Order ID #ANS-221360

Uniform Residential Appraisal Report

		Uni	form Re	sidential	Apprais	заі керс	Drt	File No.	170521LA1	01
he purpose of this summ Property Address 21520				City	Saint Clair	Shores	Sta	ite MI	Zip Code 4808	
Borrower Rudalev		<u> </u>		of Public Record Ru	idalev Finan	ice Llc	Co	unty Mac	comb	
Legal Description Lake			n (L4, P88) L		N 0040				0.444	
Assessor's Parcel # 09-					Year 2016	S64		E. Taxes \$		
Neighborhood Name La Occupant X Owner	$\overline{}$	Vacant	Spocial	Map	Reference 476		PUD HOA\$ 0	nsus Tract	2517.00	
Property Rights Appraised	$\underline{-}$			r (describe)						per month
	urchase Transaction			X Other (describe)	Ascertain N	/arket Value	<u>,</u>			
Lender/Client Colony /				is 4 Park Plz, St						
Is the subject property cur								′es 🗶 N	0	
Report data source(s) use										
I did did not an	alyze the contract fo	or sale for the s	subject purchase tr	ansaction. Explain the	e results of the ar	alysis of the cont	ract for sale or why	the analys	is was not perforr	med.
Contract Price \$	Date of	of Contract		Is the property seller	the owner of put	olic record?	Yes No	Data Sour	ce(s)	
Is there any financial assis	tance (loan charges	s, sale concess	ions, gift or downp	ayment assistance, e	tc.) to be paid by	any party on beh	alf of the borrower?		Yes 🗌 No	
If Yes, report the total dolla	ar amount and desc	cribe the items t	o be paid.							
Note: Race and the racia			ood are not appra							
	od Characteristics	-		One-Unit Housi	<u> </u>		One-Unit Hou	sing	Present La	
Location Urban	X Suburban	Rural	Property Values		X Stable		PRICE	AGE	One-Unit	95 %
Built-Up X Over 75%	25-75%		Demand/Supply		X In Balance	Over Supply	\$(000)	(yrs)	2-4 Unit	2 %
Growth Rapid	X Stable		Marketing Time		X 3-6 mths	Over 6 mths	75 Low		Multi-Family	0 %
Neighborhood Boundaries							225 High		Commercial	3 %
E 9 Mile Rd to the							92 Pred.		Other	0 %
Neighborhood Description										
and private school										by the
United States Pos										
Market Conditions (includi										
sellers market bot						s concessio	n are general	ly typica	al but not an	
influence on marke		e average s								
Dimensions 50 X 123			Area 6170			Rectangula	r	View N;	Res;	
Specific Zoning Classificat				cription Single Fa						
Zoning Compliance X			ng (Grandfathered	, _	ing 🔄 Illega	l (describe)				
Is the highest and best use	of the subject pror	oortu oo immerou								
girst and bost ust		perty as improv	ed (or as proposed	d per plans and specif	ications) the pres	sent use?	✓Yes □No	If No, des	cribe.	
			ed (or as proposed							
Utilities Public	Other (describe)			Public C	ications) the pres		Off-site Improve	ements—	Type Pub	
Utilities Public Electricity X			Water	Public C			Off-site Improve Street Concre	ements—		
Utilities Public Electricity X Gas X	Other (describe)		Water Sanitary Sew	Public C	Other (describe)		Off-site Improve Street Concre Alley None	ements— ete	Type Publ	
Utilities Public Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Haza	Other (describe)	s 🗶 No 🛛 F	Water Sanitary Sew EMA Flood Zone	Public C	Dther (describe)		Off-site Improve Street Concre Alley None	ements— ete	Type Pub	
Utilities Public Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Haza Are the utilities and off-site	Other (describe)	s X No F	Water Sanitary Sew EMA Flood Zone ket area? X	Public C X Yes No If N	Dther (describe)	26099C04	Off-site Improve Street Concre Alley None D9H FEM	ements— ete IA Map Da	Type Publ X te 12/04/201	
Utilities Public Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Haza	Other (describe)	s X No F	Water Sanitary Sew EMA Flood Zone ket area? X	Public C X Yes No If N	Dther (describe)	26099C04	Off-site Improve Street Concre Alley None	ements— ete IA Map Da	Type Publ	
Utilities Public Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Haza Are the utilities and off-site	Other (describe)	s X No F	Water Sanitary Sew EMA Flood Zone ket area? X	Public C X Yes No If N	Dther (describe)	26099C04	Off-site Improve Street Concre Alley None D9H FEM	ements— ete IA Map Da	Type Publ X te 12/04/201	
Utilities Public Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Haza Are the utilities and off-site	Other (describe)	s X No F	Water Sanitary Sew EMA Flood Zone ket area? X	Public C X Yes No If N	Dther (describe)	26099C04	Off-site Improve Street Concre Alley None D9H FEM	ements— ete IA Map Da	Type Publ X te 12/04/201	
Utilities Public Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Haza Are the utilities and off-site Are there any adverse site	Other (describe)	s X No F	Water Sanitary Sew EMA Flood Zone ket area? X sements, encroach	Public C X er X Yes No If N ments, environmental	Dther (describe)	26099C04(uses, etc.)?	Off-site Improve Street Concre Alley None D9H FEM Yes X No	ements— ete IA Map Da If Yes, o	Type Publ	2
Utilities Public Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Haza Are the utilities and off-site Are there any adverse site	Other (describe)	s X No F ical for the mark nal factors (eas	Water Sanitary Sew EMA Flood Zone ket area? X sements, encroach	Public C X er X Yes No If N ments, environmental	Dther (describe) FEMA Map # o, describe. conditions, land EXTERIOR DE	26099C04 uses, etc.)? SCRIPTION n	Off-site Improve Street Concre Alley None D9H FEM Yes X No naterials/condition	ements— ete IA Map Da If Yes, o INTERIO	Type Publ	2 rials/condition
Utilities Public Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Haza Are the utilities and off-site Are there any adverse site GENERAL D Units X One 0	Other (describe)	s X No F ical for the mark nal factors (eas	Water Sanitary Sew EMA Flood Zone ket area? X sements, encroach FOUND	Public C X er X Yes No If N ments, environmental ATION Crawl Space	Dther (describe) FEMA Map # o, describe. conditions, land EXTERIOR DE Foundation Wa	26099C04(uses, etc.)? SCRIPTION n Ills Concr	Off-site Improve Street Concre Alley None D9H FEM Yes X No naterials/condition ete/Avg	ements— ete IA Map Da If Yes, o INTERIO Floors	Type Publ X te 12/04/201 describe R mate Wood//	2 rials/condition
Utilities Public Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Haza Are the utilities and off-site Are there any adverse site GENERAL D Units X One # of Stories 1.5	Other (describe)	s X No F ical for the mark nal factors (eas	Water Sanitary Sew EMA Flood Zone ket area? X sements, encroach FOUND porcrete Slab	Public C X Yes No If N ments, environmental ATION Crawl Space Partial Basement	Dther (describe) FEMA Map # o, describe. conditions, land EXTERIOR DE Foundation Wa Exterior Walls	26099C044 uses, etc.)? SCRIPTION n Ills Concr Brick/	Off-site Improve Street Concre Alley None D9H FEM Yes XNo naterials/condition ete/Avg Vinyl/Avg	INTERIO Floors Walls	Type Publ X Le 12/04/201 describe R mate Wood// Drywall	2 rials/condition Avg /Avg
Utilities Public Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Haza Are the utilities and off-site Are there any adverse site Units X One # of Stories 1.5 Type X Det.	Other (describe)	s X No F ical for the mark nal factors (eas Unit Cc X Fu nd Unit Basen	Water Sanitary Sew EMA Flood Zone ket area? X sements, encroach FOUND porcrete Slab Ill Basement enent Area 676	Public C X er X Yes No If N ments, environmental ATION Crawl Space	Dther (describe) FEMA Map # o, describe. conditions, land EXTERIOR DE Foundation Wa Exterior Walls Roof Surface	26099C044 uses, etc.)? SCRIPTION n Ills Concr Brick/ A/Shir	Off-site Improve Street Concre Alley None D9H FEM Yes XNo naterials/condition ete/Avg VinyI/Avg ngle/Avg	INTERIO Floors Walls Trim/Finis	Type Publ X Le 12/04/201 describe R mate Wood// Drywall sh Wood//	2 2 rials/condition Avg J/Avg Avg
Utilities Public Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazz Are the utilities and off-site Are there any adverse site Units X units X. One units 1.5 Type X Det. / X Existing Proport	Other (describe)	S X No F ical for the mark nal factors (eas Unit CC X Fu nd Unit Basen Const. Basen	Water Sanitary Sew EMA Flood Zone ket area? X sements, encroach FOUND porcrete Slab Ill Basement enent Area 676 nent Finish	Public C X Yes No If N ments, environmental ATION Crawl Space Partial Basement sq. ft. 0 %	EXTERIOR DE Foundation Wa Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Dowr	26099C04 uses, etc.)? SCRIPTION n Ills Concr Brick/ A/Shir nspouts Alumi	Off-site Improve Street Concre Alley None D9H FEM Yes X No naterials/condition ete/Avg Vinyl/Avg ngle/Avg nium/Avg	INTERIO Floors Walls Trim/Finis Bath Floo	Type Publ X Le 12/04/201 describe R mate Wood// Drywall sh Wood// r Cerami	2 rials/condition Avg //Avg Avg c/Avg
Utilities Public Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazz Are the utilities and off-site Are there any adverse site Units X Units X Type Det. Ype Propo Design (Style) Bungalo	Other (describe)	S X No F ical for the mark nal factors (eas Unit CC X Fu nd Unit Basen Const. Basen	Water Sanitary Sew EMA Flood Zone ket area? X sements, encroach FOUND poncrete Slab ull Basement nent Area 676 nent Finish utside Entry/Exit	Public C X Yes No If N ments, environmental ATION Crawl Space Partial Basement sq. ft. 0 % Sump Pump	EXTERIOR DE Foundation Wa Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Dowr Window Type	26099C04 uses, etc.)? SCRIPTION n Ills Concr Brick/ A/Shir nspouts Alumi Vinyl//	Off-site Improve Street Concre Alley None D9H FEM Yes X No naterials/condition ete/Avg Vinyl/Avg ngle/Avg nium/Avg Avg	Interior Int	Type Public Ceraminscot Ceramination Ceramin	2 rials/condition Avg //Avg Avg c/Avg
Utilities Public Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazz Are the utilities and off-site Are there any adverse site Units X One units X One y x Det. y x Existing Propo Design (Style) Year Built 1940	Other (describe)	S NO F ical for the mark nal factors (eas Unit Cc X Fu nd Unit Basen Const. Basen Oot Evider	Water Sanitary Sew EMA Flood Zone Ket area? X sements, encroach FOUND oncrete Slab II Basement Cnent Area 676 ent Finish Ltside Entry/Exit nce of Infesta	Public C X Yes No If N ments, environmental ATION Crawl Space Partial Basement sq. ft. 0 % Sump Pump ation	EXTERIOR DE Foundation Wa Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Dowr Window Type	26099C04 uses, etc.)? SCRIPTION n Ills Concr Brick/ A/Shir nspouts Alumii Vinyl// sulated Yes/A	Off-site Improve Street Concre Alley None D9H FEM Yes X No No Avg Vinyl/Avg hum/Avg Avg Vg	ements— ete IA Map Da If Yes, o II Yes, o INTERIO Floors Walls Trim/Finis Bath Floo Bath Wair Car Stora	Type Public Ceraminge None	ials/condition 2 Avg //Avg c/Avg c/Avg c/Avg
Utilities Public Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazz Are the utilities and off-site Are there any adverse site Units X Units X Type Det. Ype Propo Design (Style) Bungalo	Other (describe)	S X No F ical for the mark nal factors (eas Unit Cc X Fu nd Unit Basen Const. Basen Oo Evider	Water Sanitary Sew EMA Flood Zone Ket area? X sements, encroach FOUND oncrete Slab II Basement C nent Area 676 nent Finish Ltside Entry/Exit nce of Infesta ampness S	Public C X er X Yes No If N ments, environmental ATION Crawl Space Partial Basement sq. ft. 0% Sump Pump ation Settlement	Dther (describe) FEMA Map # o, describe. conditions, land EXTERIOR DE Foundation Wal Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Dowr Window Type Storm Sash/Ins Screens	26099C04 uses, etc.)? SCRIPTION n Ills Concr Brick/ A/Shir nspouts Alumin Vinyl/, ulated Yes/A Yes/A	Off-site Improve Street Concre Alley None D9H FEM Ves X No naterials/condition ete/Avg Vinyl/Avg ngle/Avg nium/Avg Avg vg vg	INTERIO Floors Walls Trim/Finis Bath Floo Bath Wai Car Stora X Drive	Type Publ X Le 12/04/201 describe. R mate Wood// Drywall sh Wood// r Cerami nscot Cerami ige None way # of Cars	rials/condition 2 Avg /Avg c/Avg c/Avg c/Avg
Utilities Public Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazz Are the utilities and off-site Are there any adverse site Units X One # of Stories 1.5 Type X Det. # of Stories 1.5 Type X Det. Ø Existing Propode Design (Style) Bungalo Year Built 1940 Effective Age (Yrs) 15 Attic 15	Other (describe)	S X No F ical for the mark nal factors (eas Unit Cc X Fu nd Unit Basen Const. Basen Ou Evider Da Heatin	Water Sanitary Sew EMA Flood Zone (et area? X) sements, encroach sements, encroach ull Basement (nent Area 676 nent Finish utside Entry/Exit nee of () Infesta ampness () () g (X) FWA ()	Public C X er X Yes No If N ments, environmental ATION Crawl Space Partial Basement sq. ft. 0% Sump Pump ation Settlement	Dther (describe) FEMA Map # o, describe. conditions, land EXTERIOR DE Foundation Wa Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Dowr Window Type Storm Sash/Ins Screens Amenities	26099C044 uses, etc.)? SCRIPTION n ills Concr Brick/ A/Shir nspouts Alumin Vinyl// sulated Yes/A Yes/A	Off-site Improve Street Concre Alley None D9H FEM Ves X No naterials/condition ete/Avg Vinyl/Avg ngle/Avg nium/Avg Avg vg vg oodStove(s) #0	INTERIO Floors Walls Trim/Finis Bath Floo Bath Wain Car Stora X Drive Driveway	Type Publ X Le 12/04/201 describe. R mater Wood// Drywall sh Wood// r Cerami nscot Cerami uge None way # of Cars Surface Concr	2 rials/condition Avg /Avg c/Avg c/Avg c/Avg c/Avg c/Avg
Utilities Public Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Haza Are the utilities and off-site Are there any adverse site Units X One # of Stories 1.5 Type X Det. X Existing Propo Design (Style) Bungalo Year Built 1940 Effective Age (Yrs) 15	Other (describe)	S X No F ical for the mark nal factors (eas Unit Cc X Fu nd Unit Basen Const. Basen Ou Evider Da Heatin	Water Sanitary Sew EMA Flood Zone ket area? X sements, encroach FOUND oncrete Slab II Basement II Basement II Basement Chent Area 676 hent Finish Utside Entry/Exit The of Infesta ampness S g X FWA F	Public C Image: Comparison of the system Image: Comparison of the system Yes No If N Yes No If N wents, environmental Image: Comparison of the system Image: Comparison of the system ATION Crawl Space Image: Comparison of the system Image: Comparison of the system Crawl Space Image: Comparison of the system Image: Comparison of the system Image: Comparison of the system Partial Basement Sump Pump Image: Comparison of the system Image: Comparison of the system Sump Pump Image: Comparison of the system Image: Comparison of the system Image: Comparison of the system Settlement Image: Comparison of the system Image: Comparison of the system Image: Comparison of the system HWBB Image: Comparison of the system Image: Comparison of the system Image: Comparison of the system Gas Image: Comparison of the system Image: Comparison of the system Image: Comparison of the system	Dther (describe) FEMA Map # o, describe. conditions, land EXTERIOR DE Foundation Wa Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Down Window Type Storm Sash/Ins Screens Amenities Fireplace(s	26099C044 uses, etc.)? SCRIPTION n Ills Concr Brick/ A/Shir nspouts Alumir Vinyl// sulated Yes/A Yes/A Qw)# 0 X Fe	Off-site Improve Street Concre Alley None D9H FEM Yes X No naterials/condition ete/Avg VinyI/Avg ngle/Avg nium/Avg Avg vg oodStove(s) #0 ence Cyclone	INTERIO Floors Walls Trim/Finis Bath Floo Bath Wai Car Stora X Drive Driveway X Gara	Type Publ X La 12/04/201 describe describe R mate Wood// Drywall sh Wood// r Cerami nscot Cerami nscot Cerami nscot Cerami nscot Cerami sh Wood// ge None way # of Cars	2 2 rials/condition Avg //Avg c/Avg c/Avg c/Avg c/Avg c/Avg c/Avg
Utilities Public Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazz Are the utilities and off-site Are there any adverse site Units X One units X One yet Det. yet X Existing Propo Design (Style) Bungalo Year Built 1940 Effective Age (Yrs) 15 Attic Drop Stair Floor	Other (describe)	S X No F ical for the mark nal factors (eas Unit Cc X Fu nd Unit Basen Const. Basen O Ot Evider Heatin O Ot Coolin	Water Sanitary Sew EMA Flood Zone ket area? X sements, encroach FOUND oncrete Slab II Basement II Basement II Basement Chent Area 676 hent Finish Utside Entry/Exit The of Infesta ampness S g X FWA F	Public C Image: Comparison of the compar	Dther (describe) FEMA Map # o, describe. conditions, land EXTERIOR DE Foundation Wa Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Dowr Window Type Storm Sash/Ins Screens Amenities Fireplace(s X) Patio/Deck	26099C044 uses, etc.)? SCRIPTION m Ills Concr Brick/ A/Shir nspouts Alumin Vinyl/, ulated Yes/A Yes/A Qw)# 0 X Fe Deck X Pro	Off-site Improve Street Concre Alley None D9H FEM Yes X No Present	INTERIO Floors Walls Trim/Finis Bath Floo Bath Waii Car Stora X Drive Driveway Caran	Type Puble X Type Puble X X Le 12/04/201 describe describe describe Cerami nscot Cerami nscot Cerami nscot Cerami nscot Cerami nscot Cerami sh Wood// r Cerami nscot Cerami sh Wood/ geNone way # of Cars Surface Concr ge # of Cars pr # of Cars	2 2 rials/condition Avg //Avg c/Avg c/Avg c/Avg c/Avg c/Avg c/Avg
Utilities Public Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazz Are the utilities and off-site Are there any adverse site Units X One units X One yet Det. yet X Det. yet X Det. Year Built 1940 Effective Age (Yrs) 15 Attic Drop Stair Floor Floor	Other (describe)	S X No F ical for the mark nal factors (eas Unit Cc X Fu nd Unit Basen Const. Basen Oot Evider Heatin Oot Coolin	Water Sanitary Sew EMA Flood Zone ket area? X sements, encroach FOUND purcrete Slab II Basement II Basement II Finish Utside Entry/Exit nce of Infesta ampness g X FWA her F g X Central A dividual [Public C Image: Comparison of the system Image: Comparison of the system Yes No If N Yes No If N wents, environmental Image: Comparison of the system Image: Comparison of the system ATION Image: Comparison of the system Image: Comparison of the system ATION Image: Comparison of the system Image: Comparison of the system ATION Image: Comparison of the system Image: Comparison of the system ATION Image: Comparison of the system Image: Comparison of the system Image: Comparison of the system Image: Comparison of the system Image: Comparison of the system	Dther (describe) FEMA Map # o, describe. conditions, land EXTERIOR DE Foundation Wa Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Down Window Type Storm Sash/Ins Screens Amenities Fireplace(s X Patio/Deck Pool Non	26099C044 uses, etc.)? SCRIPTION m ills Concr Brick/ A/Shir nspouts Alumin Vinyl// sulated Yes/A Yes/A ()# 0 X Fe Deck X Pri ie () 0	Off-site Improve Street Concre Alley None D9H FEM Pres X No Pres X No P	ements— ete AA Map Da If Yes, o If Yes, o INTERIO Floors Walls Trim/Finis Bath Floo Bath Wai Car Stora X Drive Driveway X Gara Carpo Att.	Type Public Concernance Public Concernance Public Concernance Public Concernance Public Concernance Concernance Concernance Concernance Concernance Concernance Public Concernance Concernance Public Conce	2 2 rials/condition Avg //Avg c/Avg c/Avg c/Avg c/Avg c/Avg c/Avg
Utilities Public Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazz Are the utilities and off-site Are there any adverse site Units X One Units X One Image: Comparison of Stories 1.5 Type X Det. Image: Comparison of Stories 1.5 Type X Det. Vear Built 1940 Effective Age (Yrs) 15 Attic Drop Stair Floor Finished Appliances Refrige	Other (describe)	S X No F ical for the mark nal factors (eas Unit Cc X Fu nd Unit Basen Const. Basen Const. Basen Do Evider Da Heatin Ou Coolin Ou Coolin	Water Sanitary Sew EMA Flood Zone ket area? X sements, encroach FOUND purcrete Slab II Basement II Basement II Finish Utside Entry/Exit nce of Infesta ampness g X FWA her F g X Central A dividual [Public C Image: Comparison of the compar	Dther (describe) FEMA Map # o, describe. conditions, land EXTERIOR DE Foundation Wa Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Dowr Window Type Storm Sash/Ins Screens Amenities Fireplace(s X Patio/Deck Pool Non vave Was	26099C044 uses, etc.)? SCRIPTION m ills Concr Brick/' A/Shir nspouts Alumin Vinyl// sulated Yes/A Yes/A Yes/A () W () # 0 X Fe Deck X Pe ie O her/Dryer X ()	Off-site Improve Street Concre Alley None D9H FEM Yes X No Present	INTERIO Floors Walls Trim/Finis Bath Floo Bath Wail Car Stora Driveway Carga Carpo Att. an/Hoo	Type Puble	2 rials/condition Avg //Avg c/Avg c/Avg c/Avg c/Avg c/Avg c/Avg c/Avg c/Avg c/Avg c/Avg
Utilities Public Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazz Are the utilities and off-site Are there any adverse site Units X One Units X One V Det. Year Built 1940 Effective Age (Yrs) 15 Attic Drop Stair Floor Finished Appliances Refrige	Other (describe)	S X No F ical for the mark nal factors (eas Unit CC X Fu nd Unit Basen Const. Basen Const. Basen Da Evider Da Heatin Ou Coolin Coolin Jin (Oven Di 5	Water Sanitary Sew EMA Flood Zone (et area? X) sements, encroach bents, encroach and Area 676 nent Finish utside Entry/Exit nce of Infesta ampness 9 ing X FWA 0 infesta ampness 9 ing X Central A dividual 0 shwasher X 0 Rooms	Public C Image: Comparison of the system Image: Comparison of the system Yes No If N Yes No If N ments, environmental Image: Comparison of the system Image: Comparison of the system ATION Crawl Space Image: Comparison of the system Partial Basement sq. ft. 0 % Image: Comparison of the system Sump Pump Image: Comparison of the system Settlement HWBB Radiant Image: Comparison of the system HWBB Radiant Image: Comparison of the system Image: Comparison of the system Jother Jisposal Microw 3 Bedrooms	Dther (describe) FEMA Map # o, describe. conditions, land ExtErior Malls Roof Surface Gutters & Dowr Window Type Storm Sash/Ins Screens Amenities Fireplace(s X Patio/Deck Pool Non vave	26099C04 uses, etc.)? SCRIPTION m Ills Concr Brick/' A/Shir nspouts Alumin Vinyl// sulated Yes/A Yes/A Yes/A () # 0 X Fe Deck X Pe ne 0 0 her/Dryer X 0 1.0 Bath(s)	Off-site Improve Street Concre Alley None D9H FEM Yes X No Present X No Autorials/condition ete/Avg Vinyl/Avg ngle/Avg ngle/Avg ngle/Avg No No No No No No No No No No	INTERIO Floors Walls Trim/Finis Bath Floo Bath Waii Car Stora X Drive Driveway X Garag Carpp Att. an/Hoo e Feet of C	Type Public Concernation of Caramination of Ca	2 rials/condition Avg //Avg c/Avg c/Avg c/Avg c/Avg c/Avg c/Avg c/Avg c/Avg c/Avg c/Avg c/Avg c/Avg c/Avg
Utilities Public Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazz Are the utilities and off-site Are there any adverse site Units X One Units X One # of Stories 1.5 Type X Det. # of Stories 1.5 Type X Det. Vear Built 1940 Effective Age (Yrs) 15 Attic Drop Stair Floor Finished Appliances Refrige Finished area above grad Additional features (special) 15	Other (describe)	S X No F ical for the mark nal factors (eas Unit CC Unit CC M Unit Basen Const. Basen Const. Basen Da Evider Da Heatin Coolin Coolin Coolin Coolin Sems, etc.). T	Water Sanitary Sew EMA Flood Zone et area? X sements, encroach Dencrete Slab Ill Basement nent Area 676 nent Finish Juside Entry/Exit nce ofInfesta ampnessS Ig X FWA ider F Ig X Central A dividual Rooms ypical feature	Public C Image: Comparison of the system Image: Comparison of the system Yes No If N Yes No If N ments, environmental Image: Comparison of the system Image: Comparison of the system ATION Image: Comparison of the system Image: Comparison of the system Partial Basement Sq. ft. Image: Comparison of the system Partial Basement Sq. ft. Image: Comparison of the system Settlement HWBB Radiant Image: Comparison of the system If Conditioning Image: Comparison of the system Image: Comparison of the system Image: Comparison of the system Image: I	Dther (describe) FEMA Map # o, describe. conditions, land ExtErior Malls Roof Surface Gutters & Dowr Window Type Storm Sash/Ins Screens Amenities Fireplace(s X Patio/Deck Pool Non vave	26099C04 uses, etc.)? SCRIPTION m Ills Concr Brick/' A/Shir nspouts Alumin Vinyl// sulated Yes/A Yes/A Yes/A () # 0 X Fe Deck X Pe ne 0 0 her/Dryer X 0 1.0 Bath(s)	Off-site Improve Street Concre Alley None D9H FEM Yes X No Present X No Autorials/condition ete/Avg Vinyl/Avg ngle/Avg ngle/Avg ngle/Avg No No No No No No No No No No	INTERIO Floors Walls Trim/Finis Bath Floo Bath Waii Car Stora X Drive Driveway X Garag Carpp Att. an/Hoo e Feet of C	Type Public Concernation of Caramination of Ca	2 rials/condition Avg //Avg c/Avg c/Avg c/Avg c/Avg c/Avg c/Avg c/Avg c/Avg c/Avg c/Avg c/Avg c/Avg c/Avg
Utilities Public Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazz Are the utilities and off-site Are there any adverse site Units X One Units X One # of Stories 1.5 Type X Det. /X Existing Propo Design (Style) Bungald Year Built 1940 Effective Age (Yrs) 15 Attic Drop Stair Floor Finished Appliances Refrige Finished area above grad Additional features (special considered in the	Other (describe)	S X No F ical for the mark nal factors (eas Unit CC Unit CC A Unit Basen Const. Basen Ou Evider Evider Da Heatin Ou Evider Da Heatin Ou Evider Da Evider Coolin Coo	Water Sanitary Sew EMA Flood Zone et area? X sements, encroach FOUND oncrete Slab II Basement II Basement II Area 676 nent Finish Itside Entry/Exit nce of Infesta ampness I g X FWA II Infesta ampness I g X Central A dividual I Rooms ypical feature eport.	Public C Image: Comparison of the system Image: Comparison of the system Yes No If N Yes No If N ments, environmental Image: Comparison of the system Image: Comparison of the system ATION Crawl Space Image: Comparison of the system Partial Basement sq. ft. 0 % Image: Comparison of the system Sump Pump ation Settlement HWBB Radiant Image: Comparison of the system Microw Other Microw Obsection of the system Microw Setdrooms Setdrooms	Ther (describe) FEMA Map # o, describe. conditions, land Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Dowr Window Type Storm Sash/Ins Screens Amenities Fireplace(s X Patio/Deck Pool Non vave Was	26099C04	Off-site Improve Street Concre Alley None D9H FEM Pyes X No naterials/condition ete/Avg Vinyl/Avg ngle/Avg nium/Avg Avg vg oodStove(s) #0 ence Cyclone orch Concrete ther None Dther (describe) F 1,006 Squar et area. Only i	INTERIO Floors Walls Trim/Finis Bath Floo Bath Wair Car Stora X Drive Driveway X Garag Carpo Att. an/Hoo e Feet of C terms of	Type Public Concentration of Caramination of C	2 rials/condition Avg //Avg c/Avg
Utilities Public Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazz Are the utilities and off-site Are there any adverse site Units X One Units X One # of Stories 1.5 Type X Det. /X Existing Propo Design (Style) Bungala Year Built 1940 Effective Age (Yrs) 15 Attic	Other (describe)	S No F ical for the mark nal factors (eas Unit Cco Unit Cco M Unit Basen Const. Basen Const. Basen Const. Basen Da Evider Da Heatin Ou Evider Da Evider Da Heatin Ou Evider Da Heatin Ou Evider Da Heatin Coolin Unit Coolin Co	Water Sanitary Sew EMA Flood Zone et area? X sements, encroach FOUND oncrete Slab II Basement II Basement II Basement II Finish Itside Entry/Exit nce of Infesta ampness II X FWA II II Stwasher II X Central A dividual II Rooms ypical feature eport. airs, deterioration,	Public C Image: Comparison of the system Image: Comparison of the system Yes No If N Yes No If N ments, environmental Image: Comparison of the system Image: Comparison of the system ATION Crawl Space Image: Comparison of the system Partial Basement sq. ft. 0 % Image: Comparison of the system Sump Pump ation Settlement HWBB Radiant Gas Image: Comparison of the system Other Oisposal Microw 3 Bedrooms Ses and amenitie renovations, remodeli Image: Comparison of the system	Ther (describe) FEMA Map # o, describe. conditions, land Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Down Window Type Storm Sash/Ins Screens Amenities Fireplace(s X Patio/Deck Pool Non vave Was is for the su ing, etc.). C4	26099C04	Off-site Improve Street Concre Alley None D9H FEM Yes X No naterials/condition ete/Avg Vinyl/Avg ngle/Avg Nog Vinyl/Avg Nog Vg vg vg vg vg vg vg vg vg vg v	INTERIO Floors Walls Trim/Finis Bath Floo Bath Wain Car Stora X Drive Driveway Cargo Att. an/Hoo e Feet of C tems of	Type Public Construction Constr	2 rials/condition Avg /Avg c/Avg
Utilities Public Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazz Are the utilities and off-site Are there any adverse site Units X One Units X One # of Stories 1.5 Type X Det. /X Existing Propo Design (Style) Bungald Year Built 1940 Effective Age (Yrs) 15 Attic Drop Stair Floor Finished Appliances Refrige Finished area above grad Additional features (special considered in the	Other (describe)	S No F ical for the mark nal factors (eas Unit Ca Unit Ca Unit Ca Evider Const. Basen Const. Basen Control Const. Basen Control Const. Basen Control Const. Basen Control Control Const. Coolin	Water Sanitary Sew EMA Flood Zone (EMA Flood Zone (EMA Flood Zone (EMA Flood Zone (EMA) (E	Public C Image: Comparison of the system of the	Dther (describe) FEMA Map # o, describe. conditions, land Exterior walls Roof Surface Gutters & Down Window Type Storm Sash/Ins Screens Amenities Fireplace(s X Patio/Deck Pool Non vave was ans for the sul ing, etc.). C4	26099C04 uses, etc.)? SCRIPTION n Ills Concr Brick/ A/Shir rspouts Alumin Vinyl/, ulated Yes/A Yes/A Yes/A W bjects market l;No updates depreciation	Off-site Improve Street Concre Alley None D9H FEM Yes X No naterials/condition ete/Avg Vinyl/Avg ngle/Avg vg vg vg oodStove(s) #0 ence Cyclone orch Concrete ther None Dther (describe) F 1,006 Squar et area. Only i s in the prior 1 is based on 1	INTERIO Floors Walls Trim/Finis Bath Floo Bath Wain Car Stora X Drive Driveway X Garay Carpe Carpe Carpe Carpe Att. an/Hoo e Feet of C terns of 5 years the age	Type Publ X Le 12/04/201 describe. R mate Wood// Drywall sh Wood// r Cerami inscot Cerami inscot Cerami inge None way # of Cars Surface Concr ge # of Cars Surface Concr Gross Living Area s;At the time /life method.	2 rials/condition Avg //Avg c/Avg
Utilities Public Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazz Are the utilities and off-site Are there any adverse site Units X One # of Stories 1.5 Type X Det. # of Stories 1.5 Attic	Other (describe)	S No F ical for the mark nal factors (eas Unit Ca Unit Ca Unit Ca Evider Const. Basen Const. Basen Control Const. Coolin	Water Sanitary Sew EMA Flood Zone Ket area? X sements, encroach FOUND oncrete Slab II Basement II Basement II Basement II Basement II Finish Utside Entry/Exit nce of Infesta ampness II S II S Central A dividual II S shwasher X II Rooms ypical feature eport. airs, deterioration, d in average yeals no exte	Public C X Yes No If N ments, environmental ATION Crawl Space Partial Basement sq. ft. 0% Sump Pump ation Settlement HWBB Radiant fuel Gas ir Conditioning Other Disposal Microw 3 Bedrooms es and amenitie renovations, remodelio overall conditio rnal obsolescer	EXTERIOR DE FEMA Map # o, describe. conditions, land Exterior walls Roof Surface Gutters & Down Window Type Storm Sash/Ins Screens Amenities Fireplace(s X Patio/Deck Pool Non vave Was Storm the sul Ing, etc.). C4 n. Physical nce. Inspect	26099C04 uses, etc.)? SCRIPTION n Ills Concr Brick/ A/Shir rspouts Alumin Vinyl/, ulated Yes/A Yes/A Yes/A W bjects market l;No updates depreciation	Off-site Improve Street Concre Alley None D9H FEM Yes X No naterials/condition ete/Avg Vinyl/Avg ngle/Avg vg vg vg oodStove(s) #0 ence Cyclone orch Concrete ther None Dther (describe) F 1,006 Squar et area. Only i s in the prior 1 is based on 1	INTERIO Floors Walls Trim/Finis Bath Floo Bath Wain Car Stora X Drive Driveway X Garay Carpe Carpe Carpe Carpe Att. an/Hoo e Feet of C terns of 5 years the age	Type Publ X Le 12/04/201 describe. R mate Wood// Drywall sh Wood// r Cerami inscot Cerami inscot Cerami inge None way # of Cars Surface Concr ge # of Cars Surface Concr Gross Living Area s;At the time /life method.	2 rials/condition Avg //Avg c/Avg
Utilities Public Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazz Are the utilities and off-site Are there any adverse site Units X One # of Stories 1.5 Type X Det. # of Stories 1.5 Attic	Other (describe)	S No F ical for the mark nal factors (eas Unit Ca Unit Ca Unit Ca Evider Const. Basen Const. Basen Control Const. Coolin	Water Sanitary Sew EMA Flood Zone Ket area? X sements, encroach FOUND oncrete Slab II Basement II Basement II Basement II Basement II Finish Utside Entry/Exit nce of Infesta ampness II S II S Central A dividual II S shwasher X II Rooms ypical feature eport. airs, deterioration, d in average yeals no exte	Public C X Yes No If N ments, environmental ATION Crawl Space Partial Basement sq. ft. 0% Sump Pump ation Settlement HWBB Radiant fuel Gas ir Conditioning Other Disposal Microw 3 Bedrooms es and amenitie renovations, remodelio overall conditio rnal obsolescer	EXTERIOR DE FEMA Map # o, describe. conditions, land Exterior walls Roof Surface Gutters & Down Window Type Storm Sash/Ins Screens Amenities Fireplace(s X Patio/Deck Pool Non vave Was Storm the sul Ing, etc.). C4 n. Physical nce. Inspect	26099C04 uses, etc.)? SCRIPTION n Ills Concr Brick/ A/Shir rspouts Alumin Vinyl/, ulated Yes/A Yes/A Yes/A W bjects market l;No updates depreciation	Off-site Improve Street Concre Alley None D9H FEM Yes X No naterials/condition ete/Avg Vinyl/Avg ngle/Avg vg vg vg oodStove(s) #0 ence Cyclone orch Concrete ther None Dther (describe) F 1,006 Squar et area. Only i s in the prior 1 is based on 1	INTERIO Floors Walls Trim/Finis Bath Floo Bath Wain Car Stora X Drive Driveway Carpon Carpon Att. an/Hoo e Feet of C terns of 5 years the age	Type Publ X Le 12/04/201 describe. R mate Wood// Drywall sh Wood// r Cerami inscot Cerami inscot Cerami inge None way # of Cars Surface Concr ge # of Cars Surface Concr Gross Living Area s;At the time /life method.	2 rials/condition Avg /Avg c/Avg
Utilities Public Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazz Are the utilities and off-site Are there any adverse site Units X One units X One units X One y Det. y X Existing Propo Design (Style) Bungalo Year Built 1940 Effective Age (Yrs) 15 Attic Drop Stair Floor Finished Appliances Refrige Finished area above grad Additional features (special considered in the o Describe the condition of time at a obsolescence. All	Other (describe)	S X No F ical for the mark nal factors (eas Unit Co X Fu nd Unit Basen Const. Basen Const. Basen Da Evider Da Evider Da Heatin Ou Coolin Ou Coolin Da Heatin Ou Coolin Da Heatin Ou Coolin Da Heatin Ou Coolin Cooli	Water Sanitary Sew EMA Flood Zone (et area? X) sements, encroach Doncrete Slab III Basement Dent Area 676 nent Finish Juside Entry/Exit Ince of Infesta ampness 9 Infesta ampness 9 Infesta Infesta ampness 9 Infesta	Public C X Yes No If N ments, environmental ATION Crawl Space Partial Basement sq. ft. 0% Sump Pump ation Settlement HWBB Radiant ir Conditioning Other Disposal Microw 3 Bedrooms es and amenitie renovations, remodeli overall conditio rrnal obsolescen n and check as	EXTERIOR DE FEMA Map # o, describe. conditions, land EXTERIOR DE Foundation Wa Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Dowr Window Type Storm Sash/Ins Screens Amenities Fireplace(s X Patio/Deck Pool Non wave Was Stor the sul Ing, etc.). C4 n. Physical nce. Inspect working.	26099C04 uses, etc.)? SCRIPTION m Ills Concr Brick/' A/Shir nspouts Alumin Vinyl// sulated Yes/A Yes/A Yes/A () # 0 X Fe Deck X Pe Deck X Pe Deck X Pe Deck X Pe Deck X Pe bjects market LO Bath(s) bjects market	Off-site Improve Street Concre Alley None D9H FEM Pyes X No Paterials/condition ete/Avg VinyI/Avg ngle/Avg ngle/Avg ngle/Avg oodStove(s) #0 ence Cyclone orch Concrete ther None Dther (describe) F 1,006 Squar et area. Only if is in the prior 1 is based on the vements reve	ements— ete AA Map Da If Yes, o If Yes, o IntERIO Floors Walls Trim/Finis Bath Floo Bath Waii Car Stora Bath Vaii Car Stora Driveway Car Stora Carpo Att. an/Hoo e Feet of C terms of 5 years the age als no f	Type Publ X Le 12/04/201 describe. R mate Wood// Drywall sh Wood// r Cerami inscot Cerami inscot Cerami inge None way # of Cars Surface Concr ge # of Cars Surface Concr Gross Living Area s;At the time /life method.	2 rials/condition Avg /Avg c/Avg
Utilities Public Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazz Are the utilities and off-site Are there any adverse site Units X One # of Stories 1.5 Type X Det. # of Stories 1.5 Attic	Other (describe)	S X No F ical for the mark nal factors (eas Unit Co X Fu nd Unit Basen Const. Basen Const. Basen Da Evider Da Evider Da Heatin Ou Coolin Ou Coolin Da Heatin Ou Coolin Da Heatin Ou Coolin Da Heatin Ou Coolin Cooli	Water Sanitary Sew EMA Flood Zone (et area? X) sements, encroach Doncrete Slab III Basement Dent Area 676 nent Finish Juside Entry/Exit Ince of Infesta ampness 9 Infesta ampness 9 Infesta Infesta ampness 9 Infesta	Public C X Yes No If N ments, environmental ATION Crawl Space Partial Basement sq. ft. 0% Sump Pump ation Settlement HWBB Radiant ir Conditioning Other Disposal Microw 3 Bedrooms es and amenitie renovations, remodeli overall conditio rrnal obsolescen n and check as	EXTERIOR DE FEMA Map # o, describe. conditions, land EXTERIOR DE Foundation Wa Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Dowr Window Type Storm Sash/Ins Screens Amenities Fireplace(s X Patio/Deck Pool Non wave Was Stor the sul Ing, etc.). C4 n. Physical nce. Inspect working.	26099C04 uses, etc.)? SCRIPTION m Ills Concr Brick/' A/Shir nspouts Alumin Vinyl// sulated Yes/A Yes/A Yes/A () # 0 X Fe Deck X Pe Deck X Pe Deck X Pe Deck X Pe Deck X Pe bjects market LO Bath(s) bjects market	Off-site Improve Street Concre Alley None D9H FEM Yes X No naterials/condition ete/Avg Vinyl/Avg ngle/Avg vg vg vg oodStove(s) #0 ence Cyclone orch Concrete ther None Dther (describe) F 1,006 Squar et area. Only i s in the prior 1 is based on 1	ements— ete AA Map Da If Yes, o If Yes, o IntERIO Floors Walls Trim/Finis Bath Floo Bath Waii Car Stora Bath Vaii Car Stora Driveway Car Stora Carpo Att. an/Hoo e Feet of C terms of 5 years the age als no f	Type Public Concentration of Caramination of C	2 rials/condition Avg //Avg c/Avg
Utilities Public Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazz Are the utilities and off-site Are there any adverse site Units X One units X One units X One y Det. y X Existing Propo Design (Style) Bungalo Year Built 1940 Effective Age (Yrs) 15 Attic Drop Stair Floor Finished Appliances Refrige Finished area above grad Additional features (special considered in the o Describe the condition of time at a obsolescence. All	Other (describe)	S X No F ical for the mark nal factors (eas Unit Co X Fu nd Unit Basen Const. Basen Const. Basen Da Evider Da Evider Da Heatin Ou Coolin Ou Coolin Da Heatin Ou Coolin Da Heatin Ou Coolin Da Heatin Ou Coolin Cooli	Water Sanitary Sew EMA Flood Zone (et area? X) sements, encroach Doncrete Slab III Basement Dent Area 676 nent Finish Juside Entry/Exit Ince of Infesta ampness 9 Infesta ampness 9 Infesta Infesta ampness 9 Infesta	Public C X Yes No If N ments, environmental ATION Crawl Space Partial Basement sq. ft. 0% Sump Pump ation Settlement HWBB Radiant ir Conditioning Other Disposal Microw 3 Bedrooms es and amenitie renovations, remodeli overall conditio rrnal obsolescen n and check as	EXTERIOR DE FEMA Map # o, describe. conditions, land EXTERIOR DE Foundation Wa Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Dowr Window Type Storm Sash/Ins Screens Amenities Fireplace(s X Patio/Deck Pool Non wave Was Stor the sul Ing, etc.). C4 n. Physical nce. Inspect working.	26099C04 uses, etc.)? SCRIPTION m Ills Concr Brick/' A/Shir nspouts Alumin Vinyl// sulated Yes/A Yes/A Yes/A () # 0 X Fe Deck X Pe Deck X Pe Deck X Pe Deck X Pe Deck X Pe bjects market LO Bath(s) bjects market	Off-site Improve Street Concre Alley None D9H FEM Pyes X No Paterials/condition ete/Avg VinyI/Avg ngle/Avg ngle/Avg ngle/Avg oodStove(s) #0 ence Cyclone orch Concrete ther None Dther (describe) F 1,006 Squar et area. Only if is in the prior 1 is based on the vements reve	ements— ete AA Map Da If Yes, o If Yes, o IntERIO Floors Walls Trim/Finis Bath Floo Bath Waii Car Stora Bath Vaii Car Stora Driveway Car Stora Carpo Att. an/Hoo e Feet of C terms of 5 years the age als no f	Type Public Concentration of Caramination of C	2 rials/condition Avg //Avg c/Avg
Utilities Public Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazz Are the utilities and off-site Are there any adverse site Units X One units X One units X One y Det. y X Existing Propo Design (Style) Bungalo Year Built 1940 Effective Age (Yrs) 15 Attic Drop Stair Floor Finished Appliances Refrige Finished area above grad Additional features (special considered in the o Describe the condition of time at a obsolescence. All	Other (describe)	S X No F ical for the mark nal factors (eas Unit Co X Fu nd Unit Basen Const. Basen Const. Basen Da Evider Da Evider Da Heatin Ou Coolin Ou Coolin Da Heatin Ou Coolin Da Heatin Ou Coolin Da Heatin Ou Coolin Cooli	Water Sanitary Sew EMA Flood Zone (et area? X) sements, encroach Doncrete Slab III Basement Dent Area 676 nent Finish Juside Entry/Exit Ince of Infesta ampness 9 Infesta ampness 9 Infesta Infesta ampness 9 Infesta	Public C X Yes No If N ments, environmental ATION Crawl Space Partial Basement sq. ft. 0% Sump Pump ation Settlement HWBB Radiant ir Conditioning Other Disposal Microw 3 Bedrooms es and amenitie renovations, remodeli overall conditio rrnal obsolescen n and check as	EXTERIOR DE FEMA Map # o, describe. conditions, land EXTERIOR DE Foundation Wa Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Dowr Window Type Storm Sash/Ins Screens Amenities Fireplace(s X Patio/Deck Pool Non wave Was ing, etc.). C4 n. Physical nce. Inspect working.	26099C04 uses, etc.)? SCRIPTION m Ills Concr Brick/' A/Shir nspouts Alumin Vinyl// sulated Yes/A Yes/A Yes/A () # 0 X Fe Deck X Pe Deck X Pe Deck X Pe Deck X Pe Deck X Pe bjects market LO Bath(s) bjects market	Off-site Improve Street Concre Alley None D9H FEM Pyes X No Paterials/condition ete/Avg VinyI/Avg ngle/Avg ngle/Avg ngle/Avg oodStove(s) #0 ence Cyclone orch Concrete ther None Dther (describe) F 1,006 Squar et area. Only if is in the prior 1 is based on the vements reve	ements— ete AA Map Da If Yes, o If Yes, o IntERIO Floors Walls Trim/Finis Bath Floo Bath Waii Car Stora Bath Vaii Car Stora Driveway Car Stora Carpo Att. an/Hoo e Feet of C terms of 5 years the age als no f	Type Public Concentration of Caramination of C	2 rials/condition Avg //Avg c/Avg
Utilities Public Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazz Are the utilities and off-site Are there any adverse site Units X One units X One units X One y Det. y X Existing Propo Design (Style) Bungalo Year Built 1940 Effective Age (Yrs) 15 Attic Drop Stair Floor Finished Appliances Refrige Finished area above grad Additional features (special considered in the o Describe the condition of time at a obsolescence. All	Other (describe)	S No F ical for the mark nal factors (eas Unit Cc Unit Cc Unit Basen Const. Basen Do Evider Coolin Do Evider Evider Do Evider Do Evider Do Evider Do Evider Do Evider Do Evider Do Evider Do Evider Evider Coolin Evider Evide	Water Sanitary Sew EMA Flood Zone Ret area? X sements, encroach FOUND Doncrete Slab II Basement II Bas	Public C X Yes No If N ments, environmental ATION Crawl Space Partial Basement sq. ft. 0 % Sump Pump ation Settlement HWBB Radiant ic Conditioning Other Disposal Microw 3 Bedrooms es and amenitie renovations, remodeli overall conditio rmal obsolescer n and check as y, soundness, or struc	Exterior Walls Conditions, land EXTERIOR DE Foundation Wa Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Down Window Type Storm Sash/Ins Screens Amenities Fireplace(s X Patio/Deck Pool Non vave Was ing, etc.). C4 n. Physical nce. Inspect working.	26099C04 uses, etc.)? SCRIPTION m Ills Concr Brick/' A/Shir nspouts Alumin Vinyl// sulated Yes/A Yes/A Yes/A () # 0 X Fe Deck X Pe Deck X Pe Deck X Pe Deck X Pe Deck X Pe bjects market LO Bath(s) bjects market	Off-site Improve Street Concre Alley None D9H FEM Pyes X No Paterials/condition ete/Avg VinyI/Avg ngle/Avg ngle/Avg ngle/Avg oodStove(s) #0 ence Cyclone orch Concrete ther None Dther (describe) F 1,006 Squar et area. Only if is in the prior 1 is based on the vements reve	ements— ete IA Map Da If Yes, o INTERIO Floors Walls Trim/Finis Bath Floo Bath Wain Car Stora Math Car Stora Carpo Driveway Carpo Carpo Att. an/Hoo e Feet of C terns of IS years the age als no f	Type Public Concentration of Caramination of C	2 rials/condition Avg /Avg c/Avg
Utilities Public Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazz Are the utilities and off-site Are there any adverse site Units X One Units X One # of Stories 1.5 Type X Det. /X Existing Propo Design (Style) Bungala Year Built 1940 Effective Age (Yrs) 15 Attic	Other (describe)	S No F ical for the mark nal factors (eas Unit Cc Unit Cc Unit Basen Const. Basen Do Evider Coolin Do Evider Evider Do Evider Do Evider Do Evider Do Evider Do Evider Do Evider Do Evider Do Evider Evider Coolin Evider Evide	Water Sanitary Sew EMA Flood Zone Ret area? X sements, encroach FOUND Doncrete Slab II Basement II Bas	Public C X Yes No If N ments, environmental ATION Crawl Space Partial Basement sq. ft. 0 % Sump Pump ation Settlement HWBB Radiant ic Conditioning Other Disposal Microw 3 Bedrooms es and amenitie renovations, remodeli overall conditio rmal obsolescer n and check as y, soundness, or struc	Exterior Walls Conditions, land EXTERIOR DE Foundation Wa Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Down Window Type Storm Sash/Ins Screens Amenities Fireplace(s X Patio/Deck Pool Non vave Was ing, etc.). C4 n. Physical nce. Inspect working.	26099C044 uses, etc.)? SCRIPTION n Ills Concr Brick/' A/Shir hspouts Alumin Vinyl// sulated Yes/A Yes/A Yes/A Deck XPr her/Dryer X0 her/Dryer X0 her/Dryer X0 her/Dryer X0 her/or property?	Off-site Improve Street Concre Alley None D9H FEM Yes X No naterials/condition ete/Avg Vinyl/Avg ngle/Avg vg vg oodStove(s) #0 ence Cyclone orch Concrete ther None Dther (describe) F 1,006 Squar et area. Only i is in the prior 1 is based on the vements reve	ements— ete IA Map Da If Yes, o INTERIO Floors Walls Trim/Finis Bath Floo Bath Wain Car Stora Math Car Stora Carpo Driveway Carpo Carpo Att. an/Hoo e Feet of C terns of IS years the age als no f	Type Public Concentration of Caramination of C	2 rials/condition Avg /Avg c/Avg
Utilities Public Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazz Are the utilities and off-site Are there any adverse site Units X One Units X One # of Stories 1.5 Type X Det. /X Existing Propo Design (Style) Bungala Year Built 1940 Effective Age (Yrs) 15 Attic	Other (describe)	S No F ical for the mark nal factors (eas Unit Cc Unit Cc Unit Basen Const. Basen Do Evider Coolin Do Evider Evider Do Evider Do Evider Do Evider Do Evider Do Evider Do Evider Do Evider Do Evider Evider Coolin Evider Evide	Water Sanitary Sew EMA Flood Zone Ret area? X sements, encroach FOUND Doncrete Slab II Basement II Bas	Public C X Yes No If N ments, environmental ATION Crawl Space Partial Basement sq. ft. 0 % Sump Pump ation Settlement HWBB Radiant ic Conditioning Other Disposal Microw 3 Bedrooms es and amenitie renovations, remodeli overall conditio rmal obsolescer n and check as y, soundness, or struc	Exterior Walls Conditions, land EXTERIOR DE Foundation Wa Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Down Window Type Storm Sash/Ins Screens Amenities Fireplace(s X Patio/Deck Pool Non vave Was ing, etc.). C4 n. Physical nce. Inspect working.	26099C044 uses, etc.)? SCRIPTION n Ills Concr Brick/' A/Shir hspouts Alumin Vinyl// sulated Yes/A Yes/A Yes/A Deck XPr her/Dryer X0 her/Dryer X0 her/Dryer X0 her/Dryer X0 her/or property?	Off-site Improve Street Concre Alley None D9H FEM Yes X No naterials/condition ete/Avg Vinyl/Avg ngle/Avg vg vg oodStove(s) #0 ence Cyclone orch Concrete ther None Dther (describe) F 1,006 Squar et area. Only i is in the prior 1 is based on the vements reve	ements— ete IA Map Da If Yes, o INTERIO Floors Walls Trim/Finis Bath Floo Bath Wain Car Stora Math Car Stora Carpo Driveway Carpo Carpo Att. an/Hoo e Feet of C terns of IS years the age als no f	Type Public Concentration of Caramination of C	2 rials/condition Avg //Avg c/Avg
Utilities Public Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazz Are the utilities and off-site Are there any adverse site Units X One # of Stories 1.5 Type X Det. # of Stories 1.5 Type X Det. Val Existing Propo Design (Style) Bungald Year Built 1940 Effective Age (Yrs) 15 Attic Drop Stair Ploor Finished Appliances Refrige Finished area above grave Additional features (speciation of steering of s	Other (describe)	S No F ical for the mark nal factors (eas Unit Cc Unit Cc Unit Basen Const. Basen Do Evider Coolin Do Evider Evider Do Evider Do Evider Do Evider Do Evider Do Evider Do Evider Do Evider Do Evider Evider Coolin Evider Evide	Water Sanitary Sew EMA Flood Zone Ret area? X sements, encroach FOUND Doncrete Slab II Basement II Bas	Public C X Yes No If N ments, environmental ATION Crawl Space Partial Basement sq. ft. 0 % Sump Pump ation Settlement HWBB Radiant ic Conditioning Other Disposal Microw 3 Bedrooms es and amenitie renovations, remodeli overall conditio rmal obsolescer n and check as y, soundness, or struc	Exterior Walls Conditions, land EXTERIOR DE Foundation Wa Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Down Window Type Storm Sash/Ins Screens Amenities Fireplace(s X Patio/Deck Pool Non vave Was ing, etc.). C4 n. Physical nce. Inspect working.	26099C044 uses, etc.)? SCRIPTION n Ills Concr Brick/' A/Shir hspouts Alumin Vinyl// sulated Yes/A Yes/A Yes/A Deck XPr her/Dryer X0 her/Dryer X0 her/Dryer X0 her/Dryer X0 her/or property?	Off-site Improve Street Concre Alley None D9H FEM Yes X No naterials/condition ete/Avg Vinyl/Avg ngle/Avg vg vg oodStove(s) #0 ence Cyclone orch Concrete ther None Dther (describe) F 1,006 Squar et area. Only i is in the prior 1 is based on the vements reve	ements— ete IA Map Da If Yes, o INTERIO Floors Walls Trim/Finis Bath Floo Bath Wain Car Stora Math Car Stora Carpo Driveway Carpo Carpo Att. an/Hoo e Feet of C terns of IS years the age als no f	Type Public Concentration of Caramination of C	2 rials/condition Avg /Avg c/Avg

Order ID #ANS-221360

Uniform Residential Appraisal Report

		L	Jniform R	es	idential A	ppra	isal Re	eport	File No	1705211	_A101
	rable prop	perties currently of	fered for sale in the s	ubjec	t neighborhood rang	ng in price fr			119,000		
			eighborhood within the					85,000	to \$ 127	/	
FEATURE		SUBJECT	COMPARA				MPARABLE S	SALE NO. 2		MPARABLE S	
21520 Lakeview St Address Saint Clair S			21908 Trombl Saint Clair Sh				Colony St	s, MI 48080		lackburn	5t 5, MI 48080
Proximity to Subject		1011 40000	0.59 miles NE		5, IVII 40000	0.25 mil		5, IVII 40000	0.60 mile		5, IVII 40000
Sale Price	\$			\$	107,000	0.20 mil	\$	89,900	0.00 11110	\$	88,000
Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$	0.00 sq. ft.	\$ 103.48 sq. ft	-	,	\$ 85.6	52 sq. ft.		\$ 98.5	4 sq. ft.	
Data Source(s)			Real #580313		11;DOM 13			48;DOM 39		6063877	;DOM 13
Verification Source(s)			Real,Assesso	r & F	PRD	Real,As	sessor & I	PRD	Real,Ass	sessor & F	PRD
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DE	SCRIPTION	DESCRIPTION		+(-) \$ Adjustment		RIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCR	IPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment
Sale or Financing			ArmLth			ArmLth			ArmLth		
Concessions			Cash;0	,		Conv;26		0	Conv;0	00/40	
Date of Sale/Time Location	N;Res		s04/17;c04/17 N;Res;			s05/17;o N;Res;	03/17		s06/16;c N;Res;	06/16	
Leasehold/Fee Simple	Fee S	,	Fee Simple			Fee Sin	nle		Fee Sim	nle	
Site	6170		4356 sf		0	6534 sf	ipic	0	4792 sf	pic	0
View	N;Res		N;Res;			N;Res;			N;Res;		
Design (Style)		;Bungalow	DT1.5;Bungal	ow		,	Sungalow		DT1.5;B	ungalow	
Quality of Construction	Q4		Q4			Q4			Q4		
Actual Age	77		77			77			58		0
Condition	C4		C4			C4	_		C4		
Above Grade Room Count	Total Bdr		Total Bdrms. Bath			Total Bdrms.	Baths 1.0	0	Total Bdrms.	Baths 1.0	
Gross Living Area 25.00		1,006 sq. ft.	5 3 1.0 1,034 s		0		,050 sq. ft.	0	5 3	1.0 893 sq. ft.	3,000
Basement & Finished	676sf		689sf288sfin	-9.11.	0	500sf0s			645sf50		0,000
Rooms Below Grade			1rr0br0.0ba1c)	-1,000				1rr0br0.0		-1,000
Functional Utility	Avera		Average			Average			Average		·
Heating/Cooling	Gfa/C	entral	Gfa/Central			Gfa/Cer			Gfa/Cen		
Energy Efficient Items	Insul \		Insul Wind			Insul W	ind		Insul Wi	nd	
Garage/Carport	2gd2d		2gd2dw			2gd			1gd1dw		1,000
Porch/Patio/Deck	Deck,	Fence	Patio,Fence		0	Fence		1,000	Deck,Fe	nce	
Net Adjustment (Total)			+ X-	\$	1,000	(X) +	<u>-</u> \$	2,000	(X) +	\$	3,000
Adjusted Sale Price			Net Adj0.9%	5	,	Net Adj.	2.2%	,	Net Adj.	3.4%	,
of Comparables			Gross Adj. 0.9%	\$	106,000	Gross Adj.	2.2% \$	91,900	Gross Adj.	5.7% \$	91,000
I X did did not res	search the	sale or transfer h	istory of the subject p	roper	ty and comparable s	ales. If not, e	xplain				
My research X did	did not r		es or transfers of the	cubio	et proporty for the th	roo voore pri	or to the offeet	tive data of this appr	aical		
Data source(s) MLS,A				subje		iee years pri		live date of this apple	11501.		
			es or transfers of the	comp	arable sales for the	year prior to	the date of sal	e of the comparable	sale.		
Data source(s) MLS,A						/					
Report the results of the res	search and	d analysis of the p	rior sale or transfer hi	story	of the subject proper	ty and comp	arable sales (i	report additional prio	r sales on pa	ge 3).	
ITEM			BJECT		COMPARABLE SA	LE NO. 1	COMF	PARABLE SALE NO.	2	COMPARABI	E SALE NO. 3
Date of Prior Sale/Transfer		06/19/2015									
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer		\$0 Real,Assess		Po	al,Assessor &		Bool Ac	sessor & PRD	Bog	al.Assesso	
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sour	ro(s)	05/31/2017			/31/2017	FKD	05/31/20			31/2017	
Analysis of prior sale or tran	()		roperty and compara				03/31/20	017	00/	51/2017	
The subject's prope						in the la	st 12 mon	ths.			
Prior sales of the c											
All sales verified cl	osed by	y local multi-	list services.								
Summary of Sales Compar	ison Appro	oach. See At	tached Addend	um							
Indicated Value by Sales C							100.000				<u></u>
Indicated Value by: Sale					ost Approach (if dev					veloped) \$ (
The high quality of approach to value			e sales compar	ISOF	i approach der	nonstrate	es ils viadi	inty as the des	value in	uicator, I	ne cost
	was 110	, developed.									
This appraisal is made	X "as is "	' subject to	completion per plans	and	specifications on the	basis of a hv	pothetical con	dition that the improv	ements have	e been comple	eted.
subject to the following										the following	
inspection based on the ext											
				,							
Based on a complete vi							•			•	° .
conditions, and apprais									of this rep	ort is \$92	000
as of 05/31/2017		UAD Version 9/20	, which is the da		nspection and the using ACI software, 800.234.8			raisal.		Fannie Ma	e Form 1004 March 2005
ddie Mac Form 70 March 2005					Page 2 of 6						1004 05UAD 12182015

Fre

-			
_			
-			
-			
-			
-			
s-			
LN -			
INI/			
ō-			
AL			
NO			
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS			
AD			
-			
-			
-			
-			
-			
-			
-			
-			
	COST APPROACH TO VALU	E (not required by Fannie Mae)	
	COST APPROACH TO VALU Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculati	E (not required by Fannie Mae)	
_		ions.	
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculati	imating site value) Limited vacant land sales in the area with	st
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculati Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est	imating site value) Limited vacant land sales in the area with ken from land sales on the MLS that are considered to be mos	st
-	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculati Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est comparable size lots in existing subdivisions, therefore sale data tal similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess	imating site value) Limited vacant land sales in the area with ken from land sales on the MLS that are considered to be mos sor's records.	
-	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculati Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est comparable size lots in existing subdivisions, therefore sale data tal similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW	Ons. Limited vacant land sales in the area with ken from land sales on the MLS that are considered to be mos sor's records. OPINION OF SITE VALUE	17,500
-	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculati Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est comparable size lots in existing subdivisions, therefore sale data tal similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data localbuilder.net	Ons. Limited vacant land sales in the area with ken from land sales on the MLS that are considered to be mos sor's records. OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,006 Sq. Ft. @\$ 80.00	17,500 80,480
PROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculati Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est comparable size lots in existing subdivisions, therefore sale data tal similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data localbuilder.net Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 06/04/2017	Openalise Limited vacant land sales in the area with imating site value) Limited vacant land sales in the area with ken from land sales on the MLS that are considered to be mos sor's records. OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,006 Sq. Ft. @\$ Bsmt: 676 Sq. Ft. @\$ 25.00. = \$	17,500 80,480 16,900
APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculati Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est comparable size lots in existing subdivisions, therefore sale data tal similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data localbuilder.net Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 06/04/2017 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)	Openalise Limited vacant land sales in the area with imating site value) Limited vacant land sales in the area with ken from land sales on the MLS that are considered to be mos sor's records. OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,006 Sq. Ft. @ \$ Bsmt: 676 Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ 25.00	17,500 80,480 16,900 5,000
DST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculati Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est comparable size lots in existing subdivisions, therefore sale data tal similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data localbuilder.net Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 06/04/2017 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost figures developed from local builders and Verified by	Ons. Limited vacant land sales in the area with imating site value) Limited vacant land sales in the area with cen from land sales on the MLS that are considered to be mos sor's records. OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,006 Sq. Ft. @ \$ Bsmt: 676 Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ 25.00	17,500 80,480 16,900 5,000 0
COST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculati Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est comparable size lots in existing subdivisions, therefore sale data tal similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data localbuilder.net Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 06/04/2017 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost figures developed from local builders and Verified by appraisers files and local cost data. Physical depreciation is	Ons. Limited vacant land sales in the area with imating site value) Limited vacant land sales in the area with ken from land sales on the MLS that are considered to be mos sor's records. OPINION OF SITE VALUE OPINION OF SITE VALUE bwelling 1,006 Sq. Ft. @\$ 8 Bsmt: 676 Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ 25.00	17,500 80,480 16,900 5,000
COSTAPPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculati Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est comparable size lots in existing subdivisions, therefore sale data tal similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data localbuilder.net Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 06/04/2017 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost figures developed from local builders and Verified by appraisers files and local cost data. Physical depreciation is based on age/life method. Estimated Remaining Economic Life is	Ons. Limited vacant land sales in the area with imating site value) Limited vacant land sales in the area with ken from land sales on the MLS that are considered to be mos sor's records. OPINION OF SITE VALUE OPINION OF SITE VALUE Bsmt: 676 Sq. Ft. @ \$ Bsmt: 676 Sq. Ft. @ \$ Carage/Carport 0 Sq. Ft. @ \$ OOO Itess 85 Physical Functional	17,500 80,480 16,900 5,000 0 02,380
COSTAPPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculati Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est comparable size lots in existing subdivisions, therefore sale data tal similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data localbuilder.net Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 06/04/2017 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost figures developed from local builders and Verified by appraisers files and local cost data. Physical depreciation is	Ons. imating site value) Limited vacant land sales in the area with Ken from land sales on the MLS that are considered to be mossor's records. OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ Dwelling 1,006 Sq. Ft. @\$ 80.00= \$ Bsmt: 676 Sq. Ft. @\$ 25.00= \$ Addt'I Amenities	17,500 80,480 16,900 5,000 02,380 18,067)
COSTAPPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculati Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est comparable size lots in existing subdivisions, therefore sale data tal similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data localbuilder.net Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 06/04/2017 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost figures developed from local builders and Verified by appraisers files and local cost data. Physical depreciation is based on age/life method. Estimated Remaining Economic Life is	Ons. imating site value) Limited vacant land sales in the area with Ken from land sales on the MLS that are considered to be mossor's records. OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ Dwelling 1,006 Sq. Ft. @ \$ 80.00	17,500 80,480 16,900 5,000 0 02,380
COSTAPPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculati Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est comparable size lots in existing subdivisions, therefore sale data tal similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data localbuilder.net Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 06/04/2017 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost figures developed from local builders and Verified by appraisers files and local cost data. Physical depreciation is based on age/life method. Estimated Remaining Economic Life is	Ons. imating site value) Limited vacant land sales in the area with Ken from land sales on the MLS that are considered to be mossor's records. OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ Dwelling 1,006 Sq. Ft. @\$ 80.00= \$ Bsmt: 676 Sq. Ft. @\$ 25.00= \$ Addt'I Amenities	17,500 80,480 16,900 5,000 02,380 18,067) 84,313
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculati Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est comparable size lots in existing subdivisions, therefore sale data tal similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data localbuilder.net Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 06/04/2017 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost figures developed from local builders and Verified by appraisers files and local cost data. Physical depreciation is based on age/life method. Estimated Remaining Economic Life is 64 years. Not required by Fannie Mae.	ons. imating site value) Limited vacant land sales in the area with cen from land sales on the MLS that are considered to be mos sor's records. OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ Dwelling 1,006 Sq. Ft. @ \$ 80.00	17,500 80,480 16,900 5,000 02,380 18,067) 84,313
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculati Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est comparable size lots in existing subdivisions, therefore sale data tal similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data localbuilder.net Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 06/04/2017 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost figures developed from local builders and Verified by appraisers files and local cost data. Physical depreciation is based on age/life method. Estimated Remaining Economic Life is 64 years. Not required by Fannie Mae.	ons. imating site value) Limited vacant land sales in the area with ken from land sales on the MLS that are considered to be mos sor's records. OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,006 Sq. Ft. @ \$ 80.00	17,500 80,480 16,900 5,000 02,380 18,067) 84,313 5,000
COSTAPPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculati Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est comparable size lots in existing subdivisions, therefore sale data tal similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data localbuilder.net Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 06/04/2017 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost figures developed from local builders and Verified by appraisers files and local cost data. Physical depreciation is based on age/life method. Estimated Remaining Economic Life is 64 years. Not required by Fannie Mae. To Years Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 70 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier = 3	Ons. Limited vacant land sales in the area with cen from land sales on the MLS that are considered to be mossor's records. OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ Dwelling 1,006 Sq. Ft. @ \$ 80.00	17,500 80,480 16,900 5,000 02,380 18,067) 84,313 5,000
OME COST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculati Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est comparable size lots in existing subdivisions, therefore sale data tal similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data localbuilder.net Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 06/04/2017 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost figures developed from local builders and Verified by appraisers files and local cost data. Physical depreciation is based on age/life method. Estimated Remaining Economic Life is 64 years. Not required by Fannie Mae.	Ons. Limited vacant land sales in the area with cen from land sales on the MLS that are considered to be mossor's records. OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ Dwelling 1,006 Sq. Ft. @ \$ 80.00	17,500 80,480 16,900 5,000 02,380 18,067) 84,313 5,000
COSTAPPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculati Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est comparable size lots in existing subdivisions, therefore sale data tal similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data localbuilder.net Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 06/04/2017 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost figures developed from local builders and Verified by appraisers files and local cost data. Physical depreciation is based on age/life method. Estimated Remaining Economic Life is 64 years. Not required by Fannie Mae. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 70 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALI Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier = 3 Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)	ons. imating site value) Limited vacant land sales in the area with cen from land sales on the MLS that are considered to be mossor's records. OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ Dwelling 1,006 Sq. Ft. @ \$ 80.00	17,500 80,480 16,900 5,000 02,380 18,067) 84,313 5,000
INCOME COST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculati Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est comparable size lots in existing subdivisions, therefore sale data tal similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data localbuilder.net Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 06/04/2017 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost figures developed from local builders and Verified by appraisers files and local cost data. Physical depreciation is based on age/life method. Estimated Remaining Economic Life is 64 years. Not required by Fannie Mae. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 70 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALI Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier = \$ Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)	ons. imating site value) Limited vacant land sales in the area with ken from land sales on the MLS that are considered to be mos sor's records. OPINION OF SITE VALUE OPINION OF SITE VALUE Bsmt: 676 Sq. Ft.@\$ Bsmt: 676 Sq. Ft.@\$ Odd'I Amenities Garage/Carport 0 Sq. Ft.@\$ Otal Estimate of Cost-New Less 85 Physical Functional External Depreciation 18,067 = \$ "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH S Indicated Value by Income Approach	17,500 80,480 16,900 5,000 02,380 18,067) 84,313 5,000
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculati Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est comparable size lots in existing subdivisions, therefore sale data tal- similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data localbuilder.net Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 06/04/2017 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost figures developed from local builders and Verified by appraisers files and local cost data. Physical depreciation is based on age/life method. Estimated Remaining Economic Life is 64 years. Not required by Fannie Mae. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) TO Years Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATIOI Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes 1	ons. imating site value) Limited vacant land sales in the area with ken from land sales on the MLS that are considered to be mos sor's records. OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ Dwelling 1,006 Sq. Ft. @ \$ 80.00	17,500 80,480 16,900 5,000 02,380 18,067) 84,313 5,000
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculati Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est comparable size lots in existing subdivisions, therefore sale data tal similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data localbuilder.net Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 06/04/2017 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost figures developed from local builders and Verified by appraisers files and local cost data. Physical depreciation is based on age/life method. Estimated Remaining Economic Life is 64 years. Not required by Fannie Mae. INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier = \$ Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)	ons. imating site value) Limited vacant land sales in the area with ken from land sales on the MLS that are considered to be mos sor's records. OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ Dwelling 1,006 Sq. Ft. @ \$ 80.00	17,500 80,480 16,900 5,000 02,380 18,067) 84,313 5,000
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculati Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est comparable size lots in existing subdivisions, therefore sale data tal- similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data localbuilder.net Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 06/04/2017 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost figures developed from local builders and Verified by appraisers files and local cost data. Physical depreciation is based on age/life method. Estimated Remaining Economic Life is 64 years. Not required by Fannie Mae. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 70 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALI Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier = \$ Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes 1 Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA Legal name of project	ons. Limited vacant land sales in the area with ken from land sales on the MLS that are considered to be mos sor's records. OPINION OF SITE VALUE. OPINION OF SITE VALUE. state Dwelling 1,006 Sq. Ft.@\$ 80.00. state State OPINION OF SITE VALUE. state Sor's records. OPINION OF SITE VALUE. State OPINION OF SITE VALUE. OPINION OF SITE VALUE State State State State OPINION OF SITE VALUE State State State Bandtian State Ites State <	17,500 80,480 16,900 5,000 02,380 18,067) 84,313 5,000
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculati Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est comparable size lots in existing subdivisions, therefore sale data tal- similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data localbuilder.net Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 06/04/2017 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost figures developed from local builders and Verified by appraisers files and local cost data. Physical depreciation is based on age/life method. Estimated Remaining Economic Life is 64 years. Not required by Fannie Mae. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 70 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALI Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier = \$ Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes 1 Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA Legal name of project Total number of phases Total number of units	ons. Limited vacant land sales in the area with ken from land sales on the MLS that are considered to be mos sor's records. OPINION OF SITE VALUE. OPINION OF SITE VALUE. sor's records. Sor's records. Sor's records. OPINION OF SITE VALUE. Sor's records. Opereciated Cost of Improvements. Sor's 'value of Site Improvements. Sor's 'value of Site Improveme	17,500 80,480 16,900 5,000 02,380 18,067) 84,313 5,000
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculati Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est comparable size lots in existing subdivisions, therefore sale data tal similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPROBUCTION COST NEW Source of cost data localbuilder.net Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 06/04/2017 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost figures developed from local builders and Verified by appraisers files and local cost data. Physical depreciation is based on age/life method. Estimated Remaining Economic Life is 64 years. Not required by Fannie Mae. Stross Rent Multiplier = 3 Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)	ons. imating site value) Limited vacant land sales in the area with ken from land sales on the MLS that are considered to be mos sor's records. OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ Dwelling 1,006 Sq. Ft. @ \$ 80.00	17,500 80,480 16,900 5,000 02,380 18,067) 84,313 5,000
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculati Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est comparable size lots in existing subdivisions, therefore sale data tal similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data localbuilder.net Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 06/04/2017 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost figures developed from local builders and Verified by appraisers files and local cost data. Physical depreciation is based on age/life method. Estimated Remaining Economic Life is 64 years. Not required by Fannie Mae. Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier = \$ Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATIOF Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes 1 Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOM Legal name of project Total number of units Total number of units rented Was the project created by the conversion of an existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes	ons. Limited vacant land sales in the area with ken from land sales on the MLS that are considered to be mos sor's records. OPINION OF SITE VALUE. OPINION OF SITE VALUE. sor's records. Sor's records. Sor's records. OPINION OF SITE VALUE. Sor's records. Opereciated Cost of Improvements. Sor's 'value of Site Improvements. Sor's 'value of Site Improveme	17,500 80,480 16,900 5,000 02,380 18,067) 84,313 5,000
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculati Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est comparable size lots in existing subdivisions, therefore sale data tal similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess ESTIMATED	ons. Limited vacant land sales in the area with imating site value) Limited vacant land sales in the area with ken from land sales on the MLS that are considered to be mos sor's records. OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,006 Sq. Ft. @ \$ Bsmt: 676 Sq. Ft. @ \$ Garage/Carport 0 Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sarage/Carport 0 Sq. Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New = \$ Less 85 Physical Functional External Depreciation 18,067 = \$ 'As-is' Value of Site Improvements = \$ INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH = \$ INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH = \$ VFOR PUDs (if applicable) Indicated Value by Income Approach Vo Unit type(s) Detached Attached and the subject property is an attached dwelling unit. Total number of units sold Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conversion.	17,500 80,480 16,900 5,000 02,380 18,067) 84,313 5,000
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculati Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est comparable size lots in existing subdivisions, therefore sale data tal similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess ESTIMATED	ons. imating site value) Limited vacant land sales in the area with ken from land sales on the MLS that are considered to be mos sor's records. OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ Dwelling 1,006 Sq. Ft. @ \$ 80.00	17,500 80,480 16,900 5,000 02,380 18,067) 84,313 5,000
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculati Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est comparable size lots in existing subdivisions, therefore sale data tal similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess ESTIMATED	ons. Limited vacant land sales in the area with imating site value) Limited vacant land sales in the area with ken from land sales on the MLS that are considered to be mos sor's records. OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,006 Sq. Ft. @ \$ Bsmt: 676 Sq. Ft. @ \$ Garage/Carport 0 Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sarage/Carport 0 Sq. Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New = \$ Less 85 Physical Functional External Depreciation 18,067 = \$ 'As-is' Value of Site Improvements = \$ INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH = \$ INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH = \$ VFOR PUDs (if applicable) Indicated Value by Income Approach Vo Unit type(s) Detached Attached and the subject property is an attached dwelling unit. Total number of units sold Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conversion.	17,500 80,480 16,900 5,000 02,380 18,067) 84,313 5,000
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculati Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est comparable size lots in existing subdivisions, therefore sale data tal similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess ESTIMATED	imating site value) Limited vacant land sales in the area with imating site value) Limited vacant land sales in the area with cen from land sales on the MLS that are considered to be mossor's records. = \$ OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ Dwelling 1,006 Sq. Ft. @ \$ 80.00	17,500 80,480 16,900 5,000 02,380 18,067) 84,313 5,000
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculati Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est comparable size lots in existing subdivisions, therefore sale data tal- similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data localbuilder.net Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 06/04/2017 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost figures developed from local builders and Verified by appraisers files and local cost data. Physical depreciation is based on age/life method. Estimated Remaining Economic Life is 64 years. Not required by Fannie Mae. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 70 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALI Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier = \$ Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes 1 Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA Legal name of project Total number of units rented Total number of units Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of an existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes No I Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source(s) Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No I No II	imating site value) Limited vacant land sales in the area with imating site value) Limited vacant land sales in the area with cen from land sales on the MLS that are considered to be mossor's records. OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ Dwelling 1,006 Sq. Ft. @ \$ 80.00	17,500 80,480 16,900 5,000 02,380 18,067) 84,313 5,000
PUD INFORMATION COME INCOME COST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculati Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est comparable size lots in existing subdivisions, therefore sale data tal- similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data localbuilder.net Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 06/04/2017 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost figures developed from local builders and Verified by appraisers files and local cost data. Physical depreciation is based on age/life method. Estimated Remaining Economic Life is 64 years. Not required by Fannie Mae. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 70 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALI Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier = \$ Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes 1 Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA Legal name of project Total number of units rented Total number of units Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of an existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes No I Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source(s) Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No I No II	imating site value) Limited vacant land sales in the area with imating site value) Limited vacant land sales in the area with cen from land sales on the MLS that are considered to be mossor's records. OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ Dwelling 1,006 Sq. Ft. @ \$ 80.00	17,500 80,480 16,900 5,000 02,380 18,067) 84,313 5,000
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculati Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est comparable size lots in existing subdivisions, therefore sale data tal- similar to the subject property. Value can also be taken from assess ESTIMATED	ons. Limited vacant land sales in the area with imating site value) Limited vacant land sales in the area with xen from land sales on the MLS that are considered to be mossor's records. = \$ OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ Dwelling 1,006 Sq. Ft. @ \$ 80.00	17,500 80,480 16,900 5,000 02,380 18,067) 84,313 5,000 06,800

This report form is designed to report an appraisal of a one-unit property or a one-unit property with an accessory unit; including a unit in a planned unit development (PUD). This report form is not designed to report an appraisal of a manufactured home or a unit in a condominium or cooperative project.

This appraisal report is subject to the following scope of work, intended use, intended user, definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. Modifications, additions, or deletions to the intended use, intended user, definition of market value, or assumptions and limiting conditions are not permitted. The appraiser may expand the scope of work to include any additional research or analysis necessary based on the complexity of this appraisal assignment. Modifications or deletions to the certifications are also not permitted. However, additional certifications that do not constitute material alterations to this appraisal report, such as those required by law or those related to the appraiser's continuing education or membership in an appraisal organization, are permitted.

SCOPE OF WORK: The scope of work for this appraisal is defined by the complexity of this appraisal assignment and the reporting requirements of this appraisal report form, including the following definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. The appraiser must, at a minimum: (1) perform a complete visual inspection of the interior and exterior areas of the subject property, (2) inspect the neighborhood, (3) inspect each of the comparable sales from at least the street, (4) research, verify, and analyze data from reliable public and/or private sources, and (5) report his or her analysis, opinions, and conclusions in this appraisal report.

INTENDED USE: The intended use of this appraisal report is for the lender/client to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for a mortgage finance transaction.

INTENDED USER: The intended user of this appraisal report is the lender/client.

DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE: The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby: (1) buyer and seller are typically motivated; (2) both parties are well informed or well advised, and each acting in what he or she considers his or her own best interest; (3) a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market; (4) payment is made in terms of cash in U. S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and (5) the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions* granted by anyone associated with the sale.

*Adjustments to the comparables must be made for special or creative financing or sales concessions. No adjustments are necessary for those costs which are normally paid by sellers as a result of tradition or law in a market area; these costs are readily identifiable since the seller pays these costs in virtually all sales transactions. Special or creative financing adjustments can be made to the comparable property by comparisons to financing terms offered by a third party institutional lender that is not already involved in the property or transaction. Any adjustment should not be calculated on a mechanical dollar for dollar cost of the financing or concessions but the dollar amount of any adjustment should approximate the market's reaction to the financing or concessions based on the appraiser's judgment.

STATEMENT OF ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS: The appraiser's certification in this report is subject to the following assumptions and limiting conditions:

1. The appraiser will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature that affect either the property being appraised or the title to it, except for information that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. The appraiser assumes that the title is good and marketable and will not render any opinions about the title.

2. The appraiser has provided a sketch in this appraisal report to show the approximate dimensions of the improvements. The sketch is included only to assist the reader in visualizing the property and understanding the appraiser's determination of its size.

3. The appraiser has examined the available flood maps that are provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (or other data sources) and has noted in this appraisal report whether any portion of the subject site is located in an identified Special Flood Hazard Area. Because the appraiser is not a surveyor, he or she makes no guarantees, express or implied, regarding this determination.

4. The appraiser will not give testimony or appear in court because he or she made an appraisal of the property in question, unless specific arrangements to do so have been made beforehand, or as otherwise required by law.

5. The appraiser has noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. Unless otherwise stated in this appraisal report, the appraiser has no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent physical deficiencies or adverse conditions of the property (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) that would make the property less valuable, and has assumed that there are no such conditions and makes no guarantees or warranties, express or implied. The appraiser will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist. Because the appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, this appraisal report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property.

6. The appraiser has based his or her appraisal report and valuation conclusion for an appraisal that is subject to satisfactory completion, repairs, or alterations on the assumption that the completion, repairs, or alterations of the subject property will be performed in a professional manner.

••

APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

1. I have, at a minimum, developed and reported this appraisal in accordance with the scope of work requirements stated in this appraisal report.

2. I performed a complete visual inspection of the interior and exterior areas of the subject property. I reported the condition of the improvements in factual, specific terms. I identified and reported the physical deficiencies that could affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property.

3. I performed this appraisal in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.

4. I developed my opinion of the market value of the real property that is the subject of this report based on the sales comparison approach to value. I have adequate comparable market data to develop a reliable sales comparison approach for this appraisal assignment. I further certify that I considered the cost and income approaches to value but did not develop them, unless otherwise indicated in this report.

5. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on any current agreement for sale for the subject property, any offering for sale of the subject property in the twelve months prior to the effective date of this appraisal, and the prior sales of the subject property for a minimum of three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal, unless otherwise indicated in this report.

6. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on the prior sales of the comparable sales for a minimum of one year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale, unless otherwise indicated in this report.

7. I selected and used comparable sales that are locationally, physically, and functionally the most similar to the subject property.

8. I have not used comparable sales that were the result of combining a land sale with the contract purchase price of a home that has been built or will be built on the land.

9. I have reported adjustments to the comparable sales that reflect the market's reaction to the differences between the subject property and the comparable sales.

10. I verified, from a disinterested source, all information in this report that was provided by parties who have a financial interest in the sale or financing of the subject property.

11. I have knowledge and experience in appraising this type of property in this market area.

12. I am aware of, and have access to, the necessary and appropriate public and private data sources, such as multiple listing services, tax assessment records, public land records and other such data sources for the area in which the property is located.

13. I obtained the information, estimates, and opinions furnished by other parties and expressed in this appraisal report from reliable sources that I believe to be true and correct.

14. I have taken into consideration the factors that have an impact on value with respect to the subject neighborhood, subject property, and the proximity of the subject property to adverse influences in the development of my opinion of market value. I have noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that I became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. I have considered these adverse conditions in my analysis of the property value, and have reported on the effect of the conditions on the value and marketability of the subject property.

15. I have not knowingly withheld any significant information from this appraisal report and, to the best of my knowledge, all statements and information in this appraisal report are true and correct.

16. I stated in this appraisal report my own personal, unbiased, and professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions, which are subject only to the assumptions and limiting conditions in this appraisal report.

17. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and I have no present or prospective personal interest or bias with respect to the participants in the transaction. I did not base, either partially or completely, my analysis and/or opinion of market value in this appraisal report on the race, color, religion, sex, age, marital status, handicap, familial status, or national origin of either the prospective owners or occupants of the subject property or of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property or on any other basis prohibited by law.

18. My employment and/or compensation for performing this appraisal or any future or anticipated appraisals was not conditioned on any agreement or understanding, written or otherwise, that I would report (or present analysis supporting) a predetermined specific value, a predetermined minimum value, a range or direction in value, a value that favors the cause of any party, or the attainment of a specific result or occurrence of a specific subsequent event (such as approval of a pending mortgage loan application).

19. I personally prepared all conclusions and opinions about the real estate that were set forth in this appraisal report. If I relied on significant real property appraisal assistance from any individual or individuals in the performance of this appraisal or the preparation of this appraisal report, I have named such individual(s) and disclosed the specific tasks performed in this appraisal report. I certify that any individual so named is qualified to perform the tasks. I have not authorized anyone to make a change to any item in this appraisal report; therefore, any change made to this appraisal is unauthorized and I will take no responsibility for it.

20. I identified the lender/client in this appraisal report who is the individual, organization, or agent for the organization that ordered and will receive this appraisal report.

21. The lender/client may disclose or distribute this appraisal report to: the borrower; another lender at the request of the borrower; the mortgagee or its successors and assigns; mortgage insurers; government sponsored enterprises; other secondary market participants; data collection or reporting services; professional appraisal organizations; any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States; and any state, the District of Columbia, or other jurisdictions; without having to obtain the appraiser's or supervisory appraiser's (if applicable) consent. Such consent must be obtained before this appraisal report may be disclosed or distributed to any other party (including, but not limited to, the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media).

22. I am aware that any disclosure or distribution of this appraisal report by me or the lender/client may be subject to certain laws and regulations. Further, I am also subject to the provisions of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that pertain to disclosure or distribution by me.

23. The borrower, another lender at the request of the borrower, the mortgagee or its successors and assigns, mortgage insurers, government sponsored enterprises, and other secondary market participants may rely on this appraisal report as part of any mortgage finance transaction that involves any one or more of these parties.

24. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.

25. Any intentional or negligent misrepresentation(s) contained in this appraisal report may result in civil liability and/or criminal penalties including, but not limited to, fine or imprisonment or both under the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001, et seq., or similar state laws.

SUPERVISORY APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Supervisory Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

1. I directly supervised the appraiser for this appraisal assignment, have read the appraisal report, and agree with the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.

2. I accept full responsibility for the contents of this appraisal report including, but not limited to, the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.

3. The appraiser identified in this appraisal report is either a sub-contractor or an employee of the supervisory appraiser (or the appraisal firm), is qualified to perform this appraisal, and is acceptable to perform this appraisal under the applicable state law.

4. This appraisal report complies with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.

5. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.

APPRAISER

Signature

31	The
Torpopol	

Name Bradley E. Tarnopol
Company Name Appraisal Experts of Michigan
Company Address 4 Parklane Blvd Ste. 350
Dearborn, MI 48126
Telephone Number 313-582-3400
Email Address info@appraisalexpertsmi.com
Date of Signature and Report 06/06/2017
Effective Date of Appraisal 05/31/2017
State Certification #
or State License # 1201068739
or Other (describe) State #
State MI
Expiration Date of Certification or License 07/31/2017
ADDRESS OF PROPERTY APPRAISED
21520 Lakeview St
Saint Clair Shores, MI 48080
APPRAISED VALUE OF SUBJECT PROPERTY \$ 92,000
LENDER/CLIENT
Name Appraisal Nation
Company Name Colony American Finance
Company Address <u>4 Park Plz</u> , Suite 1950
Irvine, CA 92614
Email Address

SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (ONLY IF REQUIRED)

Signature	
Company Name	
Company Address	
Telephone Number	
Email Address	
Date of Signature	
State Certification #	
br State License #	
State	
Expiration Date of Certification or License	
SUBJECT PROPERTY Did not inspect subject property Did inspect exterior of subject property from street Date of Inspection	
Did inspect interior and exterior of subject property Date of Inspection	
COMPARABLE SALES	
Did not increat exterior of comparable sales from street	

Did not inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
 Did inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
 Date of Inspection

Order ID #ANS-221360

Uniform Residential Appraisal Report

FEATURE		SUBJECT	Jniform Re	BLE SA		CON	MPARAB	BLE S	ALE NO. 5				SALE NO. 6
21520 Lakeview St			21622 Revere			21628 La					0 Lange		
Address Saint Clair S	Shores	s, MI 48080	Saint Clair Sho		MI 48080			ores	, MI 48080				es, MI 4808
Proximity to Subject			0.67 miles NE		400.000	0.73 mile	es NE		444.400	0.76	miles N		440
Sale Price Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$	0.00 #	¢ 116.02 -	\$	109,800	¢ 02.2	1 0	\$	114,460	¢ 1	2222	\$	119
Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area Data Source(s)	\$	0.00 sq. ft.	\$ 116.93 sq. ft. Real #216036				4 sq. ft.		84;DOM 18		02.22 sq. #58031		385;DOM
Verification Source(s)			Real,Assessor	,		Real,Ass			,		Assess		,
ALUE ADJUSTMENTS	וח	ESCRIPTION	DESCRIPTION		+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCR			+(-) \$ Adjustment		SCRIPTIO		+(-) \$ Adjust
Sale or Financing			ArmLth		+(-) \$ Aujustinent	ArmLth			+(-) # Aujustinent	Arm			+(-) \$ Aujusi
Concessions			Conv;0			Conv;0					4660		
Date of Sale/Time			s06/16;c04/16	;		s03/17;c	03/17				17;c04/1	7	
_ocation	N;Re	s;	N;Res;			N;Res;				N;Re			
_easehold/Fee Simple	Fee S	Simple	Fee Simple			Fee Sim	ple			Fee	Simple		
Site	6170	sf	6098 sf		0	6534 sf			0	6098	sf		
/iew	N;Re	s;	N;Res;			N;Res;				N;Re	s;		
Design (Style)		5;Bungalow	DT1.5;Bungalo	ow		DT1.5;C	ape Co	od	0		5;Bunga	alow	1
Quality of Construction	Q4		Q4			Q4				Q4			
Actual Age	77		66		0	71			0	71			
Condition	C4		C4			C4				C4	1		
Above Grade	Total Bo		Total Bdrms. Baths			Total Bdrms.	Baths					ths	-
Room Count	5	3 1.0	5 3 1.0			6 3	1.0		0	5		.0	
Gross Living Area 25.00		1,006 sq. ft.	939 s	sq. ft.	0		,375 so	q. ft.	-9,000	- ·	1,173	sq. ft	
Basement & Finished	676s	f0sfin	756sf600sfin		0	736sf550			0	0sf			5
Rooms Below Grade			1rr0br0.1ba0o		-1,500	1rr0br0.0			-1,000	•			
Functional Utility	Avera		Average			Average				Aver			
Heating/Cooling		Central	Gfa/Central			Gfa/Non			1,000				
Energy Efficient Items	-	Wind	Insul Wind		4 000	Insul Wi	na				Wind		
Garage/Carport	2gd2		1gd1dw		1,000	2gd2dw			1 000	2gd2			
Porch/Patio/Deck	реск	,Fence	Deck,Fence			Fence			1,000	Deck	,Fence		
Net Adjustment (Total)			+ X-	\$	500	+	X -	\$	8,000	X		\$	1
Adjusted Sale Price			Net Adj0.5%	-			-7.0%		0,000	Net Ac		· ·	
lujusteu suie i nee			1 Not Auj. 0.070							1101710			
-			Gross Adi. 2.3%		109.300		10.5%	\$	106.460	Gross			120
of Comparables		SU	Gross Adj. 2.3% BJECT	\$	109,300 COMPARABLE SA	Gross Adj.	10.5%		106,460 ARABLE SALE NO.		Adj. 7.5	% \$	120 BLE SALE NO
of Comparables		SU 06/19/2015		\$		Gross Adj.					Adj. 7.5	% \$	
of Comparables		06/19/2015		\$		Gross Adj.					Adj. 7.5	% \$	
of Comparables		06/19/2015 \$0	BJECT	C	Comparable Sa	Gross Adj. LE NO. 4	C	OMP	ARABLE SALE NO.	5	Adj. 7.5 COMF	% \$ PARAI	BLE SALE NO.
of Comparables		06/19/2015 \$0 Real,Assess	BJECT	Real	COMPARABLE SA	Gross Adj. LE NO. 4	Real,	OMP	ARABLE SALE NO.	5	Adj. 7.5 COMF Real,As	% \$ PARAI	
of Comparables	ce(s)	06/19/2015 \$0 Real,Assess 05/31/2017	BJECT	Real	Comparable Sa	Gross Adj. LE NO. 4	C	OMP	ARABLE SALE NO.	5	Adj. 7.5 COMF	% \$ PARAI	BLE SALE NO.
of Comparables	ce(s) ison App	06/19/2015 \$0 Real,Assess 05/31/2017	BJECT	Real	COMPARABLE SA	Gross Adj. LE NO. 4	Real,	OMP	ARABLE SALE NO.	5	Adj. 7.5 COMF Real,As	% \$ PARAI	BLE SALE NO.
of Comparables	ce(s)	06/19/2015 \$0 Real,Assess 05/31/2017	BJECT	Real	COMPARABLE SA	Gross Adj. LE NO. 4	Real,	OMP	ARABLE SALE NO.	5	Adj. 7.5 COMF Real,As	% \$ PARAI	BLE SALE NO.
f Comparables	ce(s) ison App	06/19/2015 \$0 Real,Assess 05/31/2017	BJECT	Real	COMPARABLE SA	Gross Adj. LE NO. 4	Real,	OMP	ARABLE SALE NO.	5	Adj. 7.5 COMF Real,As	% \$ PARAI	BLE SALE NO.
of Comparables	ce(s) ison App	06/19/2015 \$0 Real,Assess 05/31/2017	BJECT	Real	COMPARABLE SA	Gross Adj. LE NO. 4	Real,	OMP	ARABLE SALE NO.	5	Adj. 7.5 COMF Real,As	% \$ PARAI	BLE SALE NO.
of Comparables ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sour	ce(s)	06/19/2015 \$0 Real,Assess 05/31/2017	BJECT	Real	COMPARABLE SA	Gross Adj. LE NO. 4	Real,	OMP	ARABLE SALE NO.	5	Adj. 7.5 COMF Real,As	% \$ PARAI	BLE SALE NO.
of Comparables	ce(s) ison App	06/19/2015 \$0 Real,Assess 05/31/2017	BJECT	Real	COMPARABLE SA	Gross Adj. LE NO. 4	Real,	OMP	ARABLE SALE NO.	5	Adj. 7.5 COMF Real,As	% \$ PARAI	BLE SALE NO.
of Comparables	ce(s) ison App	06/19/2015 \$0 Real,Assess 05/31/2017	BJECT	Real	COMPARABLE SA	Gross Adj. LE NO. 4	Real,	OMP	ARABLE SALE NO.	5	Adj. 7.5 COMF Real,As	% \$ PARAI	BLE SALE NO.
f Comparables	ce(s) ison App	06/19/2015 \$0 Real,Assess 05/31/2017	BJECT	Real	COMPARABLE SA	Gross Adj. LE NO. 4	Real,	OMP	ARABLE SALE NO.	5	Adj. 7.5 COMF Real,As	% \$ PARAI	BLE SALE NO.
f Comparables	ce(s) ison App	06/19/2015 \$0 Real,Assess 05/31/2017	BJECT	Real	COMPARABLE SA	Gross Adj. LE NO. 4	Real,	OMP	ARABLE SALE NO.	5	Adj. 7.5 COMF Real,As	% \$ PARAI	BLE SALE NO.
f Comparables	ce(s) ison App	06/19/2015 \$0 Real,Assess 05/31/2017	BJECT	Real	COMPARABLE SA	Gross Adj. LE NO. 4	Real,	OMP	ARABLE SALE NO.	5	Adj. 7.5 COMF Real,As	% \$ PARAI	BLE SALE NO.
f Comparables	ce(s) ison App	06/19/2015 \$0 Real,Assess 05/31/2017	BJECT	Real	COMPARABLE SA	Gross Adj. LE NO. 4	Real,	OMP	ARABLE SALE NO.	5	Adj. 7.5 COMF Real,As	% \$ PARAI	BLE SALE NO.
f Comparables	ce(s) ison App	06/19/2015 \$0 Real,Assess 05/31/2017	BJECT	Real	COMPARABLE SA	Gross Adj. LE NO. 4	Real,	OMP	ARABLE SALE NO.	5	Adj. 7.5 COMF Real,As	% \$ PARAI	BLE SALE NO.
Comparables	ce(s) ison App	06/19/2015 \$0 Real,Assess 05/31/2017	BJECT	Real	COMPARABLE SA	Gross Adj. LE NO. 4	Real,	OMP	ARABLE SALE NO.	5	Adj. 7.5 COMF Real,As	% \$ PARAI	BLE SALE NO.
f Comparables	ce(s) ison App	06/19/2015 \$0 Real,Assess 05/31/2017	BJECT	Real	COMPARABLE SA	Gross Adj. LE NO. 4	Real,	OMP	ARABLE SALE NO.	5	Adj. 7.5 COMF Real,As	% \$ PARAI	BLE SALE NO.
Comparables	ce(s) ison App	06/19/2015 \$0 Real,Assess 05/31/2017	BJECT	Real	COMPARABLE SA	Gross Adj. LE NO. 4	Real,	OMP	ARABLE SALE NO.	5	Adj. 7.5 COMF Real,As	% \$ PARAI	BLE SALE NO.
Comparables	ce(s) ison App	06/19/2015 \$0 Real,Assess 05/31/2017	BJECT	Real	COMPARABLE SA	Gross Adj. LE NO. 4	Real,	OMP	ARABLE SALE NO.	5	Adj. 7.5 COMF Real,As	% \$ PARAI	BLE SALE NO.
Comparables	ce(s) ison App	06/19/2015 \$0 Real,Assess 05/31/2017	BJECT	Real	COMPARABLE SA	Gross Adj. LE NO. 4	Real,	OMP	ARABLE SALE NO.	5	Adj. 7.5 COMF Real,As	% \$ PARAI	BLE SALE NO.
Comparables	ce(s) ison App	06/19/2015 \$0 Real,Assess 05/31/2017	BJECT	Real	COMPARABLE SA	Gross Adj. LE NO. 4	Real,	OMP	ARABLE SALE NO.	5	Adj. 7.5 COMF Real,As	% \$ PARAI	BLE SALE NO.
Comparables	ce(s) ison App	06/19/2015 \$0 Real,Assess 05/31/2017	BJECT	Real	COMPARABLE SA	Gross Adj. LE NO. 4	Real,	OMP	ARABLE SALE NO.	5	Adj. 7.5 COMF Real,As	% \$ PARAI	BLE SALE NO.
Comparables	ce(s) ison App	06/19/2015 \$0 Real,Assess 05/31/2017	BJECT	Real	COMPARABLE SA	Gross Adj. LE NO. 4	Real,	OMP	ARABLE SALE NO.	5	Adj. 7.5 COMF Real,As	% \$ PARAI	BLE SALE NO.
Comparables	ce(s) ison App	06/19/2015 \$0 Real,Assess 05/31/2017	BJECT	Real	COMPARABLE SA	Gross Adj. LE NO. 4	Real,	OMP	ARABLE SALE NO.	5	Adj. 7.5 COMF Real,As	% \$ PARAI	BLE SALE NO.
Comparables	ce(s) ison App	06/19/2015 \$0 Real,Assess 05/31/2017	BJECT	Real	COMPARABLE SA	Gross Adj. LE NO. 4	Real,	OMP	ARABLE SALE NO.	5	Adj. 7.5 COMF Real,As	% \$ PARAI	BLE SALE NO.
Comparables	ce(s) ison App	06/19/2015 \$0 Real,Assess 05/31/2017	BJECT	Real	COMPARABLE SA	Gross Adj. LE NO. 4	Real,	OMP	ARABLE SALE NO.	5	Adj. 7.5 COMF Real,As	% \$ PARAI	BLE SALE NO.
Comparables	ce(s) ison App	06/19/2015 \$0 Real,Assess 05/31/2017	BJECT	Real	COMPARABLE SA	Gross Adj. LE NO. 4	Real,	OMP	ARABLE SALE NO.	5	Adj. 7.5 COMF Real,As	% \$ PARAI	BLE SALE NO.
Comparables	ce(s) ison App	06/19/2015 \$0 Real,Assess 05/31/2017	BJECT	Real	COMPARABLE SA	Gross Adj. LE NO. 4	Real,	OMP	ARABLE SALE NO.	5	Adj. 7.5 COMF Real,As	% \$ PARAI	BLE SALE NO.
Comparables	ce(s) ison App	06/19/2015 \$0 Real,Assess 05/31/2017	BJECT	Real	COMPARABLE SA	Gross Adj. LE NO. 4	Real,	OMP	ARABLE SALE NO.	5	Adj. 7.5 COMF Real,As	% \$ PARAI	BLE SALE NO.
Comparables	ce(s) ison App	06/19/2015 \$0 Real,Assess 05/31/2017	BJECT	Real	COMPARABLE SA	Gross Adj. LE NO. 4	Real,	OMP	ARABLE SALE NO.	5	Adj. 7.5 COMF Real,As	% \$ PARAI	BLE SALE NO.
Comparables	ce(s) ison App	06/19/2015 \$0 Real,Assess 05/31/2017	BJECT	Real	COMPARABLE SA	Gross Adj. LE NO. 4	Real,	OMP	ARABLE SALE NO.	5	Adj. 7.5 COMF Real,As	% \$ PARAI	BLE SALE NO.
Comparables		06/19/2015 \$0 Real,Assess 05/31/2017	BJECT	Real	COMPARABLE SA	Gross Adj. LE NO. 4	Real,	OMP	ARABLE SALE NO.	5	Adj. 7.5 COMF Real,As	% \$ PARAI	BLE SALE NO.
Comparables		06/19/2015 \$0 Real,Assess 05/31/2017	BJECT	Real	COMPARABLE SA	Gross Adj. LE NO. 4	Real,	OMP	ARABLE SALE NO.	5	Adj. 7.5 COMF Real,As	% \$ PARAI	BLE SALE NO.
f Comparables	ce(s) ison App	06/19/2015 \$0 Real,Assess 05/31/2017	BJECT	Real	COMPARABLE SA	Gross Adj. LE NO. 4	Real,	OMP	ARABLE SALE NO.	5	Adj. 7.5 COMF Real,As	% \$ PARAI	BLE SALE NO.
f Comparables	ce(s) ison App	06/19/2015 \$0 Real,Assess 05/31/2017	BJECT	Real	COMPARABLE SA	Gross Adj. LE NO. 4	Real,	OMP	ARABLE SALE NO.	5	Adj. 7.5 COMF Real,As	% \$ PARAI	BLE SALE NO.
Comparables	ce(s) ison App	06/19/2015 \$0 Real,Assess 05/31/2017	BJECT	Real	COMPARABLE SA	Gross Adj. LE NO. 4	Real,	OMP	ARABLE SALE NO.	5	Adj. 7.5 COMF Real,As	% \$ PARAI	BLE SALE NO.
Comparables	ce(s) ison App	06/19/2015 \$0 Real,Assess 05/31/2017	BJECT	Real	COMPARABLE SA	Gross Adj. LE NO. 4	Real,	OMP	ARABLE SALE NO.	5	Adj. 7.5 COMF Real,As	% \$ PARAI	BLE SALE NO.
Comparables	ce(s) ison App	06/19/2015 \$0 Real,Assess 05/31/2017	BJECT	Real	COMPARABLE SA	Gross Adj. LE NO. 4	Real,	OMP	ARABLE SALE NO.	5	Adj. 7.5 COMF Real,As	% \$ PARAI	BLE SALE NO.
Comparables	ce(s) ison App	06/19/2015 \$0 Real,Assess 05/31/2017	BJECT	Real	COMPARABLE SA	Gross Adj. LE NO. 4	Real,	OMP	ARABLE SALE NO.	5	Adj. 7.5 COMF Real,As	% \$ PARAI	BLE SALE NO.

Uniform Appraisal Dataset Definitions

C1 The improvements have been very recently constructed and have not previously been occupied. The entire structure and all components are new and the dwelling features no physical depreciation.*

*Note: Newly constructed improvements that feature recycled materials and/or components can be considered new dwellings provided that the dwelling is placed on a 100% new foundation and the recycled materials and the recycled components have been rehabilitated/re-manufactured into like-new condition. Recently constructed improvements that have not been previously occupied are not considered "new" if they have any significant physical depreciation (i.e., newly constructed dwellings that have been vacant for an extended period of time without adequate maintenance or upkeep).

C2 The improvements feature no deferred maintenance, little or no physical depreciation, and require no repairs. Virtually all building components are new or have been recently repaired, refinished, or rehabilitated. All outdated components and finishes have been updated and/or replaced with components that meet current standards. Dwellings in this category either are almost new or have been recently completely renovated and are similar in condition to new construction.

*Note: The improvements represent a relatively new property that is well maintained with no deferred maintenance and little or no physical depreciation, or an older property that has been recently completely renovated.

C3 The improvements are well maintained and feature limited physical depreciation due to normal wear and tear. Some components, but not every major building component, may be updated or recently rehabilitated. The structure has been well maintained.

*Note: The improvement is in its first-cycle of replacing short-lived building components (appliances, floor coverings, HVAC, etc.) and is being well maintained. Its estimated effective age is less than its actual age. It also may reflect a property in which the majority of short-lived building components have been replaced but not to the level of a complete renovation.

C4 The improvements feature some minor deferred maintenance and physical deterioration due to normal wear and tear. The dwelling has been adequately maintained and requires only minimal repairs to building components/mechanical systems and cosmetic repairs. All major building components have been adequately maintained and are functionally adequate.

*Note: The estimated effective age may be close to or equal to its actual age. It reflects a property in which some of the short-lived building components have been replaced, and some short-lived building components are at or near the end of their physical life expectancy; however, they still function adequately. Most minor repairs have been addressed on an ongoing basis resulting in an adequately maintained property.

C5 The improvements feature obvious deferred maintenance and are in need of some significant repairs. Some building components need repairs, rehabilitation, or updating. The functional utility and overall livability is somewhat diminished due to condition, but the dwelling remains useable and functional as a residence.

*Note: Some significant repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance. It reflects a property in which many of its short-lived building components are at the end of or have exceeded their physical life expectancy but remain functional.

C6 The improvements have substantial damage or deferred maintenance with deficiencies or defects that are severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements. The improvements are in need of substantial repairs and rehabilitation, including many or most major components.

*Note: Substantial repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance or property damage. It reflects a property with conditions severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements.

Quality Ratings and Definitions

Q1 Dwellings with this quality rating are usually unique structures that are individually designed by an architect for a specified user. Such residences typically are constructed from detailed architectural plans and specifications and feature an exceptionally high level of workmanship and exceptionally high-grade materials throughout the interior and exterior of the structure. The design features exceptionally high-quality exterior refinements and ornamentation, and exceptionally high-quality interior refinements. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are of exceptionally high quality.

O2 Dwellings with this quality rating are often custom designed for construction on an individual property owner's site. However, dwellings in this quality grade are also found in high-quality tract developments featuring residences constructed from individual plans or from highly modified or upgraded plans. The design features detailed, high-quality exterior ornamentation, high-quality interior refinements, and detail. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are generally of high or very high quality.

O3 Dwellings with this quality rating are residences of higher quality built from individual or readily available designer plans in above-standard residential tract developments or on an individual property owner's site. The design includes significant exterior ornamentation and interiors that are well finished. The workmanship exceeds acceptable standards and many materials and finishes throughout the dwelling have been upgraded from "stock" standards.

Q4 Dwellings with this quality rating meet or exceed the requirements of applicable building codes. Standard or modified standard building plans are utilized and the design includes adequate fenestration and some exterior ornamentation and interior refinements. Materials, workmanship, finish, and equipment are of stock or builder grade and may feature some upgrades.

Q5 Dwellings with this quality rating feature economy of construction and basic functionality as main considerations. Such dwellings feature a plain design using readily available or basic floor plans featuring minimal fenestration and basic finishes with minimal exterior ornamentation and limited interior detail. These dwellings meet minimum building codes and are constructed with inexpensive, stock materials with limited refinements and upgrades.

Q6 Dwellings with this quality rating are of basic quality and lower cost; some may not be suitable for year-round occupancy. Such dwellings are often built with simple plans or without plans, often utilizing the lowest quality building materials. Such dwellings are often built or expanded by persons who are professionally unskilled or possess only minimal construction skills. Electrical, plumbing, and other mechanical systems and equipment may be minimal or non-existent. Older dwellings may feature one or more substandard or non-conforming additions to the original structure.

Definitions of Not Updated, Updated, and Remodeled

Not Updated

Little or no updating or modernization. This description includes, but is not limited to, new homes.

Residential properties of fifteen years of age or less often reflect an original condition with no updating, if no major components have been replaced or updated. Those over fifteen years of age are also considered not updated if the appliances, fixtures, and finishes are predominantly dated. An area that is 'Not Updated' may still be well maintained and fully functional, and this rating does not necessarily imply deferred maintenance or physical /functional deterioration.

Updated

The area of the home has been modified to meet current market expectations. These modifications are limited in terms of both scope and cost.

An updated area of the home should have an improved look and feel, or functional utility. Changes that constitute updates include refurbishment and/or replacing components to meet existing market expectations. Updates do not include significant alterations to the existing structure.

Remodeled

Significant finish and/or structural changes have been made that increase utility and appeal through complete replacement and/ or expansion. A remodeled area reflects fundamental changes that include multiple alterations. These alterations may include some or all of the following: replacement of a major component (cabinet(s), bathtub, or bathroom tile), relocation of plumbing/gas fixtures/appliances, significant structural alterations (relocating walls, and/or the addition of square footage). This would include a complete gutting and rebuild.

Explanation of Bathroom Count

The number of full and half baths is reported by separating the two values by a period. The full bath is represented to the left of the period. The half bath count is represented to the right of the period. Three-quarter baths are to be counted as a full bath in all cases. Quarter baths (baths that feature only toilet) are not to be included in the bathroom count.

Uniform Appraisal Dataset Definitions

File No. 170521LA101

Abbrev.	FullName	Appropriate Fields	Abbrev.	Full Name	Appropriate Fields
ac	Acres	Area, Site	in	Interior Only Stairs	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
AdjPrk	Adjacent to Park	Location	Lndfl	Landfill	Location
AdjPwr	Adjacent to Power Lines	Location	LtdSght	Limited Sight	View
Α	Adverse	Location & View	Listing	Listing	Sale or Financing Concessions
ArmLth	Arms Length Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions	MR	Mid-Rise Structure	Design(Style)
AT	Attached Structure	Design(Style)	Mtn	Mountain View	View
ba	Bathroom(s)	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade	Ν	Neutral	Location & View
br	Bedroom	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade	NonArm	Non-Arms Length Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
В	Beneficial	Location & View	ор	Open	Garage/Carport
BsyRd	Busy Road	Location	0	Other	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
ср	Carport	Garage/Carport	0	Other	Design(Style)
Cash	Cash	Sale or Financing Concessions	Prk	Park View	View
CtySky	City View Skyline View	View	Pstrl	Pastoral View	View
CtyStr	City Street View	View	PwrLn	Power Lines	View
Comm	Commercial Influence	Location	PubTrn	Public Transportation	Location
С	Contracted Date	Date of Sale/Time	rr	Recreational (Rec) Room	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
Conv	Conventional	Sale or Financing Concessions	Relo	Relocation Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
CV	Covered	Garage/Carport	REO	REO Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
CrtOrd	Court Ordered Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions	Res	Residential	Location & View
DOM	Days On Market	Data Sources	RT	Row or Townhouse	Design(Style)
DT	Detached Structure	Design(Style)	RH	Rural Housing - USDA	Sale or Financing Concessions
dw	Driveway	Garage/Carport	SD	Semi-detached Structure	Design(Style)
Estate	Estate Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions	S	Settlement Date	Date of Sale/Time
е	Expiration Date	Date of Sale/Time	Short	Short Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
FHA	Federal Housing Authority	Sale or Financing Concessions	sf	Square Feet	Area, Site, Basement
g	Garage	Garage/Carport	sqm	Square Meters	Area, Site, Basement
ga	Garage - Attached	Garage/Carport	Unk	Unknown	Date of Sale/Time
gbi	Garage - Built-in	Garage/Carport	VA	Veterans Administration	Sale or Financing Concessions
gd	Garage - Detached	Garage/Carport	WO	Walk Out Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
GR	Garden Structure	Design(Style)	wu	Walk Up Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
GlfCse	Golf Course	Location	WtrFr	Water Frontage	Location
Glfvw	Golf Course View	View	Wtr	Water View	View
HR	High Rise Structure	Design(Style)	W	Withdrawn Date	Date of Sale/Time
Ind	Industrial	Location & View	Woods	Woods View	View

Other Appraiser-Defined Abbreviations

Abbrev.	FullName	Appropriate Fields	Abbrev.	FullName	Appropriate Fields
		ersion 9/2011 Produced using ACI software 8			

Borrower: Rudalev	File No.:	170521LA101	
Property Address: 21520 Lakeview St	Case No.		
City: Saint Clair Shores	State: MI	Zip: 48080	
Lender: Colony American Finance			

Condition Rating is determined to my best judgement and could be viewed by another appraiser differently.

The appraisal problem did not warrant an intensive highest and best use study. Given the nature of the subject real estate, my conclusion of highest and best use was based on logic and observed evidence.

In this appraisal assignment, I viewed the exterior of the subject improvements in order to gather information about the physical characteristics of the subject improvements that are relevant to the valuation problem.

I used information from county records, owner's comments, assessor's records, multiple listing service data to identify the characteristics of the subject property that are relevant to the valuation problem. **Comments on Sales Comparison**

Comparable Search and ResultsSee Attached Addendum

The appraiser has attempted to display comparable sales that closed within the past 90 days, within one mile, bracketing GLA and room count, within 20% of the predominate for the neighborhood market, and similar in style of living to the subject. All available comparables have been reviewed and reconciled within the researched range listed at the top of page #2 of the report. The comparable properties selected are considered the best available and most comparable, therefore included and reconciled within this appraisal report. All adjustments made for comparable dissimilarities are market derived according to FNMA guidelines. Comparable adjustments are made as warranted when market research deems verifiable, justifiable and credible.

The sales and active sales utilized in this report are located within the same area as the subject and are considered comparable in general features such as location, utility, quality of construction and property appeal. All comparables provide a good competitive similarity to the subject and were considered in the value. After a thorough search of the Subject property's general market area, the appraiser utilized similar sales from nearby competing properties to complete this analysis. All comparable sales are similar and proximate. All were considered to be the best sales available at the time of this analysis.

When making comparisons with subject and applying adjustments, only those building, land and location characteristics having a material impact on value are addressed. This appraisal is based on a broad, overall comparison between the subject and the comparable sales.

The comparables used are considered to be the best available and the most representative of the subject's surrounding market.

In view of the above facts including a thorough search of the multiple listing service and with the lack of better market data currently available, this appraiser feels that the most pertinent data was selected for comparative purposes.

Actual concessions noted on the MLS listing ticket were used in this report from the pending date, not the closed date. The dollar amount for decline and list to sale price may have been rounded off. The list to sell ratio was derived from the actual sales used in this report and not taken from the 1004 MC as this data can be skewed with very low and/or very high sales and listings.

The Appraiser is aware of the lenders specific guidelines concerning time and distance of comparable data. Every effort has been made not only to remain compliant with those guidelines, but also to utilize sales that would be considered an equal substitution to the subject property. All relevant sales were carefully analyzed and the closed sales presented in the sales comparison approach to value were determined to be the best available and most representative of the subject. All sales are located on competing street locations within the community and the same school district. All comparables are located in close proximity to the subject, reflecting the typical range for the market area and school district. All comparables sales reflect homes of similar quality, location and square footage.

Differences in gross living area were adjusted at \$25.00 per square foot.

No lot size adjustement was necessary due to front footage having more value then overall lot square footage.

Room count adjustments reflect bath variance at the rate of \$5,000 per full bath and \$2,500

per half bath. Differences in number of bedrooms was reflected in the GLA adjustment. When the GLA is the same, more bedrooms usually equates to smaller rooms which offsets the number, no additional adjustments were made for quantity. No adjustment made for style as this is considered an individual buyer preference with no apathy towards subject value or marketability.

The appraiser enters a zero (0) in the grid of the Sales Comparison Approach to indicate to the reader that the particular item, which varies from the subject property, has been recognized and that no adjustment is deemed applicable. The differential is considered to be of no value, or may be of an offsetting value with another item, or no adjustment was applicable for reasons otherwise noted.

Comp #2 was given the most weight due to most similarities overall, low gross & net adjustments.

Adjustments to the comparables on the sales grid were calculated using quantitative data (paired sales) wherever possible. Some features of the subject requiring adjustment were determined using data accumulated from the appraiser's data base, peer discussions, education, and typical market reaction observed through the appraiser's familiarity with the market. While the real estate market typically acknowledges certain adjustments and elements of comparison, the market is not sufficiently refined to suggest the percentages or dollar amounts are precise even though they were treated as such. All adjustments made on the grid are per current & historical market data, buyers reaction to similar amenities, conversations with local real estate agents & by pairing superior & inferior influences studied variations for notable market features.

Exposure Time - A reasonable exposure time for the subject property at the opinion of value indicated is estimated to be 0-180 days and was derived using the current absorption rate along with the days on the market for sales in the area including the sales used in this report.

DEN	וחנ	IM
וביע		21VI

Borrower: Rudalev		File No.: 170521LA101
Property Address: 21520 Lakeview St		Case No.:
City: Saint Clair Shores	State: MI	Zip: 48080
Lender: Colony American Finance		

Extra Comments

Requirements for compliance with Michigan Law and the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP):

In compliance with the law, the following narrative has been prepared by this appraiser to assist the client and intended user(s) to understand and properly apply the use of this appraisal report in accordance with its stated intended use to produce a credible appraisal report.

The law dictates that appraisers are required to be licensed and are regulated by the State of Michigan, Department of Licensing and Regulatory Affairs, P.O. Box 30018, Lansing, MI 48909-7504. This appraiser has completed the requirements of prelicensure and continuing education programs and is currently licensed as a Certified Residential Real Estate Appraiser. A copy of this appraiser's license, resume and errors & omissions insurance has been included in this appraisal report.

This appraiser's workfile is available when required by state enforcement agencies or due process of law at appraiser's physical address found on appraiser's license. Appraiser's workfile is available for a period of at least five (5) years after preparation and/or at least two (2) years after final disposition of any judicial proceedings, in which this appraiser provides testimony related to this assignment, whichever period expires last.

This report is subject to the requirements of Title XI of the Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery and Enforcement Act of 1989 (FIRREA) and was performed in accordance to those requirements. This report is also intended to meet the requirements of Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP).

The Form of Certification has been approved by The Appraisal Foundation, located at 1029 Vermont Avenue, N. W., Suite 900, Washington D.C., 20005-3517. The Appraisal Foundation is authorized by Congress as the Source of Appraisal Standards and Appraiser Qualifications. This approval can be found in the Statement on Appraisal Standards Number 8 (SMT-8) on Electronic Transmissions of Reports.

This section regarding signed certification reads as follows:

Any software program used to electronically transfer a report must provide, at minimum, a digital signature security feature for

all appraisers signing a report. The appraiser(s) should ensure the signature(s) are protected and that only the appraiser(s) maintain control of the signature. This control may be maintained by password (e.g. PIN numbers), hardware devices (e.g. secure cards) or other means. Electronically affixing a signature to a report carries the same level of authenticity as an ink signature on a paper copy report.

-Appraisal Standards Board, Adopted 07/18/95, Effective 01/01/96

The signatures on this appraisal are digital signatures, which are computer generated and printed on the report. The appraisal was electronically transmitted. This appraiser's signature is secured with a password, which only this appraiser has

access to. No unauthorized modifications were made on this appraisal.

USPAP Report Option: (if appropriate)

This appraiser has reported this assignment under the option of a 'Summary Appraisal Report', unless stated otherwise to the contrary within the 'Conditions of Appraisal' in this report.

Client and Intended User:

Client: The party or parties who engage (by employment or contract), an appraiser in a specific assignment. The client may be an individual, group, or entity, and may engage and communicate with the appraiser directly through an agent. The intended user of this appraisal report is the lender / client. In the case of FHA loans, the client would also be HUD/FHA. No additional intended users are identified by the appraiser. This report contains sufficient information to enable the lender / client to understand the report. Any other party receiving a copy of this report for any reason is not an intended user; nor does receiving a copy of this report result in an appraiser-client relationship. Use of this report by any other party(ies) is not intended by the appraiser. These terms are referenced in this form as appropriate according to the law. These terms may only be extended to the degree that the law will accommodate.

Intended Use:

The intended use is to evaluate the property that is the Subject of this appraisal for a mortgage finance transaction, subject to the stated scope of work, purpose, reporting requirements, and definition of market value.

Type and Definition of Value:

This appraisal report is stating a market value for the Subject Property that is consistent with the requirements of federal law

(12 CFR, Part 34) and consistent with the definition given within the FNMA form.

Highest and Best Use (defined):

The reasonably probable and legal use of vacant land or an improved property, which is physically possible, appropriately supported, financially feasible, and that results in the highest value. The four criteria the highest and best use must meet are

legal permissibility, physical possibility, financial feasibility, and maximum productivity.

Based on this appraiser's personal knowledge of the area and research that has recently been done for properties in this market, along with this appraiser's first-hand knowledge of Subject Property, this appraiser has determined that the zoning

	ADDENDUM		
Borrower: Rudalev	File No.	o.: 170521LA101	
Property Address: 21520 Lakeview St	Case	No.:	
City: Saint Clair Shores	State: MI	Zip: 48080	
Lender: Colony American Finance			

designation as noted reflects its current highest and best use.

Additional Scope of Work:

At the request of the client, this appraisal report has been prepared in compliance with the Uniform Appraisal Dataset (UAD)

from Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. The UAD requires the appraiser to use standardized responses that include specific formats, definitions, abbreviations and acronym. This appraiser attempted to obtain an adequate amount of information in the normal course of business regarding the Subject and its comparables. Some of the standardized responses required by the UAD, especially those in which the appraiser has not had the opportunity to verify personally or measure, could mistakenly imply greater precision and reliability in the data than is factually correct or typical in the normal course of business. Examples include condition and quality ratings as well as comparable sales and listing data. Not every element of the Subject Property was viewable and comparable property data was generally obtained from third-party sources including MLS, assessment records, agents, etc.

1a. Inspection (Includes) - This appraiser's inspection of the Subject Property focuses on the following: to confirm the geographic location of the Subject Property; to confirm the approximate square footage of the site and the dwelling as well as attached/detached improvements; to confirm its internal and external amenities; to consider different types of depreciation

(when applicable) and their affect (if any) on value and/or marketability; to photograph the Subject Property; to consider the overall quality of construction of the Subject Property's improvements.

1b. Inspection (Does Not Include) - This appraiser is not qualified to perform an overall structural inspection of the Subject Property. As part of FHA and Conventional inspections, certain tests of the mechanical systems are performed on the Subject Property. The furnace/boiler is checked for warm air/plumbing leaks, the plumbing is checked for water pressure, leaks in the piping and water heater and electrical is checked in all rooms. It is recommended that the client/intended user of this report obtain the services of qualified professionals to perform test above and beyond what this appraiser checks.

1c. Inspection (Photographs) - This appraiser is required to take photographs of the front, street scene, sides and rear of the

Subject Property. In most cases, the client/intended user may require interior photographs for interior/exterior property inspections. Some digital images in this report may be from MLS Image and/or Appraiser's Personal Library. The Subject Property was inspected and photographed on the date indicated. The comparables were also inspected but only from the road, unless otherwise stated.

1d. Sources of information - (if appropriate) The appraiser has obtained and utilized information from the appropriate township, city or county records. In addition, this appraiser also utilized information from REALCOMP online MLS, BS&A Assessment Website, Access Oakland, Realist and Public Records Data. Information obtained or provided by the aforementioned sources is deemed to be reliable. This appraiser may gather information through the parties of the transaction for the Subject Property and/or comparable(s) if information, is not available by other sources.

2. 1 mile rule - This appraiser has researched sales within 1 mile of the Subject Property. If a minimum of three comparable sales were not available within one mile, this appraiser then searched beyond one mile. The section of this report called 'Comments on Sales Comparison' will summarize if any comparable(s) exceeds a 1 mile distance from the Subject Property.

3. 6 months rule - This appraiser has viewed sales within the past 6 months (based on the 'as of' (effective) date stated within this report). If a minimum of three comparable sales were not found by the initial search, this appraiser then expanded the search criteria up to 12 months and beyond as deemed appropriate. The section of this report called 'Comments on Sales Comparison' will summarize if any comparable(s) exceeds 6 months old (based on the 'as of' (effective) date stated within this report).

4. Title issues – this appraiser is typically not provided with a copy of a title report or commitment of title insurance; therefore,

the appraiser has relied upon information available via the multi-list, BS&A on-line data services, Access Oakland, and the city/township assessor to confirm the owner of the property. This appraiser is not a qualified title examiner; therefore, it is recommended that the client/intended user obtain proper title information from a qualified title expert or title company as to the identification of the owner of record.

5. The appraiser reserves the right to inform any future potential client of any prior assignment or services regarding the Subject Property, or any part thereof, in accordance with the provisions required within the Ethics Section of USPAP 2010-11. Such notification will be of the fact that a previous assignment was preformed within the past 36 months. It shall not includespecifics or details of the analysis or the results of any conclusions drawn from such analysis. This appraiser has prepared this appraisal in full compliance with the Dodd-Frank non-influence code and has not performed, participated in, or been associated with any activity in violation of the Code.

SUBJECT PROPERTY PHOTO ADDENDUM

Borrower: Rudalev	File N	0.: 170521LA101
Property Address: 21520 Lakeview St	Case	No.:
City: Saint Clair Shores	State: MI	Zip: 48080
Lender: Colony American Finance		



FRONT VIEW OF SUBJECT PROPERTY

Appraised Date: May 31, 2017 Appraised Value: \$ 92,000



REAR VIEW OF SUBJECT PROPERTY



Borrower: Rudalev	File	No.: 170521LA101
Property Address: 21520 Lakeview St	Case	e No.:
City: Saint Clair Shores	State: MI	Zip: 48080
Lender: Colony American Finance		





Side View

Side View



Address verification



Across the Street view





Street Scene other Direction The subject is on the Left side in the photo Garage

Produced using ACI software, 800.234.8727 www.aciweb.com

Borrower: Rudalev		File No.: 170521LA101	
Property Address: 21520 Lakeview St		Case No.:	
City: Saint Clair Shores	State: MI	Zip: 48080	
Lender: Colony American Finance			





Bedroom



Bedroom

Living Room



Bathroom





Bedroom

Produced using ACI software, 800.234.8727 www.aciweb.com


Borrower: Rudalev	File	No.: 170521LA101
Property Address: 21520 Lakeview St	Cas	se No.:
City: Saint Clair Shores	State: MI	Zip: 48080
Lender: Colony American Finance		· · · · ·






HVAC






Newer Water Heater

Basement Laundry Area





COMPARABLE PROPERTY PHOTO ADDENDUM

Borrower: Rudalev	File No.: 1705	21LA101
Property Address: 21520 Lakeview St	Case No.:	
City: Saint Clair Shores	State: MI	Zip: 48080
Lender: Colony American Finance		



COMPARABLE SALE #1

21908 Trombly St Saint Clair Shores, MI 48080 Sale Date: s04/17;c04/17 Sale Price: \$ 107,000



COMPARABLE SALE #2

21712 Colony St Saint Clair Shores, MI 48080 Sale Date: s05/17;c03/17 Sale Price: \$ 89,900



COMPARABLE SALE #3

22317 Blackburn St Saint Clair Shores, MI 48080 Sale Date: s06/16;c06/16 Sale Price: \$ 88,000

COMPARABLE PROPERTY PHOTO ADDENDUM

Borrower: Rudalev	File	No.: 170521LA101
Property Address: 21520 Lakeview St	Cas	e No.:
City: Saint Clair Shores	State: MI	Zip: 48080
Lender: Colony American Finance		·



COMPARABLE SALE #4

21622 Revere St Saint Clair Shores, MI 48080 Sale Date: s06/16;c04/16 Sale Price: \$ 109,800




COMPARABLE SALE #5

21628 Lange St Saint Clair Shores, MI 48080 Sale Date: s03/17;c03/17 Sale Price: \$ 114,460




COMPARABLE SALE #6

21900 Lange St Saint Clair Shores, MI 48080 Sale Date: s05/17;c04/17 Sale Price: \$ 119,900 **FLOORPLAN SKETCH**



LOCATION MAP



AERIAL MAP

State: MI

Borrower: Rudalev Property Address: 21520 Lakeview St City: Saint Clair Shores Lender: Colony American Finance File No.: 170521LA101 Case No.:

Zip: 48080



Order ID #ANS-221360

Market Conditions Addendum to the Appraisal Report File No. 170521LA101

The purpose of this addendum is to provide the lender/client with		understanding of the	market trends and con	ditions prevalent	in the subject neigh	borhood.	This is a required
addendum for all appraisal reports with an effective date on or a	fter April 1, 2009.					0 1 4	0000
Property Address 21520 Lakeview St		City Saint	Clair Shores		State MI Zip	Code 48	8080
Borrower Rudalev							
Instructions: The appraiser must use the information require							-
overall market conditions as reported in the Neighborhood section							•
analysis as indicated below. If any required data is unavailable							
provide data for the shaded areas below; if it is available, however			-				-
median, the appraiser should report the available figure and iden							
that would be used by a prospective buyer of the subject prope				s seasonal marke			osures, etc.
Inventory Analysis	Prior 7-12 Months	Prior 4-6 Months	Current - 3 Months	<u> </u>	Overall Tre	nd	
Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)	2	4	2	Increasing	X Stable		Declining
Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)	0.3	1.3	0.7	Increasing	X Stable		Declining
Total # of Comparable Active Listings	0	0	6	Declining	X Stable		Increasing
Months of Housing Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)	0.0	0.0	8.6	Declining	X Stable		Increasing
Median Sale & List Price, DOM, Sale/List %	Prior 7-12 Months	Prior 4-6 Months	Current - 3 Months		Overall Tre	nd	
Median Comparable Sale Price	105,000	98,900	101,000	Increasing	X Stable		Declining
Median Comparable Sales Days on Market	47	92	67	Declining	X Stable		Increasing
Median Comparable List Price	0	0	104,000	Increasing	X Stable		Declining
Median Comparable Listings Days on Market	0	0	75	Declining	X Stable		
Median Sale Price as % of List Price	89.0%	96.0%	94.0%	Increasing	X Stable		Declining
Seller-(developer, builder, etc.)paid financial assistance prevaler	nt? 🛛 Yes 🗌	No		Declining	X Stable		Increasing
Explain in detail the seller concessions trends for the past 12 m	nonths (e.g., seller cor	tributions increased f	from 3% to 5%, increas	sing use of buydo	wns, closing costs,	condo fe	es, options, etc.).
Sellers are offering sales or financing conces	sions up to 6%.						
Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the market?	Yes X No If	yes, explain (including	the trends in listings a	nd sales of foreclo	osed properties).		
Cite data sources for above information. MLS & PRD.							
	cione in the Neighbor						
Summarize the above information as support for your conclusion	510115 111 1118 14814110011	hood section of the a	ppraisal report form. I	f vou used anv a	dditional informati	on, such	as an analysis of
Summarize the above information as support for your conclust pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate	•			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	dditional informati	on, such	as an analysis of
pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate	e your conclusions, pro	ovide both an explanat	tion and support for you	r conclusions.			
pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate In subject's marketing area, there appears to	e your conclusions, pro	ovide both an explanat	tion and support for you ties listed for sal	ur conclusions. e on the mu	Itiple listing se	ervice.	This does
pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate	e your conclusions, pro be a normal an e. A slow real est	ovide both an explanat nount of proper state market an	tion and support for you ties listed for sal d high foreclosu	ur conclusions. <u>e on the mu</u> re rates in M	Itiple listing se letro Detroit w	ervice. vere ex	This does ternal
pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate In subject's marketing area, there appears to not appear to have an adverse affect on valu factors that are not presently affecting value	e your conclusions, pro be a normal an e. A slow real es or marketability	ovide both an explanat nount of proper state market an at the time this	tion and support for you ties listed for sal d high foreclosu appraisal was c	ur conclusions. e on the mu re rates in M conducted. T	ltiple listing se letro Detroit w here appears	ervice. vere ex to be a	This does ternal a very
pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate In subject's marketing area, there appears to not appear to have an adverse affect on value factors that are not presently affecting value limited amount of REO properties listed for sa	e your conclusions, pro be a normal an e. A slow real e or marketability ale, REO prope	ovide both an explanat nount of proper state market an at the time this rties are not driv	tion and support for you ties listed for sal d high foreclosu appraisal was c ving the market	r conclusions. e on the mu re rates in M onducted. T in this area.	Itiple listing se letro Detroit w here appears This does no	ervice. vere ex to be a appea	This does ternal a very ar to have
pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate In subject's marketing area, there appears to not appear to have an adverse affect on valu factors that are not presently affecting value	e your conclusions, pro be a normal an e. A slow real es or marketability ale, REO prope ate an area of sta	wide both an explanat nount of proper state market an at the time this rties are not driv able values. Fir	tion and support for you ties listed for sal d high foreclosu appraisal was c ving the market nancing guideling	r conclusions. e on the mu re rates in M onducted. T in this area. es appear to	ltiple listing se letro Detroit w here appears This does no be tightening	ervice. vere ex to be a appea in this	This does iternal a very ar to have
pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate In subject's marketing area, there appears to not appear to have an adverse affect on value factors that are not presently affecting value limited amount of REO properties listed for se an adverse affect on value which does indicated	e your conclusions, pro be a normal an e. A slow real es or marketability ale, REO prope ate an area of st aining credit. Mu	wide both an explanat nount of proper state market an at the time this rties are not driv able values. Fir lti-list indicates	tion and support for you ties listed for sal d high foreclosu appraisal was c ving the market nancing guideling a list/sale/ratio	r conclusions. e on the mu re rates in M onducted. T in this area. es appear to	ltiple listing se letro Detroit w here appears This does no be tightening	ervice. vere ex to be a appea in this	This does iternal a very ar to have
pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate In subject's marketing area, there appears to not appear to have an adverse affect on value factors that are not presently affecting value limited amount of REO properties listed for se an adverse affect on value which does indicate with lenders resricting the guidelines for obtain	e your conclusions, pro be a normal an e. A slow real es or marketability ale, REO prope ate an area of st aining credit. Mu	wide both an explanat nount of proper state market an at the time this rties are not driv able values. Fir lti-list indicates	tion and support for you ties listed for sal d high foreclosu appraisal was c ving the market nancing guideling a list/sale/ratio	r conclusions. e on the mu re rates in M onducted. T in this area. es appear to	ltiple listing se letro Detroit w here appears This does no be tightening	ervice. vere ex to be a appea in this	This does iternal a very ar to have
pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate In subject's marketing area, there appears to not appear to have an adverse affect on value factors that are not presently affecting value limited amount of REO properties listed for se an adverse affect on value which does indicate with lenders resricting the guidelines for obtain	e your conclusions, pro be a normal an e. A slow real er or marketability ale, REO proper ate an area of st aining credit. Mu ering sales or fin	ovide both an explanation nount of proper- state market an at the time this rties are not driv- able values. Fir lti-list indicates ancing concess	tion and support for you ties listed for sal d high foreclosu appraisal was c ving the market nancing guideling a list/sale/ratio	r conclusions. e on the mu re rates in M onducted. T in this area. es appear to of 85-105%	ltiple listing se letro Detroit w here appears This does no be tightening	ervice. vere ex to be a appea in this	This does iternal a very ar to have
pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate In subject's marketing area, there appears to not appear to have an adverse affect on value factors that are not presently affecting value limited amount of REO properties listed for sa an adverse affect on value which does indica with lenders resricting the guidelines for obta 0-181 days. With this market, sellers are offe	e your conclusions, pro be a normal an e. A slow real er or marketability ale, REO proper ate an area of st aining credit. Mu ering sales or fin	ovide both an explanation nount of proper- state market an at the time this rties are not driv- able values. Fir lti-list indicates ancing concess	tion and support for you ties listed for sal d high foreclosu appraisal was c ving the market nancing guideling a list/sale/ratio	r conclusions. e on the mu re rates in M onducted. T in this area. es appear to of 85-105%	ltiple listing se letro Detroit w here appears This does no be tightening and typical m	ervice. vere ex to be a cappea in this arketir	This does iternal a very ar to have
pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate In subject's marketing area, there appears to not appear to have an adverse affect on value factors that are not presently affecting value limited amount of REO properties listed for sa an adverse affect on value which does indica with lenders resricting the guidelines for obta 0-181 days. With this market, sellers are offecting If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative	e your conclusions, pro be a normal an e. A slow real e or marketability ale, REO proper ate an area of st aining credit. Mu pring sales or fin ve project, complet	ovide both an explanat nount of proper state market an at the time this rties are not driv able values. Fir lti-list indicates ancing concess	tion and support for you ties listed for sal d high foreclosu appraisal was of ving the market nancing guideling a list/sale/ratio sions.	r conclusions. e on the mu re rates in M onducted. T in this area. es appear to of 85-105%	Itiple listing se letro Detroit w here appears This does no be tightening and typical m ect Name:	ervice. vere ex to be a cappea in this arketir	This does iternal a very ar to have
pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate In subject's marketing area, there appears to not appear to have an adverse affect on value factors that are not presently affecting value limited amount of REO properties listed for se an adverse affect on value which does indica with lenders resricting the guidelines for obta 0-181 days. With this market, sellers are offecting If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data	e your conclusions, pro be a normal an e. A slow real e or marketability ale, REO proper ate an area of st aining credit. Mu pring sales or fin ve project, complet	ovide both an explanat nount of proper state market an at the time this rties are not driv able values. Fir lti-list indicates ancing concess	tion and support for you ties listed for sal d high foreclosu appraisal was of ving the market nancing guideling a list/sale/ratio sions.	r conclusions. e on the mu re rates in M onducted. T in this area. es appear to of 85-105% Proj	Itiple listing se letro Detroit w here appears This does no be tightening and typical m ect Name:	ervice. vere ex to be a cappea in this arketir	This does iternal a very ar to have market ng times of
pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate In subject's marketing area, there appears to not appear to have an adverse affect on value factors that are not presently affecting value limited amount of REO properties listed for se an adverse affect on value which does indica with lenders resricting the guidelines for obta 0-181 days. With this market, sellers are offect lif the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)	e your conclusions, pro be a normal an e. A slow real es or marketability ale, REO proper ate an area of st aining credit. Mu pring sales or fin	ovide both an explanat nount of proper state market an at the time this rties are not driv able values. Fir lti-list indicates ancing concess	tion and support for you ties listed for sal d high foreclosu appraisal was of ving the market nancing guideling a list/sale/ratio sions.	r conclusions. e on the mu re rates in M onducted. T in this area. es appear to of 85-105% Proj	Itiple listing se letro Detroit w here appears This does no be tightening and typical m ect Name: Overall Tre	ervice. vere ex to be a cappea in this arketir	This does iternal a very ar to have market ng times of Declining
pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate In subject's marketing area, there appears to not appear to have an adverse affect on value factors that are not presently affecting value limited amount of REO properties listed for st an adverse affect on value which does indicate with lenders restricting the guidelines for obta 0-181 days. With this market, sellers are offect If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)	e your conclusions, pro be a normal an e. A slow real es or marketability ale, REO proper ate an area of st aining credit. Mu pring sales or fin	ovide both an explanat nount of proper state market an at the time this rties are not driv able values. Fir lti-list indicates ancing concess	tion and support for you ties listed for sal d high foreclosu appraisal was of ving the market nancing guideling a list/sale/ratio sions.	r conclusions. e on the mu re rates in M onducted. T in this area. es appear to of 85-105% Proj Increasing	Itiple listing se letro Detroit w here appears This does no be tightening and typical m ect Name: Overall Tre Stable Stable	ervice. vere ex to be a cappea in this arketir	This does iternal a very ar to have market ng times of Declining Declining
pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate In subject's marketing area, there appears to not appear to have an adverse affect on value factors that are not presently affecting value limited amount of REO properties listed for se an adverse affect on value which does indicate with lenders resricting the guidelines for obta 0-181 days. With this market, sellers are offer If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate)	e your conclusions, pro be a normal an e. A slow real es or marketability ale, REO prope ate an area of sta aining credit. Mu ering sales or fin ve project , comple Prior 7-12 Months	ovide both an explanat nount of proper state market an at the time this rties are not driv able values. Fir lti-list indicates ancing concess te the following: Prior 4-6 Months	tion and support for you ties listed for sal d high foreclosu appraisal was c ving the market nancing guideling a list/sale/ratio sions.	r conclusions. e on the mu re rates in M onducted. T in this area. es appear to of 85-105% Proj Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Itiple listing se letro Detroit w here appears This does no be tightening and typical m ect Name: Overall Tre Stable Stable Stable Stable	ervice. vere ex to be a appea in this arketir	This does ternal a very ar to have market ng times of Declining Declining Increasing
pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate In subject's marketing area, there appears to not appear to have an adverse affect on value factors that are not presently affecting value limited amount of REO properties listed for sean adverse affect on value which does indicate with lenders restricting the guidelines for obta 0-181 days. With this market, sellers are offer If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings	e your conclusions, pro be a normal an e. A slow real es or marketability ale, REO prope ate an area of sta aining credit. Mu ering sales or fin ve project , comple Prior 7-12 Months	ovide both an explanat nount of proper state market an at the time this rties are not driv able values. Fir lti-list indicates ancing concess te the following: Prior 4-6 Months	tion and support for you ties listed for sal d high foreclosu appraisal was of ving the market nancing guideling a list/sale/ratio sions.	r conclusions. e on the mu re rates in M onducted. T in this area. es appear to of 85-105% Proj Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Itiple listing se letro Detroit w here appears This does no be tightening and typical m ect Name: Overall Tre Stable Stable Stable Stable	ervice. vere ex to be a appea in this arketir	This does iternal a very ar to have market ng times of Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate In subject's marketing area, there appears to not appear to have an adverse affect on value factors that are not presently affecting value limited amount of REO properties listed for si an adverse affect on value which does indicate with lenders resricting the guidelines for obta 0-181 days. With this market, sellers are offer If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate)	e your conclusions, pro be a normal an e. A slow real es or marketability ale, REO prope ate an area of sta aining credit. Mu ering sales or fin ve project , comple Prior 7-12 Months	ovide both an explanat nount of proper state market an at the time this rties are not driv able values. Fir lti-list indicates ancing concess te the following: Prior 4-6 Months	tion and support for you ties listed for sal d high foreclosu appraisal was c ving the market nancing guideling a list/sale/ratio sions.	r conclusions. e on the mu re rates in M onducted. T in this area. es appear to of 85-105% Proj Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Itiple listing se letro Detroit w here appears This does no be tightening and typical m ect Name: Overall Tre Stable Stable Stable Stable	ervice. vere ex to be a appea in this arketir	This does iternal a very ar to have market ng times of Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate In subject's marketing area, there appears to not appear to have an adverse affect on value factors that are not presently affecting value limited amount of REO properties listed for si an adverse affect on value which does indicate with lenders resricting the guidelines for obta 0-181 days. With this market, sellers are offer If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate)	e your conclusions, pro be a normal an e. A slow real es or marketability ale, REO prope ate an area of sta aining credit. Mu ering sales or fin ve project , comple Prior 7-12 Months	ovide both an explanat nount of proper state market an at the time this rties are not driv able values. Fir lti-list indicates ancing concess te the following: Prior 4-6 Months	tion and support for you ties listed for sal d high foreclosu appraisal was c ving the market nancing guideling a list/sale/ratio sions.	r conclusions. e on the mu re rates in M onducted. T in this area. es appear to of 85-105% Proj Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Itiple listing se letro Detroit w here appears This does no be tightening and typical m ect Name: Overall Tre Stable Stable Stable Stable	ervice. vere ex to be a appea in this arketir	This does iternal a very ar to have market ng times of Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate In subject's marketing area, there appears to not appear to have an adverse affect on value factors that are not presently affecting value limited amount of REO properties listed for si an adverse affect on value which does indicate with lenders resricting the guidelines for obta 0-181 days. With this market, sellers are offer If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate)	e your conclusions, pro be a normal an e. A slow real es or marketability ale, REO prope ate an area of sta aining credit. Mu ering sales or fin ve project , comple Prior 7-12 Months	ovide both an explanat nount of proper state market an at the time this rties are not driv able values. Fir lti-list indicates ancing concess te the following: Prior 4-6 Months	tion and support for you ties listed for sal d high foreclosu appraisal was c ving the market nancing guideling a list/sale/ratio sions.	r conclusions. e on the mu re rates in M onducted. T in this area. es appear to of 85-105% Proj Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Itiple listing se letro Detroit w here appears This does no be tightening and typical m ect Name: Overall Tre Stable Stable Stable Stable	ervice. vere ex to be a appea in this arketir	This does iternal a very ar to have market ng times of Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate In subject's marketing area, there appears to not appear to have an adverse affect on value factors that are not presently affecting value limited amount of REO properties listed for si an adverse affect on value which does indicate with lenders resricting the guidelines for obta 0-181 days. With this market, sellers are offer If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate)	e your conclusions, pro be a normal an e. A slow real es or marketability ale, REO prope ate an area of sta aining credit. Mu ering sales or fin ve project , comple Prior 7-12 Months	ovide both an explanat nount of proper state market an at the time this rties are not driv able values. Fir lti-list indicates ancing concess te the following: Prior 4-6 Months	tion and support for you ties listed for sal d high foreclosu appraisal was c ving the market nancing guideling a list/sale/ratio sions.	r conclusions. e on the mu re rates in M onducted. T in this area. es appear to of 85-105% Proj Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Itiple listing se letro Detroit w here appears This does no be tightening and typical m ect Name: Overall Tre Stable Stable Stable Stable	ervice. vere ex to be a appea in this arketir	This does iternal a very ar to have market ng times of Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate In subject's marketing area, there appears to not appear to have an adverse affect on value factors that are not presently affecting value limited amount of REO properties listed for si an adverse affect on value which does indicate with lenders resricting the guidelines for obta 0-181 days. With this market, sellers are offer If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate)	e your conclusions, pro be a normal an e. A slow real es or marketability ale, REO prope ate an area of sta aining credit. Mu ering sales or fin ve project , comple Prior 7-12 Months	ovide both an explanat nount of proper state market an at the time this rties are not driv able values. Fir lti-list indicates ancing concess te the following: Prior 4-6 Months	tion and support for you ties listed for sal d high foreclosu appraisal was c ving the market nancing guideling a list/sale/ratio sions.	r conclusions. e on the mu re rates in M onducted. T in this area. es appear to of 85-105% Proj Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Itiple listing se letro Detroit w here appears This does no be tightening and typical m ect Name: Overall Tre Stable Stable Stable Stable	ervice. vere ex to be a appea in this arketir	This does iternal a very ar to have market ng times of Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate In subject's marketing area, there appears to not appear to have an adverse affect on value factors that are not presently affecting value limited amount of REO properties listed for si an adverse affect on value which does indicate with lenders resricting the guidelines for obta 0-181 days. With this market, sellers are offer If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate)	e your conclusions, pro be a normal an e. A slow real es or marketability ale, REO prope ate an area of sta aining credit. Mu ering sales or fin ve project , comple Prior 7-12 Months	ovide both an explanat nount of proper state market an at the time this rties are not driv able values. Fir lti-list indicates ancing concess te the following: Prior 4-6 Months	tion and support for you ties listed for sal d high foreclosu appraisal was c ving the market nancing guideling a list/sale/ratio sions.	r conclusions. e on the mu re rates in M onducted. T in this area. es appear to of 85-105% Proj Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Itiple listing se letro Detroit w here appears This does no be tightening and typical m ect Name: Overall Tre Stable Stable Stable Stable	ervice. vere ex to be a appea in this arketir	This does iternal a very ar to have market ng times of Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate In subject's marketing area, there appears to not appear to have an adverse affect on value factors that are not presently affecting value limited amount of REO properties listed for si an adverse affect on value which does indica with lenders resricting the guidelines for obta 0-181 days. With this market, sellers are offe If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project?	e your conclusions, pro be a normal an e. A slow real es or marketability ale, REO properate aining credit. Mu ering sales or fin ve project , complet Prior 7-12 Months Yes No If y	ovide both an explanat nount of proper state market an at the time this rties are not driv able values. Fir lti-list indicates ancing concess te the following: Prior 4-6 Months	tion and support for you ties listed for sal d high foreclosu appraisal was c ving the market nancing guideling a list/sale/ratio sions.	r conclusions. e on the mu re rates in M onducted. T in this area. es appear to of 85-105% Proj Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Itiple listing se letro Detroit w here appears This does no be tightening and typical m ect Name: Overall Tre Stable Stable Stable Stable	ervice. vere ex to be a appea in this arketir	This does iternal a very ar to have market ng times of Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate In subject's marketing area, there appears to not appear to have an adverse affect on value factors that are not presently affecting value limited amount of REO properties listed for si an adverse affect on value which does indicate with lenders resricting the guidelines for obta 0-181 days. With this market, sellers are offer If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate)	e your conclusions, pro be a normal an e. A slow real es or marketability ale, REO properate aining credit. Mu ering sales or fin ve project , complet Prior 7-12 Months Yes No If y	ovide both an explanat nount of proper state market an at the time this rties are not driv able values. Fir lti-list indicates ancing concess te the following: Prior 4-6 Months	tion and support for you ties listed for sal d high foreclosu appraisal was c ving the market nancing guideling a list/sale/ratio sions.	r conclusions. e on the mu re rates in M onducted. T in this area. es appear to of 85-105% Proj Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Itiple listing se letro Detroit w here appears This does no be tightening and typical m ect Name: Overall Tre Stable Stable Stable Stable	ervice. vere ex to be a appea in this arketir	This does iternal a very ar to have market ng times of Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate In subject's marketing area, there appears to not appear to have an adverse affect on value factors that are not presently affecting value limited amount of REO properties listed for si an adverse affect on value which does indica with lenders resricting the guidelines for obta 0-181 days. With this market, sellers are offe If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project?	e your conclusions, pro be a normal an e. A slow real es or marketability ale, REO properate aining credit. Mu ering sales or fin ve project , complet Prior 7-12 Months Yes No If y	ovide both an explanat nount of proper state market an at the time this rties are not driv able values. Fir lti-list indicates ancing concess te the following: Prior 4-6 Months	tion and support for you ties listed for sal d high foreclosu appraisal was c ving the market nancing guideling a list/sale/ratio sions.	r conclusions. e on the mu re rates in M onducted. T in this area. es appear to of 85-105% Proj Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Itiple listing se letro Detroit w here appears This does no be tightening and typical m ect Name: Overall Tre Stable Stable Stable Stable	ervice. vere ex to be a appea in this arketir	This does iternal a very ar to have market ng times of Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate In subject's marketing area, there appears to not appear to have an adverse affect on value factors that are not presently affecting value limited amount of REO properties listed for si an adverse affect on value which does indica with lenders resricting the guidelines for obta 0-181 days. With this market, sellers are offe If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project?	e your conclusions, pro be a normal an e. A slow real es or marketability ale, REO properate aining credit. Mu ering sales or fin ve project , complet Prior 7-12 Months Yes No If y	ovide both an explanat nount of proper state market an at the time this rties are not driv able values. Fir lti-list indicates ancing concess te the following: Prior 4-6 Months	tion and support for you ties listed for sal d high foreclosu appraisal was c ving the market nancing guideling a list/sale/ratio sions.	r conclusions. e on the mu re rates in M onducted. T in this area. es appear to of 85-105% Proj Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Itiple listing se letro Detroit w here appears This does no be tightening and typical m ect Name: Overall Tre Stable Stable Stable Stable	ervice. vere ex to be a appea in this arketir	This does iternal a very ar to have market ng times of Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate In subject's marketing area, there appears to not appear to have an adverse affect on value factors that are not presently affecting value limited amount of REO properties listed for si an adverse affect on value which does indica with lenders resricting the guidelines for obta 0-181 days. With this market, sellers are offe If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project?	e your conclusions, pro be a normal an e. A slow real es or marketability ale, REO properate aining credit. Mu ering sales or fin ve project , complet Prior 7-12 Months Yes No If y	ovide both an explanat nount of proper state market an at the time this rties are not driv able values. Fir lti-list indicates ancing concess te the following: Prior 4-6 Months	tion and support for you ties listed for sal d high foreclosu appraisal was c ving the market nancing guideling a list/sale/ratio sions.	r conclusions. e on the mu re rates in M onducted. T in this area. es appear to of 85-105% Proj Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Itiple listing se letro Detroit w here appears This does no be tightening and typical m ect Name: Overall Tre Stable Stable Stable Stable	ervice. vere ex to be a appea in this arketir	This does iternal a very ar to have market ng times of Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate In subject's marketing area, there appears to not appear to have an adverse affect on value factors that are not presently affecting value limited amount of REO properties listed for si an adverse affect on value which does indica with lenders resricting the guidelines for obta 0-181 days. With this market, sellers are offe If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project?	e your conclusions, pro be a normal an e. A slow real es or marketability ale, REO properate aining credit. Mu ering sales or fin ve project , complet Prior 7-12 Months Yes No If y	ovide both an explanat nount of proper state market an at the time this rties are not driv able values. Fir lti-list indicates ancing concess te the following: Prior 4-6 Months	tion and support for you ties listed for sal d high foreclosu appraisal was c ving the market nancing guideling a list/sale/ratio sions.	r conclusions. e on the mu re rates in M onducted. T in this area. es appear to of 85-105% Proj Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Itiple listing se letro Detroit w here appears This does no be tightening and typical m ect Name: Overall Tre Stable Stable Stable Stable	ervice. vere ex to be a appea in this arketir	This does iternal a very ar to have market ng times of Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate In subject's marketing area, there appears to not appear to have an adverse affect on value factors that are not presently affecting value limited amount of REO properties listed for si an adverse affect on value which does indica with lenders resricting the guidelines for obta 0-181 days. With this market, sellers are offe If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project?	e your conclusions, pro be a normal an e. A slow real es or marketability ale, REO properate aining credit. Mu ering sales or fin ve project , complet Prior 7-12 Months Yes No If y	ovide both an explanat nount of proper state market an at the time this rties are not driv able values. Fir lti-list indicates ancing concess te the following: Prior 4-6 Months	tion and support for you ties listed for sal d high foreclosu appraisal was c ving the market nancing guideling a list/sale/ratio sions.	r conclusions. e on the mu re rates in M onducted. T in this area. es appear to of 85-105% Proj Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Itiple listing se letro Detroit w here appears This does no be tightening and typical m ect Name: Overall Tre Stable Stable Stable Stable	ervice. vere ex to be a appea in this arketir	This does iternal a very ar to have market ng times of Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate In subject's marketing area, there appears to not appear to have an adverse affect on value factors that are not presently affecting value limited amount of REO properties listed for se an adverse affect on value which does indica with lenders resricting the guidelines for obta 0-181 days. With this market, sellers are offed If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project?	e your conclusions, pro be a normal an e. A slow real es or marketability ale, REO properate aining credit. Mu ering sales or fin ve project , complet Prior 7-12 Months Yes No If y	vide both an explanat nount of propert state market an at the time this rties are not driv able values. Fir lti-list indicates ancing concess te the following: Prior 4-6 Months	tion and support for you ties listed for sal d high foreclosu appraisal was c ving the market hancing guideling a list/sale/ratio sions.	r conclusions. e on the mu re rates in M onducted. T in this area. es appear to of 85-105% Proj Increasing Declining explain the trends	Itiple listing se letro Detroit w here appears This does no be tightening and typical m ect Name: Overall Tre Stable Stable Stable Stable sin listings and sale	ervice. vere ex to be a appea in this arketir arketir arketir arketir	This does iternal a very ar to have market ng times of Declining Declining Increasing losed properties.
pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate In subject's marketing area, there appears to not appear to have an adverse affect on value factors that are not presently affecting value limited amount of REO properties listed for si an adverse affect on value which does indica with lenders resricting the guidelines for obta 0-181 days. With this market, sellers are offed If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project?	e your conclusions, pro be a normal an e. A slow real es or marketability ale, REO properate aining credit. Mu ering sales or fin ve project , complet Prior 7-12 Months Yes No If y	vide both an explanat nount of propert state market an at the time this rties are not driv able values. Fir lti-list indicates ancing concess te the following: Prior 4-6 Months	tion and support for you ties listed for sal d high foreclosu appraisal was c ving the market nancing guideling a list/sale/ratio sions.	r conclusions. e on the mu re rates in M onducted. T in this area. es appear to of 85-105% Proj Increasing Declining explain the trends	Itiple listing se letro Detroit w here appears This does no be tightening and typical m ect Name: Overall Tre Stable Stable Stable Stable sin listings and sale	ervice. vere ex to be a appea in this arketir arketir arketir arketir	This does iternal a very ar to have market ng times of Declining Declining Increasing losed properties.
pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate In subject's marketing area, there appears to not appear to have an adverse affect on value factors that are not presently affecting value limited amount of REO properties listed for se an adverse affect on value which does indica with lenders resricting the guidelines for obta 0-181 days. With this market, sellers are offed If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project?	e your conclusions, pro be a normal an e. A slow real es or marketability ale, REO properate aining credit. Mu ering sales or fin ve project , complet Prior 7-12 Months Yes No If y	vide both an explanat nount of propert state market an at the time this rties are not driv able values. Fir lti-list indicates ancing concess te the following: Prior 4-6 Months	tion and support for you ties listed for sal d high foreclosu appraisal was c ving the market hancing guideling a list/sale/ratio sions.	r conclusions. e on the mu re rates in M onducted. T in this area. es appear to of 85-105% Proj Increasing Declining explain the trends	Itiple listing se letro Detroit w here appears This does no be tightening and typical m ect Name: Overall Tre Stable Stable Stable Stable sin listings and sale	ervice. vere ex to be a appea in this arketir arketir arketir arketir	This does iternal a very ar to have market ng times of Declining Declining Increasing losed properties.
pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate In subject's marketing area, there appears to not appear to have an adverse affect on value factors that are not presently affecting value of limited amount of REO properties listed for size an adverse affect on value which does indicate with lenders resricting the guidelines for obtate 0-181 days. With this market, sellers are offer If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project?	e your conclusions, pro be a normal an e. A slow real es or marketability ale, REO properate aining credit. Mu ering sales or fin ve project , complet Prior 7-12 Months Yes No If y	by ide both an explanat nount of properi- state market an at the time this rties are not driv- able values. Fir lti-list indicates ancing concess ancing concess te the following: Prior 4-6 Months yes, indicate the numb	Ition and support for you ties listed for sal d high foreclosu appraisal was c ving the market hancing guideling a list/sale/ratio sions. Current - 3 Months FRVISORY APF	r conclusions. e on the mu re rates in M onducted. T in this area. as appear to of 85-105% Proj Increasing Declining Declining explain the trends PRAISER (O	Itiple listing se letro Detroit w here appears This does no be tightening and typical m ect Name: Overall Tre Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable NLY IF REQU	ervice. vere ex to be a appea in this arketir arketir nd s of forec JIRED	This does iternal a very ar to have market ing times of Declining Declining Increasing losed properties.
pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate In subject's marketing area, there appears to not appear to have an adverse affect on value factors that are not presently affecting value of limited amount of REO properties listed for sign adverse affect on value which does indicate with lenders resricting the guidelines for obtate 0-181 days. With this market, sellers are offed If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? Summarize the above trends and address the impact on the sub Summarize the above trends and address the impact on the sub Signature	e your conclusions, pro be a normal an e. A slow real es or marketability ale, REO properate aining credit. Mu ering sales or fin ve project , complet Prior 7-12 Months Yes No If y	by ide both an explanat nount of properi- state market an at the time this rties are not driv- able values. Fir- lti-list indicates ancing concess ancing concess te the following: Prior 4-6 Months yes, indicate the numb yes, indicate the numb SUPI Signa	tion and support for you ties listed for sal d high foreclosu appraisal was c ving the market hancing guideline a list/sale/ratio of sions. Current - 3 Months Current - 3 Months ber of REO listings and BERVISORY APF ature	r conclusions. e on the mu re rates in M onducted. T in this area. es appear to of 85-105% Proj Increasing Declining Declining Declining Proj Proj Proj	Itiple listing se letro Detroit w here appears This does no be tightening and typical m ect Name: Overall Tre Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable NLY IF REQU	ervice. vere ex to be a appea in this arketir nd s of forec JIRED)	This does iternal a very ar to have market ng times of Declining Declining Increasing Increasing Increasing Increasing
pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate In subject's marketing area, there appears to not appear to have an adverse affect on value factors that are not presently affecting value of limited amount of REO properties listed for size an adverse affect on value which does indicate with lenders resricting the guidelines for obtate 0-181 days. With this market, sellers are offer If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project?	e your conclusions, pro	vide both an explanal nount of proper state market an at the time this rties are not driv able values. Fir lti-list indicates ancing concess te the following: Prior 4-6 Months ves, indicate the numb	ition and support for you ties listed for sal d high foreclosu appraisal was c ving the market hancing guideline a list/sale/ratio of sions. Current - 3 Months Current - 3 Months er of REO listings and Ber of REO listings and ERVISORY APF ature	r conclusions. e on the mu re rates in M onducted. T in this area. es appear to of 85-105% Proj Increasing Declining Declining Declining Proj Proj	Itiple listing se letro Detroit w here appears This does no be tightening and typical m ect Name: Overall Tre Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable NLY IF REQU	ervice. vere ex to be a appeading this arketing ark	This does iternal a very ar to have market ng times of Declining Declining Increasing Increasing Increasing Increasing
pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate In subject's marketing area, there appears to not appear to have an adverse affect on value factors that are not presently affecting value. limited amount of REO properties listed for size an adverse affect on value which does indicate with lenders resricting the guidelines for obta 0-181 days. With this market, sellers are offer If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project?	e your conclusions, pro	vide both an explanal nount of proper state market an at the time this rties are not driv able values. Fir lti-list indicates ancing concess ancing concess te the following: Prior 4-6 Months ves, indicate the numb	tion and support for you ties listed for sal d high foreclosu appraisal was o ving the market hancing guideline a list/sale/ratio sions. Current - 3 Months Current	r conclusions. e on the mu re rates in M onducted. T in this area. es appear to of 85-105% Proj Increasing Declining Declining Declining Proj Proj	Itiple listing se letro Detroit w here appears This does no be tightening and typical m ect Name: Overall Tre Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable NLY IF REQU	ervice. vere ex to be a appeading this arketing ark	This does iternal a very ar to have market ng times of Declining Declining Increasing Increasing Increasing Increasing
pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate In subject's marketing area, there appears to not appear to have an adverse affect on value factors that are not presently affecting value. limited amount of REO properties listed for sa an adverse affect on value which does indica with lenders resricting the guidelines for obta 0-181 days. With this market, sellers are offer If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project?	e your conclusions, pro	vide both an explanal nount of proper state market an at the time this rties are not driv able values. Fir lti-list indicates ancing concess ancing concess te the following: Prior 4-6 Months ves, indicate the numb	ition and support for you ties listed for sal d high foreclosu appraisal was c ving the market hancing guideline a list/sale/ratio of sions. Current - 3 Months Current - 3 Months er of REO listings and Ber of REO listings and ERVISORY APF ature	r conclusions. e on the mu re rates in M onducted. T in this area. es appear to of 85-105% Proj Increasing Declining Declining Declining Proj Proj	Itiple listing se letro Detroit w here appears This does no be tightening and typical m ect Name: Overall Tre Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable NLY IF REQU	ervice. vere ex to be a appeading this arketing ark	This does iternal a very ar to have market ng times of Declining Declining Increasing Increasing Increasing Increasing
pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate In subject's marketing area, there appears to not appear to have an adverse affect on value factors that are not presently affecting value of limited amount of REO properties listed for si an adverse affect on value which does indicate with lenders resricting the guidelines for obtate 0-181 days. With this market, sellers are offed If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? Summarize the above trends and address the impact on the sub Signature Signature Appraisal Experts of Mich Company Name Appraisal Experts of Mich Company Address <u>4 Parklane Blvd Ste. 350</u> Dearborn, MI 48126	e your conclusions, pro	vide both an explanal nount of properi state market an at the time this rties are not driv able values. Fir lti-list indicates ancing concess te the following: Prior 4-6 Months ves, indicate the numb	tion and support for you ties listed for sal d high foreclosu appraisal was c ving the market hancing guideling a list/sale/ratio sions. Current - 3 Months Current	r conclusions. e on the mu re rates in M onducted. T in this area. es appear to of 85-105% Proj Increasing Declining Declining explain the trends PRAISER (O	Itiple listing se letro Detroit w here appears This does no be tightening and typical m ect Name: Overall Tre Stable Stable Stable Stable sin listings and sale	ervice. vere ex to be a appeading this arketing ark	This does iternal a very ar to have market ng times of Declining Declining Increasing Increasing Increasing Increasing Increasing
pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate In subject's marketing area, there appears to not appear to have an adverse affect on value factors that are not presently affecting value. limited amount of REO properties listed for sa an adverse affect on value which does indica with lenders resricting the guidelines for obta 0-181 days. With this market, sellers are offer If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project?	e your conclusions, pro- be a normal an e. A slow real ex- or marketability ale, REO propel ate an area of straining credit. Mu ering sales or fin //e project, complet Prior 7-12 Months // Yes No If y	vide both an explanal nount of proper state market an at the time this rties are not driv able values. Fir lti-list indicates ancing concess te the following: Prior 4-6 Months ves, indicate the numb	tion and support for you ties listed for sal d high foreclosu appraisal was o ving the market hancing guideline a list/sale/ratio sions. Current - 3 Months Current	r conclusions. e on the mu re rates in M onducted. T in this area. as appear to of 85-105% Proj Increasing Declining explain the trends Proj Proj Proj Proj Proj Proj Proj Proj Proj	Itiple listing se letro Detroit w here appears This does no be tightening and typical m ect Name: Overall Tre Stable Stable Stable Stable sin listings and sale	ervice. vere ex to be a appeading this arketing ark	This does iternal a very ar to have market ng times of Declining Declining Increasing Increasing Increasing Increasing Increasing

ANDRET DESEADOU & ANALVS


USPAP ADDENDUM

File No. 170521LA101

Borrower: Rudalev	
Property Address: 21520 Lakeview St	
City: Saint Clair Shores County: Macomb Lender: Colony American Finance County: Macomb	State: MI Zip Code: 48080
APPRAISAL AND REPORT IDENTIFICATION	
This report was prepared under the following USPAP report	
X Appraisal Report A written report prepared under S	
Restricted Appraisal Report A written report prepared under S	Standards Rule 2-2(b).
Reasonable Exposure Time	
My opinion of a reasonable exposure time for the subject property at the mark	<pre><et 0="" 180<="" in="" is:="" pre="" report="" stated="" this="" to="" value=""></et></pre>
Definition of Exposure Time The estimated length of time the property interest being appraised consummation of a sale at market value on the effective date of th events assuming a competitive and open market. Exposure time is appraisal. The overall concept of reasonable exposure encompass adequate, sufficient and reasonable effort. (Appraisal Standards Be Standards No. 6, " Reasonable Exposure Time in Real Property ar the appraiser must include the results of the exposure time analysi	a appraisal; a retrospective estimate based on an analysis of past as always presumed to occur prior to the effective date of the ses not only adequate, sufficient and reasonable time but also board of The Appraisal Foundation, Statement on Appraisal and Personal Property Market Value Opinions"). Effective 1/1/2012
Additional Certifications	
X I have performed NO services, as an appraiser or in any other capacity, period immediately preceding acceptance of this assignment.	regarding the property that is the subject of this report within the three-year
I HAVE performed services, as an appraiser or in another capacity, rega period immediately preceding acceptance of this assignment. Those services of the period immediately preceding acceptance of the period.	
Additional Comments	
The Intended Users of this appraisal report are the client and any i have been specifically named along with the client and are the only rely on this report, including those that receive the report through e users of this report. While the appraiser recognizes that other parti certification, the appraiser does not intend use of the report by the that they are neither the client nor intended user(s) in the developm The subject property is located 10 miles from my office. This assig have spent sufficient time in the subjects market and understand the	ies may choose to rely on this report, see item 23 of attached se parties, and to avoid misleading them, they are hereby notified ment of the assignment results. Inment requires geographic competency as part of the scope of work. I he nuances of the local market and the supply and demand factors ch understanding will not be imparted solely from a consideration of necessary understanding of local market conditions provides the
APPRAISER:	SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (only if required):
Signature:	Signature:
Name: Bradley E. Tarnopol	Name:
Date Signed: 06/06/2017	_ Date Signed:
State Certification #: or State License #: 1201068739	_ State Certification #:
or Other (describe): State #:	State:
State: MI Expiration Date of Certification or License: 07/31/2017	_ Expiration Date of Certification or License:
Effective Date of Appraisal: 05/31/2017	Supervisory Appraiser inspection of Subject Property:

Produced using ACI software.	800.234.8727 www.aciweb.com	





State: MI

File No.: 170521LA101 Case No.: Zip: 48080

	NZ	VIGATORS INSURANCE COMPANY
		CLAIMS MADE AND REPORTED INSURANCE POLICY.
THIS	POLICY APPLIES TO THOS	E CLAIMS THAT ARE FIRST MADE AGAINST THE INSURED AND REPORTED IN
	2010-00-00-00	NG TO THE COMPANY DURING THE POLICY PERIOD. LEASE READ THIS POLICY CAREFULLY.
		AISERS ERRORS AND OMISSIONS INSURANCE POLICY
	REAL ESTATE AFFR	DECLARATIONS
	POLICY NUMBER:PH	17RAL102536IV RENEWAL OF: PH16RAL102536IV
1.	NAMED INSURED:	Bradley Tarnopol
2.	ADDRESS:	26336 Wyoming Rd. Huntington Woods, MI 48070
3.	POLICY PERIOD: FRC 12:01 A.M. Standard Time	DM: 07/13/2016 TO: 07/13/2017 e at the address of the Named Insured as stated in Number 2 above.
4.	B. \$ <u>500,000</u> C. \$ <u>1,000,000</u>	Damages Limit of Liability – Each Claim Claim Expenses Limit of Liability – Each Claim Damages Limit of Liability – Policy Aggregate Claim Expenses Limit of Liability – Policy Aggregate
5.	DEDUCTIBLE (Inclusive	of claim expenses): A. \$_500Each Claim B. \$_1,000Aggregate
6.	PREMIUM: \$ 925.00	
7.	RETROACTIVE DATE: FORMS ATTACHED:	07/13/2007 NAV RAL NIC PF (02/17) NAV RAL 300 MI (02 17) NAV RAL 008 (02 17) NAV RAL 002 (02 17)
8.		
	GRAM ADMINISTRATOR:	Herbert H. Landy Insurance Agency Inc. 75 Second Ave Suite 410 Needham, MA 02494-2876
By Ac attach	cceptance of this policy the I ments hereto are the Insure	
PROC By Ac attach existin	cceptance of this policy the I ments hereto are the Insure ng between the Insured and th	75 Second Ave Suite 410 Needham, MA 02494-2876 Insured agrees that the statements in the Declarations and the Application and any d's agreements and representations and that this policy embodies all agreements
PROC By Ac attach existin	cceptance of this policy the I ments hereto are the Insure ng between the Insured and th	75 Second Ave Suite 410 Needham, MA 02494-2876 Insured agrees that the statements in the Declarations and the Application and any id's agreements and representations and that this policy embodies all agreements e Company or any of its representatives relating to this insurance.