessions | MR | Mid-Rise Structure | Design(Style) |
| T | Attached Structure | Design(Style) | Mtn | Mountain View | View |
| a | Bathroom(s) | Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade | N | Neutral | Location & View |
| r | Bedroom | Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade | NonArm | Non-Arms Length Sale | Sale or Financing Concessions |
| | | | | - | = |
| | Beneficial | Location & View | ор | Open | Garage/Carport |
| BsyRd | Busy Road | Location | 0 | Other | Basement & Finished Rooms Below Gra |
| p | Carport | Garage/Carport | 0 | Other | Design(Style) |
| ash | Cash | Sale or Financing Concessions | Prk | Park View | View |
| tySky | City View Skyline View | View | Pstrl | Pastoral View | View |
| tyStr | City Street View | View | PwrLn | Power Lines | View |
| omm | Commercial Influence | Location | PubTrn | Public Transportation | Location |
| | Contracted Date | Date of Sale/Time | rr | Recreational (Rec) Room | Basement & Finished Rooms Below Gra |
| onv | Conventional | Sale or Financing Concessions | Relo | Relocation Sale | Sale or Financing Concessions |
| | | ē . | | | g . |
| / | Covered | Garage/Carport | REO | REO Sale | Sale or Financing Concessions |
| rtOrd | Court Ordered Sale | Sale or Financing Concessions | Res | Residential | Location & View |
| OM | Days On Market | Data Sources | RT | Row or Townhouse | Design(Style) |
| Т | Detached Structure | Design(Style) | RH | Rural Housing - USDA | Sale or Financing Concessions |
| N | Driveway | Garage/Carport | SD | Semi-detached Structure | Design(Style) |
| state | Estate Sale | Sale or Financing Concessions | S | Settlement Date | Date of Sale/Time |
| | Expiration Date | Date of Sale/Time | Short | Short Sale | Sale or Financing Concessions |
| łΑ | • | | | | |
| H | Federal Housing Authority | Sale or Financing Concessions | sf | Square Feet | Area, Site, Basement |
| | Garage | Garage/Carport | sqm | Square Meters | Area, Site, Basement |
| | Garage - Attached | Garage/Carport | Unk | Unknown | Date of Sale/Time |
| i | Garage - Built-in | Garage/Carport | VA | Veterans Administration | Sale or Financing Concessions |
| | Garage - Detached | Garage/Carport | WO | Walk Out Basement | Basement & Finished Rooms Below Gi |
| 3 | Garden Structure | Design(Style) | wu | Walk Up Basement | Basement & Finished Rooms Below Gr |
| fCse | Golf Course | Location | WtrFr | Water Frontage | Location |
| fvw | Golf Course View | View | | Water View | View |
| | | | Wtr | | |
| R | High Rise Structure | Design(Style) | W | Withdrawn Date | Date of Sale/Time |
| nd | Industrial | Location & View | Woods | Woods View | View |
| Abbrev. | raiser-Defined Abbrev Full Name | viations Appropriate Fields | Abbrev. | Full Name | Appropriate Fields |
| | | I | Abbrev. | Full Name | Appropriate Fields |
| | | I | Abbrev. | Full Name | Appropriate Fields |
| | | I | Abbrev. | Full Name | Appropriate Fields |
| | | I | Abbrev. | Full Name | Appropriate Fields |
| | | I | Abbrev. | Full Name | Appropriate Fields |
| | | I | Abbrev. | Full Name | Appropriate Fields |
| | | I | Abbrev. | Full Name | Appropriate Fields |
| | | I | Abbrev. | Full Name | Appropriate Fields |
| | | I | Abbrev. | Full Name | Appropriate Fields |
| | | I | Abbrev. | Full Name | Appropriate Fields |
| | | I | Abbrev. | Full Name | Appropriate Fields |
| | | I | Abbrev. | Full Name | Appropriate Fields |
| | | I | Abbrev. | Full Name | Appropriate Fields |
| | | I | Abbrev. | Full Name | Appropriate Fields |
| | | I | Abbrev. | Full Name | Appropriate Fields |
| | | I | Abbrev. | Full Name | Appropriate Fields |
| | | I | Abbrev. | Full Name | Appropriate Fields |
| | | I | Abbrev. | Full Name | Appropriate Fields |
| | | I | Abbrev. | Full Name | Appropriate Fields |
| | | I | Abbrev. | Full Name | Appropriate Fields |
| | | I | Abbrev. | Full Name | Appropriate Fields |
| | | I | Abbrev. | Full Name | Appropriate Fields |
| | | I | Abbrev. | Full Name | Appropriate Fields |
| | | I | Abbrev. | Full Name | Appropriate Fields |
| | | I | Abbrev. | Full Name | Appropriate Fields |
| | | I | Abbrev. | Full Name | Appropriate Fields |

ADDENDUM

| Borrower: Rudalev MI II | | File No.: 170564WE84 |
|----------------------------------|-----------|----------------------|
| Property Address: 6427 Weddel St | | Case No.: |
| City: Taylor | State: MI | Zip: 48180 |
| Lender: Colony American Finance | | |

Comments on Sales Comparison

Comparable Search and ResultsSee Attached Addendum

The appraiser has attempted to display comparable sales that closed within the past 90 days, within one mile, bracketing GLA and room count, within 20% of the predominate for the neighborhood market, and similar in style of living to the subject. All available comparables have been reviewed and reconciled within the researched range listed at the top of page #2 of the report. The comparable properties selected are considered the best available and most comparable, therefore included and reconciled within this appraisal report. All adjustments made for comparable dissimilarities are market derived according to FNMA guidelines. Comparable adjustments are made as warranted when market research deems verifiable, justifiable and credible.

The sales and active sales utilized in this report are located within the same area as the subject and are considered comparable in general features such as location, utility, quality of construction and property appeal. All comparables provide a good competitive similarity to the subject and were considered in the value. After a thorough search of the Subject property's general market area, the appraiser utilized similar sales from nearby competing properties to complete this analysis. All comparable sales are similar and proximate. All were considered to be the best sales available at the time of this analysis.

When making comparisons with subject and applying adjustments, only those building, land and location characteristics having a material impact on value are addressed. This appraisal is based on a broad, overall comparison between the subject and the comparable sales.

The comparables used are considered to be the best available and the most representative of the subject's surrounding market.

In view of the above facts including a thorough search of the multiple listing service and with the lack of better market data currently available, this appraiser feels that the most pertinent data was selected for comparative purposes.

Actual concessions noted on the MLS listing ticket were used in this report from the pending date, not the closed date. The dollar amount for decline and list to sale price may have been rounded off. The list to sell ratio was derived from the actual sales used in this report and not taken from the 1004 MC as this data can be skewed with very low and/or very high sales and listings.

The Appraiser is aware of the lenders specific guidelines concerning time and distance of comparable data. Every effort has been made not only to remain compliant with those guidelines, but also to utilize sales that would be considered an equal substitution to the subject property. All relevant sales were carefully analyzed and the closed sales presented in the sales comparison approach to value were determined to be the best available and most representative of the subject. All sales are located on competing street locations within the community and the same school district. All comparables are located in close proximity to the subject, reflecting the typical range for the market area and school district. All comparables sales reflect homes of similar quality, location and square footage.

Differences in gross living area were adjusted at \$20.00 per square foot.

No lot size adjustement was necessary due to front footage having more value then overall lot square footage. Room count adjustments reflect bath variance at the rate of \$4,000 per full bath and \$2,000 per half bath. Differences in number of bedrooms was reflected in the GLA adjustment. When the GLA is the same, more bedrooms usually equates to smaller rooms which offsets the number, no additional adjustments were made for quantity. No adjustment made for style as this is considered an individual buyer preference with no apathy towards subject value or marketability.

Comparable sale #1, closed on 10/2016 and is utilized for it's location, GLA, bedroom & bath count and recent sale date. Comparable sale #2, closed on 10/2016 and is utilized for it's location, age, bedroom & bath count and recent sale date Comparable sale #3, closed on 05/2017 and is utilized for it's location, age, bedroom & full bath count and recent sale. Comparable sale #4, closed on 10/2016 and is utilized for it's location, age, bedroom & bath count and recent sale

Comp #2 was given the most weight due to most similarities overall, low gross & net adjustments.

The appraiser enters a zero (0) in the grid of the Sales Comparison Approach to indicate to the reader that the particular item, which varies from the subject property, has been recognized and that no adjustment is deemed applicable. The differential is considered to be of no value, or may be of an offsetting value with another item, or no adjustment was applicable for reasons otherwise noted.

Adjustments to the comparables on the sales grid were calculated using quantitative data (paired sales) wherever possible. Some features of the subject requiring adjustment were determined using data accumulated from the appraiser's data base, peer discussions, education, and typical market reaction observed through the appraiser's familiarity with the market. While the real estate market typically acknowledges certain adjustments and elements of comparison, the market is not sufficiently refined to suggest the percentages or dollar amounts are precise even though they were treated as such. All adjustments made on the grid are per current & historical market data, buyers reaction to similar amenities, conversations with local real estate agents & by pairing superior & inferior influences studied variations for notable market features.

Exposure Time - A reasonable exposure time for the subject property at the opinion of value indicated is estimated to be 0-180 days and was derived using the current absorption rate along with the days on the market for sales in the area including the sales used in this report.

Extra Comments

Requirements for compliance with Michigan Law and the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP):

In compliance with the law, the following narrative has been prepared by this appraiser to assist the client and intended

ADDENDUM

| Borrower: Rudalev MI II | File | No.: 170564WE84 |
|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Property Address: 6427 Weddel St | Cas | e No.: |
| City: Taylor | State: MI | Zip: 48180 |
| Lender: Colony American Finance | | |

user(s) to understand and properly apply the use of this appraisal report in accordance with its stated intended use to produce a credible appraisal report.

The law dictates that appraisers are required to be licensed and are regulated by the State of Michigan, Department of Licensing and Regulatory Affairs, P.O. Box 30018, Lansing, MI 48909-7504. This appraiser has completed the requirements of prelicensure and continuing education programs and is currently licensed as a Certified Residential Real Estate Appraiser. A copy of this appraiser's license, resume and errors & omissions insurance has been included in this appraisal report.

This appraiser's workfile is available when required by state enforcement agencies or due process of law at appraiser's physical address found on appraiser's license. Appraiser's workfile is available for a period of at least five (5) years after preparation and/or at least two (2) years after final disposition of any judicial proceedings, in which this appraiser provides testimony related to this assignment, whichever period expires last.

This report is subject to the requirements of Title XI of the Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery and Enforcement Act of 1989 (FIRREA) and was performed in accordance to those requirements. This report is also intended to meet the requirements of Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP).

The Form of Certification has been approved by The Appraisal Foundation, located at 1029 Vermont Avenue, N. W., Suite 900, Washington D.C., 20005-3517. The Appraisal Foundation is authorized by Congress as the Source of Appraisal Standards and Appraiser Qualifications. This approval can be found in the Statement on Appraisal Standards Number 8 (SMT-8) on Electronic Transmissions of Reports.

This section regarding signed certification reads as follows:

Any software program used to electronically transfer a report must provide, at minimum, a digital signature security feature for all appraisers signing a report. The appraiser(s) should ensure the signature(s) are protected and that only the appraiser(s) maintain control of the signature. This control may be maintained by password (e.g. PIN numbers), hardware devices (e.g. secure cards) or other means. Electronically affixing a signature to a report carries the same level of authenticity as an ink signature on a paper copy report.

-Appraisal Standards Board, Adopted 07/18/95, Effective 01/01/96

The signatures on this appraisal are digital signatures, which are computer generated and printed on the report. The appraisal was electronically transmitted. This appraiser's signature is secured with a password, which only this appraiser has access to. No unauthorized modifications were made on this appraisal.

Client and Intended User:

Client: The party or parties who engage (by employment or contract), an appraiser in a specific assignment. The client may be an individual, group, or entity, and may engage and communicate with the appraiser directly through an agent. The intended user of this appraisal report is the lender / client. In the case of FHA loans, the client would also be HUD/FHA. No additional intended users are identified by the appraiser. This report contains sufficient information to enable the lender / client to understand the report. Any other party receiving a copy of this report for any reason is not an intended user; nor does receiving a copy of this report result in an appraiser-client relationship. Use of this report by any other party(ies) is not intended by the appraiser. These terms are referenced in this form as appropriate according to the law. These terms may only be extended to the degree that the law will accommodate.

Intended Use:

The intended use is to evaluate the property that is the Subject of this appraisal for a mortgage finance transaction, subject to the stated scope of work, purpose, reporting requirements, and definition of market value.

Type and Definition of Value:

This appraisal report is stating a market value for the Subject Property that is consistent with the requirements of federal law (12 CFR, Part 34) and consistent with the definition given within the FNMA form.

Highest and Best Use (defined):

The reasonably probable and legal use of vacant land or an improved property, which is physically possible, appropriately supported, financially feasible, and that results in the highest value. The four criteria the highest and best use must meet are legal permissibility, physical possibility, financial feasibility, and maximum productivity.

Based on this appraiser's personal knowledge of the area and research that has recently been done for properties in this market, along with this appraiser's first-hand knowledge of Subject Property, this appraiser has determined that the zoning designation as noted reflects its current highest and best use.

Additional Scope of Work:

At the request of the client, this appraisal report has been prepared in compliance with the Uniform Appraisal Dataset (UAD) from Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. The UAD requires the appraiser to use standardized responses that include specific formats, definitions, abbreviations and acronym. This appraiser attempted to obtain an adequate amount of information in the normal course of business regarding the Subject and its comparables. Some of the standardized responses required by the UAD, especially those in which the appraiser has not had the opportunity to verify personally or measure, could mistakenly imply greater precision and reliability in the data than is factually correct or typical in the normal course of business. Examples include condition and quality ratings as well as comparable sales and listing data. Not every element of the Subject Property was viewable and comparable property data was generally obtained from third-party sources including MLS, assessment records, agents, etc.

1a. Inspection (Includes) - This appraiser's inspection of the Subject Property focuses on the following: to confirm the geographic location of the Subject Property; to confirm the approximate square footage of the site and the dwelling as well as attached/detached improvements; to confirm its internal and external amenities; to consider different types of depreciation (when applicable) and their affect (if any) on value and/or marketability; to photograph the Subject Property; to consider the

ADDENDUM

| Borrower: Rudalev MI II | File No. | : 170564WE84 | |
|----------------------------------|-----------|--------------|--|
| Property Address: 6427 Weddel St | Case N | 0.: | |
| City: Taylor | State: MI | Zip: 48180 | |
| Lender: Colony American Finance | | | |

overall quality of construction of the Subject Property's improvements.

- 1b. Inspection (Does Not Include) This appraiser is not qualified to perform an overall structural inspection of the Subject Property. As part of FHA and Conventional inspections, certain tests of the mechanical systems are performed on the Subject Property. The furnace/boiler is checked for warm air/plumbing leaks, the plumbing is checked for water pressure, leaks in the piping and water heater and electrical is checked in all rooms. It is recommended that the client/intended user of this report obtain the services of qualified professionals to perform test above and beyond what this appraiser checks.
- 1c. Inspection (Photographs) This appraiser is required to take photographs of the front, street scene, sides and rear of the Subject Property. In most cases, the client/intended user may require interior photographs for interior/exterior property inspections. Some digital images in this report may be from MLS Image and/or Appraiser's Personal Library. The Subject Property was inspected and photographed on the date indicated. The comparables were also inspected but only from the road, unless otherwise stated.
- 1d. Sources of information (if appropriate) The appraiser has obtained and utilized information from the appropriate township, city or county records. In addition, this appraiser also utilized information from REALCOMP online MLS, BS&A Assessment Website, Access Oakland, Realist and Public Records Data. Information obtained or provided by the aforementioned sources is deemed to be reliable. This appraiser may gather information through the parties of the transaction for the Subject Property and/or comparable(s) if information, is not available by other sources.
- 2. 1 mile rule This appraiser has researched sales within 1 mile of the Subject Property. If a minimum of three comparable sales were not available within one mile, this appraiser then searched beyond one mile. The section of this report called 'Comments on Sales Comparison' will summarize if any comparable(s) exceeds a 1 mile distance from the Subject Property. 3. 6 months rule This appraiser has viewed sales within the past 6 months (based on the 'as of' (effective) date stated within this report). If a minimum of three comparable sales were not found by the initial search, this appraiser then expanded the search criteria up to 12 months and beyond as deemed appropriate. The section of this report called 'Comments on Sales Comparison' will summarize if any comparable(s) exceeds 6 months old (based on the 'as of' (effective) date stated within this report).
- 4. Title issues this appraiser is typically not provided with a copy of a title report or commitment of title insurance; therefore, the appraiser has relied upon information available via the multi-list, BS&A on-line data services, Access Oakland, and the city/township assessor to confirm the owner of the property. This appraiser is not a qualified title examiner; therefore, it is recommended that the client/intended user obtain proper title information from a qualified title expert or title company as to the identification of the owner of record.
- 5. The appraiser reserves the right to inform any future potential client of any prior assignment or services regarding the Subject Property, or any part thereof, in accordance with the provisions required within the Ethics Section of USPAP 2010-11. Such notification will be of the fact that a previous assignment was preformed within the past 36 months. It shall not includespecifics or details of the analysis or the results of any conclusions drawn from such analysis. This appraiser has prepared this appraisal in full compliance with the Dodd-Frank non-influence code and has not performed, participated in, or been associated with any activity in violation of the Code.

SUBJECT PROPERTY PHOTO ADDENDUM

| Borrower: Rudalev MI II | File N | 0.: 170564WE84 |
|----------------------------------|-----------|----------------|
| Property Address: 6427 Weddel St | Case | No.: |
| City: Taylor | State: MI | Zip: 48180 |
| Lender: Colony American Finance | | 7 |



FRONT VIEW OF SUBJECT PROPERTY

Appraised Date: June 19, 2017 Appraised Value: \$70,000



REAR VIEW OF SUBJECT PROPERTY



STREET SCENE

 Borrower: Rudalev MI II
 File No.: 170564WE84

 Property Address: 6427 Weddel St
 Case No.: Taylor

 City: Taylor
 State: MI
 Zip: 48180

 Lender: Colony American Finance
 Taylor
 Taylor





Side View Side View





Address verification Across the Street view





Street Scene other Direction
The subject is on the Right side in the photo

Garage

Borrower: Rudalev MI II File No.: 170564WE84

Property Address: 6427 Weddel St Case No.:

City: Taylor State: MI Zip: 48180

Lender: Colony American Finance





Living Room Bedroom





Bathroom Bedroom





Kitchen Bedroom

| Borrower: Rudalev MI II | | File No.: 170564WE84 |
|----------------------------------|-----------|----------------------|
| Property Address: 6427 Weddel St | | Case No.: |
| City: Taylor | State: MI | Zip: 48180 |
| Lender: Colony American Finance | | · |







Basement Laundry Area

Basement Half Bath





Mechanicals



COMPARABLE PROPERTY PHOTO ADDENDUM

| Borrower: Rudalev MI II | File N | 0.: 170564WE84 |
|----------------------------------|-----------|----------------|
| Property Address: 6427 Weddel St | Case | No.: |
| City: Taylor | State: MI | Zip: 48180 |
| Lender: Colony American Finance | | |



COMPARABLE SALE #1

6880 Jackson St Taylor, MI 48180 Sale Date: \$10/16;c10/16 Sale Price: \$ 76,000



COMPARABLE SALE #2

6481 Weddel St Taylor, MI 48180 Sale Date: \$10/16;c10/16 Sale Price: \$ 75,000



COMPARABLE SALE #3

6730 Pelham Rd Taylor, MI 48180 Sale Date: s05/17;c05/17 Sale Price: \$ 59,864

COMPARABLE PROPERTY PHOTO ADDENDUM

| Borrower: Rudalev MI II | File N | File No.: 170564WE84 | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------|----------------------|--|--|
| Property Address: 6427 Weddel St | Case | Case No.: | | |
| City: Taylor | State: MI | Zip: 48180 | | |
| Lender: Colony American Finance | | | | |



COMPARABLE SALE #4

6750 Mayfair St Taylor, MI 48180 Sale Date: \$10/16;c10/16 Sale Price: \$ 74,900



COMPARABLE SALE #5


6458 Weddel St Taylor, MI 48180 Sale Date: Active Sale Price: \$ 74,500


COMPARABLE SALE #6

Sale Date: Sale Price: \$

FLOORPLAN SKETCH

| Borrower: Rudalev MI II | File No.: 170564WE84 | | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|------------|--|
| Property Address: 6427 Weddel St | Case | Case No.: | |
| City: Taylor | State: MI | Zip: 48180 | |
| Lender: Colony American Finance | | | |






28ft Bedroom

Second Floor

8 ft 728.00 ft² Garage 324.80 ft² 1053 ft² Total Non-Living Area (rounded):


Total Living Area (rounded):

LOCATION MAP



AERIAL MAP

Borrower: Rudalev MI II
Property Address: 6427 Weddel St
City: Taylor
Lender: Colony American Finance File No.: 170564WE84 Case No.: State: MI Zip: 48180



File #ANS-221398

Market Conditions Addendum to the Appraisal Report File No. 170564WE84

| Borrower Rudalev MI II Instructions: The appraiser must use the information required on this form as the basis for his/her conclusions, and must provide support for those conclusions, regarding hoverall market conditions as reported in the Neighborhood section of the appraisal report form. The appraiser must fill in all the information to the extent it is available and reliable analysis as indicated below. If any required data is unavailable or is considered unreliable, the appraiser must provide an explanation. It is recognized that not all data source provide data for the shaded areas below; if it is available, however, the appraiser must include the data in the analysis. If data sources provide the required information as an aver | 3180 | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Instructions: The appraiser must use the information required on this form as the basis for his/her conclusions, and must provide support for those conclusions, regarding h overall market conditions as reported in the Neighborhood section of the appraisal report form. The appraiser must fill in all the information to the extent it is available and reliable analysis as indicated below. If any required data is unavailable or is considered unreliable, the appraiser must provide an explanation. It is recognized that not all data source provide data for the shaded areas below; if it is available, however, the appraiser must include the data in the analysis. If data sources provide the required information as an aver median, the appraiser should report the available figure and identify it as an average. Sales and listings must be properties that compete with the subject property, determined by a that would be used by a prospective buyer of the subject property. The appraiser must explain any anomalies in the data, such as seasonal markets, new construction, forecld inventory Analysis Prior 7-12 Months Prior 4-6 Months Current - 3 Months Overall Trend | 3180 | | | | | | | |
| Instructions: The appraiser must use the information required on this form as the basis for his/her conclusions, and must provide support for those conclusions, regarding hoverall market conditions as reported in the Neighborhood section of the appraisal report form. The appraiser must fill in all the information to the extent it is available and reliable analysis as indicated below. If any required data is unavailable or is considered unreliable, the appraiser must provide an explanation. It is recognized that not all data source provide data for the shaded areas below; if it is available, however, the appraiser must include the data in the analysis. If data sources provide the required information as an aver median, the appraiser should report the available figure and identify it as an average. Sales and listings must be properties that compete with the subject property, determined by a that would be used by a prospective buyer of the subject property. The appraiser must explain any anomalies in the data, such as seasonal markets, new construction, forecld inventory Analysis Prior 7-12 Months Prior 4-6 Months Current - 3 Months Overall Trend | | | | | | | | |
| overall market conditions as reported in the Neighborhood section of the appraisal report form. The appraiser must fill in all the information to the extent it is available and reliable analysis as indicated below. If any required data is unavailable or is considered unreliable, the appraiser must provide an explanation. It is recognized that not all data source provide data for the shaded areas below; if it is available, however, the appraiser must include the data in the analysis. If data sources provide the required information as an aver median, the appraiser should report the available figure and identify it as an average. Sales and listings must be properties that compete with the subject property, determined by a that would be used by a prospective buyer of the subject property. The appraiser must explain any anomalies in the data, such as seasonal markets, new construction, forecld inventory Analysis Prior 7-12 Months Prior 4-6 Months Current - 3 Months Overall Trend | Borrower Rudalev MI II | | | | | | | |
| analysis as indicated below. If any required data is unavailable or is considered unreliable, the appraiser must provide an explanation. It is recognized that not all data source provide data for the shaded areas below; if it is available, however, the appraiser must include the data in the analysis. If data sources provide the required information as an aver median, the appraiser should report the available figure and identify it as an average. Sales and listings must be properties that compete with the subject property, determined by a that would be used by a prospective buyer of the subject property. The appraiser must explain any anomalies in the data, such as seasonal markets, new construction, forecld inventory Analysis Prior 7-12 Months Prior 4-6 Months Current - 3 Months Overall Trend | Instructions: The appraiser must use the information required on this form as the basis for his/her conclusions, and must provide support for those conclusions, regarding housing trends and | | | | | | | |
| provide data for the shaded areas below; if it is available, however, the appraiser must include the data in the analysis. If data sources provide the required information as an aver median, the appraiser should report the available figure and identify it as an average. Sales and listings must be properties that compete with the subject property, determined by a that would be used by a prospective buyer of the subject property. The appraiser must explain any anomalies in the data, such as seasonal markets, new construction, forecld inventory Analysis Prior 7-12 Months Prior 4-6 Months Current - 3 Months Overall Trend | overall market conditions as reported in the Neighborhood section of the appraisal report form. The appraiser must fill in all the information to the extent it is available and reliable and must provide | | | | | | | |
| median, the appraiser should report the available figure and identify it as an average. Sales and listings must be properties that compete with the subject property, determined by a that would be used by a prospective buyer of the subject property. The appraiser must explain any anomalies in the data, such as seasonal markets, new construction, forecld Inventory Analysis Prior 7-12 Months Prior 4-6 Months Current - 3 Months Overall Trend | analysis as indicated below. If any required data is unavailable or is considered unreliable, the appraiser must provide an explanation. It is recognized that not all data sources will be able to | | | | | | | |
| that would be used by a prospective buyer of the subject property. The appraiser must explain any anomalies in the data, such as seasonal markets, new construction, forecld Inventory Analysis Prior 7-12 Months Prior 4-6 Months Current - 3 Months Overall Trend | provide data for the shaded areas below; if it is available, however, the appraiser must include the data in the analysis. If data sources provide the required information as an average instead of the | | | | | | | |
| that would be used by a prospective buyer of the subject property. The appraiser must explain any anomalies in the data, such as seasonal markets, new construction, forecld Inventory Analysis Prior 7-12 Months Prior 4-6 Months Current - 3 Months Overall Trend | median, the appraiser should report the available figure and identify it as an average. Sales and listings must be properties that compete with the subject property, determined by applying the criteria | | | | | | | |
| Inventory Analysis Prior 7-12 Months Prior 4-6 Months Current - 3 Months Overall Trend | | | | | | | | |
| Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) 4 2 2 Increasing IX Stable | | | | | | | | |
| | Declining | | | | | | | |
| Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) 0.7 0.7 Increasing X Stable | Declining | | | | | | | |
| Total # of Comparable Active Listings 2 1 1 Declining X Stable | Increasing | | | | | | | |
| Months of Housing Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) 2.9 1.4 Declining | Increasing | | | | | | | |
| Median Sale & List Price, DOM, Sale/List % Prior 7-12 Months Prior 4-6 Months Current - 3 Months Overall Trend | | | | | | | | |
| | Declining | | | | | | | |
| Median Comparable Sale Price75,50068,75072,432IncreasingXStableMedian Comparable Sales Days on Market62211DecliningXStable | Increasing | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | Declining | | | | | | | |
| Median Comparable Listings Days on Market 64 64 26 Declining X Stable | Increasing | | | | | | | |
| Median Sale Price as % of List Price 93.0% 99.0% Increasing X Stable | Declining | | | | | | | |
| Seller-(developer, builder, etc.)paid financial assistance prevalent? X Yes No Declining X Stable | Increasing | | | | | | | |
| Explain in detail the seller concessions trends for the past 12 months (e.g., seller contributions increased from 3% to 5%, increasing use of buydowns, closing costs, condo fe | es, options, etc.). | | | | | | | |
| Sellers are offering sales or financing concessions up to 6%. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the market? Yes X No If yes, explain (including the trends in listings and sales of foreclosed properties). | | | | | | | | |
| , | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| City data assume for all and information. MLC 9 DDD | | | | | | | | |
| Cite data sources for above information. MLS & PRD. | | | | | | | | |
| and add sociological monitoring in the second secon | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in the Neighborhood section of the appraisal report form. If you used any additional information, such as an analysis of | | | | | | | |
| Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in the Neighborhood section of the appraisal report form. If you used any additional information, such | as an analysis of | | | | | | | |
| pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate your conclusions, provide both an explanation and support for your conclusions. | - | | | | | | | |
| | - | | | | | | | |
| pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate your conclusions, provide both an explanation and support for your conclusions. | This does | | | | | | | |
| pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate your conclusions, provide both an explanation and support for your conclusions. In subject's marketing area, there appears to be a normal amount of properties listed for sale on the multiple listing service. | This does ternal | | | | | | | |
| pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate your conclusions, provide both an explanation and support for your conclusions. In subject's marketing area, there appears to be a normal amount of properties listed for sale on the multiple listing service. not appear to have an adverse affect on value. A slow real estate market and high foreclosure rates in Metro Detroit were exfactors that are not presently affecting value or marketability at the time this appraisal was conducted. There appears to be | This does ternal a very | | | | | | | |
| pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate your conclusions, provide both an explanation and support for your conclusions. In subject's marketing area, there appears to be a normal amount of properties listed for sale on the multiple listing service. not appear to have an adverse affect on value. A slow real estate market and high foreclosure rates in Metro Detroit were exfactors that are not presently affecting value or marketability at the time this appraisal was conducted. There appears to be limited amount of REO properties listed for sale, REO properties are not driving the market in this area. This does not appear | This does ternal a very ar to have | | | | | | | |
| pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate your conclusions, provide both an explanation and support for your conclusions. In subject's marketing area, there appears to be a normal amount of properties listed for sale on the multiple listing service. not appear to have an adverse affect on value. A slow real estate market and high foreclosure rates in Metro Detroit were exfactors that are not presently affecting value or marketability at the time this appraisal was conducted. There appears to be limited amount of REO properties listed for sale, REO properties are not driving the market in this area. This does not appear an adverse affect on value which does indicate an area of stable values. Financing guidelines appear to be tightening in this | This does ternal a very ar to have market | | | | | | | |
| pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate your conclusions, provide both an explanation and support for your conclusions. In subject's marketing area, there appears to be a normal amount of properties listed for sale on the multiple listing service. not appear to have an adverse affect on value. A slow real estate market and high foreclosure rates in Metro Detroit were exfactors that are not presently affecting value or marketability at the time this appraisal was conducted. There appears to be a limited amount of REO properties listed for sale, REO properties are not driving the market in this area. This does not appear an adverse affect on value which does indicate an area of stable values. Financing guidelines appear to be tightening in this with lenders resricting the guidelines for obtaining credit. Multi-list indicates a list/sale/ratio of 85-105% and typical marketing. | This does ternal a very ar to have market | | | | | | | |
| pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate your conclusions, provide both an explanation and support for your conclusions. In subject's marketing area, there appears to be a normal amount of properties listed for sale on the multiple listing service. not appear to have an adverse affect on value. A slow real estate market and high foreclosure rates in Metro Detroit were exfactors that are not presently affecting value or marketability at the time this appraisal was conducted. There appears to be limited amount of REO properties listed for sale, REO properties are not driving the market in this area. This does not appear an adverse affect on value which does indicate an area of stable values. Financing guidelines appear to be tightening in this | This does ternal a very ar to have market | | | | | | | |
| pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate your conclusions, provide both an explanation and support for your conclusions. In subject's marketing area, there appears to be a normal amount of properties listed for sale on the multiple listing service. not appear to have an adverse affect on value. A slow real estate market and high foreclosure rates in Metro Detroit were exfactors that are not presently affecting value or marketability at the time this appraisal was conducted. There appears to be a limited amount of REO properties listed for sale, REO properties are not driving the market in this area. This does not appear an adverse affect on value which does indicate an area of stable values. Financing guidelines appear to be tightening in this with lenders resricting the guidelines for obtaining credit. Multi-list indicates a list/sale/ratio of 85-105% and typical marketing 0-181 days. With this market, sellers are offering sales or financing concessions. | This does ternal a very ar to have market | | | | | | | |
| pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate your conclusions, provide both an explanation and support for your conclusions. In subject's marketing area, there appears to be a normal amount of properties listed for sale on the multiple listing service. not appear to have an adverse affect on value. A slow real estate market and high foreclosure rates in Metro Detroit were exfactors that are not presently affecting value or marketability at the time this appraisal was conducted. There appears to be a limited amount of REO properties listed for sale, REO properties are not driving the market in this area. This does not appear an adverse affect on value which does indicate an area of stable values. Financing guidelines appear to be tightening in this with lenders restricting the guidelines for obtaining credit. Multi-list indicates a list/sale/ratio of 85-105% and typical marketing 0-181 days. With this market, sellers are offering sales or financing concessions. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the following: Project Name: | This does ternal a very ar to have market | | | | | | | |
| pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate your conclusions, provide both an explanation and support for your conclusions. In subject's marketing area, there appears to be a normal amount of properties listed for sale on the multiple listing service. not appear to have an adverse affect on value. A slow real estate market and high foreclosure rates in Metro Detroit were exfactors that are not presently affecting value or marketability at the time this appraisal was conducted. There appears to be a limited amount of REO properties listed for sale, REO properties are not driving the market in this area. This does not appear an adverse affect on value which does indicate an area of stable values. Financing guidelines appear to be tightening in this with lenders restricting the guidelines for obtaining credit. Multi-list indicates a list/sale/ratio of 85-105% and typical marketing 0-181 days. With this market, sellers are offering sales or financing concessions. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the following: Project Name: Subject Project Data Prior 7-12 Months Prior 4-6 Months Current - 3 Months Overall Trend | This does ternal a very ar to have market ng times of | | | | | | | |
| pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate your conclusions, provide both an explanation and support for your conclusions. In subject's marketing area, there appears to be a normal amount of properties listed for sale on the multiple listing service. not appear to have an adverse affect on value. A slow real estate market and high foreclosure rates in Metro Detroit were exfactors that are not presently affecting value or marketability at the time this appraisal was conducted. There appears to be limited amount of REO properties listed for sale, REO properties are not driving the market in this area. This does not appear an adverse affect on value which does indicate an area of stable values. Financing guidelines appear to be tightening in this with lenders resricting the guidelines for obtaining credit. Multi-list indicates a list/sale/ratio of 85-105% and typical marketing 0-181 days. With this market, sellers are offering sales or financing concessions. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the following: Project Name: Subject Project Data Prior 7-12 Months Prior 4-6 Months Current - 3 Months Overall Trend Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Increasing Stable | This does ternal a very ar to have market ng times of | | | | | | | |
| pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate your conclusions, provide both an explanation and support for your conclusions. In subject's marketing area, there appears to be a normal amount of properties listed for sale on the multiple listing service. not appear to have an adverse affect on value. A slow real estate market and high foreclosure rates in Metro Detroit were exfactors that are not presently affecting value or marketability at the time this appraisal was conducted. There appears to be limited amount of REO properties listed for sale, REO properties are not driving the market in this area. This does not appear an adverse affect on value which does indicate an area of stable values. Financing guidelines appear to be tightening in this with lenders resricting the guidelines for obtaining credit. Multi-list indicates a list/sale/ratio of 85-105% and typical marketing 0-181 days. With this market, sellers are offering sales or financing concessions. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the following: Project Name: Subject Project Data Prior 7-12 Months Prior 4-6 Months Current - 3 Months Overall Trend Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Increasing Stable | This does ternal a very ar to have market ng times of Declining Declining | | | | | | | |
| pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate your conclusions, provide both an explanation and support for your conclusions. In subject's marketing area, there appears to be a normal amount of properties listed for sale on the multiple listing service. not appear to have an adverse affect on value. A slow real estate market and high foreclosure rates in Metro Detroit were exfactors that are not presently affecting value or marketability at the time this appraisal was conducted. There appears to be limited amount of REO properties listed for sale, REO properties are not driving the market in this area. This does not appear an adverse affect on value which does indicate an area of stable values. Financing guidelines appear to be tightening in this with lenders resricting the guidelines for obtaining credit. Multi-list indicates a list/sale/ratio of 85-105% and typical marketing. O-181 days. With this market, sellers are offering sales or financing concessions. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the following: Subject Project Data Prior 7-12 Months Prior 4-6 Months Current - 3 Months Overall Trend Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Stable Total # of Active Comparable Listings | This does ternal a very ar to have market ng times of Declining Declining Increasing | | | | | | | |
| pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate your conclusions, provide both an explanation and support for your conclusions. In subject's marketing area, there appears to be a normal amount of properties listed for sale on the multiple listing service. not appear to have an adverse affect on value. A slow real estate market and high foreclosure rates in Metro Detroit were exfactors that are not presently affecting value or marketability at the time this appraisal was conducted. There appears to be limited amount of REO properties listed for sale, REO properties are not driving the market in this area. This does not appear an adverse affect on value which does indicate an area of stable values. Financing guidelines appear to be tightening in this with lenders restricting the guidelines for obtaining credit. Multi-list indicates a list/sale/ratio of 85-105% and typical marketing 0-181 days. With this market, sellers are offering sales or financing concessions. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the following: Subject Project Data Prior 7-12 Months Prior 4-6 Months Current - 3 Months Overall Trend Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Declining Stable Declining Stable | This does ternal a very ar to have market ng times of Declining Declining Increasing Increasing | | | | | | | |
| pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate your conclusions, provide both an explanation and support for your conclusions. In subject's marketing area, there appears to be a normal amount of properties listed for sale on the multiple listing service. not appear to have an adverse affect on value. A slow real estate market and high foreclosure rates in Metro Detroit were exfactors that are not presently affecting value or marketability at the time this appraisal was conducted. There appears to be limited amount of REO properties listed for sale, REO properties are not driving the market in this area. This does not appear an adverse affect on value which does indicate an area of stable values. Financing guidelines appear to be tightening in this with lenders resricting the guidelines for obtaining credit. Multi-list indicates a list/sale/ratio of 85-105% and typical marketing. O-181 days. With this market, sellers are offering sales or financing concessions. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the following: Subject Project Data Prior 7-12 Months Prior 4-6 Months Current - 3 Months Overall Trend Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Stable Total # of Active Comparable Listings | This does ternal a very ar to have market ng times of Declining Declining Increasing Increasing | | | | | | | |
| pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate your conclusions, provide both an explanation and support for your conclusions. In subject's marketing area, there appears to be a normal amount of properties listed for sale on the multiple listing service. not appear to have an adverse affect on value. A slow real estate market and high foreclosure rates in Metro Detroit were exfactors that are not presently affecting value or marketability at the time this appraisal was conducted. There appears to be limited amount of REO properties listed for sale, REO properties are not driving the market in this area. This does not appear an adverse affect on value which does indicate an area of stable values. Financing guidelines appear to be tightening in this with lenders restricting the guidelines for obtaining credit. Multi-list indicates a list/sale/ratio of 85-105% and typical marketing 0-181 days. With this market, sellers are offering sales or financing concessions. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the following: Subject Project Data Prior 7-12 Months Prior 4-6 Months Current - 3 Months Overall Trend Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Declining Stable Declining Stable | This does ternal a very ar to have market ng times of Declining Declining Increasing Increasing | | | | | | | |
| pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate your conclusions, provide both an explanation and support for your conclusions. In subject's marketing area, there appears to be a normal amount of properties listed for sale on the multiple listing service. not appear to have an adverse affect on value. A slow real estate market and high foreclosure rates in Metro Detroit were exfactors that are not presently affecting value or marketability at the time this appraisal was conducted. There appears to be limited amount of REO properties listed for sale, REO properties are not driving the market in this area. This does not appear an adverse affect on value which does indicate an area of stable values. Financing guidelines appear to be tightening in this with lenders restricting the guidelines for obtaining credit. Multi-list indicates a list/sale/ratio of 85-105% and typical marketing 0-181 days. With this market, sellers are offering sales or financing concessions. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the following: Subject Project Data Prior 7-12 Months Prior 4-6 Months Current - 3 Months Overall Trend Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Declining Stable Declining Stable | This does ternal a very ar to have market ng times of Declining Declining Increasing Increasing | | | | | | | |
| pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate your conclusions, provide both an explanation and support for your conclusions. In subject's marketing area, there appears to be a normal amount of properties listed for sale on the multiple listing service. not appear to have an adverse affect on value. A slow real estate market and high foreclosure rates in Metro Detroit were exfactors that are not presently affecting value or marketability at the time this appraisal was conducted. There appears to be limited amount of REO properties listed for sale, REO properties are not driving the market in this area. This does not appear an adverse affect on value which does indicate an area of stable values. Financing guidelines appear to be tightening in this with lenders restricting the guidelines for obtaining credit. Multi-list indicates a list/sale/ratio of 85-105% and typical marketing 0-181 days. With this market, sellers are offering sales or financing concessions. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the following: Subject Project Data Prior 7-12 Months Prior 4-6 Months Current - 3 Months Overall Trend Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Increasing Stable Declining Stable Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Declining Stable | This does ternal a very ar to have market ng times of Declining Declining Increasing Increasing | | | | | | | |
| pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate your conclusions, provide both an explanation and support for your conclusions. In subject's marketing area, there appears to be a normal amount of properties listed for sale on the multiple listing service. not appear to have an adverse affect on value. A slow real estate market and high foreclosure rates in Metro Detroit were exfactors that are not presently affecting value or marketability at the time this appraisal was conducted. There appears to be limited amount of REO properties listed for sale, REO properties are not driving the market in this area. This does not appear an adverse affect on value which does indicate an area of stable values. Financing guidelines appear to be tightening in this with lenders restricting the guidelines for obtaining credit. Multi-list indicates a list/sale/ratio of 85-105% and typical marketing 0-181 days. With this market, sellers are offering sales or financing concessions. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the following: Subject Project Data Prior 7-12 Months Prior 4-6 Months Current - 3 Months Overall Trend Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Increasing Stable Declining Stable Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Declining Stable | This does ternal a very ar to have market ng times of Declining Declining Increasing Increasing | | | | | | | |
| pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate your conclusions, provide both an explanation and support for your conclusions. In subject's marketing area, there appears to be a normal amount of properties listed for sale on the multiple listing service. not appear to have an adverse affect on value. A slow real estate market and high foreclosure rates in Metro Detroit were exfactors that are not presently affecting value or marketability at the time this appraisal was conducted. There appears to be limited amount of REO properties listed for sale, REO properties are not driving the market in this area. This does not appear an adverse affect on value which does indicate an area of stable values. Financing guidelines appear to be tightening in this with lenders restricting the guidelines for obtaining credit. Multi-list indicates a list/sale/ratio of 85-105% and typical marketing 0-181 days. With this market, sellers are offering sales or financing concessions. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the following: Subject Project Data Prior 7-12 Months Prior 4-6 Months Current - 3 Months Overall Trend Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Declining Stable Declining Stable | This does ternal a very ar to have market ng times of Declining Declining Increasing Increasing | | | | | | | |
| pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate your conclusions, provide both an explanation and support for your conclusions. In subject's marketing area, there appears to be a normal amount of properties listed for sale on the multiple listing service. not appear to have an adverse affect on value. A slow real estate market and high foreclosure rates in Metro Detroit were exfactors that are not presently affecting value or marketability at the time this appraisal was conducted. There appears to be limited amount of REO properties listed for sale, REO properties are not driving the market in this area. This does not appear an adverse affect on value which does indicate an area of stable values. Financing guidelines appear to be tightening in this with lenders restricting the guidelines for obtaining credit. Multi-list indicates a list/sale/ratio of 85-105% and typical marketing 0-181 days. With this market, sellers are offering sales or financing concessions. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the following: Subject Project Data Prior 7-12 Months Prior 4-6 Months Current - 3 Months Overall Trend Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Declining Stable Declining Stable | This does ternal a very ar to have market ng times of Declining Declining Increasing Increasing | | | | | | | |
| pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate your conclusions, provide both an explanation and support for your conclusions. In subject's marketing area, there appears to be a normal amount of properties listed for sale on the multiple listing service. not appear to have an adverse affect on value. A slow real estate market and high foreclosure rates in Metro Detroit were exfactors that are not presently affecting value or marketability at the time this appraisal was conducted. There appears to be limited amount of REO properties listed for sale, REO properties are not driving the market in this area. This does not appear an adverse affect on value which does indicate an area of stable values. Financing guidelines appear to be tightening in this with lenders restricting the guidelines for obtaining credit. Multi-list indicates a list/sale/ratio of 85-105% and typical marketing 0-181 days. With this market, sellers are offering sales or financing concessions. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the following: Subject Project Data Prior 7-12 Months Prior 4-6 Months Current - 3 Months Overall Trend Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Declining Stable Declining Stable | This does ternal a very ar to have market ng times of Declining Declining Increasing Increasing | | | | | | | |
| pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate your conclusions, provide both an explanation and support for your conclusions. In subject's marketing area, there appears to be a normal amount of properties listed for sale on the multiple listing service. not appear to have an adverse affect on value. A slow real estate market and high foreclosure rates in Metro Detroit were explanation and support for your conclusions. In subject's marketing area, there appears to be a normal amount of properties listed for sale on the multiple listing service. not appear to have an adverse affect on value. A slow real estate market and high foreclosure rates in Metro Detroit were explanation and support for your conclusions. In subject to have an adverse affect on value an adverse affect on value and adverse affect on value or marketability at the time this appraisal was conducted. There appears to be find the properties listed for sale, REO properties are not driving the market in this area. This does not appear an adverse affect on value which does indicate an area of stable values. Financing guidelines appear to be tightening in this with lenders restricting the guidelines for obtaining credit. Multi-list indicates a list/sale/ratio of 85-105% and typical marketing on 185-105% and typical marketing o | This does ternal a very ar to have market ng times of Declining Declining Increasing Increasing | | | | | | | |
| pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate your conclusions, provide both an explanation and support for your conclusions. In subject's marketing area, there appears to be a normal amount of properties listed for sale on the multiple listing service. not appear to have an adverse affect on value. A slow real estate market and high foreclosure rates in Metro Detroit were exfactors that are not presently affecting value or marketability at the time this appraisal was conducted. There appears to be limited amount of REO properties listed for sale, REO properties are not driving the market in this area. This does not appear an adverse affect on value which does indicate an area of stable values. Financing guidelines appear to be tightening in this with lenders restricting the guidelines for obtaining credit. Multi-list indicates a list/sale/ratio of 85-105% and typical marketing 0-181 days. With this market, sellers are offering sales or financing concessions. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the following: Subject Project Data Prior 7-12 Months Prior 4-6 Months Current - 3 Months Overall Trend Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Declining Stable Declining Stable | This does ternal a very ar to have market ng times of Declining Declining Increasing Increasing | | | | | | | |
| pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate your conclusions, provide both an explanation and support for your conclusions. In subject's marketing area, there appears to be a normal amount of properties listed for sale on the multiple listing service. not appear to have an adverse affect on value. A slow real estate market and high foreclosure rates in Metro Detroit were explanation and support for your conclusions. In subject's marketing area, there appears to be a normal amount of properties listed for sale on the multiple listing service. not appear to have an adverse affect on value. A slow real estate market and high foreclosure rates in Metro Detroit were explanation and support for your conclusions. In subject to have an adverse affect on value an adverse affect on value and adverse affect on value or marketability at the time this appraisal was conducted. There appears to be find the properties listed for sale, REO properties are not driving the market in this area. This does not appear an adverse affect on value which does indicate an area of stable values. Financing guidelines appear to be tightening in this with lenders restricting the guidelines for obtaining credit. Multi-list indicates a list/sale/ratio of 85-105% and typical marketing on 185-105% and typical marketing o | This does ternal a very ar to have market ng times of Declining Declining Increasing Increasing | | | | | | | |
| pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate your conclusions, provide both an explanation and support for your conclusions. In subject's marketing area, there appears to be a normal amount of properties listed for sale on the multiple listing service. not appear to have an adverse affect on value. A slow real estate market and high foreclosure rates in Metro Detroit were explanation and support for your conclusions. In subject's marketing area, there appears to be a normal amount of properties listed for sale on the multiple listing service. not appear to have an adverse affect on value. A slow real estate market and high foreclosure rates in Metro Detroit were explanation and support for your conclusions. In subject to have an adverse affect on value an adverse affect on value and adverse affect on value or marketability at the time this appraisal was conducted. There appears to be find the properties listed for sale, REO properties are not driving the market in this area. This does not appear an adverse affect on value which does indicate an area of stable values. Financing guidelines appear to be tightening in this with lenders restricting the guidelines for obtaining credit. Multi-list indicates a list/sale/ratio of 85-105% and typical marketing on 185-105% and typical marketing o | This does ternal a very ar to have market ng times of Declining Declining Increasing Increasing | | | | | | | |
| pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate your conclusions, provide both an explanation and support for your conclusions. In subject's marketing area, there appears to be a normal amount of properties listed for sale on the multiple listing service. not appear to have an adverse affect on value. A slow real estate market and high foreclosure rates in Metro Detroit were explanation and support for your conclusions. In subject's marketing area, there appears to be a normal amount of properties listed for sale on the multiple listing service. not appear to have an adverse affect on value. A slow real estate market and high foreclosure rates in Metro Detroit were explanation and support for your conclusions. In subject to have an adverse affect on value an adverse affect on value and adverse affect on value or marketability at the time this appraisal was conducted. There appears to be find the properties listed for sale, REO properties are not driving the market in this area. This does not appear an adverse affect on value which does indicate an area of stable values. Financing guidelines appear to be tightening in this with lenders restricting the guidelines for obtaining credit. Multi-list indicates a list/sale/ratio of 85-105% and typical marketing on 185-105% and typical marketing o | This does ternal a very ar to have market ng times of Declining Declining Increasing Increasing | | | | | | | |
| pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate your conclusions, provide both an explanation and support for your conclusions. In subject's marketing area, there appears to be a normal amount of properties listed for sale on the multiple listing service. not appear to have an adverse affect on value. A slow real estate market and high foreclosure rates in Metro Detroit were explanation and support for your conclusions. In subject's marketing area, there appears to be a normal amount of properties listed for sale on the multiple listing service. not appear to have an adverse affect on value. A slow real estate market and high foreclosure rates in Metro Detroit were explanation and support for your conclusions. In subject to have an adverse affect on value an adverse affect on value and adverse affect on value or marketability at the time this appraisal was conducted. There appears to be find the properties listed for sale, REO properties are not driving the market in this area. This does not appear an adverse affect on value which does indicate an area of stable values. Financing guidelines appear to be tightening in this with lenders restricting the guidelines for obtaining credit. Multi-list indicates a list/sale/ratio of 85-105% and typical marketing on 185-105% and typical marketing o | This does ternal a very ar to have market ng times of Declining Declining Increasing Increasing | | | | | | | |
| pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate your conclusions, provide both an explanation and support for your conclusions. In subject's marketing area, there appears to be a normal amount of properties listed for sale on the multiple listing service. not appear to have an adverse affect on value. A slow real estate market and high foreclosure rates in Metro Detroit were explanation and support for your conclusions. In subject's marketing area, there appears to be a normal amount of properties listed for sale on the multiple listing service. Not appear to have an adverse affect on value or marketability at the time this appraisal was conducted. There appears to be limited amount of REO properties listed for sale, REO properties are not driving the market in this area. This does not appear an adverse affect on value which does indicate an area of stable values. Financing guidelines appear to be tightening in this with lenders restricting the guidelines for obtaining credit. Multi-list indicates a list/sale/ratio of 85-105% and typical marketing 0-181 days. With this market, sellers are offering sales or financing concessions. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the following: Subject Project Data Prior 7-12 Months Prior 4-6 Months Current - 3 Months Overall Trend Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Increasing Stable Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Increasing Stable Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? Yes No If yes, indicate the number of REO listings and explain the trends in listings and sales of forections. | This does ternal a very ar to have market ng times of Declining Declining Increasing Increasing | | | | | | | |
| pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate your conclusions, provide both an explanation and support for your conclusions. In subject's marketing area, there appears to be a normal amount of properties listed for sale on the multiple listing service. not appear to have an adverse affect on value. A slow real estate market and high foreclosure rates in Metro Detroit were exfactors that are not presently affecting value or marketability at the time this appraisal was conducted. There appears to be ilimited amount of REO properties listed for sale, REO properties are not driving the market in this area. This does not appear an adverse affect on value which does indicate an area of stable values. Financing guidines appear to be tightening in this with lenders restricting the guidelines for obtaining credit. Multi-list indicates a list/sale/ratio of 85-105% and typical marketing 0-181 days. With this market, sellers are offering sales or financing concessions. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the following: Project Name: Subject Project Data Prior 7-12 Months Prior 4-6 Months Current - 3 Months Overall Trend Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Increasing Stable Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Increasing Stable Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Increasing Stable Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? Yes No If yes, indicate the number of REO listings and explain the trends in listings and sales of forecomparable testings Summarize the above trends and address the impact on the subject unit and project. | This does ternal a very ar to have market ag times of Declining Declining Increasing Increasing losed properties. | | | | | | | |
| pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate your conclusions, provide both an explanation and support for your conclusions. In subject's marketing area, there appears to be a normal amount of properties listed for sale on the multiple listing service. not appear to have an adverse affect on value. A slow real estate market and high foreclosure rates in Metro Detroit were explanation and support for your conclusions. In subject's marketing area, there appears to be a normal amount of properties listed for sale on the multiple listing service. Not appear to have an adverse affect on value or marketability at the time this appraisal was conducted. There appears to be limited amount of REO properties listed for sale, REO properties are not driving the market in this area. This does not appear an adverse affect on value which does indicate an area of stable values. Financing guidelines appear to be tightening in this with lenders restricting the guidelines for obtaining credit. Multi-list indicates a list/sale/ratio of 85-105% and typical marketing 0-181 days. With this market, sellers are offering sales or financing concessions. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the following: Subject Project Data Prior 7-12 Months Prior 4-6 Months Current - 3 Months Overall Trend Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Increasing Stable Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Increasing Stable Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? Yes No If yes, indicate the number of REO listings and explain the trends in listings and sales of forections. | This does ternal a very ar to have market ag times of Declining Declining Increasing Increasing losed properties. | | | | | | | |
| pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate your conclusions, provide both an explanation and support for your conclusions. In subject's marketing area, there appears to be a normal amount of properties listed for sale on the multiple listing service. not appear to have an adverse affect on value. A slow real estate market and high foreclosure rates in Metro Detroit were exfactors that are not presently affecting value or marketability at the time this appraisal was conducted. There appears to be ilimited amount of REO properties listed for sale, REO properties are not driving the market in this area. This does not appea an adverse affect on value which does indicate an area of stable values. Financing guidelines appear to be tightening in this with lenders restricting the guidelines for obtaining credit. Multi-list indicates a list/sale/ratio of 85-105% and typical marketing 0-181 days. With this market, sellers are offering sales or financing concessions. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the following: Project Name: Subject Project Data Prior 7-12 Months Prior 4-6 Months Current - 3 Months Overall Trend Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Increasing Stable Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Increasing Stable Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Increasing Stable Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? Yes No If yes, indicate the number of REO listings and explain the trends in listings and sales of forecomparable testings Summarize the above trends and address the impact on the subject unit and project. | This does ternal a very ar to have market ag times of Declining Declining Increasing Increasing losed properties. | | | | | | | |
| pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate your conclusions, provide both an explanation and support for your conclusions. In subject's marketing area, there appears to be a normal amount of properties listed for sale on the multiple listing service, not appear to have an adverse affect on value. A slow real estate market and high foreclosure rates in Metro Detroit were exfactors that are not presently affecting value or marketability at the time this appraisal was conducted. There appears to be limited amount of REO properties listed for sale, REO properties are not driving the market in this area. This does not appear an adverse affect on value which does indicate an area of stable values. Financing guidelines appear to be tightening in this with lenders resricting the guidelines for obtaining credit. Multi-list indicates a list/sale/ratio of 85-105% and typical marketing 0-181 days. With this market, sellers are offering sales or financing concessions. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the following: Project Name: Subject Project Data Prior 7-12 Months Prior 4-6 Months Current - 3 Months Overall Trend Total of Comparable Sales (Settled) Assorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Increasing Stable Assorption Rate (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Norths of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Norths of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Suppervisions and address the impact on the subject unit and project. Summarize the above trends and address the impact on the subject unit and project. | This does ternal a very ar to have market ng times of Declining Increasing Increasing Increasing Declining Increasing Declining Increasing Declining Increasing Declining Increasing Declining Increasing Declining Increasing | | | | | | | |
| pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate your conclusions, provide both an explanation and support for your conclusions. In subject's marketing area, there appears to be a normal amount of properties listed for sale on the multiple listing service, not appear to have an adverse affect on value. A slow real estate market and high foreclosure rates in Metro Detroit were ex factors that are not presently affecting value or marketability at the time this appraisal was conducted. There appears to be limited amount of REO properties listed for sale, REO properties are not driving the market in this area. This does not appear an adverse affect on value which does indicate an area of stable values. Financing quidelines appear to be tightening in this with lenders restricting the guidelines for obtaining credit. Multi-list indicates a list/sale/ratio of 85-105% and typical marketin 0-181 days. With this market, sellers are offering sales or financing concessions. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the following: Project Name: Subject Project Data Prior 7-12 Months Prior 4-6 Months Current - 3 Months Overall Trend Increasing Stable Declaring Stable Decla | This does ternal a very ar to have market ng times of Declining Increasing Increasing Increasing Declining Increasing Declining Increasing Declining Increasing Declining Increasing Declining Increasing Declining Increasing | | | | | | | |
| pending-sales and/or expired and withdrawn issings. Io formulate your conclusions, provide both an explanation and support for your conclusions. In subject's marketing area, there appears to be a normal amount of properties listed for sale on multiple listing service, not appear to have an adverse affect on value. A slow real estate market and high foreclosure rates in Metro Detroit were exfactors that are not presently affecting value or marketability at the time this appraisal was conducted. There appears to be limited amount of REO properties listed for sale, REO properties are not driving the market in this area. This does not appear an adverse affect on value which does indicate an area of stable values. Financing guidelines appear to be tightening in this with lenders restricting the guidelines for obtaining credit. Multi-list indicates a list/sale/ratio of 85-105% and typical marketin 0-181 days. With this market, sellers are offering sales or financing concessions. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the following: Project Name: Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Prior 7-12 Months Prior 4-4 Months Current - 3 Months Overall Trend Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Prof Arctive Comparable Listings Worth Comparable Listings Worth Comparable Listings Worth Comparable Listings Stable Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? Yes No If yes, indicate the number of REO listings and explain the trends in listings and sales of forecessing spears to the subject unit and project. **Supervisory Appraiser** (ONLY IF REQUIRED Name**) **Appraiser** Supervisory Appraiser** (ONLY IF REQUIRED Name**) Name Bradled***. Tarnopol | This does ternal a very ar to have market ag times of Declining Declining Increasing Increasing Increasing | | | | | | | |
| neating sales and/or expired and withdrawn istings, to formulate your conclusions, provide both an explanation and support for your conclusions. In subject's marketing area, there appears to be a normal amount of properties listed for sale on the multiple listing service. not appear to have an adverse affect on value. A slow real estate market and high foreclosure rates in Metro Detroit were explactors that are not presently affecting value or marketability at the time this appraisal was conducted. There appears to be limited amount of REO properties listed for sale, REO properties are not driving the market in this area. This does not appear an adverse affect on value which does indicate an area of stable values. Financing guidelines appear to be tightening in this with lenders resricting the guidelines for obtaining credit. Multi-list indicates a list/sale/ratio of 85-105% and typical marketin 0-181 days. With this market, sellers are offering sales or financing concessions. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the following: Project Name: Subject Project Data Prior 7-12 Months Prior 4-6 Months Current - 3 Months Overall Trend Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales-Months) Increasing Stable Total # of Active Comparable Listings Stable Total # of Active Comparable Listings Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? Yes No If yes, indicate the number of REO listings and explain the trends in listings and sales of forecess and sales of forecess and sales are the subject unit and project. **Supervisory Appraise** **Supervisory Appraise** Signature Name Name Company Name Appraisal Experts of Milchigan **Company Name** Company Name Company Name Company Name Company Name Company Name | This does ternal a very ar to have market ag times of Declining Declining Increasing Increasing Increasing | | | | | | | |
| pending-sales and/or expired and withdrawn issings. Io formulate your conclusions, provide both an explanation and support for your conclusions. In subject's marketing area, there appears to be a normal amount of properties listed for sale on multiple listing service, not appear to have an adverse affect on value. A slow real estate market and high foreclosure rates in Metro Detroit were exfactors that are not presently affecting value or marketability at the time this appraisal was conducted. There appears to be limited amount of REO properties listed for sale, REO properties are not driving the market in this area. This does not appear an adverse affect on value which does indicate an area of stable values. Financing guidelines appear to be tightening in this with lenders restricting the guidelines for obtaining credit. Multi-list indicates a list/sale/ratio of 85-105% and typical marketin 0-181 days. With this market, sellers are offering sales or financing concessions. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the following: Project Name: Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Prior 7-12 Months Prior 4-4 Months Current - 3 Months Overall Trend Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Prof Arctive Comparable Listings Worth Comparable Listings Worth Comparable Listings Worth Comparable Listings Stable Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? Yes No If yes, indicate the number of REO listings and explain the trends in listings and sales of forecessing spears to the subject unit and project. **Supervisory Appraiser** (ONLY IF REQUIRED Name**) **Appraiser** Supervisory Appraiser** (ONLY IF REQUIRED Name**) Name Bradled***. Tarnopol | This does ternal a very ar to have market ag times of Declining Declining Increasing Increasing Increasing | | | | | | | |
| nenting sales and/or expired and withdrawn issings. Io formulate your conclusions, provide both an explanation and support for your conclusions. In subject's marketing area, there appears to be a normal amount of properties listed for sale on the multiple listing service. not appear to have an adverse affect on value. A slow real estate market and high foreclosure rates in Metro Detroit were explactors that are not presently affecting value or marketability at the time this appraisal was conducted. There appears to be a limited amount of REO properties listed for sale, REO properties are not driving the market in this area. This does not appear an adverse affect on value which does indicate an area of stable values. Financing guidelines appear to be tightening in this with lenders restricting the guidelines for obtaining credit. Multi-list indicates a list/sale/ratio of 85-105% and typical marketin 0-181 days. With this market, sellers are offering sales or financing concessions. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the following: Project Name: Subject Project Data Prior 7-12 Months Prior 4-6 Months Current - 3 Months Overall Trend Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Increasing Stable Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Stable Declining Declining Stable Declining Declining Stable Declining Declining Stable Declining Declinin | This does ternal a very ar to have market ag times of Declining Declining Increasing Increasing Increasing | | | | | | | |
| nending sales and/or expired and withdrawn ilstings, to formulate your conclusions, provide both an explanation and support for your conclusions. In subject's marketing area, there appears to be a normal amount of properties listed for sale on the multiple listing service. Not appear to have an adverse affect on value. A slow real estate market and high foreclosure rates in Metro Detroit were explactors that are not presently affecting value or marketability at the time this appraisal was conducted. There appears to be it limited amount of REO properties listed for sale, REO properties are not driving the market in this area. This does not appear an adverse affect on value which does indicate an area of stable values. Financing guidelines appear to be tightening in this with lenders restricting the guidelines for obtaining credit. Multi-list indicates a list/sale/ratio of 85-105% and typical marketing to -181 days. With this market, sellers are offering sales or financing concessions. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the following: Project Name: Subject Project Data Prior 7-12 Months Prior 7-12 Months Prior 4-6 Months Current - 3 Months Overall Trend Total of of Comparable Sales (Settled) Alsorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Increasing Stable Associated the number of REO listings and explain the trends in listings and sales of forect of the subject unit and project. **Supervisory Appraiser** **Supervisory Appraiser** **Supervisory Appraiser** Supervisory Appraiser** | This does ternal a very ar to have market ag times of Declining Declining Increasing Increasing losed properties. | | | | | | | |

File #ANS-221398

| USPAP ADDENDUM | | File No. 170564WE84 | |
|--|--|---|---|
| Borrower: Rudalev MI II | | | |
| Property Address: 6427 Weddel St City: Taylor Lender: Colony American Finance | County: Wayne | State: MI | Zip Code: <u>48180</u> |
| APPRAISAL AND REPORT IDENTIFIC | CATION | | |
| This report was prepared under the fol | lowing USPAP reporting o | option: | |
| X Appraisal Report A w | ritten report prepared under Stand | Jards Rule 2-2(a). | |
| Restricted Appraisal Report A w | ritten report prepared under Stanc | lards Rule 2-2(b). | |
| Reasonable Exposure Time My opinion of a reasonable exposure time for the | subject property at the market va | alue stated in this report is: 0 to 1 | 180 |
| Definition of Exposure Time The estimated length of time the property is consummation of a sale at market value of events assuming a competitive and open reappraisal. The overall concept of reasonable adequate, sufficient and reasonable effort. Standards No. 6, "Reasonable Exposure the appraiser must include the results of the | n the effective date of the ap market. Exposure time is alw ble exposure encompasses n (Appraisal Standards Board Time in Real Property and Po | praisal; a retrospective estin rays presumed to occur prior not only adequate, sufficient a d of The Appraisal Foundatio ersonal Property Market Valu | nate based on an analysis of past to the effective date of the and reasonable time but also n, Statement on Appraisal |
| Additional Certifications | | | |
| X I have performed NO services, as an appra period immediately preceding acceptance of | | rding the property that is the subj | ect of this report within the three-year |
| I HAVE performed services, as an appraise period immediately preceding acceptance o | | | |
| | | | |
| Additional Comments | | | |
| The subject property is located 14 miles from the subjects of the specific property type and the specific data such as demographics, costs bridge between a sale and a comparable state. | narket and understand the n ne location involved. Such ur s, sales and rentals. The nec | uances of the local market a nderstanding will not be impa essary understanding of loca | nd the supply and demand factors arted solely from a consideration of |
| APPRAISER: | | SLIDEDVISODV ADDDAISED (| aply if required): |
| D 1 | <i>'</i> 0 | SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (c | лну птеципеи). |
| Signature: | | Signature: | |
| Name: Bradle E. Tarnopol Date Signed: 06/22/2017 | | Name: | |
| State Certification #: | | | |
| or State License #: 1201068739 or Other (describe): S | | or State License #: | |
| State: MI | | State: Expiration Date of Certification of | or License: |
| Expiration Date of Certification or License: 07/ Effective Date of Appraisal: 06/19/2017 | 31/2017 | Supervisory Appraiser inspection Did Not Exterior-on | n of Subject Property: ly from street |

Appraisal Nation, LLC

DODD-FRANK ACT AND APPRAISER INDEPENDENCE REQUIREMENTS COMPLIANCE CERTIFICATE

In compliance with the Dodd-Frank Act and Appraiser Independence Requirements, Appraisal Nation LLC, an independent third party appraisal management company, certifies that the above referenced property appraisal report was completed in compliance with the Dodd-Frank Act as well as the Appraiser Independence Requirements and has met all of the following:

- All appraisers are licensed or certified by the state in which the subject property is located in.
- No predetermined estimate of value was provided to the appraiser. The only exception being for purchase transactions. A copy of the purchase contract is provided to the appraiser in accordance with USPAP standards rule 1-5a.
- appraiser has had no contact appraisal process of determining with the client/lender, or in any way was influenced in any manner pertaining to the aquation of the subject property
 - With the exception of FHA appraisals Which require disclosure of appraisers hame and license number to obtain FHA case number, lender/dient was not allowed by appraisal Nation to determine or discover the name of the appraiser engaged in an assignment until the final signed product was delivered.
- Appraiser selection was performed at the sole discretion of Appraisal Nation LLC's vendor management department utilizing criteria of: quality ranking, experience, performance, turn time, availability, proximity guidelines, and performance metrics.
- ALLO DO ANGE Appraisal Nation's vendor database is considered pro ver publicly disclosed.
- Appraisal Nation prohibits appraisers borrower/property owner to obtain market from communicating Lender Client and the
- Appraisal Nation is not owned in whole or in part by any lender institution. Appraisal Nation is an independent 3rd party. proker, or correspondent lending

To prevent fraud and alterations of reports, Appraisal Nation LLC maintains a copy of all original reports provided by the assigned independent appraiser on secure servers. A copy of any report including its compliance certificate can be provided to the original client, lender, successors or assignee. Please contact Appraisal Nation LLC to obtain this information.

Michael A. Tedesco, CEO Appraisal Nation, LLC

Tichel A. Teder

500 Gregson Dr Suite 120, Cary, NC 27511

Appraisal Nation, LLC Tel. (866)735-0901 Fax (866) 227-1659 www.appraisal-nation.com

Produced using ACI software, 800.234.8727 www.aciweb.com

Form FDOC

 Borrower: Rudalev MI II
 File No.: 170564WE84

 Property Address: 6427 Weddel St
 Case No.:

 City: Taylor
 State: MI
 Zip: 48180

 Lender: Colony American Finance
 Case No.:
 Cip: 48180

RICK SNYDER GOVERNOR

DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS

CORPORATIONS, SECURITIES & COMMERCIAL LICENSING BUREAU

STATE LICENSED APPRAISER LICENSE

BRADLEY EDWARD TARNOPOL 26336 WYOMING RD. HUNTINGTON WOODS MI 48070

1201068739

EXPIRATION DATE 07/31/2017

SHEDBPS

UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN
 Borrower: Rudalev MI II
 File No.: 170564WE84

 Property Address: 6427 Weddel St
 Case No.:

 City: Taylor
 State: MI
 Zip: 48180

Lender: Colony American Finance

NAVIGATORS INSURANCE COMPANY

THIS IS BOTH A CLAIMS MADE AND REPORTED INSURANCE POLICY.

THIS POLICY APPLIES TO THOSE CLAIMS THAT ARE FIRST MADE AGAINST THE INSURED AND REPORTED IN WRITING TO THE COMPANY DURING THE POLICY PERIOD.

PLEASE READ THIS POLICY CAREFULLY.

REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS ERRORS AND OMISSIONS INSURANCE POLICY DECLARATIONS

POLICY NUMBER: PH17RAL102536IV RENEWAL OF: PH16RAL102536IV

1. NAMED INSURED: Bradley Tarnopol

ADDRESS: 26336 Wyoming Rd.

Huntington Woods, MI 48070

POLICY PERIOD: FROM: 07/13/2016 TO: 07/13/2017

12:01 A.M. Standard Time at the address of the Named Insured as stated in Number 2 above.

4. LIMITS OF LIABILITY:

A. \$ ____500,000 ____ Damages Limit of Liability - Each Claim

B. \$ ____500,000 ____ Claim Expenses Limit of Liability - Each Claim

C. \$ ____1,000,000 ____ Damages Limit of Liability - Policy Aggregate

D. \$ ____1,000,000 ____ Claim Expenses Limit of Liability - Policy Aggregate

5. DEDUCTIBLE (Inclusive of claim expenses): A. \$_500 - ____Each Claim

B. \$ 1,000 - Aggregate

6. PREMIUM: \$ 925.00

RETROACTIVE DATE: 07/13/2007

FORMS ATTACHED: NAV RAL NIC PF (02/17) NAV RAL 300 MI (02 17)

NAV RAL 008 (02 17) NAV RAL 002 (02 17)

PROGRAM ADMINISTRATOR: Herbert H. Landy Insurance Agency Inc.

75 Second Ave Suite 410 Needham, MA 02494-2876

By Acceptance of this policy the Insured agrees that the statements in the Declarations and the Application and any attachments hereto are the Insured's agreements and representations and that this policy embodies all agreements existing between the Insured and the Company or any of its representatives relating to this insurance.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, we have caused this policy to be signed by our President and Secretary.

[Emily Miner] Secretary

Enily Brine.

[Stanley A. Galanski]

Stenj Colum Galancel

President

avigators

Insuring A World In Motion®

NAV RAL DEC (02 11).

Page 1 of 1