ANS-221309 File # 0517-163

_	he purpose of this summary appraisal repo	it is to pior	ide the lender/ellent with an at	curate, and adequate	iy supported, op	illion of the	market value		
	Property Address 729 Farnham Ave			City Lincoln Pa	ırk		tate MI	Zip Code <b>481</b> 4	16
١	Borrower Rudalev MI I		Owner of Public Record	Rudalev Llc		С	ounty <b>Wayn</b>	ie	
١			and the Wly 17 Ft of Lot 223		c Alley G. P. E				3 Wcr
	Assessor's Parcel # 45 008 06 0222 002	2		Tax Year <b>2016</b>			.E. Taxes \$ 2		
7	Neighborhood Name G. P. Emricks School	ol Lawn Sul		Map Reference 1	19804		ensus Tract 5	5776.00	
-	Occupant Owner 🔀 Tenant U Vaca		Special Assessments \$	0	PL	JD HOA\$	0	per year	per month
₩.	Property Rights Appraised Fee Simple	Leaseho							
"	Assignment Type Purchase Transaction		ance Transaction 🔀 Other (d	•	n market value				
	Lender/Client Colony American Financ			Plaza, Suite 1950,				v 52 n	
	s the subject property currently offered for sale o			•				Yes 🔀 No	
	Report data source(s) used, offering price(s), and	i date(s).	Multiple Listing Sources	s/Public Records	Data. The sub	ject is not	currently lis	sted and has i	not
	been listed in the past year.	aala far tha au	higgs nurshage transaction. Evaluin	the requite of the analy	vaio of the contract	t for oalo or wh	nu tha analuaia	was not	
	did did did not analyze the contract for sperformed.	sale for the su	bject purchase transaction. Explain	the results of the analy	isis of the contrac	l ioi sale oi wi	ly the analysis	was not	
	perioritied.								
ş	Contract Price \$ Date of Contract Price \$	tract	Is the property seller th	e owner of public reco	rd? Yes	No Dat	a Source(s)		
Ï	s there any financial assistance (loan charges, sa							Yes	No
$\overline{}$	If Yes, report the total dollar amount and describe			, 0.0., 10 20 paid 2, aii,	, party on 2011an o	20			
			p						
	Note: Race and the racial composition of the	neighborhoo	d are not appraisal factors.						
	Neighborhood Characteristics		One-Unit	Housing Trends		One-Uni	t Housing	Present Lan	d Use %
	Location Urban Suburban	Rural	Property Values Increasing	⊠ Stable	Declining	PRICE	AGE	One-Unit	80 %
	Built-Up	Under 25%	Demand/Supply Shortage	∑ In Balance	Over Supply	\$ (000)	(yrs)	2-4 Unit	%
9	Growth Rapid Stable	Slow	Marketing Time  Under 3 m		Over 6 mths	· ' ' .	0W <b>11</b>	Multi-Family	%
皇			nood is located north of Go				igh <b>77</b>	Commercial	10 %
ő	east of Amo Rd, and west of Ecorse.	rieigiiboii	iood is located flortif of Go	dualu itu, soutii i	or Outer Dr.		red. 47	Other	10 %
2		located in a	single family area containing	roportios of various	s age and utility				
4	employment levels have been stable. The a								
4	adversely affect market appeal. The "other					<u>u 1101 050011</u>	o uny noguen	vo luotoro tilut i	Toulu
	Market Conditions (including support for the abov					LS study o	f the entire	neighborhoo	d for
	trends in the past 1 year of this appra				•				
	may be different from those of the sul								
	Dimensions 48x95.40		Area <b>4579 sf</b>	Shap	<sup>)e</sup> Rectangula	ar	View <b>N</b> ;	;Res;	
	Specific Zoning Classification R-1		Zoning Description	Single Family Res	idential				
	Zoning Compliance 🔀 Legal 🔲 Legal Nond	conforming (G	randfathered Use) No Zonii	ng 🔲 Illegal (describ	oe)				
	s the highest and best use of subject property as	improved (or	as proposed per plans and specific	cations) the present use	? ∑	Yes 🗌 I	No If No, des	scribe	
	Utilities Public Other (describe)		Public Other (de	escribe)		ovements - Ty	<i>г</i> ре		Private
=	Electricity 🖂 🗌		Vater 🖂 🗌	escribe)	Street Con	crete	rpe	Public	Private
S	Electricity 🖂 🗌  Gas 🖂 🗍		Nater	,	Street Con	crete			
	Electricity	∑ No FE	Water Sanitary Sewer MA Flood Zone	FEMA Map # <b>261</b>	Street Con	crete	rpe FEMA Map		
	Electricity Gas Gas General Flood Hazard Area Yes  Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical	No FE for the market	Water Sanitary Sewer Sanitary Sewer X Tarea? Yes 1	FEMA Map # 261 lo If No, describe	Street Con Alley Non 63C0406E	icrete	FEMA Map	Date <b>02/02/2</b>	
	Electricity	No FE for the market	Water Sanitary Sewer Sanitary Sewer X Tarea? Yes 1	FEMA Map # 261 lo If No, describe	Street Con Alley Non 63C0406E	crete	FEMA Map		
	Electricity Gas Gas General Flood Hazard Area Yes  Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical	No FE for the market	Water Sanitary Sewer Sanitary Sewer X Tarea? Yes 1	FEMA Map # 261 lo If No, describe	Street Con Alley Non 63C0406E	icrete	FEMA Map	Date <b>02/02/2</b>	
	Electricity Gas Gas General Flood Hazard Area Yes  Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical	No FE for the market	Water Sanitary Sewer Sanitary Sewer X Tarea? Yes 1	FEMA Map # 261 lo If No, describe	Street Con Alley Non 63C0406E	icrete	FEMA Map	Date <b>02/02/2</b>	
	Electricity Gas Gas General Flood Hazard Area Yes  Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical	No FE for the market	Water Sanitary Sewer Sanitary Sewer X Tarea? Yes 1	FEMA Map # 261 lo If No, describe	Street Con Alley Non 63C0406E s, etc.)?	icrete	FEMA Map	Date 02/02/20 If Yes, describe	
	Electricity Gas	No FE for the market	Water Sanitary Sewer	FEMA Map # 261 lo If No, describe al conditions, land uses	Street Con Alley Non 63C0406E  s, etc.)?	acrete ae Ye	FEMA Map	Date 02/02/20 If Yes, describe  materials	O12 /condition
	Electricity Gas	No FE for the market factors (easem	Nater Sanitary Sewer Sewer Sanitary Sewer Sew	FEMA Map # 261 lo If No, describe al conditions, land uses	Street Con Alley Non 63C0406E  s, etc.)?  materia Concrete/A	acrete ae Ye	FEMA Map	Date 02/02/20  If Yes, describe  materials  Cpt/Hwd/Av	O12 /condition
	Electricity Gas	No FE for the market factors (easem	Nater	FEMA Map # 261 lo If No, describe al conditions, land uses  Exterior Description Foundation Walls	Street Con Alley Non 63C0406E  s, etc.)?	rete  PYE	FEMA Map  SS NO  Interior  Floors	Date 02/02/20 If Yes, describe  materials	O12 /condition
	Electricity Gas	No FE for the market factors (easen	Nater	FEMA Map # 261 lo If No, describe al conditions, land uses  Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls	Street Con Alley Non 63C0406E  s, etc.)?  materia Concrete/A Brick/Avg Shingle/Avg	rete  Ye	FEMA Map  S No  Interior  Floors  Walls	Date 02/02/20  If Yes, describe  materials  Cpt/Hwd/Av  DW/Painted	/condition rg //Avg
	Electricity Gas	No FE for the market factors (easen	Nater	FEMA Map # 261 lo If No, describe al conditions, land uses  Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface	Street Con Alley Non 63C0406E  s, etc.)?  materia Concrete/A Brick/Avg Shingle/Avg	rete  Ye	FEMA Map  S No  Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish	Date 02/02/20  If Yes, describe  materials  Cpt/Hwd/Av  DW/Painted  Wd/Painted  Ceramic/Av	/condition rg //Avg //Avg
	Electricity Gas	No FE for the market factors (easen Concrete Full Base Basement Are Basement Fin	Nater	FEMA Map # 261 lo If No, describe al conditions, land uses  Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspouts	Street Con Alley Non 63C0406E  s, etc.)?  materia Concrete/A Brick/Avg Shingle/Avg S Aluminium/	rete  Ye	FEMA Map s No  Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor	Date 02/02/20  If Yes, describe  materials  Cpt/Hwd/Av  DW/Painted  Wd/Painted  Ceramic/Av	/condition rg //Avg //Avg
	Gas	No FE for the market factors (easen   Concrete Full Base Basement Are Basement Fin Outside E	Nater	FEMA Map # 261 lo If No, describe al conditions, land uses  Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspouts Window Type	Street Con Alley Non 63C0406E  S, etc.)?  materia Concrete/A Brick/Avg Shingle/Avg Shingle/Avg Vinyl/Avg Vinyl/Avg Alum/Avg	Is/condition vg	FEMA Map  Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainscot Car Storage Driveway	Date 02/02/20  If Yes, describe  materials  Cpt/Hwd/Av DW/Painted  Wd/Painted.  Ceramic/Av  t Ceramic/Av  None # of Cars	/condition rg //Avg //Avg
	Gas	No FE for the market factors (easen Seasement Are Basement Fin Outside E Evidence of	Nater	FEMA Map # 261 lo If No, describe al conditions, land uses  Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspouts Window Type Storm Sash/Insulated	Street Con Alley Non 63C0406E  s, etc.)?  materia Concrete/A Brick/Avg Shingle/Avg Shingle/Avg Vinyl/Avg Vinyl/Avg Alum/Avg Woodsto	Is/condition vg  GAVg	FEMA Map  Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainscoi Car Storage Driveway Driveway Surf	Date 02/02/20  If Yes, describe  materials  Cpt/Hwd/Av  DW/Painted  Wd/Painted.  Ceramic/Av  t Ceramic/Av  None  # of Cars  face Con	/condition g /Avg /Avg g g
	General Description Units One One with Accessory Unit  of Stories Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit Existing Proposed Under Const. Design (Style) Bungalow Year Built 1951 Effective Age (Yrs) Drop Stair SEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical Are there any adverse site conditions or external for	Concrete Concrete Sasement Are Basement Fin Outside E Evidence of Dampnes Heating Other	Nater	FEMA Map # 261 lo If No, describe al conditions, land uses  Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspouts Window Type Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Amenities  Fireplace(s) #	Street Con Alley Non 63C0406E  s, etc.)?  materia Concrete/A Brick/Avg Shingle/Avg Shingle/Avg Vinyl/Avg Vinyl/Avg Alum/Avg Woodsto 0 Fence I	Is/condition vg  GAvg  Ove(s) # 0  None	FEMA Map  Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainsco Car Storage Driveway Driveway Driveway Garage	Date 02/02/20  If Yes, describe  materials  Cpt/Hwd/Av  DW/Painted  Wd/Painted  Ceramic/Av  t Ceramic/Av  The of Cars  face  # of Cars	/condition rg /Avg /Avg g g 1 crete
	General Description Units One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories Existing Proposed Under Const. Design (Style) Bungalow Year Built 1951 Effective Age (Yrs) Drop Stair Floor SEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Yes Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical Are there any adverse site conditions or external for the subject of the state of the	Concrete Concrete Basement Are Basement Fin Outside E Evidence of Dampnes Heating Other Cooling	Nater Sanitary Sewer Sewent Sanitary Sewer Sewent Sanitary Sewer Sewer Sewent Sanitary Sewer Sew	FEMA Map # 261 lo If No, describe al conditions, land uses  Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspouts Window Type Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Amenities Fireplace(s) #  Patio/Deck Ope	Street Con Alley Non 63C0406E  s, etc.)?  materia Concrete/A Brick/Avg Shingle/Avg Shingle/Avg Vinyl/Avg Vinyl/Avg Vinyl/Avg Alum/Avg  Woodsto 0 Fence I	Is/condition vg  GAvg  OVE(S) # 0  None  Covered	FEMA Map  Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainscoi Car Storage Driveway Driveway Surf Garage Carport	Date 02/02/20  If Yes, describe  materials  Cpt/Hwd/Av  DW/Painted  Wd/Painted  Ceramic/Av  t Ceramic/Av  Thone  # of Cars  face Con  # of Cars  # of Cars	/condition rg /Avg /Avg g 1 crete 1 0
	General Description Units One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 2 Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit Existing Proposed Under Const. Design (Style) Bungalow Year Built 1951 Effective Age (Yrs) Drop Stair Stairs Floor Scuttle Finished Heated	Concrete Full Base Basement Are Basement Fin Outside E Evidence of Dampnes Heating Other Cooling Individual	Nater	FEMA Map # 261 lo If No, describe al conditions, land uses  Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspouts Window Type Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Amenities Fireplace(s) #  Patio/Deck Ope	Street Con Alley Non 63C0406E  s, etc.)?  n materia Concrete/A Brick/Avg Shingle/Avg Shingle/Avg Vinyl/Avg Vinyl/Avg Vinyl/Avg Alum/Avg  Good Fence I en Porch (	Is/condition vg  We(s) # 0  None  Covered  None	FEMA Map  Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainsco Car Storage Driveway Driveway Driveway Garage	Date 02/02/20  If Yes, describe  materials  Cpt/Hwd/Av  DW/Painted  Wd/Painted  Ceramic/Av  t Ceramic/Av  The of Cars  face  # of Cars	/condition rg /Avg /Avg g g 1 crete
	General Description Units One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 2 Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit Existing Proposed Under Const. Design (Style) Bungalow Year Built 1951 Effective Age (Yrs) Drop Stair Floor Scuttle Finished Heated Appliances Refrigerator Range/Oven	Concrete Full Base Basement Are Basement Fin Outside E Evidence of Dampnes Heating Other Cooling Individual Dishwa	Nater	FEMA Map # 261 lo If No, describe al conditions, land uses  Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspouts Window Type Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Amenities Fireplace(s) # Patio/Deck Ope vave Washer/Dr	Street Con Alley Non 63C0406E  s, etc.)?  n materia Concrete/A Brick/Avg Shingle/Avg Shingle/Avg Vinyl/Avg Vinyl/Avg Vinyl/Avg Alum/Avg  Good Fence I en Porch (	Is/condition vg  GAvg  Ove(s) # 0  None Covered None (describe)	FEMA Map  Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainsco Car Storage Driveway Driveway Driveway Carage Att.	Date 02/02/20  If Yes, describe  materials  Cpt/Hwd/Av  DW/Painted  Wd/Painted  Ceramic/Av  t Ceramic/Av  This of Cars  face Con  # of Cars  # of Cars  Det.	/condition rg /Avg /Avg g g 1 crete 1 0 Built-in
	General Description Units One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 2 Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit Existing Proposed Under Const. Design (Style) Bungalow Year Built 1951 Effective Age (Yrs) 30 Attic None Drop Stair Stairs Floor Scuttle Finished Heated Appliances Refrigerator Range/Oven Finished area above grade contains:	Concrete Full Base Basement Are Basement Fin Outside E Evidence of Dampnes Heating Other Cooling Individual Dishwa 5 Rooms	Nater	FEMA Map # 261 lo If No, describe al conditions, land uses  Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspouts Window Type Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Amenities Fireplace(s) # Patio/Deck Ope vave Washer/Dr 1.0 Bath(s)	Street Con Alley Non 63C0406E  s, etc.)?  materia Concrete/A Brick/Avg Shingle/Avg Shingle/Avg Vinyl/Avg Vinyl/Avg Woodsto O Fence I en Porch of	Is/condition  yg  Is/condition	FEMA Map  Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Wainsco Car Storage Driveway Driveway Driveway Carge Att.	Date 02/02/20  If Yes, describe  materials  Cpt/Hwd/Av  DW/Painted  Wd/Painted.  Ceramic/Av  To Cars  face Con  # of Cars  # of Cars  # of Cars  // Det.	/condition g /Avg /Avg g g 1 crete 1 0 Built-in
	Gas	Concrete Full Base Basement Are Basement Fin Outside E Evidence of Dampnes Heating Other Cooling Individual Dishwa 5 Rooms, etc.)	Nater	FEMA Map # 261 lo If No, describe al conditions, land uses  Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspouts Window Type Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Amenities Fireplace(s) # Patio/Deck Ope vave Washer/Dr 1.0 Bath(s)	Street Con Alley Non 63C0406E  s, etc.)?  materia Concrete/A Brick/Avg Shingle/Avg Shingle/Avg Vinyl/Avg Vinyl/Avg Woodsto O Fence I en Porch of	Is/condition  yg  Is/condition	FEMA Map  Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Wainsco Car Storage Driveway Driveway Driveway Carge Att.	Date 02/02/20  If Yes, describe  materials  Cpt/Hwd/Av  DW/Painted  Wd/Painted.  Ceramic/Av  To Cars  face Con  # of Cars  # of Cars  # of Cars  // Det.	/condition g /Avg /Avg g g 1 crete 1 0 Built-in
	Gas	Concrete  Concrete  Full Base Basement Are Basement Fin Outside E Evidence of Dampnes Heating Other Cooling Individual Dishwa 5 Rooms, etc.).	Nater	FEMA Map # 261 lo If No, describe al conditions, land uses  Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspouts Window Type Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Amenities Fireplace(s) # Patio/Deck Ope wave Washer/Dr 1.0 Bath(s) hat are observed	Street Con Alley Non 63C0406E  s, etc.)?  materia Concrete/A Brick/Avg Shingle/Avg Shingle/Avg Vinyl/Avg Vinyl/Avg Unyl/Avg Fence I en Porch ( Other I ryer Other I from the stree	Is/condition vg  Avg  Ve(s) # 0  None  Covered  None (describe)  1 Square Fe  t have been	FEMA Map  Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainscoi Car Storage Driveway Driveway Driveway Carport Att.  Set of Gross Live	Date 02/02/20  If Yes, describe  materials  Cpt/Hwd/Av  DW/Painted  Wd/Painted  Ceramic/Av  T Ceramic/Av  Of Cars  face Con  # of Cars  # of Cars  # of Cars  wing Area Above Goin the final est	/condition rg /Avg /Avg g 1 crete 1 0 Built-in rade
	General Description Units One One with Accessory Unit  # of Stories  Existing Proposed Under Const. Design (Style) Bungalow Year Built 1951 Effective Age (Yrs) Drop Stair Stories Stairs Floor Scuttle Finished Heated Appliances Refrigerator Range/Oven Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient items, apper	Concrete Full Base Basement Are Basement Fin Outside E Evidence of Dampnes Heating Individual Dishwa 5 Rooms etc.).	Nater	FEMA Map # 261 lo If No, describe al conditions, land uses  Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspouts Window Type Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Amenities Fireplace(s) # Pool None wave Washer/Dr 1.0 Bath(s) that are observed to the served served served served served.	Street Con Alley Non 63C0406E  s, etc.)?  materia Concrete/A Brick/Avg Shingle/Avg Shingle/Avg Vinyl/Avg Vinyl/Avg Unyl/Avg Fence I en Porch ( Other I ryer Other I from the stree	Is/condition vg  Avg  Ve(s) # 0  None Covered None (describe) 1 Square Fe t have been	FEMA Map  Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainscoi Car Storage Driveway Driveway Driveway Carport Att.  Set of Gross Live Included i	Date 02/02/20  If Yes, describe  materials  Cpt/Hwd/Av  DW/Painted  Wd/Painted  Ceramic/Av  T Ceramic/Av  Of Cars  face Con  # of Cars  # of Cars  wing Area Above Good in the final est	/condition rg /Avg /Avg g 1 crete 1 0 Built-in rade timate of
IMPROVEMENTS	Gas	Concrete Concrete Stell Basement Are Basement Fin Outside E Evidence of Dampnes Heating Individual Dishwa 5 Rooms etc.).  ar to be staneeded repairs noted here	Nater	FEMA Map # 261 lo If No, describe al conditions, land uses al conditions, land uses  Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspouts Window Type Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Amenities Fireplace(s) # Pool None wave Washer/Dr 1.0 Bath(s) hat are observed in the seling, etc.).  only. All other up	Street Con Alley Non 63C0406E  s, etc.)?  materia Concrete/A Brick/Avg Shingle/Avg Shingle/Avg Vinyl/Avg Aluminum/ Vinyl/Avg — Woodste 0 Fence I en Porch (	Is/condition  vg  Garage  We(s) # 0  None  Covered  None  (describe)  In Square Fe  It have been  dates in the steel in th	FEMA Map  Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainscoi Car Storage Driveway Driveway Driveway Carport Att.  Set of Gross Live In included i	Date 02/02/20  If Yes, describe  materials  Cpt/Hwd/Av  DW/Painted  Wd/Painted  Ceramic/Av  None # of Cars face Con # of Cars face Con # of Cars face Con for Cars  wing Area Above Good in the final est	/condition g /Avg /Avg g g 1 crete 1 0 Built-in rade timate of rating Data".
IMPROVEMENTS	General Description Units One One with Accessory Unit  # of Stories  Existing Proposed Under Const. Design (Style) Bungalow Year Built 1951 Effective Age (Yrs) Drop Stair Stories Stairs Floor Scuttle Finished Heated Appliances Refrigerator Range/Oven Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient items, apper	Concrete Concrete Stell Basement Are Basement Fin Outside E Evidence of Dampnes Heating Individual Dishwa 5 Rooms etc.).  ar to be staneeded repairs noted here	Nater	FEMA Map # 261 lo If No, describe al conditions, land uses al conditions, land uses  Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspouts Window Type Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Amenities Fireplace(s) # Pool None wave Washer/Dr 1.0 Bath(s) hat are observed in the seling, etc.).  only. All other up	Street Con Alley Non 63C0406E  s, etc.)?  materia Concrete/A Brick/Avg Shingle/Avg Shingle/Avg Vinyl/Avg Aluminum/ Vinyl/Avg — Woodste 0 Fence I en Porch (	Is/condition  vg  Garage  We(s) # 0  None  Covered  None  (describe)  In Square Fe  It have been  dates in the steel in th	FEMA Map  Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainscoi Car Storage Driveway Driveway Driveway Carport Att.  Set of Gross Live In included i	Date 02/02/20  If Yes, describe  materials  Cpt/Hwd/Av  DW/Painted  Wd/Painted  Ceramic/Av  None # of Cars face Con # of Cars face Con # of Cars face Con for Cars  wing Area Above Good in the final est	/condition g /Avg /Avg g g 1 crete 1 0 Built-in rade timate of rating Data".
IMPROVEMENTS	Gas	Concrete Concrete Stell Basement Are Basement Fin Outside E Evidence of Dampnes Heating Individual Dishwa 5 Rooms etc.).  ar to be staneeded repairs noted here	Nater	FEMA Map # 261 lo If No, describe al conditions, land uses al conditions, land uses  Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspouts Window Type Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Amenities Fireplace(s) # Pool None wave Washer/Dr 1.0 Bath(s) hat are observed in the seling, etc.).  only. All other up	Street Con Alley Non 63C0406E  s, etc.)?  materia Concrete/A Brick/Avg Shingle/Avg Shingle/Avg Vinyl/Avg Aluminum/ Vinyl/Avg — Woodste 0 Fence I en Porch (	Is/condition  vg  Garage  We(s) # 0  None  Covered  None  (describe)  In Square Fe  It have been  dates in the steel in th	FEMA Map  Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainscoi Car Storage Driveway Driveway Driveway Carport Att.  Set of Gross Live In included i	Date 02/02/20  If Yes, describe  materials  Cpt/Hwd/Av  DW/Painted  Wd/Painted  Ceramic/Av  None # of Cars face Con # of Cars face Con # of Cars face Con for Cars  wing Area Above Good in the final est	/condition g /Avg /Avg g g 1 crete 1 0 Built-in rade timate of rating Data".
IMPROVEMENTS	Gas  FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area  Yes  Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical  Are there any adverse site conditions or external for there any adverse site conditions or external for the there any adverse site conditions or external for the there any adverse site conditions or external for the there any adverse site conditions or external for the there any adverse site conditions or external for the there any adverse site conditions or external for the there any adverse site conditions or external for the there any adverse site conditions or external for the form and the there any adverse site conditions or external for the property (including the the condition of the property (including the formal for the property (including the formal formal for the property (including the formal formal formal for the property (including the formal formal formal formal formal formal for the property (including the formal	Concrete Full Base Basement Are Basement Fin Outside E Evidence of Dampnes Heating Individual Individual S Rooms etc.).  ar to be state e age-life I	Nater	Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspouts Window Type Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Amenities Fireplace(s) # Patio/Deck Ope Pool None wave Washer/Dr 1.0 Bath(s) hat are observed to	Street Con Alley Non 63C0406E  s, etc.)?  n materia Concrete/A Brick/Avg Shingle/Avg Shingle/Avg Vinyl/Avg Vinyl/Avg Woodstc 0 Fence I en Porch (	Is/condition  vg  Garage  We(s) # 0  None  Covered  None  (describe)  In Square Fe  It have been  dates in the steel in th	FEMA Map  Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainsco Car Storage Driveway Driveway Driveway Surf Garage Att.  Let of Gross Live Included in the time of	Date 02/02/20  If Yes, describe  materials  Cpt/Hwd/Av  DW/Painted  Wd/Painted  Ceramic/Av  Torring  # of Cars  # of Cars  # of Cars  Det.  wing Area Above Good in the final est  cears;The "C"  nder "Subject  of inspection.	/condition g /Avg /Avg g g 1 crete 1 0 Built-in rade timate of rating Data".
IMPROVEMENTS	Gas	Concrete Full Base Basement Are Basement Fin Outside E Evidence of Dampnes Heating Individual Individual S Rooms etc.).  ar to be state e age-life I	Nater	Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspouts Window Type Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Amenities Fireplace(s) # Patio/Deck Ope Pool None wave Washer/Dr 1.0 Bath(s) hat are observed to	Street Con Alley Non 63C0406E  s, etc.)?  n materia Concrete/A Brick/Avg Shingle/Avg Shingle/Avg Vinyl/Avg Vinyl/Avg Woodstc 0 Fence I en Porch (	Is/condition  vg  Garage  We(s) # 0  None  Covered  None  (describe)  In Square Fe  It have been  dates in the steel in th	FEMA Map  Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainscoi Car Storage Driveway Driveway Driveway Carport Att.  Set of Gross Live In included i	Date 02/02/20  If Yes, describe  materials  Cpt/Hwd/Av  DW/Painted  Wd/Painted  Ceramic/Av  t Ceramic/Av  # of Cars  # of Cars  # of Cars  Det.  wing Area Above 66 in the final est  cears;The "C" nder "Subject  of inspection.	/condition g /Avg /Avg g g 1 crete 1 0 Built-in rade timate of rating Data".
IMPROVEMENTS	Gas  FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area  Yes  Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical  Are there any adverse site conditions or external for there any adverse site conditions or external for the there any adverse site conditions or external for the there any adverse site conditions or external for the there any adverse site conditions or external for the there any adverse site conditions or external for the there any adverse site conditions or external for the there any adverse site conditions or external for the there any adverse site conditions or external for the form and the there any adverse site conditions or external for the property (including the the condition of the property (including the formal for the property (including the formal formal for the property (including the formal formal formal for the property (including the formal formal formal formal formal formal for the property (including the formal	Concrete Full Base Basement Are Basement Fin Outside E Evidence of Dampnes Heating Individual Individual S Rooms etc.).  ar to be state e age-life I	Nater	Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspouts Window Type Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Amenities Fireplace(s) # Patio/Deck Ope Pool None wave Washer/Dr 1.0 Bath(s) hat are observed to	Street Con Alley Non 63C0406E  s, etc.)?  n materia Concrete/A Brick/Avg Shingle/Avg Shingle/Avg Vinyl/Avg Vinyl/Avg Woodstc 0 Fence I en Porch (	Is/condition  vg  Garage  We(s) # 0  None  Covered  None  (describe)  In Square Fe  It have been  dates in the steel in th	FEMA Map  Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainsco Car Storage Driveway Driveway Driveway Surf Garage Att.  Let of Gross Live Included in the time of	Date 02/02/20  If Yes, describe  materials  Cpt/Hwd/Av  DW/Painted  Wd/Painted  Ceramic/Av  Torring  # of Cars  # of Cars  # of Cars  Det.  wing Area Above Good in the final est  cears;The "C"  nder "Subject  of inspection.	/condition g /Avg /Avg g g 1 crete 1 0 Built-in rade timate of rating Data".
IMPROVEMENTS	Gas  FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area  Yes  Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical  Are there any adverse site conditions or external for there any adverse site conditions or external for the there any adverse site conditions or external for the there any adverse site conditions or external for the there any adverse site conditions or external for the there any adverse site conditions or external for the there any adverse site conditions or external for the there any adverse site conditions or external for the there any adverse site conditions or external for the form and the there any adverse site conditions or external for the property (including the the condition of the property (including the formal for the property (including the formal formal for the property (including the formal formal formal for the property (including the formal formal formal formal formal formal for the property (including the formal	Concrete Full Base Basement Are Basement Fin Outside E Evidence of Dampnes Heating Individual Individual S Rooms etc.).  ar to be state e age-life I	Nater	Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspouts Window Type Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Amenities Fireplace(s) # Patio/Deck Ope Pool None wave Washer/Dr 1.0 Bath(s) hat are observed to	Street Con Alley Non 63C0406E  s, etc.)?  n materia Concrete/A Brick/Avg Shingle/Avg Shingle/Avg Vinyl/Avg Vinyl/Avg Woodstc 0 Fence I en Porch (	Is/condition  vg  Garage  We(s) # 0  None  Covered  None  (describe)  In Square Fe  It have been  dates in the steel in th	FEMA Map  Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainsco Car Storage Driveway Driveway Driveway Surf Garage Att.  Let of Gross Live Included in the time of	Date 02/02/20  If Yes, describe  materials  Cpt/Hwd/Av  DW/Painted  Wd/Painted  Ceramic/Av  Torring  # of Cars  # of Cars  # of Cars  Det.  wing Area Above Good in the final est  cears;The "C"  nder "Subject  of inspection.	/condition g /Avg /Avg g g 1 crete 1 0 Built-in rade timate of rating Data".
IMPKOVEMEN IS	General Description Units One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 2 Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit Existing Proposed Under Const. Design (Style) Bungalow Year Built 1951 Effective Age (Yrs) 30 Attic None Drop Stair Stairs Floor Scuttle Finished Heated Appliances Refrigerator Range/Oven Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient items, avalue. All energy efficient items appeed Describe the condition of the property (including the state of the property including the state of the state of the entire house. The updates Physical depreciation is based on the Are there any physical deficiencies or adverse contains.	Concrete Full Base Basement Are Basement Fin Outside E Evidence of Dampnes Heating Individual Dishwa 5 Rooms , etc.).  ar to be staneeded repairs noted here e age-life in	Water	FEMA Map # 261 lo If No, describe al conditions, land uses  Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspouts Window Type Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Amenities Fireplace(s) # Patio/Deck Ope wave Washer/Dr 1.0 Bath(s) hat are observed for the second or external conditions are considered to the second or external conditions are conditions are considered to the second or external conditions are considered to the second or external conditions are considered to the second or external conditions are conditions are considered to the second or external conditions are conditions are considered to the second or external conditions are	Street Con Alley Non 63C0406E  s, etc.)?  materia Concrete/A Brick/Avg Shingle/Avg Vinyl/Avg Vinyl/Avg Vinyl/Avg Other Other Tyer Other C4;No up pdates are no depreciation a	Is/condition  vg  Garage  We(s) # 0  None  Covered  None  (describe)  In Square Fe  It have been  dates in the steel in th	FEMA Map  Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainscol Car Storage Driveway Driveway Driveway Surf Att.  Set of Gross Live In included in included in included in the time of the time o	Date 02/02/20  If Yes, describe  materials  Cpt/Hwd/Av DW/Painted  Wd/Painted.  Ceramic/Av  To Cars  face Con # of Cars  # of Cars  # of Cars  // Optical Cars	/condition g /Avg /Avg g g 1 crete 1 0 Built-in rade timate of rating Data".
	Gas  FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area  Yes  Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical  Are there any adverse site conditions or external for there any adverse site conditions or external for the there any adverse site conditions or external for the there any adverse site conditions or external for the there any adverse site conditions or external for the there any adverse site conditions or external for the there any adverse site conditions or external for the there any adverse site conditions or external for the there any adverse site conditions or external for the form and the there any adverse site conditions or external for the property (including the the condition of the property (including the formal for the property (including the formal formal for the property (including the formal formal formal for the property (including the formal formal formal formal formal formal for the property (including the formal	Concrete Full Base Basement Are Basement Fin Outside E Evidence of Dampnes Heating Individual Dishwa 5 Rooms , etc.).  ar to be staneeded repairs noted here e age-life in	Water	FEMA Map # 261 lo If No, describe al conditions, land uses  Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspouts Window Type Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Amenities Fireplace(s) # Patio/Deck Ope wave Washer/Dr 1.0 Bath(s) hat are observed for the second or external conditions are considered to the second or external conditions are conditions are considered to the second or external conditions are considered to the second or external conditions are considered to the second or external conditions are conditions are considered to the second or external conditions are conditions are considered to the second or external conditions are	Street Con Alley Non 63C0406E  s, etc.)?  materia Concrete/A Brick/Avg Shingle/Avg Vinyl/Avg Vinyl/Avg Vinyl/Avg Other Other Tyer Other C4;No up pdates are no depreciation a	Is/condition  vg  Is/condition  Is/condition	FEMA Map  Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainscol Car Storage Driveway Driveway Driveway Surf Att.  Set of Gross Live In included in included in included in the time of the time o	Date 02/02/20  If Yes, describe  materials  Cpt/Hwd/Av DW/Painted  Wd/Painted.  Ceramic/Av  To Cars  face Con # of Cars  # of Cars  # of Cars  // Optical Cars	/condition g /Avg /Avg g g 1 crete 1 0 Built-in rade timate of rating Data".

ANS-221309

There are 10 comparable	properties currently	offered for sale in t	the subject neighborho	ood ranging in	price	from \$ 65,000		to \$ 99,0	000
			the past twelve mont						00,000
FEATURE	SUBJECT		LE SALE # 1			LE SALE # 2		COMPARABL	
Address 729 Farnham Ave	000000	853 Mill St		654 White			818 P	rogress Ave	
Lincoln Park, MI 4	9116	Lincoln Park, MI	10116	Lincoln Pa		10116		oln Park, MI	
Proximity to Subject	10140	0.14 miles NW	40140	0.31 miles	-	40140		niles W	+01+0
	\$	U.14 IIIIes NVV	\$ 70,000		INE	\$ 88.750		IIIIes VV	\$ 79.999
	\$ sq.ft.	\$ <b>61.89</b> sq.ft.	\$ 70,000		6 sq.ft.	\$ 88,750	\$	<b>75.97</b> sq.ft.	\$ 79,999
Data Source(s)	φ 54.1ι.		007740-DOM 000			10007-DOM 50	-		4700-DOM 57
Verification Source(s)		•	297719;DOM 238			12207;DOW 53			1792;DOM 57
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DESCRIPTION	City Website DESCRIPTION	ı ( ) ¢ Adiyatmant	City Websi DESCRIPT		I ( ) & Adjustment		<b>Vebsite</b> SCRIPTION	ı ( ) ¢ Adiyatmant
	DESCRIPTION		+(-) \$ Adjustment		IUN	+(-) \$ Adjustment	1		+(-) \$ Adjustment
Sales or Financing		ArmLth		ArmLth		_	ArmL	-	
Concessions		Conv;1000	-1,000	FHA;0		0	Conv	<i></i>	-2,500
Date of Sale/Time		s04/17;c03/17		s04/17;c03/	/17		s03/1	7;c03/17	
	N;Res;	N;Res;		N;Res;			N;Res	s;	
	Fee Simple	Fee Simple		Fee Simple	)		Fee S	imple	
	4579 sf	11664 sf	0	6534 sf		0	6098	sf	0
View	N;Res;	N;Res;		N;Res;			N;Res	s;	
Design (Style)	DT2;Bungalow	DT2;Bungalow		DT2;Colon	ial	l o	DT1;I	Ranch	0
Quality of Construction	Q4	Q4		Q4			Q4		
	-	67	0	87		C	56		0
-	C4	C4	_	C4			C4		<del>-</del>
Above Grade	Total Bdrms. Baths	Total Bdrms. Baths		Total Bdrms.	Baths		_	Bdrms. Baths	
Room Count	5 3 1.0	6 3 1.0	0		1.0	0		3 1.0	
Gross Living Area	1,211 sq.ft.				) sq.ft.				. 4 000
		1,131 sq.ft.	0			0		1,053 sq.ft.	+1,600
	825sf660sfin	754sf754sfin		600sf300sf		· ·		sf1053sfin	-3,105
Rooms Below Grade	1rr0br0.1ba0o	1rr0br0.0ba0o	+500	1rr0br0.0ba	a0o	+500	1rr0b	r0.0ba0o	+500
	Average	Average		Average			Avera	ige	
Heating/Cooling	FWA-None	FWA/CAC	-1,000	FWA/CAC		-1,000	FWA/	None	0
/	None	None		None			None		
Garage/Carport	1gd1dw	2gd2dw	-2,000	2gd2dw		-2,000	2gd2	dw	-2,000
Porch/Patio/Deck	Porch/Patio	Porch	+1,000	Porch		+1,000	Porch	1	+1,000
School District	Lincoln Park	Lincoln Park		Lincoln Pa	rk		Linco	In Park	
Amenities									
Net Adjustment (Total)		□ + ⋈ -	\$ -2,500	X + [	٦.	\$ 1,425		+ 🛛 -	\$ -4,505
Adjusted Sale Price		Net Adj. 3.6 %	-2,300	Net Adj.	1.6 %	1,420	Net Ad		-4,303
of Comparables		Gross Adj. 7.9 %	¢ 67.500	Gross Adj.	8.4 %	\$ 90,175	1		¢ 75.404
	ha aala ar tranafar hiata		rty and comparable sale			Ψ 90,175	G G G G G	Tuj. 13.4 /0	\$ 75,494
Data Source(s) MLS/Public My research ☐ did ☑ did n Data Source(s) MLS/Public	Records Data not reveal any prior sale Records Data	s or transfers of the co	bject property for the th	year prior to the	date of	sale of the comparable	e sale.		
Report the results of the research a				-					
ITEM	SU	JBJECT	COMPARABLE S	ALE #1	(	COMPARABLE SALE #	2	COMPAI	RABLE SALE #3
Date of Prior Sale/Transfer									
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer									
Data Source(s)	Wayne Coun	ty Records	Wayne County Re	cords	Wayn	e County Records	3	Wayne Cou	nty Records
Effective Date of Data Source(s)	06/15/2017		06/22/2017		06/15	/2017		06/22/2017	
Analysis of prior sale or transfer his	story of the subject prop	perty and comparable s	sales Acc	ording to he	omeov	wner/governmenta	al offic	es/MLS data	, no other title
transfers for the subject pr	operty have occu	rred within 3 year	s of this appraisal	and no oth	er sale	es for comparable	s in las	st 1 year.	
Summary of Sales Comparison App	oroach See att	ached addenda.							
Indicated Value by Sales Comparison	on Approach \$ 75	5,000							
Indicated Value by: Sales Compa	arison Approach \$	75.000	Cost Approach (if dev	eloped) \$	81.337	Income App	oroach (	if developed) \$	0
Sales approach is held mo	•••	,		• •					
approach is not relevant, a				rket area.	116 603	з арргоасп заррс	J113 1111	S value. 111	e illeonie
approach is not relevant, a	s owner occupan	cy is predominani	l.						
This appraisal is made 🔀 "as is completed, 🔲 subject to the following required inspection base	following repairs or a	alterations on the bas	and specifications o is of a hypothetical c ne condition or deficie	condition that t	the repa	airs or alterations hav		•	
Based on a complete visual conditions, and appraiser's ce	inspection of the in		areas of the subje arket value, as defi					t of assumpti of this repo	ons and limiting rt is

Freddie Mac Form 70 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011

Uniform Residential Appraisal Report

ANS-221309
File # 0517-163

See attached addenda.			
COCT ADDROACH TO VALUE	* (not nowing by Fourie Mea)		
	E (not required by Fannie Mae)		
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation	ns.	made an effort to suppor	t oninion
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti	ns. mating site value) Appraiser has	made an effort to suppor	
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation	ns. mating site value) Appraiser has le lot data has made this difficult. Inst		
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting of site value with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable determined utilizing feedback from builders, investors, and the appraiser's	ns. mating site value) Appraiser has le lot data has made this difficult. Inst		
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting of site value with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable sales.	ns. mating site value) Appraiser has le lot data has made this difficult. Inst knowledge of the market.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE	ead, the opinion of site va	
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti of site value with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable determined utilizing feedback from builders, investors, and the appraiser's ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book	mating site value)  Appraiser has le lot data has made this difficult. Inst knowledge of the market.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,211 Sq.Ft. @\$	=\$ 80.00 =\$	lue is
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting of site value with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable determined utilizing feedback from builders, investors, and the appraiser's ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data Current	ns.  mating site value)  Appraiser has le lot data has made this difficult. Inst knowledge of the market.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,211 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 825 Sq.Ft. @ \$	=\$ 80.00 =\$ 20.00 =\$	5,000 96,880 16,500
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting of site value with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable determined utilizing feedback from builders, investors, and the appraiser's ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book  Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)	ns.  mating site value)  Appraiser has le lot data has made this difficult. Inst knowledge of the market.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,211 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 825 Sq.Ft. @ \$	=\$ 80.00 =\$ 20.00 =\$	5,000 96,880 16,500 1,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting of site value with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable determined utilizing feedback from builders, investors, and the appraiser's ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost figures taken from the Marshall & Swifts Cost Handbook (Rounded)	ns. mating site value)  Appraiser has le lot data has made this difficult. Inst knowledge of the market.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,211 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 825 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Porch  Garage/Carport  308 Sq.Ft. @ \$	=\$ 80.00 =\$ 20.00 =\$ 20.00 =\$	5,000 96,880 16,500 1,000 6,160
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estite value with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable determined utilizing feedback from builders, investors, and the appraiser's ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost figures taken from the Marshall & Swifts Cost Handbook (Rounded) with local multipliers added and appraisers knowledge of the area and	ns.  mating site value)  Appraiser has le lot data has made this difficult. Inst knowledge of the market.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,211 Sq.Ft. @ \$  825 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Porch  Garage/Carport  308 Sq.Ft. @ \$	=\$ 80.00 =\$ 20.00 =\$ 20.00 =\$ =\$	5,000 96,880 16,500 1,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting of site value with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable determined utilizing feedback from builders, investors, and the appraiser's ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost figures taken from the Marshall & Swifts Cost Handbook (Rounded)	ns.  mating site value)  Appraiser has le lot data has made this difficult. Inst knowledge of the market.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,211 Sq.Ft. @ \$  825 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Porch  Garage/Carport  308 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Total Estimate of Cost-New  Less Physical Functional	=\$ 80.00 =\$ 20.00 =\$ 20.00 =\$	5,000 96,880 16,500 1,000 6,160 120,540
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estite value with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable determined utilizing feedback from builders, investors, and the appraiser's ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost figures taken from the Marshall & Swifts Cost Handbook (Rounded) with local multipliers added and appraisers knowledge of the area and	ns.  mating site value)  Appraiser has le lot data has made this difficult. Inst knowledge of the market.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,211 Sq.Ft. @ \$  825 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Porch  Garage/Carport  308 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Total Estimate of Cost-New  Less Physical Functional	=\$ 80.00 =\$ 20.00 =\$ 20.00 =\$ External	5,000 96,880 16,500 1,000 6,160 120,540
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estite value with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable determined utilizing feedback from builders, investors, and the appraiser's ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost figures taken from the Marshall & Swifts Cost Handbook (Rounded) with local multipliers added and appraisers knowledge of the area and	ns.  mating site value)  Appraiser has le lot data has made this difficult. Inst knowledge of the market.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,211 Sq.Ft. @ \$  825 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Porch  Garage/Carport  308 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Total Estimate of Cost-New  Less Physical Functional  Depreciation  45,203	=\$ 80.00 =\$ 20.00 =\$ 20.00 =\$  External =\$(	5,000 96,880 16,500 1,000 6,160 120,540
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting of site value with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable determined utilizing feedback from builders, investors, and the appraiser's estimated. REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost figures taken from the Marshall & Swifts Cost Handbook (Rounded) with local multipliers added and appraisers knowledge of the area and builders costs.	ns. mating site value)  Appraiser has le lot data has made this difficult. Inst knowledge of the market.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,211 Sq.Ft. @ \$  825 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Porch  Garage/Carport  Garage/Carport  Total Estimate of Cost-New  Less Physical Functional  Depreciation  45,203  Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements	=\$ 80.00 =\$ 20.00 =\$ 20.00 =\$  External =\$(	5,000 96,880 16,500 1,000 6,160 120,540 45,203) 75,337 1,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting of site value with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable determined utilizing feedback from builders, investors, and the appraiser's estimated investors are supported by the sales of cost data provided by the support of cost data investors and support of cost data investors. Average investors of cost data investors are supported by the support of cost data investors are supported by the support of cost data investors are supported by the support of cost data investors are supported by the support of cost data investors are supported by the support of cost data investors and support of cost data investors are supported by the support of cost data investors and support of cost data investors and support of cost data investors are supported by the support of cost data investors and support of cost data investors are supported by the support of cost data investors and support of cost data investors and support of cost data investors are supported by the support of cost data investors and support of cost data investors and support of cost data investors are supported by the support of cost data investors and support of cost data investors and support of cost data investors are supported by the support of cost data investors and support of cost data investors and support of cost data investors and support of cost data investors are supported by the support of cost data investors and supp	mating site value)  Appraiser has le lot data has made this difficult. Inst knowledge of the market.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,211 Sq.Ft. @ \$  825 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Porch  Garage/Carport  308 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Total Estimate of Cost-New  Less Physical Functional  Depreciation  45,203  Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements	=\$ 80.00 =\$ 20.00 =\$ 20.00 =\$ External =\$(	5,000 96,880 16,500 1,000 6,160 120,540 45,203) 75,337
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting of site value with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable determined utilizing feedback from builders, investors, and the appraiser's estimated. Reproduction or Reproduction of Reproduction or Reproduction of Reproduction or Reproduction or Reproduction or Reproduction of Reproduction or Reproduction of Reproduction or Reproduction of Reproduction or Reproduction of	mating site value)  Mating site value)  Mating site value)  Appraiser has le lot data has made this difficult. Instance  knowledge of the market.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,211 Sq.Ft. @ \$  825 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Porch  Garage/Carport  308 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Total Estimate of Cost-New  Less Physical Functional  Depreciation  45,203  Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH  IE (not required by Fannie Mae)	=\$ 80.00	5,000 96,880 16,500 1,000 6,160 120,540 45,203) 75,337 1,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estite value with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable determined utilizing feedback from builders, investors, and the appraiser's ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost figures taken from the Marshall & Swifts Cost Handbook (Rounded) with local multipliers added and appraisers knowledge of the area and builders costs.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALLE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Rent Multiplier	mating site value)  Mating site value)  Mating site value)  Appraiser has le lot data has made this difficult. Instance  knowledge of the market.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,211 Sq.Ft. @ \$  825 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Porch  Garage/Carport  308 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Total Estimate of Cost-New  Less Physical Functional  Depreciation  45,203  Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  "INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH  IE (not required by Fannie Mae)  0 = \$ 0	=\$ 80.00	5,000 96,880 16,500 1,000 6,160 120,540 45,203) 75,337 1,000 81,337
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estite value with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable determined utilizing feedback from builders, investors, and the appraiser's estimated a marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost figures taken from the Marshall & Swifts Cost Handbook (Rounded) with local multipliers added and appraisers knowledge of the area and builders costs.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0	mating site value)  Mating site value)  Mating site value)  Appraiser has le lot data has made this difficult. Instance  knowledge of the market.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,211 Sq.Ft. @ \$  825 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Porch  Garage/Carport  308 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Total Estimate of Cost-New  Less Physical Functional  Depreciation  45,203  Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH  IE (not required by Fannie Mae)	=\$ 80.00	5,000 96,880 16,500 1,000 6,160 120,540 45,203) 75,337 1,000 81,337
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estite value with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable determined utilizing feedback from builders, investors, and the appraiser's estimated a marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost figures taken from the Marshall & Swifts Cost Handbook (Rounded) with local multipliers added and appraisers knowledge of the area and builders costs.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0	Appraiser has le lot data has made this difficult. Instance knowledge of the market.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,211 Sq.Ft. @ \$  825 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Porch  Garage/Carport  308 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Total Estimate of Cost-New  Less Physical Functional  Depreciation  45,203  Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  "INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH  IE (not required by Fannie Mae)  0 = \$ 0  come approach is not developed due to	=\$ 80.00	5,000 96,880 16,500 1,000 6,160 120,540 45,203) 75,337 1,000 81,337
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting of site value with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable determined utilizing feedback from builders, investors, and the appraiser's estimated Lilizing feedback from builders, investors, and the appraiser's estimated Reproduction or Reproduction of Reproduction or Reproduction or Reproduction of Reproduction or Reproduction of Reproduction or Reproduction of Reproduction or Reproduction of Reproduction of Reproduction or Reproduction of Reprodu	mating site value)  Appraiser has le lot data has made this difficult. Inst knowledge of the market.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,211 Sq.Ft. @ \$  825 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Porch  Garage/Carport  308 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Total Estimate of Cost-New  Less Physical Functional  Depreciation  45,203  Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH  IE (not required by Fannie Mae)  0 = \$ 0  come approach is not developed due to the second supplicable)	=\$ 80.00	5,000 96,880 16,500 1,000 6,160 120,540 45,203) 75,337 1,000 81,337
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting of site value with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable determined utilizing feedback from builders, investors, and the appraiser's estimated Lilizing feedback from builders, investors, and the appraiser's estimated Reproduction or Reproduction of Reproduction or Reproduction of Reproduction or Reproduction of Reproduction or Reproduction or Reproduction of Reproduction or Reproduction or Reproduction or Reproduction of Reproduction or Reprodu	mating site value)  Appraiser has le lot data has made this difficult. Inst knowledge of the market.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,211 Sq.Ft. @ \$  825 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Porch  Garage/Carport  308 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Total Estimate of Cost-New  Less Physical Functional  Depreciation  45,203  Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  "INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH  IE (not required by Fannie Mae)  0 = \$ 0  come approach is not developed due to the standard of the stand	= \$	5,000 96,880 16,500 1,000 6,160 120,540 45,203) 75,337 1,000 81,337
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting of site value with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable determined utilizing feedback from builders, investors, and the appraiser's estimated Lilizing feedback from builders, investors, and the appraiser's estimated Reproduction or Reproduction of Reproduction or Reproduction or Reproduction of Reproduction or Reproduction of Reproduction or Reproduction of Reproduction or Reproduction of Reproduction of Reproduction or Reproduction of Reprodu	mating site value)  Appraiser has le lot data has made this difficult. Inst knowledge of the market.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,211 Sq.Ft. @ \$  825 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Porch  Garage/Carport  308 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Total Estimate of Cost-New  Less Physical Functional  Depreciation  45,203  Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  "INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH  IE (not required by Fannie Mae)  0 = \$ 0  come approach is not developed due to the standard of the stand	= \$	5,000 96,880 16,500 1,000 6,160 120,540 45,203) 75,337 1,000 81,337
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting of site value with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable determined utilizing feedback from builders, investors, and the appraiser's estimated utilizing feedback from builders, investors, and the appraiser's estimated in the sale of cost data appraiser's estimated in the sale of cost data appraiser's estimated sale of cost data appraiser (soments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost figures taken from the Marshall & Swifts Cost Handbook (Rounded) with local multipliers added and appraisers knowledge of the area and builders costs.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  50 Years  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (Stimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0	mating site value)  Appraiser has le lot data has made this difficult. Inst knowledge of the market.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,211 Sq.Ft. @ \$  825 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Porch  Garage/Carport  308 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Total Estimate of Cost-New  Less Physical Functional  Depreciation  45,203  Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  "INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH  IE (not required by Fannie Mae)  0 = \$ 0  come approach is not developed due to the standard of the stand	= \$	5,000 96,880 16,500 1,000 6,160 120,540 45,203) 75,337 1,000 81,337
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting of site value with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable determined utilizing feedback from builders, investors, and the appraiser's settling of cost data from builders, investors, and the appraiser's settling of cost data from builders, investors, and the appraiser's settling of cost data from builders, investors, and the appraiser's source of cost data from the settling of cost data from the s	mating site value)  Mappraiser has le lot data has made this difficult. Instance knowledge of the market.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,211 Sq.Ft. @ \$  825 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Porch  Garage/Carport  308 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Total Estimate of Cost-New  Less Physical Functional  Depreciation  45,203  Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  "INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH  JE (not required by Fannie Mae)  0 = \$ 0  come approach is not developed due to the subject property is an attached dwelling unitate of units sold  Data source(s)	= \$	5,000 96,880 16,500 1,000 6,160 120,540 45,203) 75,337 1,000 81,337
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting of site value with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable determined utilizing feedback from builders, investors, and the appraiser's and sales in the sales of cost data of c	mating site value)  Mappraiser has  le lot data has made this difficult. Inst knowledge of the market.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,211 Sq.Ft. @ \$  825 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Porch  Garage/Carport  308 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Total Estimate of Cost-New  Less Physical Functional  Depreciation  45,203  Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH  JE (not required by Fannie Mae)  0 = \$ 0  come approach is not developed due to the subject property is an attached dwelling unital total number of units sold	= \$	5,000 96,880 16,500 1,000 6,160 120,540 45,203) 75,337 1,000 81,337
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting of site value with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable determined utilizing feedback from builders, investors, and the appraiser's and etermined utilizing feedback from builders, investors, and the appraiser's estimated mutilizing feedback from builders, investors, and the appraiser's estimated from cost data. Reproduction or Replacement Cost New Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost figures taken from the Marshall & Swifts Cost Handbook (Rounded) with local multipliers added and appraisers knowledge of the area and builders costs.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) The incover occupancy is predominant.  PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA at Legal Name of Project  Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source	mating site value)  Appraiser has le lot data has made this difficult. Instance knowledge of the market.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,211 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 825 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Porch  Garage/Carport  308 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Total Estimate of Cost-New  Less Physical Functional  Depreciation  45,203  Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  "INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH  IE (not required by Fannie Mae)  0 = \$ 0  come approach is not developed due to the subject property is an attached dwelling unitation and the subject property is an attached dwelling unitation.  Total number of units sold  Data source(s)  No If Yes, date of conversion.	= \$	5,000 96,880 16,500 1,000 6,160 120,540 45,203) 75,337 1,000 81,337
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting of site value with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable determined utilizing feedback from builders, investors, and the appraiser's and state appraiser's summary of cost data warshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost figures taken from the Marshall & Swifts Cost Handbook (Rounded) with local multipliers added and appraisers knowledge of the area and builders costs.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) The incover occupancy is predominant.  PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project  Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source	mating site value)  Mappraiser has le lot data has made this difficult. Instance knowledge of the market.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,211 Sq.Ft. @ \$  825 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Porch  Garage/Carport  308 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Total Estimate of Cost-New  Less Physical Functional  Depreciation  45,203  Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  "INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH  JE (not required by Fannie Mae)  0 = \$ 0  come approach is not developed due to the subject property is an attached dwelling unitate of units sold  Data source(s)	= \$	5,000 96,880 16,500 1,000 6,160 120,540 45,203) 75,337 1,000 81,337
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting of site value with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable determined utilizing feedback from builders, investors, and the appraiser's and etermined utilizing feedback from builders, investors, and the appraiser's estimated mutilizing feedback from builders, investors, and the appraiser's estimated from cost data. Reproduction or Replacement Cost New Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost figures taken from the Marshall & Swifts Cost Handbook (Rounded) with local multipliers added and appraisers knowledge of the area and builders costs.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) The incover occupancy is predominant.  PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA at Legal Name of Project  Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source	mating site value)  Appraiser has le lot data has made this difficult. Instance knowledge of the market.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,211 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 825 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Porch  Garage/Carport  308 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Total Estimate of Cost-New  Less Physical Functional  Depreciation  45,203  Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  "INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH  IE (not required by Fannie Mae)  0 = \$ 0  come approach is not developed due to the subject property is an attached dwelling unitation and the subject property is an attached dwelling unitation.  Total number of units sold  Data source(s)  No If Yes, date of conversion.	= \$	5,000 96,880 16,500 1,000 6,160 120,540 45,203) 75,337 1,000 81,337
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting of site value with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable determined utilizing feedback from builders, investors, and the appraiser's estimated utilizing feedback from builders, investors, and the appraiser's estimated of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book (Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost figures taken from the Marshall & Swifts Cost Handbook (Rounded) with local multipliers added and appraisers knowledge of the area and builders costs.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Rent Multiplier (Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)  The incomer occupancy is predominant.  PROJECT INFORMATION IS the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA at Legal Name of Project  Total number of phases Total number of units for sale  Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source  Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No	mating site value)  Appraiser has le lot data has made this difficult. Instance knowledge of the market.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,211 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 825 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Porch  Garage/Carport  308 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Total Estimate of Cost-New  Less Physical Functional  Depreciation  45,203  Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  "INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH  IE (not required by Fannie Mae)  0 = \$ 0  come approach is not developed due to the subject property is an attached dwelling unitation and the subject property is an attached dwelling unitation.  Total number of units sold  Data source(s)  No If Yes, date of conversion.	= \$	5,000 96,880 16,500 1,000 6,160 120,540 45,203) 75,337 1,000 81,337
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting of site value with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable determined utilizing feedback from builders, investors, and the appraiser's estimated utilizing feedback from builders, investors, and the appraiser's estimated in the appraisance in the appraisa	mating site value)  Appraiser has le lot data has made this difficult. Instance knowledge of the market.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,211 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 825 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Porch  Garage/Carport  308 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Total Estimate of Cost-New  Less Physical Functional  Depreciation  45,203  Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH  IE (not required by Fannie Mae)  0 = \$ 0  come approach is not developed due to the subject property is an attached dwelling unity to the subject property is an	= \$	5,000 96,880 16,500 1,000 6,160 120,540 45,203) 75,337 1,000 81,337

Freddie Mac Form 70 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011

This report form is designed to report an appraisal of a one-unit property or a one-unit property with an accessory unit; including a unit in a planned unit development (PUD). This report form is not designed to report an appraisal of a manufactured home or a unit in a condominium or cooperative project.

This appraisal report is subject to the following scope of work, intended use, intended user, definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. Modifications, additions, or deletions to the intended use, intended user, definition of market value, or assumptions and limiting conditions are not permitted. The appraiser may expand the scope of work to include any additional research or analysis necessary based on the complexity of this appraisal assignment. Modifications or deletions to the certifications are also not permitted. However, additional certifications that do not constitute material alterations to this appraisal report, such as those required by law or those related to the appraiser's continuing education or membership in an appraisal organization, are permitted.

SCOPE OF WORK: The scope of work for this appraisal is defined by the complexity of this appraisal assignment and the reporting requirements of this appraisal report form, including the following definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. The appraiser must, at a minimum: (1) perform a complete visual inspection of the interior and exterior areas of the subject property, (2) inspect the neighborhood, (3) inspect each of the comparable sales from at least the street, (4) research, verify, and analyze data from reliable public and/or private sources, and (5) report his or her analysis, opinions, and conclusions in this appraisal report.

INTENDED USE: The intended use of this appraisal report is for the lender/client to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for a mortgage finance transaction.

INTENDED USER: The intended user of this appraisal report is the lender/client.

DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE: The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby: (1) buyer and seller are typically motivated; (2) both parties are well informed or well advised, and each acting in what he or she considers his or her own best interest; (3) a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market; (4) payment is made in terms of cash in U. S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and (5) the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions\* granted by anyone associated with the sale.

\*Adjustments to the comparables must be made for special or creative financing or sales concessions. No adjustments are necessary for those costs which are normally paid by sellers as a result of tradition or law in a market area; these costs are readily identifiable since the seller pays these costs in virtually all sales transactions. Special or creative financing adjustments can be made to the comparable property by comparisons to financing terms offered by a third party institutional lender that is not already involved in the property or transaction. Any adjustment should not be calculated on a mechanical dollar for dollar cost of the financing or concession but the dollar amount of any adjustment should approximate the market's reaction to the financing or concessions based on the appraiser's judgment.

STATEMENT OF ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS: The appraiser's certification in this report is subject to the following assumptions and limiting conditions:

- 1. The appraiser will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature that affect either the property being appraised or the title to it, except for information that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. The appraiser assumes that the title is good and marketable and will not render any opinions about the title.
- 2. The appraiser has provided a sketch in this appraisal report to show the approximate dimensions of the improvements. The sketch is included only to assist the reader in visualizing the property and understanding the appraiser's determination of its size
- 3. The appraiser has examined the available flood maps that are provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (or other data sources) and has noted in this appraisal report whether any portion of the subject site is located in an identified Special Flood Hazard Area. Because the appraiser is not a surveyor, he or she makes no guarantees, express or implied, regarding this determination.
- 4. The appraiser will not give testimony or appear in court because he or she made an appraisal of the property in question, unless specific arrangements to do so have been made beforehand, or as otherwise required by law.
- 5. The appraiser has noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing the appraisal. Unless otherwise stated in this appraisal report, the appraiser has no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent physical deficiencies or adverse conditions of the property (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) that would make the property less valuable, and has assumed that there are no such conditions and makes no guarantees or warranties, express or implied. The appraiser will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist. Because the appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, this appraisal report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property.
- 6. The appraiser has based his or her appraisal report and valuation conclusion for an appraisal that is subject to satisfactory completion, repairs, or alterations on the assumption that the completion, repairs, or alterations of the subject property will be performed in a professional manner.

Freddie Mac Form 70 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011 Page 4 of 6

APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I have, at a minimum, developed and reported this appraisal in accordance with the scope of work requirements stated in this appraisal report.
- 2. I performed a complete visual inspection of the interior and exterior areas of the subject property. I reported the condition of the improvements in factual, specific terms. I identified and reported the physical deficiencies that could affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property.
- 3. I performed this appraisal in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 4. I developed my opinion of the market value of the real property that is the subject of this report based on the sales comparison approach to value. I have adequate comparable market data to develop a reliable sales comparison approach for this appraisal assignment. I further certify that I considered the cost and income approaches to value but did not develop them, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 5. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on any current agreement for sale for the subject property, any offering for sale of the subject property in the twelve months prior to the effective date of this appraisal, and the prior sales of the subject property for a minimum of three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 6. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on the prior sales of the comparable sales for a minimum of one year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 7. I selected and used comparable sales that are locationally, physically, and functionally the most similar to the subject property.
- 8. I have not used comparable sales that were the result of combining a land sale with the contract purchase price of a home that has been built or will be built on the land.
- 9. I have reported adjustments to the comparable sales that reflect the market's reaction to the differences between the subject property and the comparable sales.
- 10. I verified, from a disinterested source, all information in this report that was provided by parties who have a financial interest in the sale or financing of the subject property.
- 11. I have knowledge and experience in appraising this type of property in this market area.
- 12. I am aware of, and have access to, the necessary and appropriate public and private data sources, such as multiple listing services, tax assessment records, public land records and other such data sources for the area in which the property is located.
- 13. I obtained the information, estimates, and opinions furnished by other parties and expressed in this appraisal report from reliable sources that I believe to be true and correct.
- 14. I have taken into consideration the factors that have an impact on value with respect to the subject neighborhood, subject property, and the proximity of the subject property to adverse influences in the development of my opinion of market value. I have noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that I became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. I have considered these adverse conditions in my analysis of the property value, and have reported on the effect of the conditions on the value and marketability of the subject property.
- 15. I have not knowingly withheld any significant information from this appraisal report and, to the best of my knowledge, all statements and information in this appraisal report are true and correct.
- 16. I stated in this appraisal report my own personal, unbiased, and professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions, which are subject only to the assumptions and limiting conditions in this appraisal report.
- 17. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and I have no present or prospective personal interest or bias with respect to the participants in the transaction. I did not base, either partially or completely, my analysis and/or opinion of market value in this appraisal report on the race, color, religion, sex, age, marital status, handicap, familial status, or national origin of either the prospective owners or occupants of the subject property or of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property or on any other basis prohibited by law.
- 18. My employment and/or compensation for performing this appraisal or any future or anticipated appraisals was not conditioned on any agreement or understanding, written or otherwise, that I would report (or present analysis supporting) a predetermined specific value, a predetermined minimum value, a range or direction in value, a value that favors the cause of any party, or the attainment of a specific result or occurrence of a specific subsequent event (such as approval of a pending mortgage loan application).
- 19. I personally prepared all conclusions and opinions about the real estate that were set forth in this appraisal report. If I relied on significant real property appraisal assistance from any individual or individuals in the performance of this appraisal or the preparation of this appraisal report, I have named such individual(s) and disclosed the specific tasks performed in this appraisal report. I certify that any individual so named is qualified to perform the tasks. I have not authorized anyone to make a change to any item in this appraisal report; therefore, any change made to this appraisal is unauthorized and I will take no responsibility for it.
- 20. I identified the lender/client in this appraisal report who is the individual, organization, or agent for the organization that ordered and will receive this appraisal report.

Freddie Mac Form 70 March 2005

- 21. The lender/client may disclose or distribute this appraisal report to: the borrower; another lender at the request of the borrower; the mortgagee or its successors and assigns; mortgage insurers; government sponsored enterprises; other secondary market participants; data collection or reporting services; professional appraisal organizations; any agency, or instrumentality of the United States; and any state, the District of Columbia, or other jurisdictions; without having to obtain the appraiser's or supervisory appraiser's (if applicable) consent. Such consent must be obtained before this appraisal report may be disclosed or distributed to any other party (including, but not limited to, the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media).
- I am aware that any disclosure or distribution of this appraisal report by me or the lender/client may be subject to certain laws and regulations. Further, I am also subject to the provisions of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that pertain to disclosure or distribution by me.
- 23. The borrower, another lender at the request of the borrower, the mortgagee or its successors and assigns, mortgage insurers, government sponsored enterprises, and other secondary market participants may rely on this appraisal report as part of any mortgage finance transaction that involves any one or more of these parties.
- 24. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.
- 25. Any intentional or negligent misrepresentation(s) contained in this appraisal report may result in civil liability and/or criminal penalties including, but not limited to, fine or imprisonment or both under the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001, et seq., or similar state laws.

SUPERVISORY APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Supervisory Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I directly supervised the appraiser for this appraisal assignment, have read the appraisal report, and agree with the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 2. I accept full responsibility for the contents of this appraisal report including, but not limited to, the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 3. The appraiser identified in this appraisal report is either a sub-contractor or an employee of the supervisory appraiser (or the appraisal firm), is qualified to perform this appraisal, and is acceptable to perform this appraisal under the applicable state law.
- 4. This appraisal report complies with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 5. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.

APPRAISER	SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (ONLY IF REQUIRED)
Signature	Signature
Name Matthew Shaouni	Name
Company Name Elight Appraisal	Company Name
Company Address 42874 Mound Rd	Company Address
Sterling Heights, MI 48314	
Telephone Number (248) 798-0284	Telephone Number
Email Address <u>flightappraisals@outlook.com</u>	Email Address
Date of Signature and Report 06/22/2017	Date of Signature
Effective Date of Appraisal <u>06/15/2017</u>	State Certification #
State Certification #	or State License #
or State License # <u>1201074225</u>	State
or Other (describe) State #	Expiration Date of Certification or License
State MI	
Expiration Date of Certification or License <u>07/31/2017</u>	SUBJECT PROPERTY
ADDDESS OF DODEDT/ADDDASSED	☐ Did not inspect subject property
ADDRESS OF PROPERTY APPRAISED	Did inspect exterior of subject property from street
729 Farnham Ave	Date of Inspection
Lincoln Park, MI 48146	Did inspect interior and exterior of subject property
APPRAISED VALUE OF SUBJECT PROPERTY \$ 75,000	Date of Inspection
LENDER/CLIENT	<u> </u>
Name Appraisal Nation	COMPARABLE SALES
Company Name Colony American Finance	
Company Address 4 Park Plaza, Suite 1950, Irvine, CA 92614	☐ Did not inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
	Did inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Email Address	Date of Inspection

Freddie Mac Form 70 March 2005

ANS-221309 File # 0517-163

FEATURE	SUBJECT	COMPARABI	_E SALE # 4	COM	IPARABI	E SALE # 5		COMPARABL	E SALE # 6	
Address 729 Farnham Ave		654 New York Av	e	874 Farnha	ım Ave	)	863 N	/layflower Av	e	
Lincoln Park, MI 4		Lincoln Park, MI	48146	Lincoln Park, MI 48146			Lincoln Park, MI 48146			
Proximity to Subject		0.48 miles S		0.16 miles				miles SW		
Sale Price	\$	0.40 IIIIles 3	\$ 89.900		1444	\$ 87.000	0.241		\$ 95.000	
		¢			- oo #	Ψ 87,000	Φ.		\$ 95,000	
Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$ sq.ft.				<b>6</b> sq.ft.		\$	<b>70.37</b> sq.ft.		
Data Source(s)		Realcomp#21701	1998;DOM 6			4363;DOM 8		comp#21704	2775;DOM 5	
Verification Source(s)		City Website		City Websi	te		City \	Website		
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DESCRIPTION	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPT	TON	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DE	SCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	
Sales or Financing		Listing		Listing			Listir	าต		
Concessions		Conv:0						-5		
Date of Sale/Time		- '		-05/47			- 0 = /4	_		
·		s03/17;c02/17		c05/17		0	c05/1		0	
Location	N;Res;	N;Res;		N;Res;			N;Re	s;		
Leasehold/Fee Simple	Fee Simple	Fee Simple		Fee Simple	•		Fee S	Simple		
Site	4579 sf	5227 sf	0	3920 sf		0	4356	sf	0	
View	N;Res;	N;Res;		N;Res;			N;Re	6.		
Design (Style)	DT2;Bungalow	·			alaw					
		DT2;Bungalow		DT2;Bunga	aiow			Bungalow		
Quality of Construction	Q4	Q4		Q4			Q4			
Actual Age	66	71	0	67		0	65		0	
Condition	C4	C3	-8,000	C4			СЗ		-8,000	
Above Grade	Total Bdrms. Baths	Total Bdrms. Baths	,	Total Bdrms.	Baths			Bdrms. Baths	,	
<b>′</b>			0			0				
<u> </u>	5 3 1.0	6 3 1.0	0		1.0	0	6	3 1.0	0	
Gross Living Area	<b>1,211</b> sq.ft.	<b>1,310</b> sq.ft.	0	· ·	<b>9</b> sq.ft.	0		<b>1,350</b> sq.ft.	-1,400	
Basement & Finished	825sf660sfin	899sf719sfin	0	720sf400sf	in	0	900s1	f0sfin	+2,925	
Rooms Below Grade	1rr0br0.1ba0o	1rr0br0.1ba0o		1rr0br1.0ba	a0o	-500			+500	
Functional Utility	Average	Average		Average			Avera	age		
Heating/Cooling		_	4 000			4 000			4 000	
	FWA-None	FWA/CAC	-1,000	FWA/CAC		-1,000			-1,000	
Energy Efficient Items	None	None		None			None			
Garage/Carport	1gd1dw	1gd1dw		2gd2dw		-2,000	1gd1	dw		
Porch/Patio/Deck	Porch/Patio	Porch/FI Rm	-100	Porch		+1,000	Porci	h	+1,000	
School District	Lincoln Park	Lincoln Park		Lincoln Pa	rk	,	Linco	oln Park	,	
	LIIICOIII Faik	LIIICOIII Faik		LIIICOIII Fa	IK		LIIICC	JIII Faik		
Amenities										
Net Adjustment (Total)		<u> </u>	\$ -9,100		☒ -	\$ -2,500			\$ -5,97 <u>5</u>	
Adjusted Sale Price		Net Adj. 10.1 %		Net Adj.	2.9 %		Net Ad	j. 6.3 %		
of Comparables		Gross Adj. 10.1 %		Gross Adj.	5.2 %	\$ 84,500	Gross		\$ 89,025	
Report the results of the research a	and analysis of the prior								<del>* 03,023</del>	
ITEM			COMPARABLE SA			•			ABLE SALE # 6	
	રા કા	JBJECT	COMPARABLE SA	LE # 4	U	OMPARABLE SALE # 5	)	CUIVIPAR	ABLE SALE # 0	
Date of Prior Sale/Transfer										
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer										
Data Source(s)	Wayne Coun	tv Records	Wayne County		Wavn	e County Records		Wavne Cou	nty Records	
	06/15/2017		03/19/2017		06/22/			06/22/2017	,	
Effective Date of Data Source(s)  Analysis of prior sale or transfer his					UUIZZI	2017		OOIZZIZOTI		
	story or the subject pro	perty and comparable s	oalto							
<b>,</b>										
Analysia/Comments										
Analysis/Comments										
<b>4</b>										
3										
5										
3										
1										
				<u> </u>			-			

ANS-221309 File No. 0517-163

### UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM

(Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

### Condition Ratings and Definitions

C1

The improvements have been recently constructed and have not been previously occupied. The entire structure and all components are new and the dwelling features no physical depreciation.

Note: Newly constructed improvements that feature recycled or previously used materials and/or components can be considered new dwellings provided that the dwelling is placed on a 100 percent new foundation and the recycled materials and the recycled components have been rehabilitated/remanufactured into like-new condition. Improvements that have not been previously occupied are not considered "new" if they have any significant physical depreciation (that is, newly constructed dwellings that have been vacant for an extended period of time without adequate maintenance or upkeep).

C2

The improvements feature no deferred maintenance, little or no physical depreciation, and require no repairs. Virtually all building components are new or have been recently repaired, refinished, or rehabilitated. All outdated components and finishes have been updated and/or replaced with components that meet current standards. Dwellings in this category are either almost new or have been recently completely renovated and are similar in condition to new construction.

Note: The improvements represent a relatively new property that is well maintained with no deferred maintenance and little or no physical depreciation, or an older property that has been recently completely renovated.

C3

The improvements are well maintained and feature limited physical depreciation due to normal wear and tear. Some components, but not every major building component, may be updated or recently rehabilitated. The structure has been well maintained.

Note: The improvement is in its first-cycle of replacing short-lived building components (appliances, floor coverings, HVAC, etc.) and is being well maintained. Its estimated effective age is less than its actual age. It also may reflect a property in which the majority of short-lived building components have been replaced but not to the level of a complete renovation.

C/

The improvements feature some minor deferred maintenance and physical deterioration due to normal wear and tear. The dwelling has been adequately maintained and requires only minimal repairs to building components/mechanical systems and cosmetic repairs. All major building components have been adequately maintained and are functionally adequate.

Note: The estimated effective age may be close to or equal to its actual age. It reflects a property in which some of the short-lived building components have been replaced, and some short-lived building components are at or near the end of their physical life expectancy; however, they still function adequately. Most minor repairs have been addressed on an ongoing basis resulting in an adequately maintained property.

C5

The improvements feature obvious deferred maintenance and are in need of some significant repairs. Some building components need repairs, rehabilitation, or updating. The functional utility and overall livability is somewhat diminished due to condition, but the dwelling remains useable and functional as a residence.

Note: Some significant repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance. It reflects a property in which many of its short-lived building components are at the end of or have exceeded their physical life expectancy but remain functional.

C6

The improvements have substantial damage or deferred maintenance with deficiencies or defects that are severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements. The improvements are in need of substantial repairs and rehabilitation, including many or most major components.

Note: Substantial repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance or property damage. It reflects a property with conditions severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements.

### **Quality Ratings and Definitions**

Q-

Dwellings with this quality rating are usually unique structures that are individually designed by an architect for a specified user. Such residences typically are constructed from detailed architectural plans and specifications and feature an exceptionally high level of workmanship and exceptionally high-grade materials throughout the interior and exterior of the structure. The design features exceptionally high-quality exterior refinements and ornamentation, and exceptionally high-quality interior refinements. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are of exceptionally high quality.

00

Dwellings with this quality rating are often custom designed for construction on an individual property owner's site. However, dwellings in this quality grade are also found in high-quality tract developments featuring residence constructed from individual plans or from highly modified or upgraded plans. The design features detailed, high quality exterior ornamentation, high-quality interior refinements, and detail. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are generally of high or very high quality.

### UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM

(Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

### Quality Ratings and Definitions (continued)

#### Q3

Dwellings with this quality rating are residences of higher quality built from individual or readily available designer plans in above-standard residential tract developments or on an individual property owner's site. The design includes significant exterior ornamentation and interiors that are well finished. The workmanship exceeds acceptable standards and many materials and finishes throughout the dwelling have been upgraded from "stock" standards.

#### Ω4

Dwellings with this quality rating meet or exceed the requirements of applicable building codes. Standard or modified standard building plans are utilized and the design includes adequate fenestration and some exterior ornamentation and interior refinements. Materials, workmanship, finish, and equipment are of stock or builder grade and may feature some upgrades.

#### Q5

Dwellings with this quality rating feature economy of construction and basic functionality as main considerations. Such dwellings feature a plain design using readily available or basic floor plans featuring minimal fenestration and basic finishes with minimal exterior ornamentation and limited interior detail. These dwellings meet minimum building codes and are constructed with inexpensive, stock materials with limited refinements and ungrades.

#### Q6

Dwellings with this quality rating are of basic quality and lower cost; some may not be suitable for year-round occupancy. Such dwellings are often built with simple plans or without plans, often utilizing the lowest quality building materials. Such dwellings are often built or expanded by persons who are professionally unskilled or possess only minimal construction skills. Electrical, plumbing, and other mechanical systems and equipment may be minimal or non-existent. Older dwellings may feature one or more substandard or non-conforming additions to the original structure

### Definitions of Not Updated, Updated, and Remodeled

### Not Updated

Little or no updating or modernization. This description includes, but is not limited to, new homes.

Residential properties of fifteen years of age or less often reflect an original condition with no updating, if no major components have been replaced or updated. Those over fifteen years of age are also considered not updated if the appliances, fixtures, and finishes are predominantly dated. An area that is 'Not Updated' may still be well maintained and fully functional, and this rating does not necessarily imply deferred maintenance or physical/functional deterioration.

### Updated

The area of the home has been modified to meet current market expectations. These modifications are limited in terms of both scope and cost.

An updated area of the home should have an improved look and feel, or functional utility. Changes that constitute updates include refurbishment and/or replacing components to meet existing market expectations. Updates do not include significant alterations to the existing structure.

### Remodeled

Significant finish and/or structural changes have been made that increase utility and appeal through complete replacement and/or expansion.

A remodeled area reflects fundamental changes that include multiple alterations. These alterations may include some or all of the following: replacement of a major component (cabinet(s), bathtub, or bathroom tile), relocation of plumbing/gas fixtures/appliances, significant structural alterations (relocating walls, and/or the addition of) square footage). This would include a complete gutting and rebuild.

### **Explanation of Bathroom Count**

Three-quarter baths are counted as a full bath in all cases. Quarter baths (baths that feature only a toilet) are not included in the bathroom count. The number of full and half baths is reported by separating the two values using a period, where the full bath count is represented to the left of the period and the half bath count is represented to the right of the period.

### Example:

3.2 indicates three full baths and two half baths.

# UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM (Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

# Abbreviations Used in Data Standardization Text

Abbreviation	Full Name	Fields Where This Abbreviation May Appear
А	Adverse	Location & View
ac	Acres	Area, Site
AdjPrk AdjPwr	Adjacent to Park	Location
ArmLth	Adjacent to Power Lines  Arms Length Sale	Location Sale or Financing Concessions
AT	Attached Structure	Design (Style)
В	Beneficial	Location & View
ba	Bathroom(s)	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
br	Bedroom	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
BsyRd	Busy Road	Location
C	Contracted Date	Date of Sale/Time
Cash	Cash Commercial Influence	Sale or Financing Concessions Location
Comm	Conventional	Sale or Financing Concessions
Ср	Carport	Garage/Carport
CrtOrd	Court Ordered Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
CtySky	City View Skyline View	View
CtyStr	City Street View	View
CV	Covered	Garage/Carport
DOM	Days On Market	Data Sources
DT	Detached Structure	Design (Style)
dw	Driveway  Evoiration Data	Garage/Carport
Estate	Expiration Date Estate Sale	Date of Sale/Time Sale or Financing Concessions
FHA	Estate Sale   Federal Housing Authority	Sale or Financing Concessions Sale or Financing Concessions
g	Garage	Garage/Carport
ga	Attached Garage	Garage/Carport
gbi	Built-in Garage	Garage/Carport
gd	Detached Garage	Garage/Carport
GlfCse	Golf Course	Location
Glfvw	Golf Course View	View
GR	Garden	Design (Style)
HR	High Rise	Design (Style)
in	Interior Only Stairs	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
Ind	Industrial	Location & View
Listing	Listing	Sale or Financing Concessions
Lndfl	Landfill	Location View
LtdSght MR	Limited Sight Mid-rise	Design (Style)
Mtn	Mountain View	View
N	Neutral	Location & View
NonArm	Non-Arms Length Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
0	Other	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
0	Other	Design (Style)
ор	Open	Garage/Carport
Prk	Park View	View
Pstrl	Pastoral View	View
PwrLn	Power Lines	View
PubTrn	Public Transportation	Location  Solo or Financing Concessions
Relo REO	Relocation Sale REO Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions Sale or Financing Concessions
Res	Residential	Location & View
RH	USDA - Rural Housing	Sale or Financing Concessions
rr	Recreational (Rec) Room	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
RT	Row or Townhouse	Design (Style)
S	Settlement Date	Date of Sale/Time
SD	Semi-detached Structure	Design (Style)
Short	Short Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
sf	Square Feet	Area, Site, Basement
sqm	Square Meters	Area, Site
Unk	Unknown Veterana Administration	Date of Sale/Time
VA w	Veterans Administration Withdrawn Date	Sale or Financing Concessions  Date of Sale/Time
wo	Walk Out Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
Woods	Woods View	View
Wtr	Water View	View
WtrFr	Water Frontage	Location
wu	Walk Up Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
		<u> </u>

### **Supplemental Addendum**

Borrower	Rudalev MI I			
Property Address	729 Farnham Ave			
City	Lincoln Park	County Wayne	State MI	Zip Code <b>48146</b>
Lender/Client	Colony American Finance			

### SUBJECT DATA

### **PRIOR SERVICE**

I have performed no services, as an appraiser or in any other capacity, regarding the property that is the subject of this report within the three-year period immediately preceding acceptance of this assignment.

FNMA defines a PUD as a planned unit development (PUD) is a project or subdivision that consists of common property and improvements that are owned and maintained by an HOA for the benefit and use of the individual PUD units. In order for a project to qualify as a PUD, each unit owners membership in the HOA must be automatic and nonseverable, and the payment of assessments related to the unit must be mandatory. Zoning is not a basis for classifying a project or subdivision as a PUD.

Fannie Mae classifies PUD projects as Type E, established PUD projects, when the developer has turned over voting control of the HOA to the unit purchasers. New PUD projects are classified as Type F, if the developer has not turned over voting control of the HOA to the unit purchasers.

#### ZONING

The zoning designation in the site section is as noted. This is the only information available and should not be relied upon by any third party. No other specific guidelines are with the subject's zoning. The appraiser recommends that the Client or any third party first verify information regarding zoning and permitted use of the subject property including such items as set back requirements, minimum or maximum sizes allowed, non-conforming uses, etc. The appraiser is not responsible for any errors or changes regarding zoning matters.

### **NEIGHBORHOOD BUILT-UP AND LAND USE**

There does not appear to be a clear definition of built-up vs. land use of a neighborhood in USPAP. Therefore, in this appraisal, the following will be used as definitions:

Built-up – The % built up is for the buildable land, both commercial and residential, in the neighborhood. There is no assumption of future land splits and such. Also, the appraiser has no knowledge as to the large City/County owned properties if they are usable and/or buildable and therefore, not included in the built-up percentage.

Land use - The % land use is for all land and bodies of water in the neighborhood.

The data provided is only an estimate, as an exact figure would be beyond the scope of work of this appraisal. This does not appear to adversely affect the credibility of this appraisal.

### **CONDITION OF IMPROVEMENTS**

All updates made to the subject property are considered and included in the "Condition" rating and all of the upgrades are considered and included in the "Quality of Construction" rating obtained from Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D. See attached definitions.

Based on a visual inspection of the roof and the interior ceilings for signs of leaks, there does not appear to be any damage to the roof. All the utilities are on and appear to be in working order.

The exterior amenities include: Porch, patio, and a 1-car detached garage. The interior of the garage has been inspected and no health, safety, or marketability issues noted.

INCOME APPROACH - The appraiser has considered the income approach in this assignment. The subject is a single-family dwelling, and there is insufficient rental data for similar homes in this market area to produce a reliable estimate of market rent for the subject, and a GRM. Because it could not be reliably developed, the income approach has been omitted in this appraisal.

COST APPROACH - The cost approach has been included solely at the request of the client. It was given no weight in arriving at the final opinion of value because of the difficulty in developing and supporting estimates of cost new and accrued depreciation. There is limited data available with which to reliably develop this approach for a structure of this age, and therefore the results of this approach are not considered meaningful or relevant. The estimates of cost new, accrued depreciation, site value, as well as the value indicated by this approach, should not be relied upon by any party for any reason. Appraiser does not assume responsibility for misuse of data and conclusions by others. This appraisal is not to be used by any party for insurance purposes.

### SALES COMPARISON COMMENTS

The appraiser has chosen what are believed to be the most current and similar comparables available from the marketplace. Therefore, the comparables are considered reliable indicators of value. Adjustments in the "Market Data Approach" are based on market extraction, not cost figures.

CONCESSIONS - In this market area, seller concessions are common and therefore, adjusted dollar for dollar.

SITE - The adjustment for site is determined not only for size but for utility and contribution to the overall demand of the location. If the site is on acreage, a cul de sac or other premium location the adjustment, if any, would be determined on the appraiser's estimate of overall 'market value' contribution. Appropriate adjustments are made if warranted.

BEDROOMS - In this market, 3+ bedroom homes are considered as "typical" for market. In this appraisal, bedroom counts of less than 3 are adjusted accordingly.

BATHROOMS - In the grid, the bathroom count is noted as 1 for each full bath and as 0.1 for each half bath. Bathrooms in the basement are not considered in the total above ground count but are included in the overall basement finish.

### **Supplemental Addendum**

		ouppiomontal Addonadii	1110	110. 0517-103	
Borrower	Rudalev MI I				
Property Address	729 Farnham Ave				
City	Lincoln Park	County Wayne	State MI	Zip Code <b>48146</b>	
Lender/Client	Colony American Finance				

File No. 0517 163

FIREPLACE & SHED - If the subject has a fireplace and/or a shed, the appraiser makes no adjustment for these due to the fact that there is no data to suggest added value to the home. Therefore no adjustments is warranted.

BASEMENT & FINISH - The subject's basement size and amount finished will be reported for the subject. For comparables, the appraiser will make an attempt to interview the RE agents involved in this transaction in the normal course of business to obtain relevant basement data. In the event there is no response from RE agents, the appraiser will make the following "extraordinary assumptions":

a) That the basement data on the listing ticket is correct. b) If the basement is noted in the listing ticket to be "finished", then it will be noted in the grid as 80% finished. c) If the basement is noted in the listing ticket to be "part-finished", then it will be noted in the grid as 50% finished.

The above assumptions are market driven and based on a "typical" buyers perception of finished area in a basement. In this section, the appraiser will make any and all appropriate adjustments pertaining to the size, finish, and the foundation type of the basement.

ROOMS BELOW GRADE - The subject's rooms below grade will be noted and adjusted for in this section. The appraiser makes an adjustment for bath count and kitchen only (if available) in this section of the grid. Difference in room count is not adjusted for as this would be considered a "personal preference". That is, to have the basement partitioned or have one large room is a personal preference.

Basement adjustments are made as follows:

Size \$5 Per sf. Finish \$5 Per sf.

AGE - All comparables are within FNMA guidelines. No adjustment is made for age difference of less than 10 years.

SIZE - All comparables are within the acceptable 20% difference in size. No adjustment is made for GLA differences of less than 100 square feet.

GARAGE - In this market and according to feedback from local realtors, it appears that an attached garage adds more value to a home than a detached garage. Therefore, an appropriate adjustments is made when warranted.

SALE DATES (greater than 6 months) - The subject is located in a stable market. Due to a lack of qualified closed comparative data of similar size, age and utility in the subject's immediate area, it is necessary to exceed FNMA guidelines for sold dates of greater than six months. No adjustment is warranted at this time for sale date. This is acceptable in this market area.

ADJUSTMENTS - Adjustments to the comparables on the sales grid were calculated using quantitative (paired sales) whenever possible, often some features of the subject requiring adjustment were determined using data accumulated from the appraiser's database, peer discussions, education, and typical market reaction observed through the appraisers familiarity with the market.

The above variances, with the appropriate adjustments, will not adversely affect value.

### **OPINION OF VALUE DEVELOPMENT**

The comparables are in order of similarity to the subject and weighted, in that order, in developing the final opinion of market value. The listings provided, are not used to develop but only to support value.

### • URAR: Additional Comments

## INTENDED USE/USER

The Intended User of this appraisal report is the Lender/Client. The Intended Use is to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for a mortgage finance transaction, subject to the stated Scope of Work, purpose of the appraisal, requirements of this appraisal report form, and Definition of Market Value. No additional Intended Users are identified by the appraiser. The subject property is located within 5 miles from my office. This assignment requires geographic competency as part of the scope of work. I have spent sufficient time in the subjects market and understand the nuances of the local market and the supply and demand factors relating to the specific property type and the location involved. Such understanding will not be imparted solely from a consideration of specific data such as demographics, costs, sales and rentals. The necessary understanding of local market conditions provides the bridge between a sale and a comparable sale or a rental and a comparable rental.

This appraisal was ordered in compliance with Appraisal Independence "AIR" and Mortgagee Letter 2009-28. No employee, director, officer, or agent of the lender, or any other third party acting as a joint venture partner, independent contractor, appraisal management company, or partner on behalf of the lender has influenced or attempted to influence the development, reporting, result, or review of this assignment through coercion, extortion, collusion, compensation, instruction, inducement intimidation, bribery or in any other manner. I have not been contacted by anyone other than the intended user (lender/client as identified on the first page of the report), borrower, or designated contact to make an appointment to enter the property. I agree to immediately report any unauthorized contacts either personally by phone or electronically to Client.

The appraiser is not qualified as a building inspector or environmental inspector. The appraiser produces an opinion of value. Only a visual inspection of accessible areas was performed. Thus, the appraisal cannot be relied upon to disclose conditions and/or defects in the property nor guarantee that the property is free from environmental problems. Therefore, this appraisal does not guarantee the condition of any structure, water, septic or sewer system, electrical or plumbing system, existence and/or adequacy of insulation. Also, this appraisal does not guarantee that the appraised property will pass any local or federal regulations or inspections. Furthermore, this report does not in any way, guarantee against present or future leakage, bursting, cracking, peeling, flooding, soil erosion, earthquake, abnormal water pressure, termites, noise or nuisance.

Supplemental Addendum

File No. <b>051</b>	7-163	

Borrower	Rudalev MI I			
Property Address	729 Farnham Ave			
City	Lincoln Park	County Wayne	State MI	Zip Code <b>48146</b>
Lender/Client	Colony American Finance			

Unless otherwise stated in this report, the existence of hazardous materials, which may or may not be present on the property, was not observed by the appraiser. The appraiser has no knowledge of the existence of such materials on or in the property. The appraiser, however, is not qualified to detect such substances. The presence of substances such as asbestos, mold, urea-formaldehyde foam insulation, and other potentially hazardous materials may affect the value of the property. The value estimated is predicated on the assumption that there is no such material on or in the property that would cause a loss in value. No responsibility is assumed for such conditions or for any expertise or engineering knowledge required to discover them. The intended user is urged to retain an expert in this field if desired.

Any residential structure built prior to 1978 may present exposure to lead from lead-based paint. This exposure may place young children at risk of developing lead poisoning. The appraiser was not provided with a risk assessment or inspection report regarding and known "Lead-based paint hazards" in the subject property.

Appraiser cannot guarantee that the property is free of encroachments or easements, and recommends a qualified professional for further investigation and survey.

No warranty of the appraisal is given or implied.

Comparable Photos - As per FNMA and USPAP, the appraiser has driven by all comparable sales. This is in compliance with USPAP.

Appraisers are required to be licensed and regulated by The State of Michigan, Department of Labor and Economic Growth, P.O. Box 30018, Lansing, MI 48909.

ANS-221309

# **Market Conditions Addendum to the Appraisal Report**

File No. 0517-163

The purpose of this addendum is to provide the lender/cl		•	•	revalent in the sub	ject		
neighborhood. This is a required addendum for all apprair  Property Address 729 Farnham Ave	isai reports with an enective	City Lincoln		State MI	ZIP Code 481	46	
Borrower Rudalev MI I		ony Enicon	IFAIR	Otato IVII		+0	
Instructions: The appraiser must use the information rechousing trends and overall market conditions as reported it is available and reliable and must provide analysis as in explanation. It is recognized that not all data sources will in the analysis. If data sources provide the required informaverage. Sales and listings must be properties that computing subject property. The appraiser must explain any anomal	d in the Neighborhood section indicated below. If any requious be able to provide data for mation as an average insteadet with the subject proper	on of the appraisal repo red data is unavailable of the shaded areas below ad of the median, the ap ty, determined by applyi	rt form. The appraiser must fill in or is considered unreliable, the a v; if it is available, however, the praiser should report the availating the criteria that would be use	n all the informatio ppraiser must prov appraiser must inc ole figure and ident	n to the extent vide an lude the data ify it as an		
Inventory Analysis	Prior 7–12 Months	Prior 4–6 Months	Current – 3 Months		Overall Trend		
Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)	43	14	22	Increasing	Stable		Declining
Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)	7.17	4.67	7.33	Increasing	Stable Stable	Ħ	Declining
Total # of Comparable Active Listings	7	8	10	Declining	⊠ Stable	П	Increasing
Months of Housing Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)	1.0	1.7	1.4	Declining			Increasing
Median Sale & List Price, DOM, Sale/List %	Prior 7–12 Months	Prior 4–6 Months	Current – 3 Months		Overall Trend		
Median Comparable Sale Price	\$75,655	\$74,000	\$78,750	Increasing			Declining
Median Comparable Sales Days on Market	14	17	24.5	Declining	Stable	Щ	Increasing
Median Comparable List Price	\$74,800	\$72,700	\$77,450	Increasing	Stable Stable	뷰	Declining
Median Comparable Listings Days on Market  Median Sale Price as % of List Price	94	37.5	17	Declining	Stable Stable	H	Increasing
Seller-(developer, builder, etc.)paid financial assistance p	99   prevalent? ⊠ Yes	99 No	100	Increasing Declining	Stable Stable	卄	Declining Increasing
Explain in detail the seller concessions trends for the pas			om 3% to 5%, increasing use of				moroading
			any concessions associ			sacti	ions
However, this is not a mandatory reporting					_		
reported. It is beyond the scope of this ass					,		
Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the market?			luding the trends in listings and				
The data used in the grid above does not in							
transactions. However, this is not a manda				ed sales that w	ere not repo	rted.	It is
beyond the scope of this assignment to co	nfirm each sale used	l in the Market Cor	nditions Report.				
Cite data sources for above information. RealCo	umn MI S and Dublic Par	corde Foreclosure	REO and Concession estim	atos aro basod	on data in the I	VII S	nublic
records reviewed by the appraiser, statistics pub	•						
		riocai ilicaia. Tile a	ata was cicalica prior to a	nary 313 to remo	ve isolatea tiai		
were numerically distant and may be misleading.	. If a field indicates N/A	or it's shaded, that	means the local MLS does r	not provide this	information.		ions that
were numerically distant and may be misleading. Summarize the above information as support for your co							ions that
	nclusions in the Neighborh	ood section of the appra	aisal report form. If you used an	y additional inform	ation, such as		ions that
Summarize the above information as support for your co	nclusions in the Neighborh vn listings, to formulate you	ood section of the appraur conclusions, provide	aisal report form. If you used any both an explanation and support	y additional inform for your conclusion	ation, such as ons.	s, lot	
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray The appraiser considered only those home view, condition, etc, at three levels; the man	nclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you s that are competitiv rket area level, the ne	ood section of the apprair conclusions, provide e to the subject, or eighborhood level	aisal report form. If you used any both an explanation and support nly those that are simila and the immediate area	y additional inform tor your conclusion r in physical c level and cons	ation, such as ons. haracteristics siders directly	y	size,
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw The appraiser considered only those home view, condition, etc, at three levels; the man competitive and reasonable alternatives. The	nclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you s that are competitiv rket area level, the no the indicated " median	ood section of the approur conclusions, provide e to the subject, our gliphorhood level not totals, etc." may	aisal report form. If you used any both an explanation and support nly those that are simila and the immediate area	y additional inform tor your conclusion r in physical c level and cons	ation, such as ons. haracteristics siders directly	y	: size,
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw The appraiser considered only those home view, condition, etc, at three levels; the man	nclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you s that are competitiv rket area level, the no the indicated " median	ood section of the approur conclusions, provide e to the subject, our gliphorhood level not totals, etc." may	aisal report form. If you used any both an explanation and support nly those that are simila and the immediate area	y additional inform tor your conclusion r in physical c level and cons	ation, such as ons. haracteristics siders directly	y	: size,
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw The appraiser considered only those home view, condition, etc, at three levels; the man competitive and reasonable alternatives. The	nclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you s that are competitiv rket area level, the no the indicated " median	ood section of the approur conclusions, provide e to the subject, our gliphorhood level not totals, etc." may	aisal report form. If you used any both an explanation and support nly those that are simila and the immediate area	y additional inform tor your conclusion r in physical c level and cons	ation, such as ons. haracteristics siders directly	y	size,
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw The appraiser considered only those home view, condition, etc, at three levels; the man competitive and reasonable alternatives. The	nclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you s that are competitiv rket area level, the no the indicated " median	ood section of the approur conclusions, provide e to the subject, our gliphorhood level not totals, etc." may	aisal report form. If you used any both an explanation and support nly those that are simila and the immediate area	y additional inform tor your conclusion r in physical c level and cons	ation, such as ons. haracteristics siders directly	y	size,
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw The appraiser considered only those home view, condition, etc, at three levels; the man competitive and reasonable alternatives. The	nclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you s that are competitiv rket area level, the no the indicated " median	ood section of the approur conclusions, provide e to the subject, our gliphorhood level not totals, etc." may	aisal report form. If you used any both an explanation and support nly those that are simila and the immediate area	y additional inform tor your conclusion r in physical c level and cons	ation, such as ons. haracteristics siders directly	y	size,
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw The appraiser considered only those home view, condition, etc, at three levels; the man competitive and reasonable alternatives. The	nclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you s that are competitiv rket area level, the no the indicated " median	ood section of the approur conclusions, provide e to the subject, our gliphorhood level not totals, etc." may	aisal report form. If you used any both an explanation and support nly those that are simila and the immediate area	y additional inform tor your conclusion r in physical c level and cons	ation, such as ons. haracteristics siders directly	y	: size,
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw The appraiser considered only those home view, condition, etc, at three levels; the man competitive and reasonable alternatives. The	inclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you is that are competitive rket area level, the ne the indicated " medial abnormal transaction	ood section of the appround of the appround of the subject, or eighborhood level on, totals, etc." may see.	aisal report form. If you used any both an explanation and support nly those that are simila and the immediate area	y additional inform i for your conclusion r in physical c level and cons s numbers rep	ation, such as ons. haracteristics siders directly	y	: size,
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw The appraiser considered only those home view, condition, etc, at three levels; the maccompetitive and reasonable alternatives. The but reflect trends, totals less impacted by a lift the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative subject Project Data	inclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you is that are competitive rket area level, the ne the indicated " medial abnormal transaction	ood section of the appround the conclusions, provide to the subject, object, object, object, object, object, otals, etc." may as.	aisal report form. If you used any both an explanation and support nly those that are simila and the immediate area is slightly vary from gross	y additional inform i for your conclusion r in physical c level and cons s numbers rep	ation, such as ons. haracteristics siders directly orted by the I	y	size,
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw The appraiser considered only those home view, condition, etc, at three levels; the mai competitive and reasonable alternatives. The but reflect trends, totals less impacted by a lift the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative is Subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)	nclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you s that are competitiv rket area level, the ne he indicated " median abnormal transaction project, complete the follow	ood section of the approur conclusions, provide e to the subject, o eighborhood level n, totals, etc." may as.	aisal report form. If you used any both an explanation and support nly those that are simila and the immediate area is slightly vary from gross Project N	y additional inform for your conclusion r in physical c level and cons s numbers rep  ame:	ation, such as ons.  haracteristics siders directly orted by the large of the large	y	etc.,
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw The appraiser considered only those home view, condition, etc, at three levels; the mai competitive and reasonable alternatives. The but reflect trends, totals less impacted by a subject trends are condominium or cooperative project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)	nclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you s that are competitiv rket area level, the ne he indicated " median abnormal transaction project, complete the follow	ood section of the approur conclusions, provide e to the subject, o eighborhood level n, totals, etc." may as.	aisal report form. If you used any both an explanation and support nly those that are simila and the immediate area is slightly vary from gross Project N	y additional inform tor your conclusion r in physical c level and cons s numbers rep  ame: Increasing Increasing	ation, such as ons.  haracteristics siders directly orted by the I  Overall Trend  Stable  Stable	y	Declining Declining
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw The appraiser considered only those home view, condition, etc, at three levels; the man competitive and reasonable alternatives. The but reflect trends, totals less impacted by a subject trends are condominium or cooperative project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings	nclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you s that are competitiv rket area level, the ne he indicated " median abnormal transaction project, complete the follow	ood section of the approur conclusions, provide e to the subject, o eighborhood level n, totals, etc." may as.	aisal report form. If you used any both an explanation and support nly those that are simila and the immediate area is slightly vary from gross Project N	y additional inform tor your conclusion r in physical c level and cons s numbers rep  ame: Increasing Increasing Declining	ation, such as ons.  haracteristics siders directly orted by the I  Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable	y	Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw The appraiser considered only those home view, condition, etc, at three levels; the man competitive and reasonable alternatives. The but reflect trends, totals less impacted by a subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)	orclusions in the Neighborh we listings, to formulate you se that are competitive rket area level, the ne the indicated " median abnormal transaction  project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	ood section of the appropriate conclusions, provide e to the subject, of eighborhood level in, totals, etc." may section.  Ving:  Prior 4–6 Months	pisal report form. If you used any both an explanation and support inly those that are similal and the immediate area or slightly vary from gross or Project N.    Current - 3 Months	y additional inform tor your conclusion r in physical c level and cons s numbers rep  ame: Increasing Declining Declining	overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	y MLS	Declining Declining
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw The appraiser considered only those home view, condition, etc, at three levels; the mai competitive and reasonable alternatives. The but reflect trends, totals less impacted by a subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)  Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project of the sales in the project of the sales (REO sales) a factor in the project of the sales	orclusions in the Neighborh we listings, to formulate you se that are competitive rket area level, the ne the indicated " median abnormal transaction  project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	ood section of the appropriate conclusions, provide e to the subject, of eighborhood level in, totals, etc." may section.  Ving:  Prior 4–6 Months	aisal report form. If you used any both an explanation and support nly those that are simila and the immediate area is slightly vary from gross Project N	y additional inform tor your conclusion r in physical c level and cons s numbers rep  ame: Increasing Declining Declining	overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	y MLS	Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw The appraiser considered only those home view, condition, etc, at three levels; the mai competitive and reasonable alternatives. The but reflect trends, totals less impacted by a subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)	orclusions in the Neighborh we listings, to formulate you se that are competitive rket area level, the ne the indicated " median abnormal transaction  project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	ood section of the approur conclusions, provide e to the subject, of eighborhood level n, totals, etc." may s.  ving:  Prior 4–6 Months	pisal report form. If you used any both an explanation and support inly those that are similal and the immediate area or slightly vary from gross or Project N.    Current - 3 Months	y additional inform tor your conclusion r in physical c level and cons s numbers rep  ame: Increasing Declining Declining	overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	y MLS	Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw The appraiser considered only those home view, condition, etc, at three levels; the mai competitive and reasonable alternatives. The but reflect trends, totals less impacted by a subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)  Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project of the sales in the project of the sales (REO sales) a factor in the project of the sales	orclusions in the Neighborh we listings, to formulate you se that are competitive rket area level, the ne the indicated " median abnormal transaction  project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	ood section of the approur conclusions, provide e to the subject, of eighborhood level n, totals, etc." may s.  ving:  Prior 4–6 Months	pisal report form. If you used any both an explanation and support inly those that are similal and the immediate area or slightly vary from gross or Project N.    Current - 3 Months	y additional inform tor your conclusion r in physical c level and cons s numbers rep  ame: Increasing Declining Declining	overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	y MLS	Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw The appraiser considered only those home view, condition, etc, at three levels; the mai competitive and reasonable alternatives. The but reflect trends, totals less impacted by a subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)  Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project of the sales in the project of the sales (REO sales) a factor in the project of the sales in the project of the sales in the project of the sales in the sales (REO sales) a factor in the project of the sales in the sales in the project of the sales in the sales in the project of the sales in the sales in the project of the sales in the sales in the sales in the project of the sales in the s	orclusions in the Neighborh we listings, to formulate you se that are competitive rket area level, the ne the indicated " median abnormal transaction  project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	ood section of the approur conclusions, provide e to the subject, of eighborhood level n, totals, etc." may s.  ving:  Prior 4–6 Months	pisal report form. If you used any both an explanation and support inly those that are similal and the immediate area or slightly vary from gross or Project N.    Current - 3 Months	y additional inform tor your conclusion r in physical c level and cons s numbers rep  ame: Increasing Declining Declining	overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	y MLS	Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw The appraiser considered only those home view, condition, etc, at three levels; the mai competitive and reasonable alternatives. The but reflect trends, totals less impacted by a subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)  Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project of the sales in the project of the sales (REO sales) and the project of the sales (REO sales) a factor in the project of the sales (REO sal	orclusions in the Neighborh we listings, to formulate you se that are competitive rket area level, the ne the indicated " median abnormal transaction  project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	ood section of the approur conclusions, provide e to the subject, of eighborhood level n, totals, etc." may s.  ving:  Prior 4–6 Months	pisal report form. If you used any both an explanation and support inly those that are similal and the immediate area or slightly vary from gross or Project N.    Current - 3 Months	y additional inform tor your conclusion r in physical c level and cons s numbers rep  ame: Increasing Declining Declining	overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	y MLS	Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw The appraiser considered only those home view, condition, etc, at three levels; the mai competitive and reasonable alternatives. The but reflect trends, totals less impacted by a subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)  Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project of the sales in the project of the sales (REO sales) a factor in the project of the sales	orclusions in the Neighborh we listings, to formulate you se that are competitive rket area level, the ne the indicated " median abnormal transaction  project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	ood section of the approur conclusions, provide e to the subject, of eighborhood level n, totals, etc." may s.  ving:  Prior 4–6 Months	pisal report form. If you used any both an explanation and support inly those that are similal and the immediate area or slightly vary from gross or Project N.    Current - 3 Months	y additional inform tor your conclusion r in physical c level and cons s numbers rep  ame: Increasing Declining Declining	overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	y MLS	Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw The appraiser considered only those home view, condition, etc, at three levels; the mai competitive and reasonable alternatives. The but reflect trends, totals less impacted by a subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)  Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.	proclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you se that are competitive rket area level, the neighborh the indicated " median abnormal transaction  project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months  Prior 7–12 Months	ood section of the appropriate conclusions, provide e to the subject, of eighborhood level in, totals, etc." may section.  Prior 4–6 Months  If yes, indicate the	pisal report form. If you used any both an explanation and support inly those that are similal and the immediate area or slightly vary from gross or Project N.    Current - 3 Months	y additional inform tor your conclusion r in physical c level and cons s numbers rep  ame: Increasing Declining Declining	overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	y MLS	Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw The appraiser considered only those home view, condition, etc, at three levels; the mai competitive and reasonable alternatives. The but reflect trends, totals less impacted by a subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)  Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project of the sales in the project of the sales (REO sales) a factor in the project of the sales	proclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you se that are competitive rket area level, the neighborh the indicated " median abnormal transaction  project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months  Prior 7–12 Months	ood section of the appropriate conclusions, provide e to the subject, of eighborhood level in, totals, etc." may section.  Prior 4–6 Months  If yes, indicate the	pisal report form. If you used any both an explanation and support inly those that are similal and the immediate area or slightly vary from gross or Project N.    Current - 3 Months	y additional inform tor your conclusion r in physical c level and cons s numbers rep  ame: Increasing Declining Declining	overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	y MLS	Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw The appraiser considered only those home view, condition, etc, at three levels; the mai competitive and reasonable alternatives. The but reflect trends, totals less impacted by a subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)  Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.	proclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you se that are competitive rket area level, the neighborh the indicated " median abnormal transaction  project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months  Prior 7–12 Months	ood section of the appropriate conclusions, provide e to the subject, of eighborhood level in, totals, etc." may section.  Prior 4–6 Months  If yes, indicate the	pisal report form. If you used any both an explanation and support inly those that are similal and the immediate area or slightly vary from gross or Project N.    Current - 3 Months	y additional inform tor your conclusion r in physical c level and cons s numbers rep  ame: Increasing Declining Declining	overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	y MLS	Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw The appraiser considered only those home view, condition, etc, at three levels; the mai competitive and reasonable alternatives. The but reflect trends, totals less impacted by a subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)  Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.	proclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you se that are competitive rket area level, the neighborh the indicated " median abnormal transaction  project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months  Prior 7–12 Months	ood section of the approur conclusions, provide e to the subject, of eighborhood level n, totals, etc." may s.  Prior 4–6 Months  If yes, indicate the	pisal report form. If you used any both an explanation and support inly those that are similal and the immediate area or slightly vary from gross or Project N.    Current - 3 Months	y additional inform tor your conclusion r in physical c level and cons s numbers rep  ame: Increasing Declining Declining	overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	y MLS	Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw The appraiser considered only those home view, condition, etc, at three levels; the mai competitive and reasonable alternatives. The but reflect trends, totals less impacted by a subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)  Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.	proclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you se that are competitive rket area level, the neighborh the indicated " median abnormal transaction  project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months  Prior 7–12 Months	ood section of the approur conclusions, provide e to the subject, of eighborhood level n, totals, etc." may s.  Prior 4–6 Months  If yes, indicate the	pisal report form. If you used any both an explanation and support inly those that are similal and the immediate area or slightly vary from gross or Project N.    Current - 3 Months	y additional inform tor your conclusion r in physical c level and cons s numbers rep  ame: Increasing Declining Declining	overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	y MLS	Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw The appraiser considered only those home view, condition, etc, at three levels; the mai competitive and reasonable alternatives. The but reflect trends, totals less impacted by a subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)  Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.	proclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you se that are competitive rket area level, the neighborh the indicated " median abnormal transaction  project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months  Prior 7–12 Months	ood section of the approur conclusions, provide e to the subject, of eighborhood level n, totals, etc." may s.  Prior 4–6 Months  If yes, indicate the	pisal report form. If you used any both an explanation and support inly those that are similal and the immediate area or slightly vary from gross or Project N.    Current - 3 Months	y additional inform tor your conclusion r in physical c level and cons s numbers rep  ame: Increasing Declining Declining	overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	y MLS	Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw The appraiser considered only those home view, condition, etc, at three levels; the mai competitive and reasonable alternatives. The but reflect trends, totals less impacted by a subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)  Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.	proclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you se that are competitive rket area level, the neighborh the indicated " median abnormal transaction  project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months  Prior 7–12 Months	ood section of the approur conclusions, provide e to the subject, of eighborhood level n, totals, etc." may s.  Prior 4–6 Months  If yes, indicate the	pisal report form. If you used any both an explanation and support inly those that are similal and the immediate area or slightly vary from gross or Project N.    Current - 3 Months	y additional inform tor your conclusion r in physical c level and cons s numbers rep  ame: Increasing Declining Declining	overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	y MLS	Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw The appraiser considered only those home view, condition, etc, at three levels; the mai competitive and reasonable alternatives. The but reflect trends, totals less impacted by a subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)  Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.	proclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you se that are competitive rket area level, the neighborh the indicated " median abnormal transaction  project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months  Prior 7–12 Months	ood section of the approur conclusions, provide e to the subject, of eighborhood level n, totals, etc." may s.  Prior 4–6 Months  If yes, indicate the	pisal report form. If you used any both an explanation and support inly those that are similal and the immediate area or slightly vary from gross or Project N.    Current - 3 Months	y additional inform tor your conclusion r in physical c level and cons s numbers rep  ame: Increasing Declining Declining	overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	y MLS	Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw The appraiser considered only those home view, condition, etc, at three levels; the mai competitive and reasonable alternatives. The but reflect trends, totals less impacted by a subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)  Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.	proclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you se that are competitive rket area level, the neighborh the indicated " median abnormal transaction  project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months  Prior 7–12 Months	ood section of the apprar conclusions, provide e to the subject, of eighborhood level n, totals, etc." may s.  Prior 4–6 Months  If yes, indicate the	Project N Current – 3 Months  number of REO listings and exp	y additional inform tor your conclusion r in physical c level and cons s numbers rep  ame: Increasing Declining Declining	overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	y MLS	Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw The appraiser considered only those home view, condition, etc, at three levels; the mai competitive and reasonable alternatives. The but reflect trends, totals less impacted by a subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)  Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.  Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Signature  Appraiser Name	proclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you se that are competitive rket area level, the neighborh the indicated " median abnormal transaction  project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months  Prior 7–12 Months	ood section of the approur conclusions, provide e to the subject, of eighborhood level n, totals, etc." may s.  Ving:  Prior 4–6 Months  Signature Supervisor	pisal report form. If you used any both an explanation and support inly those that are similal and the immediate area is slightly vary from gross in the control of REO listings and explanation of REO listings are reported	y additional inform tor your conclusion r in physical c level and cons s numbers rep  ame: Increasing Declining Declining	overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	y MLS	Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw The appraiser considered only those home view, condition, etc, at three levels; the mai competitive and reasonable alternatives. The but reflect trends, totals less impacted by a subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)  Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.  Summarize the above trends and address the impact on  Signature  Appraiser Name  Appraiser Name  Appraisar  Flight Appraisal	proclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you as that are competitive rivet area level, the neighborh median abnormal transaction project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months  The subject unit and project the subject unit and project and project many project and project the subject unit and	ood section of the approur conclusions, provide e to the subject, of eighborhood level n, totals, etc." may s.  Ving:  Prior 4–6 Months  Signature Supervisor Company	pisal report form. If you used any both an explanation and support inly those that are similar and the immediate area is slightly vary from gross in the control of the con	y additional inform tor your conclusion r in physical c level and cons s numbers rep  ame: Increasing Declining Declining	overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	y MLS	Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw The appraiser considered only those home view, condition, etc, at three levels; the mai competitive and reasonable alternatives. The but reflect trends, totals less impacted by a subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)  Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.  Summarize the above trends and address the impact on  Summarize the above trends and address the impact on  Signature  Appraiser Name  Appraiser Name  Company Name  Fight Appraisal  Company Address  42874 Mound Rd, Sterling	sthat are competitiverset area level, the new indicated "medianabnormal transaction Prior 7–12 Months  The subject unit and project the subject unit and project and project the subject unit and project the subject unit and project the subject unit and project and project the subject unit and project unit and project unit and project unit and project unit and pro	ood section of the approur conclusions, provide e to the subject, of eighborhood level n, totals, etc." may s.  Ving:  Prior 4–6 Months  Signature Supervisor Company Company	Project N Current – 3 Months  Tumber of REO listings and exp  y Appraiser Name Name Address	y additional inform tor your conclusion r in physical c level and cons s numbers rep  ame: Increasing Declining Declining	orted by the I  Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable staings and sales	y MLS	Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw The appraiser considered only those home view, condition, etc, at three levels; the mai competitive and reasonable alternatives. The but reflect trends, totals less impacted by a subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)  Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.  Summarize the above trends and address the impact on  Signature  Appraiser Name  Appraiser Name  Appraisar  Appraisar  Flight Appraisal	proclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you as that are competitive rivet area level, the neighborh median abnormal transaction project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months  The subject unit and project the subject unit and project and project many project and project the subject unit and	ood section of the approur conclusions, provide e to the subject, of eighborhood level n, totals, etc." may s.  Ving:  Prior 4–6 Months  Signature Supervisor Company Company	Project N Current – 3 Months  Tumber of REO listings and exp  y Appraiser Name Name Name Name Name Name Name Name	y additional inform tor your conclusion r in physical c level and cons s numbers rep  ame: Increasing Declining Declining	overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	y MLS	Declining Declining Increasing

Freddie Mac Form 71 March 2009

# **Subject Photo Page**

Borrower	Rudalev MI I				
Property Address	729 Farnham Ave				
City	Lincoln Park	County Wayne	State MI	Zip Code <b>48146</b>	
Lender/Client	Colony American Finance				



# **Subject Front**

## 729 Farnham Ave

Sales Price

Gross Living Area 1,211 Total Rooms 5 Total Bedrooms Total Bathrooms 1.0 N;Res; Location View N;Res; 4579 sf Site Quality Q4 Age 66



**Subject Rear** 



**Subject Street** 

Borrower	Rudalev MI I				
Property Address	729 Farnham Ave				
City	Lincoln Park	County Wayne	State MI	Zip Code <b>48146</b>	
Lender/Client	Colony American Finance				





Street/other side

Front view 1





Front view 2

Front view 3





Front view 4

Rear view 1

Borrower	Rudalev MI I				
Property Address	729 Farnham Ave				
City	Lincoln Park	County Way	ne State N	AI Zip Code	48146
Lender/Client	Colony American Finance				





Rear view 2 Patio





Inside of garage

Rear view 3

No photo No photo

Borrower	Rudalev MI I				
Property Address	729 Farnham Ave				
City	Lincoln Park	County Way	ne State N	AI Zip Code	48146
Lender/Client	Colony American Finance				





Living room Nook





Kitchen view 1 Kitchen view 2





Bath view 1 Bath view 2

Borrower	Rudalev MI I				
Property Address	729 Farnham Ave				
City	Lincoln Park	County Wayne	State MI	Zip Code <b>48146</b>	
Lender/Client	Colony American Finance				





Bedroom Bedroom



Bedroom No photo

No photo No photo

Borrower	Rudalev MI I				
Property Address	729 Farnham Ave				
City	Lincoln Park	County Way	ne State N	AI Zip Code	48146
Lender/Client	Colony American Finance				





Laundry HVAC





HWH Basement - 1/2 Bath





Basement - Rec room - view 1

Basement - Rec room - view 2

# **Comparable Photo Page**

Borrower	Rudalev MI I				
Property Address	729 Farnham Ave				
City	Lincoln Park	County Wayne	State MI	Zip Code <b>48146</b>	
Lender/Client	Colony American Finance				



# Comparable 1

### 853 Mill St

0.14 miles NW Prox. to Subject Sale Price 70,000 Gross Living Area 1,131 Total Rooms 6 Total Bedrooms 3 Total Bathrooms 1.0 Location N;Res; View N;Res; Site 11664 sf Quality Q4 Age 67



# Comparable 2

### 654 White Ave

Prox. to Subject 0.31 miles NE Sale Price 88,750 Gross Living Area 1,200 Total Rooms 6 Total Bedrooms Total Bathrooms 1.0 Location N;Res; View N;Res; Site 6534 sf Quality Q4 Age 87



# Comparable 3

# 818 Progress Ave

Prox. to Subject 0.11 miles W Sale Price 79,999 Gross Living Area 1,053 Total Rooms 5 Total Bedrooms 3 Total Bathrooms 1.0 Location N;Res; View N;Res; Site 6098 sf Quality Q4 Age 56

## **Comparable Photo Page**

Borrower	Rudalev MI I			
Property Address	729 Farnham Ave			
City	Lincoln Park	County Wayne	State MI	Zip Code <b>48146</b>
Lender/Client	Colony American Finance			



# Comparable 4

### 654 New York Ave

Prox. to Subject 0.48 miles S Sale Price 89,900 Gross Living Area 1,310 Total Rooms 6 Total Bedrooms 3 Total Bathrooms 1.0 Location N;Res; View N;Res; Site 5227 sf Quality Q4 71 Age



### Comparable 5

### 874 Farnham Ave

Prox. to Subject 0.16 miles NW Sale Price 87,000 Gross Living Area 1,269 Total Rooms 6 Total Bedrooms Total Bathrooms 1.0 Location N;Res; View N;Res; Site 3920 sf Quality Q4 Age 67



# Comparable 6


### 863 Mayflower Ave

Prox. to Subject 0.24 miles SW Sale Price 95,000 1,350 Gross Living Area Total Rooms 6 Total Bedrooms 3 **Total Bathrooms** 1.0 Location N;Res; View N;Res; Site 4356 sf Quality Q4 Age 65

ANS-221309 File No. 0517-163

# **USPAP ADDENDUM**

Borrower	Rudalev MI I			
Property Address	729 Farnham Ave			
City	Lincoln Park	County Wayne	State MI	Zip Code <b>48146</b>
.ender	Colony American Finan	<u>CE</u>		
This report	was prepared under the fo	ollowing USPAP reporting option:		
	al Report	This report was prepared in accordance with USPAP Standards Rule	2-2(a).	
Restrict	ed Appraisal Report	This report was prepared in accordance with USPAP Standards Rule	2-2(b).	
			, ,	
<u> </u>				
	e Exposure Time	e for the subject property at the market value stated in this report is:	1-3 Month	ue.
I wiy opinion c	or a reasonable exposure time	, for the subject property at the market value stated in this report is.	1-3 MOIIII	15
Additional (	Certifications			
	to the best of my knowledge	and belief:		
		n appraiser or in any other capacity, regarding the property that is the sul	hiect of this report	within the
1		ling acceptance of this assignment.	sjeet er time repert	
				the three week
1 —		praiser or in another capacity, regarding the property that is the subject of cance of this assignment. Those services are described in the comments		the three-year
1 '	ents of fact contained in this reg		bolow.	
	•	usions are limited only by the reported assumptions and limiting conditions an	d are my personal, i	mpartial, and unbiased
	analyses, opinions, and conclusi			
	rwise indicated, I have no prese	ent or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report and n	o personal interest	with respect to the parties
involved.	as with respect to the property	that is the authors of this report or the parties involved with this assignment		
		that is the subject of this report or the parties involved with this assignment.  It contingent upon developing or reporting predetermined results.		
, , , ,	<u> </u>	nment is not contingent upon the development or reporting of a predetermined	value or direction in	value that favors the cause of
		e attainment of a stipulated result, or the occurrence of a subsequent event dire		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ere developed, and this report has been prepared, in conformity with the Unifor	m Standards of Profe	essional Appraisal Practice that
	at the time this report was prep			
	-	personal inspection of the property that is the subject of this report. d significant real property appraisal assistance to the person(s) signing this cei	rtification (if there or	a avacations, the name of each
		u significant real property appraisal assistance to the person(s) signing this cell ppraisal assistance is stated elsewhere in this report).	uncauon (n mere are	e exceptions, the name of each
Individual pro-	viding digimiodine rout proporty a	ppraidal addictation is stated discriming in the reporty.		
Additional (	Comments			
Auditional	DOMINIENTS			
1		_		
ADDRAIGES	. //	CHDERWOODY APPRA	ICED: /aml-: !f :	roquirod)
APPRAISER	' //	SUPERVISORY APPRA	ISEN: (ONLY IT I	equirea)
Signature:	+///			
	new Shaouni			
Date Signed: State Certification		Date Signed: State Certification #:		
	#: 1201074225	or State License #:		
State: MI		State:		
Expiration Date of		<b>Z/31/2017</b> Expiration Date of Certification o		
Effective Date of	Appraisal: <u>06/15/2017</u>	Supervisory Appraiser Inspection  Did Not Exterior-o		
		Did Not     Exterior-o	ov trom Street	interior and Exterior





General Star Nation al Insur ance Company P O Box 10360 (Attn: GSN) Stamford, CT 06904

### REAL ESTATE ERRORS AND OMISSIONS INSURANCE POLICY

### **DECLARATIONS PAGE**

THIS IS A CLAIMS-MADE AND REPORTED POLICY PLEASE READ THIS POLICY AND ALL ENDORSEMENTS AND ATTACHMENTS CAREFULLY.

Policy Number: NJA898434A Renewal of Number: NJA898434

Flight Appraisal 1. NAMED INSURED:

38201 Medville Drive STREET ADDRESS:

Sterling Heights, MI 48312

2. POLICY PERIOD: Inception Date: 11/03/2016 Expiration Date: 11/03/2017

Effective 12:01 a.m. Standard Time at the street address of the Named Insur ed.

3. LIMIT OF LIABILITY:

Each Claim: \$1,000,000 Aggregate: \$1,000,000

4. CLAIMS EXPENSES:

🛛 a. Are included within the Limit of Liability

b. Have a separate Limit of Liability

5. DEDUCTIBLE:

Each Claim: \$1,000

a. The deductible amount specified above applies to Damages only.

X b. The deductible amount specified above applies to both Damages and Claims Expenses.

6. RETROACTIVE DATE: 11/03/2015

If a date is indicated, this Policy will not provide coverage for any Claim arising out of any act, error, omission or Person al Injur y which occurred before such date.

7. ANNUAL PREMIUM: \$2,323.00

TOTAL: \$2,323.00

8. ENDORSEMENTS:

This Policy is made and accepted subject to the printed conditions in this Policy together with the provisions, stipulations and agreements contained in the following form(s) or endorsement(s). RE 00 0001 01 12; SGN 90 0001 (0710); RE 03 0001 01 12; RE 04 0001 01 12; RE 11 0001 01 12; RE 04 0003 01 12;

RE 20 0003 01 12; RE 21 0003 01 12; RE 21 0003 01 12; RE 01 0021MI 01 12; RE 08 0001 03 12;


PRODUCER NAME: Mercer Consumer STREET ADDRESS: 12421 Meredith Drive Urbandale, IA 50398

Producer Code: 26460 Class Code: 73127 Date: 10/12/2016

RE 10 0001 01 12 Page 1 of 1 Copyright 2012, General Star Management Company, Stamford, CT


# **Building Sketch**

Borrower	Rudalev MI I				
Property Address	729 Farnham Ave				
City	Lincoln Park	County Wayne	State MI	Zip Code <b>48146</b>	
Lender/Client	Colony American Finance				



# **Location Map**

Borrower	Rudalev MI I				
Property Address	729 Farnham Ave				
City	Lincoln Park	County Wayne	State MI	Zip Code <b>48146</b>	
Lender/Client	Colony American Finance				



### **Location Map**

Borrower	Rudalev MI I			
Property Address	729 Farnham Ave			
City	Lincoln Park	County Wayne	State MI	Zip Code <b>48146</b>
Lender/Client	Colony American Finance			

