

### **APPRAISAL OF REAL PROPERTY**

### LOCATED AT:

15004 Veronica Ave GOETZ PARK SUBDIVISION LOT 64 LIBER 6, PAGE 92 Eastpointe, MI 48021

### FOR:

Colony American Finance 4 Park Plaza Irvine, CA 92614

### AS OF:

06/08/2017

### BY:

Larry Andrew Odom 37459 Lakeshore Dr. Harrison Township, MI. 48045 Accredited Appraisers

	ι	Jnifo	orn	n Resi	der	nti	al Ap	pra	aisal F	Report	
s	t∩	nrovide	the	lender/client	with	an	accurate	and	adequately	sunnorted	oninion

	UTITOTTT RESIDENTIA	11 1		221385
	ort is to provide the lender/client with an ac	curate, and adequately supported, o		
Property Address 15004 Veronica Ave		City Eastpointe	State MI	Zip Code 48021
Borrower Rudalev MI I	Owner of Public Record	Rudalev Llc	County Maco	omb
	DIVISION LOT 64 LIBER 6, PAGE 92			
Assessor's Parcel # 1431305001		Tax Year 2015	R.E. Taxes \$	
Neighborhood Name GOETZ PARK		Map Reference 47664	Census Tract	6516.00
Occupant 🗌 Owner 🗙 Tenant 🗌 Vac	cant Special Assessments \$	0 P	UD HOA\$O	] per year 📄 per month
Property Rights Appraised 🛛 🗙 Fee Simple	Leasehold Other (describe)			
Assignment Type Durchase Transaction	🗌 Refinance Transaction 🛛 🗙 Other (de	escribe) Ascertain Market Valu	e	
Lender/Client Colony American Finan		Plaza, Suite 1950, Irvine, CA		
	or has it been offered for sale in the twelve months			Yes 🗙 No
Report data source(s) used, offering price(s), and		No known Listing/Sale within th		
and Eastpointe Records.		to known Eloung, calo within th		
	sale for the subject purchase transaction. Explain	the results of the analysis of the contract	t for sale or why the analysis	was not
performed.				washot
pononiou.				
Contract Price \$ Date of Con	atract Is the property seller th	e owner of public record?	No Data Source(s)	
	sale concessions, gift or downpayment assistance,	, etc.) to be paid by any party on benair (	of the dofrower?	Yes No
If Yes, report the total dollar amount and describe	e the items to be paid.			
Note: Race and the racial composition of the	neighborhood are not appraisal factors.			
Neighborhood Characteristics	One-Unit I	Housing Trends	One-Unit Housing	Present Land Use %
Location 🗌 Urban 🔀 Suburban 🗌	Rural Property Values 🗙 Increasing	Stable Declining	PRICE AGE	One-Unit 92 %
Built-Up 🗙 Over 75% 🗌 25-75% 🗌	Under 25% Demand/Supply 🔀 Shortage	In Balance Over Supply	\$ (000) (yrs)	2-4 Unit 5 %
Growth Rapid X Stable	Slow Marketing Time X Under 3 mt		54 Low 70	Multi-Family 0 %
				Commercial 3 %
	s neighborhood is 9 Mile Rd to the No			
	err Rd to the West, and Gratiot Ave to	D INE East.	54 Pred. 76	Other %
Neighborhood Description See attached	d addenda.			
Market Conditions (including support for the above	ve conclusions) See attached adde	enda.		
Dimensions 50 x 120	Area 6000 sf	Shape Rectangul	ar View N	:Res:
Specific Zoning Classification R-1		Single Family Residential		,,
	nconforming (Grandfathered Use) No Zonin			
			Ves 🗌 No. If No. des	scribe
	s improved (or as proposed per plans and specific		🕻 Yes 🗌 No If No, des	scribe
Is the highest and best use of subject property as	s improved (or as proposed per plans and specific	ations) the present use?		
Is the highest and best use of subject property as Utilities Public Other (describe)	s improved (or as proposed per plans and specific Public Other (de	ations) the present use?	rovements – Type	Public Private
Is the highest and best use of subject property as Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X	s improved (or as proposed per plans and specific Public Other (de Water X	ations) the present use?	rovements - Type ncrete	
Is the highest and best use of subject property as           Utilities         Public         Other (describe)           Electricity         Image: Compare the second	s improved (or as proposed per plans and specific Public Other (de Water A  Sanitary Sewer A	ations) the present use? scribe) Off-site Imp Street Con Alley Non	rovements - Type ncrete ne	Public Private
Is the highest and best use of subject property as Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity  Gas  FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes	s improved (or as proposed per plans and specific Public Other (de Water Sanitary Sewer Sanitary	ations) the present use? scribe) Off-site Imp Street Con Alley Nor FEMA Map # 26147C0426D	rovements - Type ncrete	Public Private
Is the highest and best use of subject property as Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity Gas  FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical	s improved (or as proposed per plans and specific Public Other (de Water Sanitary Sewer No FEMA Flood Zone X I for the market area? Yes N	ations) the present use? scribe) Off-site Imp Street Con Alley Non FEMA Map # 26147C0426D Io If No, describe	rovements - Type ncrete ne FEMA Map	Public     Private       Image: Constraint of the second se
Is the highest and best use of subject property as Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity Gas  FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical	s improved (or as proposed per plans and specific Public Other (de Water Sanitary Sewer Sanitary	ations) the present use? scribe) Off-site Imp Street Con Alley Non FEMA Map # 26147C0426D Io If No, describe	rovements - Type ncrete ne FEMA Map	Public Private
Is the highest and best use of subject property as Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity Gas  FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical	s improved (or as proposed per plans and specific Public Other (de Water Sanitary Sewer No FEMA Flood Zone X I for the market area? Yes N	ations) the present use? scribe) Off-site Imp Street Con Alley Non FEMA Map # 26147C0426D Io If No, describe	rovements - Type ncrete ne FEMA Map	Public     Private       Image: Constraint of the second se
Is the highest and best use of subject property as Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity Gas  FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical	s improved (or as proposed per plans and specific Public Other (de Water Sanitary Sewer No FEMA Flood Zone X I for the market area? Yes N	ations) the present use? scribe) Off-site Imp Street Con Alley Non FEMA Map # 26147C0426D Io If No, describe	rovements - Type ncrete ne FEMA Map	Public     Private       Image: Constraint of the second se
Is the highest and best use of subject property as Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity Gas  FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical	s improved (or as proposed per plans and specific Public Other (de Water Sanitary Sewer No FEMA Flood Zone X I for the market area? Yes N	ations) the present use? scribe) Off-site Imp Street Con Alley Non FEMA Map # 26147C0426D Io If No, describe	rovements - Type ncrete ne FEMA Map	Public     Private       Image: Constraint of the second se
Is the highest and best use of subject property as Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity Gas  FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical	s improved (or as proposed per plans and specific Public Other (de Water Sanitary Sewer No FEMA Flood Zone X I for the market area? Yes N	ations) the present use? scribe) Off-site Imp Street Con Alley Non FEMA Map # 26147C0426D Io If No, describe al conditions, land uses, etc.)?	rovements - Type ncrete ne FEMA Map	Public     Private       Image: Constraint of the second se
Is the highest and best use of subject property as Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity  Gas  FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area  Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical Are there any adverse site conditions or external	s improved (or as proposed per plans and specific  Public Other (de Water Sanitary Sewer S Sanitary Sewer S No FEMA Flood Zone X I for the market area? Yes N factors (easements, encroachments, environment	ations) the present use? scribe) Off-site Imp Street Con Alley Non FEMA Map # 26147C0426D Io If No, describe al conditions, land uses, etc.)?	rovements - Type Increte Ine FEMA Map Ves X No als/condition Interior	Public     Private       Image: Constraint of the state of th
Is the highest and best use of subject property as Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity  Gas  FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area  Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical Are there any adverse site conditions or external General Description Units  One  One with Accessory Unit	s improved (or as proposed per plans and specific  Public Other (de Water  Sanitary Sewer  No FEMA Flood Zone X I for the market area?  Yes No factors (easements, encroachments, environment  Foundation Concrete Slab Crawl Space	Exterior Description       Material         Function of the stress of the st	rovements - Type Increte Incre	Public       Private         V       Image: Constraint of the state of th
Is the highest and best use of subject property as Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity  Gas  FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area  Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical Are there any adverse site conditions or external General Description Units Cone One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 2	s improved (or as proposed per plans and specific  Public Other (de Water  Sanitary Sewer  No FEMA Flood Zone X I for the market area?  Yes N factors (easements, encroachments, environment  Foundation Concrete Slab Crawl Space Full Basement Partial Basement	ations) the present use?               Street Con             Alley Nor             FEMA Map # 26147C0426D             If No, describe             al conditions, land uses, etc.)?           Exterior Description       material          Foundation Walls       Block / Go          Exterior Walls       Vinyl / Goc	rovements - Type  ncrete  FEMA Map  Yes No  als/condition Interior  od Floors od Walls	Public Private  Public Private Public Public Private Public
Is the highest and best use of subject property as Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity  Gas  FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area  Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical Are there any adverse site conditions or external General Description Units  One  One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 2 Type  Det.  Att.  S-Det./End Unit	s improved (or as proposed per plans and specific  Public Other (de Water  Sanitary Sewer  Sanitary Sewer  No FEMA Flood Zone X I for the market area?  Yes  N factors (easements, encroachments, environment  Foundation Concrete Slab  Crawl Space Full Basement  Partial Basement Basement Area 828 sq.ft.	ations) the present use?          Off-site Imp Street Con Alley Nor FEMA Map # 26147C0426D          Io       If No, describe al conditions, land uses, etc.)?         Exterior Description       material Foundation Walls         Feterior Walls       Vinyl / Goc Roof Surface	rovements - Type  ncrete  FEMA Map  Yes No  als/condition Interior  od Floors od Walls Good Trim/Finish	Public Private  Public Private  Public Private  Public Private  Public Private  Public Private  Public Private Public Publ
Is the highest and best use of subject property as Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity  Gas  FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical Are there any adverse site conditions or external General Description Units One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 2 Type One One. One with Const. Electricity One One One One.	s improved (or as proposed per plans and specific Public Other (de Water	Exterior Description       material         Fexterior Walls       Block / Go         Exterior Walls       Vinyl / Goc         Roof Surface       Asphalt / Go         Gutters & Downspouts       Metal/Goo	rovements - Type  ncrete  ne  FEMA Map  Ves  Ves No  als/condition Interior  od Floors od Valls Good Trim/Finish d Bath Floor	Public       Private         Image: Constraint of the state of the st
Is the highest and best use of subject property as Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity  Gas  FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical Are there any adverse site conditions or external General Description Units One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 2 Type One Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit Existing Proposed Under Const. Design (Style) Cape Cod	s improved (or as proposed per plans and specific  Public Other (de Water	Exterior Description       material         Feurior Walls       Vinyl / Goc         Roof Surface       Asphalt / Go         Window Type       Vinyl/Dblh	rovements - Type ncrete ne FEMA Map Ves X No als/condition Interior od Floors od Valls Good Trim/Finish d Bath Floor ng/Good Bath Wainsco	Public Private  Public Private  Public Private  Public Private  Public Private  Public Private  Public Private Public
Is the highest and best use of subject property as         Utilities       Public       Other (describe)         Electricity       ✓       □         Gas       ✓       □         FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area       Yes         Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical         Are there any adverse site conditions or external         Units       ✓         One       One with Accessory Unit         # of Stories       2         Type       ✓       Det.         Att.       S-Det./End Unit         ✓       Existing       Proposed         Under Const.       Design (Style)       Cape Cod         Year Built       1942	s improved (or as proposed per plans and specific  Public Other (de Water A  Sanitary Sewer A  Sanita	ations) the present use?       Image: Construction of the second of the se	rovements - Type  ncrete  FEMA Map  Yes No  als/condition Interior  od Floors  od Valls  Cood Trim/Finish  d Bath Floor  ng/Good Bath Wainsco  d Car Storage	Public       Private         Image: Constraint of the state of the st
Is the highest and best use of subject property as         Utilities       Public       Other (describe)         Electricity       □         Gas       □         FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area       Yes         Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical         Are there any adverse site conditions or external         Units       ☑         One       One with Accessory Unit         # of Stories       2         Type       ☑ Det.       Att.       S-Det./End Unit         ☑ Existing       □ Proposed       Under Const.         Design (Style)       Cape Cod       Year Built       1942         Effective Age (Yrs)       70       1       1	s improved (or as proposed per plans and specific  Public Other (de Water A  Sanitary Sewer A  Sanitary Sewer A  Sanitary Sewer A  No FEMA Flood Zone X I for the market area? Yes N  factors (easements, encroachments, environment  Foundation Concrete Slab Crawl Space Full Basement Partial Basement Basement Area 828 sq.ft. Basement Finish 0 % Outside Entry/Exit Sump Pump Evidence of Infestation None Dampness Settlement	Exterior Description       material         Feurior Walls       Block / Go         Exterior Walls       Vinyl / Good         Roof Surface       Asphalt / God         Window Type       Vinyl/Dblhi         Storm Sash/Insulated       Sash / Good         Screens       Mesh / God	rovements - Type ncrete FEMA Map Person No als/condition Interior od Floors od Valls Good Trim/Finish d Bath Floor ng/Good Bath Wainsco id Car Storage od X Driveway	Public       Private         X
Is the highest and best use of subject property as         Utilities       Public       Other (describe)         Electricity       Image: Construction of the second	s improved (or as proposed per plans and specific  Public Other (de Water A G Sanitary Sewer A G Sanitary Sewer A G No FEMA Flood Zone X I for the market area? Yes N factors (easements, encroachments, environment  Foundation Concrete Slab Grawl Space Full Basement Partial Basement Basement Area 828 sq.ft. Basement Finish 0 % Outside Entry/Exit Gump Pump Evidence of Infestation None Dampness Settlement Heating X FWA HWBB Radiant	ations) the present use?       Image: Construction of the section of the seccondol of the section of the section of the sectin of t	rovements - Type ncrete ne FEMA Map Yes X No als/condition Interior od Floors od Valls Bood Trim/Finish d Bath Floor ng/Good Bath Wainsco d Car Storage od X Driveway Surf	Public       Private         X
Is the highest and best use of subject property as         Utilities       Public       Other (describe)         Electricity       Image: Construction of the second	s improved (or as proposed per plans and specific  Public Other (de Water Sanitary Sewer S Settlement Settlement S Settlem	ations) the present use?       Image: Construction of the second of the se	rovements - Type ncrete ne FEMA Map Yes X No als/condition Interior od Floors od Walls Sood Trim/Finish d Bath Floor ng/Good Bath Wainsco d Car Storage od X Driveway ove(s) # 0 Driveway Surf Chain X Garage	Public       Private         X
Is the highest and best use of subject property as         Utilities       Public       Other (describe)         Electricity       Image: Construction of the second	s improved (or as proposed per plans and specific  Public Other (de Water Sanitary Sewer S Settlement Settlement S Settlem	ations) the present use?       Image: Construction of the section of the seccondol of the section of the section of the sectin of t	rovements - Type ncrete ne FEMA Map Yes X No als/condition Interior od Floors od Walls Sood Trim/Finish d Bath Floor ng/Good Bath Wainsco d Car Storage od X Driveway ove(s) # 0 Driveway Surf Chain X Garage	Public       Private         X
Is the highest and best use of subject property as         Utilities       Public       Other (describe)         Electricity       Image: Construction of the second	s improved (or as proposed per plans and specific  Public Other (de Water Sanitary Sewer S Settlement Settlement S Settlem	ations) the present use?       Image: Construction of the second of the se	rovements - Type  ncrete  ne  FEMA Map  FEMA Map  Yes No  als/condition Interior  od Floors  od Walls  bod Walls  bod Trim/Finish  d Bath Floor  ng/Good Bath Wainsco  od Car Storage  od X Driveway Surf  Chain X Garage  concrete Carport	Public       Private         Image: Constraint of the second s
Is the highest and best use of subject property as         Utilities       Public       Other (describe)         Electricity       Image: Colspan="2">Image: Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan="2">Colspan="2"	s improved (or as proposed per plans and specific  Public Other (de Water A G Sanitary Sewer A G Sanitary Sewer A G No FEMA Flood Zone X I for the market area? A Yes N factors (easements, encroachments, environment Concrete Slab Crawl Space Foundation Concrete Slab Crawl Space Full Basement Partial Basement Basement Area 828 sq.ft. Basement Finish 0 % Outside Entry/Exit Sump Pump Evidence of Infestation None Dampness Settlement Heating A FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas Cooling A Central Air Conditioning Individual Other	ations) the present use?       Image: Construction of the second of the se	rovements - Type ncrete ne FEMA Map FEMA Map FEMA Map FEMA Map FEMA Map Als/condition Interior od Floors od Walls Floor Ad Bath Wainsco d Car Storage od X Driveway Surf Chain X Garage concrete Carport None Att.	Public       Private         X
Is the highest and best use of subject property as         Utilities       Public       Other (describe)         Electricity       Image: Colspan="2">Image: Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2"C	s improved (or as proposed per plans and specific  Public Other (de Water A G Sanitary Sewer A G Sanitary Sewer A G No FEMA Flood Zone X I for the market area? A Yes N factors (easements, encroachments, environment Concrete Slab Crawl Space Foundation Concrete Slab Crawl Space Full Basement Partial Basement Basement Area 828 sq.ft. Basement Finish 0 % Outside Entry/Exit Sump Pump Evidence of Infestation None Dampness Settlement Heating A FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas Cooling A Central Air Conditioning Individual Other Disposal Microv	ations) the present use?       Image: Construction of the second of the se	rovements - Type ncrete ne FEMA Map FEMA Map FEMA Map FEMA Map FEMA Map No als/condition Interior od Floors od Walls Good Trim/Finish d Bath Floor ng/Good Bath Wainsco d Car Storage od Car Storage od X Driveway Surf Chain X Garage concrete Carport None Att. (describe)	Public       Private         Image: Constraint of the state of the st
Is the highest and best use of subject property as         Utilities       Public       Other (describe)         Electricity       Image: Colspan="2">Image: Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2"C	s improved (or as proposed per plans and specific  Public Other (de Water A Basement Sewer A Basement Area Basement Finish O % Outside Entry/Exit Sump Pump Evidence of Infestation None Dampness Settlement Heating A FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas Cooling A Central Air Conditioning Individual Other Disposal Microv 6 Rooms 3 Bedrooms	ations) the present use?       Image: Construction of the second of the se	rovements - Type  ncrete  FEMA Map  FEMA Map  Yes X No  als/condition Interior od Floors od Walls Good Trim/Finish d Bath Floor ng/Good Bath Wainsco d Car Storage od X Driveway Ove(s) # 0 Driveway Surf Chain X Garage concrete Carport None Att. (describe)	Public       Private         Image: Constraint of the state of the st
Is the highest and best use of subject property as         Utilities       Public       Other (describe)         Electricity       Image: Colspan="2">Image: Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2"C	s improved (or as proposed per plans and specific  Public Other (de Water A Sanitary Sewer	ations) the present use?       Image: Construction of the second of the se	rovements - Type ncrete ne FEMA Map FEMA Map FEMA Map FEMA Map FEMA Map No als/condition Interior od Floors od Walls Good Trim/Finish d Bath Floor ng/Good Bath Wainsco d Car Storage od Car Storage od X Driveway Surf Chain X Garage concrete Carport None Att. (describe)	Public       Private         Image: Constraint of the state of the st
Is the highest and best use of subject property as         Utilities       Public       Other (describe)         Electricity       Image: Colspan="2">Image: Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2"C	s improved (or as proposed per plans and specific  Public Other (de Water A Basement Sewer A Basement Partial Basement Area B28 sq.ft. Basement Finish 0 % Outside Entry/Exit Sump Pump Evidence of Infestation None Dampness Settlement Heating A FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas Cooling Central Air Conditioning Individual Other Disposal Microv 6 Rooms 3 Bedrooms s, etc.). Subject seems to be in Go	ations) the present use?               Street Con Alley Non FEMA Map # 26147C0426D             If No, describe             al conditions, land uses, etc.)?                  Exterior Description materia Foundation Walls Block / Go                  Fund Map # 26147C0426D                  foundation Walls Block / Go                  Faterior Walls Vinyl / Good                  foundation Walls Block / Go                  Keterior Walls Vinyl / Good                  Roof Surface Asphalt /Good                  Window Type Vinyl/Dblhr                  Storm Sash/Insulated Sash /Good                  Arenities Woodst                  Fireplace(s) # 0 X Fence                 Pool None Uther                 vave Washer/Dryer Other                 1.1 Bath(s) 1,22          od condition.	rovements - Type ncrete ne FEMA Map FEMA Map FEMA Map FEMA Map FEMA Map No als/condition Interior od Floors od Walls Sood Trim/Finish d Bath Floor ng/Good Bath Wainsco d Car Storage od Car Storage od X Driveway Surf Chain X Garage concrete □ Carport None □ Att. (describe) 28 Square Feet of Gross Live	Public       Private         Image: Constraint of the state of the st
Is the highest and best use of subject property as         Utilities       Public       Other (describe)         Electricity <ul> <li>Gas</li> <li>Gas</li> <li>Gas</li> <li>FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical</li> <li>Are there any adverse site conditions or external</li> </ul> General Description           Units         One         One with Accessory Unit           # of Stories         2           Type         Det         Att.         S-Det./End Unit           # of Stories         2           Type         Det         Att.         S-Det./End Unit           # existing         Proposed         Under Const.           Design (Style)         Cape         Cod           Year Built         1942         Effective Age (Yrs)         70           Attic         None         None         Scuttle         Finor         Scuttle           Floor         X         Scuttle         Range/Oven         Finished         Heated           Appliances         Refrigerator         Range/Oven         Finished area         above grade contains:         Additional features (special energy efficient items           Des	s improved (or as proposed per plans and specific Public Other (de Water A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	ations) the present use?       Image: Street Connection Street Connection Connection Street Connection Connectico Connection Connectico	rovements - Type ncrete ne FEMA Map FEMA Map Pes X No als/condition Interior od Floors od Walls Sood Trim/Finish d Bath Floor ng/Good Bath Wainsco d Car Storage od X Driveway ove(s) # 0 Driveway Surf Chain X Garage concrete Carport None Att. (describe) 28 Square Feet of Gross Live od Storage	Public       Private         Image: Constraint of the state of the st
Is the highest and best use of subject property as         Utilities       Public       Other (describe)         Electricity       Image: Construct of the second se	s improved (or as proposed per plans and specific  Public Other (de Water A Basement Sewer A Basement Partial Basement Area B28 sq.ft. Basement Finish 0 % Outside Entry/Exit Sump Pump Evidence of Infestation None Dampness Settlement Heating A FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas Cooling Central Air Conditioning Individual Other Disposal Microv 6 Rooms 3 Bedrooms s, etc.). Subject seems to be in Go	ations) the present use?       Image: Street Connection Street Connection Connection Street Connection Connectico Connection Connectico	rovements - Type ncrete ne FEMA Map FEMA Map Pes X No als/condition Interior od Floors od Walls Sood Trim/Finish d Bath Floor ng/Good Bath Wainsco d Car Storage od X Driveway ove(s) # 0 Driveway Surf Chain X Garage concrete Carport None Att. (describe) 28 Square Feet of Gross Live od Storage	Public       Private         Image: Constraint of the state of the st
Is the highest and best use of subject property as         Utilities       Public       Other (describe)         Electricity <ul> <li>Gas</li> <li>Gas</li> <li>Gas</li> <li>FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical</li> <li>Are there any adverse site conditions or external</li> </ul> General Description           Units         One         One with Accessory Unit           # of Stories         2           Type         Det         Att.         S-Det./End Unit           # of Stories         2           Type         Det         Att.         S-Det./End Unit           # existing         Proposed         Under Const.           Design (Style)         Cape         Cod           Year Built         1942         Effective Age (Yrs)         70           Attic         None         None         Scuttle         Finor         Scuttle           Floor         X         Scuttle         Range/Oven         Finished         Heated           Appliances         Refrigerator         Range/Oven         Finished area         above grade contains:         Additional features (special energy efficient items           Des	s improved (or as proposed per plans and specific Public Other (de Water A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	ations) the present use?       Image: Street Connection Street Connection Connection Street Connection Connectico Connection Connectico	rovements - Type ncrete ne FEMA Map FEMA Map Pes X No als/condition Interior od Floors od Walls Sood Trim/Finish d Bath Floor ng/Good Bath Wainsco d Car Storage od X Driveway ove(s) # 0 Driveway Surf Chain X Garage concrete Carport None Att. (describe) 28 Square Feet of Gross Live od Storage	Public       Private         Image: Constraint of the state of the st
Is the highest and best use of subject property as         Utilities       Public       Other (describe)         Electricity       Image: Construct of the second se	s improved (or as proposed per plans and specific Public Other (de Water A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	ations) the present use?       Image: Street Connection Street Connection Connection Street Connection Connectico Connection Connectico	rovements - Type ncrete ne FEMA Map FEMA Map Pes X No als/condition Interior od Floors od Walls Sood Trim/Finish d Bath Floor ng/Good Bath Wainsco d Car Storage od X Driveway ove(s) # 0 Driveway Surf Chain X Garage concrete Carport None Att. (describe) 28 Square Feet of Gross Live od Storage	Public       Private         Image: Constraint of the state of the st
Is the highest and best use of subject property as         Utilities       Public       Other (describe)         Electricity       Image: Construct of the second se	s improved (or as proposed per plans and specific Public Other (de Water A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	ations) the present use?       Image: Street Connection Street Connection Connection Street Connection Connectico Connection Connectico	rovements - Type ncrete ne FEMA Map FEMA Map Pes X No als/condition Interior od Floors od Walls Sood Trim/Finish d Bath Floor ng/Good Bath Wainsco d Car Storage od X Driveway ove(s) # 0 Driveway Surf Chain X Garage concrete Carport None Att. (describe) 28 Square Feet of Gross Live od Storage	Public       Private         Image: Constraint of the state of the st
Is the highest and best use of subject property as         Utilities       Public       Other (describe)         Electricity <ul> <li>Gas</li> <li>Gas</li> <li>Gas</li> <li>FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area</li> <li>Yes</li> </ul> Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical           Are there any adverse site conditions or external           Units         One           One         One with Accessory Unit           # of Stories         2           Type         Det.         Att.           Subjective         Special Flood           Units         One         Under Const.           Design (Style)         Cape Cod           Year Built         1942           Effective Age (Yrs)         70           Attic         None           Drop Stair         Stairs           Floor         Scuttle           Finished         Heated           Appliances         Refrigerator           Additional features (special energy efficient items           Describe the condition of the property (including           good condition.         It is not a site condci           infestation.	s improved (or as proposed per plans and specific Public Other (de Water A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	ations) the present use?       ▼         ations) the present use?       ▼         scribe)       Off-site Imp         Street Con       Alley Nor         FEMA Map # 26147C0426D       Isometric         Io       If No, describe         al conditions, land uses, etc.)?       Isometric         Exterior Description       material         Foundation Walls       Block / Go         Exterior Walls       Vinyl / Good         Roof Surface       Asphalt / Go         Gutters & Downspouts       Metal/Good         Window Type       Vinyl/Dblhm         Storm Sash/Insulated       Sash /Good         Screens       Meesh /Good         Amenities       Woodst         Fireplace(s) # 0       Fence         Patio/Deck       Wood         Pool       None         Other       0.1         None       Other         1.1       Bath(s)       1,22         od condition.       C3;No up         and working at time of inspection       Singection	rovements - Type ncrete ne FEMA Map FEMA Map Performance als/condition Interior od Floors od Walls Good Trim/Finish d Bath Floor ng/Good Bath Wainsco d Car Storage od X Driveway ove(s) # 0 Driveway Surf Chain X Garage concrete Carport None Att. (describe) 28 Square Feet of Gross Live odates in the prior 15 ye on. No evidence of pre	Public       Private         Image: Constraint of the state of the st
Is the highest and best use of subject property as         Utilities       Public       Other (describe)         Electricity       Image: Construct of the second se	s improved (or as proposed per plans and specific  Public Other (de Water A G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G	ations) the present use?       ▼         ations) the present use?       ▼         scribe)       Off-site Imp         Street Con       Alley Nor         FEMA Map # 26147C0426D       Isometric         Io       If No, describe         al conditions, land uses, etc.)?       Isometric         Exterior Description       material         Foundation Walls       Block / Go         Exterior Walls       Vinyl / Good         Roof Surface       Asphalt / Go         Gutters & Downspouts       Metal/Good         Window Type       Vinyl/Dblhm         Storm Sash/Insulated       Sash /Good         Screens       Meesh /Good         Amenities       Woodst         Fireplace(s) # 0       Fence         Patio/Deck       Wood         Pool       None         Other       0.1         None       Other         1.1       Bath(s)       1,22         od condition.       C3;No up         and working at time of inspection       Singection	rovements - Type ncrete ne FEMA Map FEMA Map Performance als/condition Interior od Floors od Walls Good Trim/Finish d Bath Floor ng/Good Bath Wainsco d Car Storage od X Driveway ove(s) # 0 Driveway Surf Chain X Garage concrete Carport None Att. (describe) 28 Square Feet of Gross Live odates in the prior 15 ye on. No evidence of pre	Public       Private         Image: Construction of the second
Is the highest and best use of subject property as         Utilities       Public       Other (describe)         Electricity <ul> <li>Gas</li> <li>Gas</li> <li>Gas</li> <li>FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area</li> <li>Yes</li> </ul> Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical           Are there any adverse site conditions or external           Units         One           One         One with Accessory Unit           # of Stories         2           Type         Det.         Att.           Subjective         Special Flood           Units         One         Under Const.           Design (Style)         Cape Cod           Year Built         1942           Effective Age (Yrs)         70           Attic         None           Drop Stair         Stairs           Floor         Scuttle           Finished         Heated           Appliances         Refrigerator           Additional features (special energy efficient items           Describe the condition of the property (including           good condition.         It is not a site condci           infestation.	s improved (or as proposed per plans and specific  Public Other (de Water A G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G	ations) the present use?       ▼         ations) the present use?       ▼         scribe)       Off-site Imp         Street Con       Alley Nor         FEMA Map # 26147C0426D       Isometric         Io       If No, describe         al conditions, land uses, etc.)?       Isometric         Exterior Description       material         Foundation Walls       Block / Go         Exterior Walls       Vinyl / Good         Roof Surface       Asphalt / Go         Gutters & Downspouts       Metal/Good         Window Type       Vinyl/Dblhm         Storm Sash/Insulated       Sash /Good         Screens       Meesh /Good         Amenities       Woodst         Fireplace(s) # 0       Fence         Patio/Deck       Wood         Pool       None         Other       0.1         None       Other         1.1       Bath(s)       1,22         od condition.       C3;No up         and working at time of inspection       Singection	rovements - Type ncrete ne FEMA Map FEMA Map Performance als/condition Interior od Floors od Walls Good Trim/Finish d Bath Floor ng/Good Bath Wainsco d Car Storage od X Driveway ove(s) # 0 Driveway Surf Chain X Garage concrete Carport None Att. (describe) 28 Square Feet of Gross Live odates in the prior 15 ye on. No evidence of pre	Public       Private         Image: Construction of the second
Is the highest and best use of subject property as         Utilities       Public       Other (describe)         Electricity       Image: Construct of the second se	s improved (or as proposed per plans and specific  Public Other (de Water A G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G	ations) the present use?       ▼         ations) the present use?       ▼         scribe)       Off-site Imp         Street Con       Alley Nor         FEMA Map # 26147C0426D       Isometric         Io       If No, describe         al conditions, land uses, etc.)?       Isometric         Exterior Description       material         Foundation Walls       Block / Go         Exterior Walls       Vinyl / Good         Roof Surface       Asphalt / Go         Gutters & Downspouts       Metal/Good         Window Type       Vinyl/Dblhm         Storm Sash/Insulated       Sash /Good         Screens       Meesh /Good         Amenities       Woodst         Fireplace(s) # 0       Fence         Patio/Deck       Wood         Pool       None         Other       0.1         None       Other         1.1       Bath(s)       1,22         od condition.       C3;No up         and working at time of inspection       Singection	rovements - Type ncrete ne FEMA Map FEMA Map Performance als/condition Interior od Floors od Walls Good Trim/Finish d Bath Floor ng/Good Bath Wainsco d Car Storage od X Driveway ove(s) # 0 Driveway Surf Chain X Garage concrete Carport None Att. (describe) 28 Square Feet of Gross Live odates in the prior 15 ye on. No evidence of pre	Public       Private         Image: Construction of the second
Is the highest and best use of subject property as         Utilities       Public       Other (describe)         Electricity       Image: Construct of the second se	s improved (or as proposed per plans and specific  Public Other (de Water A Basement Area 828 sq.ft. Basement Finish 0 % Outside Entry/Exit Sump Pump Evidence of Infestation None Dampness Settlement Heating A FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas Cooling Central Air Conditioning Individual Other Dishwasher Disposal Microv 6 Rooms 3 Bedrooms s, etc.). Subject seems to be in Go needed repairs, deterioration, renovations, remode Daminess and electrical were on a Daminess of the livability, soundness, or streen	ations) the present use? Scribe) Off-site Imp Street Con Alley Non FEMA Map # 26147CO426D Io If No, describe al conditions, land uses, etc.)? Exterior Description materia Foundation Walls Block / Go Exterior Walls Vinyl / Goc Roof Surface Asphalt /G Gutters & Downspouts Metal/Goo Window Type Vinyl/Dbln Storm Sash/Insulated Sash /Goo Screens Mesh /Goc Amenities Woodst Fireplace(s) # 0 ¥ Fence Pool None 0ther 1.1 Bath(s) 1,22 od condition. Paind working at time of inspection Tuctural integrity of the property?	rovements - Type ncrete ne FEMA Map FEMA Map Person No rovements - Type FEMA Map FEMA Map No Second Floors Malls Sood Trim/Finish d Bath Floor ng/Good Bath Wainsco d Car Storage od Car Storage od Chain Chain Chain Cargort None Att. (describe) 28 Square Feet of Gross Live Chain 15 yr Second Floors Mone Chain Chain Cargort None Chain None Carport None Yes Yes Yes T	Public       Private         ▼       □         □       □
Is the highest and best use of subject property as         Utilities       Public       Other (describe)         Electricity       Image: Construct of the second se	s improved (or as proposed per plans and specific  Public Other (de Water A G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G	ations) the present use? Scribe) Off-site Imp Street Con Alley Non FEMA Map # 26147CO426D Io If No, describe al conditions, land uses, etc.)? Exterior Description materia Foundation Walls Block / Go Exterior Walls Vinyl / Goc Roof Surface Asphalt /G Gutters & Downspouts Metal/Goo Window Type Vinyl/Dbln Storm Sash/Insulated Sash /Goo Screens Mesh /Goc Amenities Woodst Fireplace(s) # 0 ¥ Fence Pool None 0ther 1.1 Bath(s) 1,22 od condition. Paind working at time of inspection Tuctural integrity of the property?	rovements - Type ncrete ne FEMA Map FEMA Map Performance als/condition Interior od Floors od Walls Good Trim/Finish d Bath Floor ng/Good Bath Wainsco d Car Storage od X Driveway ove(s) # 0 Driveway Surf Chain X Garage concrete Carport None Att. (describe) 28 Square Feet of Gross Live odates in the prior 15 ye on. No evidence of pre	Public       Private         X
Is the highest and best use of subject property as         Utilities       Public       Other (describe)         Electricity       Image: Construct of the second se	s improved (or as proposed per plans and specific  Public Other (de Water A Basement Area 828 sq.ft. Basement Finish 0 % Outside Entry/Exit Sump Pump Evidence of Infestation None Dampness Settlement Heating A FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas Cooling Central Air Conditioning Individual Other Dishwasher Disposal Microv 6 Rooms 3 Bedrooms s, etc.). Subject seems to be in Go needed repairs, deterioration, renovations, remode Daminess and electrical were on a Daminess of the livability, soundness, or streen	ations) the present use? Scribe) Off-site Imp Street Con Alley Non FEMA Map # 26147CO426D Io If No, describe al conditions, land uses, etc.)? Exterior Description materia Foundation Walls Block / Go Exterior Walls Vinyl / Goc Roof Surface Asphalt /G Gutters & Downspouts Metal/Goo Window Type Vinyl/Dbln Storm Sash/Insulated Sash /Goo Screens Mesh /Goc Amenities Woodst Fireplace(s) # 0 ¥ Fence Pool None 0ther 1.1 Bath(s) 1,22 od condition. Paind working at time of inspection Tuctural integrity of the property?	rovements - Type ncrete ne FEMA Map FEMA Map Person No rovements - Type FEMA Map FEMA Map No Second Floors Malls Sood Trim/Finish d Bath Floor ng/Good Bath Wainsco d Car Storage od Car Storage od Chain Chain Chain Cargort None Att. (describe) 28 Square Feet of Gross Live Chain 15 yr Second Floors Mone Chain Chain Cargort None Chain None Carport None Yes Yes Yes T	Public       Private         X

Freddie Mac Form 70 March 2005

Fannie Mae Form 1004 March 2005

# Uniform Residential Appraisal Report

File # ANS-221385

т	hara ara o comparable	nronortico	Currently	offorod	for a	alo in 4	the subject neighborh	nod re-	naina in prios	from ¢ 45 000	THE #			
												to \$ 67		•
				пеідпр				uis ran		ice from \$ 54,000			82,000	4 0
	FEATURE	SUBJE	ΰI	-			LE SALE # 1	-		LE SALE # 2		COMPARAE		73
A	ddress 15004 Veronica A	Ave		2121	8 Bee	chwoo	od Ave	2122	29 Beechwo	od Ave	2112	0 Beechwo	od Ave	
	Eastpointe, MI 48	8021		East	pointe	, MI 48	8021	East	pointe, MI 4	8021	Eastr	ointe, MI 4	8021	
P	roximity to Subject			0.22	MII F	ŚW			MILES W			MILES SW		
		\$				• • •	\$ 54,000			\$ 54,000			\$	69,900
-		\$	sq.ft.	\$	40.2	6 sq.ft.	↓ <u>0</u> 4,000	\$	46.55 sq.ft	,	\$	52.71 sq.ft		03,300
_		Ψ	5 <b>q</b> .n.					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	ata Source(s)						53320;DOM 285			05895;DOM 36		MLS#5803		C;DOM 9
	erification Source(s)					astpoin			comp/ Eastpoir			omp/ Eastpoi		
۷	ALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DESCRIP	TION	DE	SCRIPT	ION	+ (-) \$ Adjustment	DE	SCRIPTION	+ (-) \$ Adjustment	DES	SCRIPTION	+(-)\$	Adjustment
S	ales or Financing			ArmL	th			Arml	Lth		ArmL	th		
C	oncessions			Conv				Casł			FHA;			
_	ate of Sale/Time				,c 7;c03	2/17			17;c03/17			6;c03/16		
	ocation	NuDeer				, , ,								
		N;Res;		N;Re				N;Re			N;Re			
_	easehold/Fee Simple	Fee Simp	le	Fee S	Simple	Э		Fee	Simple		Fee S	Simple		
S	ite	6000 sf		4800	sf		C	4800	) sf	0	4800	sf		0
۷	iew	N;Res;		N;Re	s;			N;Re	es;		N;Re	s;		
Γ	esign (Style)	DT2;Cape	e Cod	DT2;		alow	0		Bungalow	0		Bungalow		0
	uality of Construction	Q3		Q3			Ĭ	Q3			Q3		1	
	ctual Age	<u>Q</u> 3 75		76			-	Q3 76			76		1	0
							C			0				0
	ondition	C3	1	C3	_			C3	1		C3			
	bove Grade	Total Bdrms	-	Total	Bdrms.	Baths		Total	Bdrms. Baths			Bdrms. Baths		
F	oom Count	6 3	1.1	6	3	1.1		6	3 1.1		6	3 1.0		+2,000
6	ross Living Area	1.22	28 sq.ft.		1.094	4 sq.ft.	+4,154		1,160 sq.ft	+2,108		1,326 sq.ft		-3,038
	asement & Finished	828sf0sfir			f600s				f600sfin			971sfin		-5,000
	ooms Below Grade		-		r0.0b				pr0.1ba1o			r0.0ba0o		-5,000
		A				a 10	C			-2,000			-	0
	unctional Utility	Average		Avera				Aver			Avera			
_	eating/Cooling	GFA/CAC	;	GFA/	CAC			GFA		+1,500				
ġ E	nergy Efficient Items	Window,ir	nsol	Wind	ow,in	sol		Wind	dow,insol		Wind	ow,insol		
<b>1</b> (	arage/Carport	2gd2dw		2gd2				1gd1		+5,000				
۲ P	orch/Patio/Deck	Porch/De	ck	Porcl			+1 000		h/CvrPatio	-1,000				
3	ireplace	None		None			. 1,000	None		-1,000	None		1	
Σį-						th	40.000	-	e nen Bath	-10,000				0.000
Ϋ́Υ	pualed Kilchen Baln	None		KITCH	en Ba	ith	-10,000	KITCL	ien Bath	-10,000	Bath			-2,000
d M								_						
<u></u>	et Adjustment (Total)				+	X -	\$ -5,846		] + 🛛 -	\$ -6,392		+ 🗙 -	\$	-8,038
ρA	djusted Sale Price			Net Ad	j.	10.8 %		Net Ac	dj. 11.8 %		Net Adj	. 11.5 %		
0	f Comparables			Gross		29.9 %	\$ 48,154	Gross			Gross			61,862
		he sale or trar	nefor histo				rty and comparable sal			Subject is				01,002
•				-					•			Sale. NO K	nown	
	isting/Sale within the last	36 month	s. Per	Realc	omp I	MLS a	nd Eastpointe Re	cords						
Ν	1y research 🗌 did 🗙 did r	not reveal any	prior sale	es or tra	nsfers o	of the su	bject property for the th	ree yea	irs prior to the e	ffective date of this appr	aisal.			
C	ata Source(s) Per Realco	omp MLS a	and Ea	stpoin	te Re	cords.								
Ν	1y research 🗌 did 🗙 did r	not reveal any	prior sale	es or tra	nsfers o	of the co	mparable sales for the	year pri	or to the date o	sale of the comparable	sale.			
Γ	ata Source(s) Per Realco		•				•			·				
	eport the results of the research a							and co	omparable sales	(report additional prior	sales on	page 3)		
<u> </u>	ITEM			JBJECT	aanoit		COMPARABLE S			COMPARABLE SALE #2			RABLE SA	IF #2
			ગ	νυσείοι					I		•	UUIVIP/	UNADLE 3A	LL #J
_	ate of Prior Sale/Transfer													
P	rice of Prior Sale/Transfer													
	ata Source(s)	Realco	omp/ Eas	stpointe	<u>T.R</u> .	I	Realcomp/ Eastpoint	<u>e T.R</u> .	Realc	omp/ Eastpointe T.R.		Realcomp/ E	astpointe	T.R.
E	ffective Date of Data Source(s)	06/01	/2017				06/01/2017		06/01	/2017		06/01/201		
	nalysis of prior sale or transfer his		-	pertv an	d com			piect i		e. No known Listir	ig/Sale			months
	· ·				~ ~~		Sul		5 1151 101 301		g, Cal		1001 00	
	Per Realcomp MLS and E	_aຣເມບເກເຍ	LECOL(	JS.										
S	ummary of Sales Comparison Ap	proach	See at	tache	d add	enda.								
	ndicated Value by Sales Comparis	on Approach 3	\$ 5	5.000										
	ndicated Value by Sales Comparis			5,000	000		Cost Annroach (if deu	eloned	)\$ =1 14	2 Income Ann	roach /	if developed)	\$	
l	ndicated Value by: Sales Compa			- /	000	(	Cost Approach (if dev	eloped	)\$ 54,44	3 Income App	roach (	if developed)	\$	
lı N				- /	000	(	Cost Approach (if dev	eloped	)\$ 54,44	3 Income App	roach (	if developed)	\$	
lı N	ndicated Value by: Sales Compa			- /	000	(	Cost Approach (if dev	eloped	)\$ 54,44	3 Income App	roach (	if developed)	\$	
lı N	ndicated Value by: Sales Compa			- /	000	(	Cost Approach (if dev	eloped	)\$ 54,44	3 Income App	roach (	if developed)	\$	
lı N	ndicated Value by: Sales Compa	arison Appro	oach \$	55,						3 Income App pothetical condition that	•			
lı N	ndicated Value by: Sales Compa Aarket Value his appraisal is made 🛛 🔀 "as i	arison Appro	bach \$	55, comple	etion p	er plans	and specifications of	n the	basis of a hy	pothetical condition that	at the i	mprovements	have beer	
lı N	Adicated Value by: Sales Compa Aarket Value his appraisal is made 🕅 "as i ompleted, 🗌 subject to the	s", st following rep	ubject to airs or a	55, comple	etion p	er plans the basi	and specifications c	n the	basis of a hy n that the rep	pothetical condition that	at the i	mprovements	have beer	
li N	ndicated Value by: Sales Compa Aarket Value his appraisal is made 🛛 🔀 "as i	s", st following rep	ubject to airs or a	55, comple	etion p	er plans the basi	and specifications c	n the	basis of a hy n that the rep	pothetical condition that	at the i	mprovements	have beer	
RECONCILIATION	Airket Value by: Sales Company Aarket Value his appraisal is made X "as i ompleted, Subject to the ollowing required inspection bas	s", su	ubject to vairs or a xtraordina	55, comple alteration ary assu	etion points on umption	er plans the basi that th	and specifications of a hypothetical of a condition or deficient	n the conditio ncy do	basis of a hy n that the rep bes not require	pothetical condition that airs or alterations have alteration or repair:	at the i	mprovements completed, o	have beer	ect to the
RECONCILIATION	Adicated Value by: Sales Compa Aarket Value his appraisal is made X "as i ompleted, subject to the ollowing required inspection bas based on a complete visual	s", su following rep inspection	bach \$ ubject to vairs or a xtraordina	55, comple alteration ary assu	etion pr ns on umption	er plans the basi that th exterior	and specifications of is of a hypothetical of ne condition or deficie areas of the subje	n the conditio ncy do	basis of a hy n that the rep bes not require <b>perty, define</b> c	pothetical condition that airs or alterations have alteration or repair:	at the i	mprovements completed, o	have beer	ect to the
RECONCILIATION	Adicated Value by: Sales Compa Aarket Value his appraisal is made X "as i ompleted, subject to the ollowing required inspection bas lased on a complete visual onditions, and appraiser's co	s", su following rep ed on the e inspection ertification,	ubject to airs or a xtraordina of the i my (our	55, comple alteration ary assu nterior c) opini	etion print on umption and etion of	er plans the basi that th exterior the m	and specifications of is of a hypothetical of ne condition or deficie areas of the subje arket value, as def	n the conditio ncy do ct pro ined, c	basis of a hy n that the rep bes not require perty, defined of the real p	pothetical condition that airs or alterations have alteration or repair: I scope of work, sta poperty that is the s	at the i e been atement	mprovements completed, o	have beer	ect to the
	Adicated Value by: Sales Compa Aarket Value his appraisal is made X "as i ompleted, subject to the ollowing required inspection bas based on a complete visual	s", st following rep ed on the e inspection ertification, 06/08	bach \$ ubject to vairs or a xtraordina	55, comple alteratior ary assu nterior ') opini	etion p ns on umption and e ion of , white	er plans the basi that th exterior the m	and specifications of is of a hypothetical of ne condition or deficie areas of the subje arket value, as def he date of inspect	n the conditio ncy do ct pro ined, c on an	basis of a hy n that the rep bes not require perty, defined of the real p	pothetical condition that airs or alterations have alteration or repair:	at the i e been atement subject raisal.	mprovements completed, o t of assump of this rep	have beer	ect to the

COST APPROACH TO VALUE	- (not required by Fannie Ma			
		e)		
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti-	ns.		from tax records	
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation	ns.		from tax records	
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting	ns. mating site value)		from tax records	
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW	ns. mating site value) OPINION OF SITE VALUE	Site Value was		=\$ 8,1 =\$ 125.2
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall and Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg. Effective date of cost data 09/2013	ns. mating site value) OPINION OF SITE VALUE		102.00 15.00	=\$ 125,2 =\$ 12,4
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall and Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg. Effective date of cost data 09/2013 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)	OPINION OF SITE VALUE	Site Value was ,228 Sq.Ft. @\$ 828 Sq.Ft. @\$	102.00 15.00	=\$ 125,2 =\$ 12,4 =\$
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall and Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg. Effective date of cost data 09/2013	OPINION OF SITE VALUE	Site Value was ,228 Sq.Ft. @\$ 828 Sq.Ft. @\$ 400 Sq.Ft. @\$	102.00 15.00	=\$ 125,2 =\$ 12,4
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall and Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg. Effective date of cost data 09/2013 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The subject was built in 1942. A minimal amount of accrued depreciation due to normal wear and tear of the structure would have to be estimated using the Cost approach. Remaining economic life is 30	ns. mating site value) OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1 Basement Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical	Site Value was	102.00 15.00	=\$ 125,2 =\$ 12,4 =\$ =\$ 10,0 =\$ 147,6
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall and Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg. Effective date of cost data 09/2013 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The subject was built in 1942. A minimal amount of accrued depreciation due to normal wear and tear of the structure would have to	ns. mating site value) OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1 Basement Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New	Site Value was	102.00 15.00 25.00 External	=\$ 125,2 =\$ 12,4 =\$ =\$ 10,0
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall and Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg. Effective date of cost data 09/2013 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The subject was built in 1942. A minimal amount of accrued depreciation due to normal wear and tear of the structure would have to be estimated using the Cost approach. Remaining economic life is 30	ns. mating site value) OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1 Basement Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation 103,	Site Value was	102.00 15.00 25.00 External	=\$ 125,2 =\$ 12,4 =\$ =\$ 10,0 =\$ 147,6 =\$( 103,3
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall and Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg. Effective date of cost data 09/2013 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The subject was built in 1942. A minimal amount of accrued depreciation due to normal wear and tear of the structure would have to be estimated using the Cost approach. Remaining economic life is 30 years.	ns. mating site value) OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1 Basement Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation 103, Depreciated Cost of Improver	Site Value was	102.00 15.00 25.00 External	=\$ 125,2 =\$ 12,4 =\$ =\$ 10,0 =\$ 147,6 =\$ (103,3) =\$ 44,3 =\$ 2,0
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation         Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated         ESTIMATED       REPRODUCTION OR       REPLACEMENT COST NEW         Source of cost data       Marshall and Swift         Quality rating from cost service       Avg.       Effective date of cost data       09/2013         Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)       The subject was built in 1942. A minimal amount of accrued         depreciation due to normal wear and tear of the structure would have to be estimated using the Cost approach. Remaining economic life is 30         years.         Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)       30 Years	ns. mating site value) OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1 Basement Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciated Cost of Improver "As-is" Value of Site Improver INDICATED VALUE BY COST JE (not required by Fannie M	Site Value was	102.00 15.00 25.00 External	=\$ 125,2 =\$ 12,4 =\$ =\$ 10,0 =\$ 147,6 =\$ (103,3 =\$ 44,3 =\$ 2,0 =\$ 54,4
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation.         Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estinated methods.         ESTIMATED       REPRODUCTION OR       REPLACEMENT COST NEW         Source of cost data       Marshall and Swift         Quality rating from cost service       Avg.       Effective date of cost data       09/2013         Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)       The subject was built in 1942. A minimal amount of accrued         depreciation due to normal wear and tear of the structure would have to be estimated using the Cost approach. Remaining economic life is 30         years.         Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)       30 Years         INCOME APPROACH TO VALU         Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$       X Gross Rent Multiplier	ns. mating site value) OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1 Basement Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation 103, Depreciated Cost of Improver "As-is" Value of Site Improver INDICATED VALUE BY COST	Site Value was	102.00 15.00 25.00 External	=\$ 125,2 =\$ 12,4 =\$ =\$ 10,0 =\$ 147,6 =\$(103,3) =\$ 44,3 =\$ 2,0
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation         Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated         ESTIMATED       REPRODUCTION OR       REPLACEMENT COST NEW         Source of cost data       Marshall and Swift         Quality rating from cost service       Avg.       Effective date of cost data       09/2013         Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)       The subject was built in 1942. A minimal amount of accrued         depreciation due to normal wear and tear of the structure would have to be estimated using the Cost approach. Remaining economic life is 30         years.         Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)       30 Years	ns. mating site value) OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1 Basement Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciated Cost of Improver "As-is" Value of Site Improver INDICATED VALUE BY COST JE (not required by Fannie M	Site Value was	102.00 15.00 25.00 External	=\$ 125,2 =\$ 12,4 =\$ =\$ 10,0 =\$ 147,6 =\$ (103,3 =\$ 44,3 =\$ 2,0 =\$ 54,4
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation.         Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated point of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated solution).         ESTIMATED       REPRODUCTION OR       REPLACEMENT COST NEW         Source of cost data       Marshall and Swift         Quality rating from cost service       Avg.       Effective date of cost data       09/2013         Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)       The subject was built in 1942. A minimal amount of accrued         depreciation due to normal wear and tear of the structure would have to be estimated using the Cost approach. Remaining economic life is 30         years.         Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)       30 Years         INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE       X Gross Rent Multiplier         Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)       PROJECT INFORMATION	ns. mating site value) OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1 Basement Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation 103, Depreciated Cost of Improver "As-is" Value of Site Improver INDICATED VALUE BY COST JE (not required by Fannie M = \$	Site Value was	102.00 15.00 25.00 External	=\$ 125,2 =\$ 12,4 =\$ =\$ 10,0 =\$ 147,6 =\$ (103,3 =\$ 44,3 =\$ 2,0 =\$ 54,4
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation.         Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated provide adequate information of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated addition of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)         Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier         Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated Support for market rent and GRM)	ns. mating site value) OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1 Basement Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation 103,3 Depreciated Cost of Improver "As-is" Value of Site Improver INDICATED VALUE BY COST JE (not required by Fannie M = \$ No Unit type(s) De	Site Value was	102.00 15.00 25.00 External Indicated Value	=\$ 125,2 =\$ 12,4 =\$ =\$ 10,0 =\$ 147,6 =\$ (103,3 =\$ 44,3 =\$ 2,0 =\$ 54,4
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation.         Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting support for methods for esting support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting support for market rent and GRM)         Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$       X Gross Rent Multiplier         Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)       PROJECT INFORMATION         Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)?       Yes         Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project	ns. mating site value) OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1 Basement Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation 103, Depreciated Cost of Improver "As-is" Value of Site Improver INDICATED VALUE BY COST JE (not required by Fannie M = \$ I FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) De Ind the subject property is an a	Site Value was	102.00 15.00 25.00 External Indicated Value	=\$ 125,2 =\$ 12,4 =\$ =\$ 10,0 =\$ 147,6 =\$ (103,3 =\$ 44,3 =\$ 2,0 =\$ 54,4
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation.         Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting solution of solution of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting solution of solutio	ns. mating site value) OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1 Basement Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation 103,3 Depreciated Cost of Improver "As-is" Value of Site Improver INDICATED VALUE BY COST JE (not required by Fannie M = \$ No Unit type(s) De	Site Value was	102.00 15.00 25.00 External Indicated Value	=\$ 125,2 =\$ 12,4 =\$ =\$ 10,0 =\$ 147,6 =\$ (103,3 =\$ 44,3 =\$ 2,0 =\$ 54,4
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation.         Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting solution of solution of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting solution of solutio	ns. mating site value) OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1 Basement Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciated Cost of Improver "As-is" Value of Site Improver INDICATED VALUE BY COST JE (not required by Fannie M = \$ I FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) De and the subject property is an a Total number of units solo	Site Value was	102.00 15.00 25.00 External Indicated Value	=\$ 125,2 =\$ 12,4 =\$ =\$ 10,0 =\$ 147,6 =\$ (103,3 =\$ 44,3 =\$ 2,0 =\$ 54,4
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio         Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti         Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti         ESTIMATED       REPRODUCTION OR       REPLACEMENT COST NEW         Source of cost data       Marshall and Swift       Quality rating from cost service       Avg.         Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)       The subject was built in 1942. A minimal amount of accrued         depreciation due to normal wear and tear of the structure would have to be estimated using the Cost approach. Remaining economic life is 30         years.       INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE         Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)       30 Years         Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$       X Gross Rent Multiplier         Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)       PROJECT INFORMATION         Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)?       Yes         Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a       Legal Name of Project         Total number of units rented       Total number of units for sale       Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD?       Yes         Does the project contain any mu	ns. mating site value) OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1 Basement Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation 103, Depreciated Cost of Improver "As-is" Value of Site Improver "As-is" Value of Site Improver INDICATED VALUE BY COST JE (not required by Fannie M = \$ I FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) De Ind the subject property is an a Total number of units solo Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conve	Site Value was	102.00 15.00 25.00 External Indicated Value	=\$ 125,2 =\$ 12,4 =\$ =\$ 10,0 =\$ 147,6 =\$ (103,3 =\$ 44,3 =\$ 2,0 =\$ 54,4
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio         Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti         Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti         ESTIMATED       REPRODUCTION OR       REPLACEMENT COST NEW         Source of cost data       Marshall and Swift       Og/2013         Quality rating from cost service       Avg.       Effective date of cost data       Og/2013         Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)       The subject was built in 1942. A minimal amount of accrued         depreciation due to normal wear and tear of the structure would have to       be estimated using the Cost approach. Remaining economic life is 30         years.       INCOME APPROACH TO VALU         Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)       30 Years         Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)       INCOME APPROACH TO VALU         Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$       X Gross Rent Multiplier         Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)       Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a         Legal Name of Project       Total number of units       Total number of units for sale         Was the project contain any multi-dwelling units?	ns. mating site value) OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1 Basement Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciated Cost of Improver "As-is" Value of Site Improver INDICATED VALUE BY COST JE (not required by Fannie M = \$ IFOR PUDS (if applicable) No Unit type(s) De and the subject property is an a Total number of units sole Data source(s)	Site Value was	102.00 15.00 25.00 External Indicated Value	=\$ 125,2 =\$ 12,4 =\$ =\$ 10,0 =\$ 147,6 =\$ (103,3 =\$ 44,3 =\$ 2,0 =\$ 54,4
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio         Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti         Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti         Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti         Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti         Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti         Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti         Source of cost data       Marshall and Swift         Quality rating from cost service       Avg.       Effective date of cost data       09/2013         Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)       The subject was built in 1942. A minimal amount of accrued         depreciation due to normal wear and tear of the structure would have to       be estimated using the Cost approach. Remaining economic life is 30         years.       INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE       Stimated Monthly Market Rent \$       X Gross Rent Multiplier         Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)       PROJECT INFORMATION         Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)?       Yes         Provide the following information fo	ns. mating site value) OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1 Basement Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation 103,3 Depreciated Cost of Improver "As-is" Value of Site Improver "As-is" Value of Site Improver <b>INDICATED VALUE BY COST</b> JE (not required by Fannie M = \$ IFOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) De and the subject property is an a Total number of units sole Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conve If No, describe the status of conve	Site Value was	102.00 15.00 25.00 External Indicated Value	=\$ 125,2 =\$ 12,4 =\$ =\$ 10,0 =\$ 147,6 =\$ (103,3 =\$ 44,3 =\$ 2,0 =\$ 54,4
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio         Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est         Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est         Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est         Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est         Source of cost data       Marshall and Swift         Quality rating from cost service       Avg.         Effective date of cost data       09/2013         Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)       The subject was built in 1942. A minimal amount of accrued         depreciation due to normal wear and tear of the structure would have to       be estimated using the Cost approach. Remaining economic life is 30         years.	ns. mating site value) OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1 Basement Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation 103, Depreciated Cost of Improver "As-is" Value of Site Improver "As-is" Value of Site Improver INDICATED VALUE BY COST JE (not required by Fannie M = \$ I FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) De Ind the subject property is an a Total number of units solo Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conve	Site Value was	102.00 15.00 25.00 External Indicated Value	=\$ 125,2 =\$ 12,4 =\$ =\$ 10,0 =\$ 147,6 =\$ (103,3 =\$ 44,3 =\$ 2,0 =\$ 54,4
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio         Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti         Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti         Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti         Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti         Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti         Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti         Source of cost data       Marshall and Swift         Quality rating from cost service       Avg.       Effective date of cost data       09/2013         Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)       The subject was built in 1942. A minimal amount of accrued         depreciation due to normal wear and tear of the structure would have to       be estimated using the Cost approach. Remaining economic life is 30         years.       INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE       Stimated Monthly Market Rent \$       X Gross Rent Multiplier         Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)       PROJECT INFORMATION         Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)?       Yes         Provide the following information fo	ns. mating site value) OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1 Basement Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation 103,3 Depreciated Cost of Improver "As-is" Value of Site Improver "As-is" Value of Site Improver <b>INDICATED VALUE BY COST</b> JE (not required by Fannie M = \$ No Unit type(s) De and the subject property is an a Total number of units sole Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conve If No, describe the status of conve	Site Value was	102.00 15.00 25.00 External Indicated Value	=\$ 125,2 =\$ 12,4 =\$ =\$ 10,0 =\$ 147,6 =\$ (103,3 =\$ 44,3 =\$ 2,0 =\$ 54,4

This report form is designed to report an appraisal of a one-unit property or a one-unit property with an accessory unit; including a unit in a planned unit development (PUD). This report form is not designed to report an appraisal of a manufactured home or a unit in a condominium or cooperative project.

This appraisal report is subject to the following scope of work, intended use, intended user, definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. Modifications, additions, or deletions to the intended use, intended user, definition of market value, or assumptions and limiting conditions are not permitted. The appraiser may expand the scope of work to include any additional research or analysis necessary based on the complexity of this appraisal assignment. Modifications or deletions to the certifications are also not permitted. However, additional certifications that do not constitute material alterations to this appraisal report, such as those required by law or those related to the appraiser's continuing education or membership in an appraisal organization, are permitted.

SCOPE OF WORK: The scope of work for this appraisal is defined by the complexity of this appraisal assignment and the reporting requirements of this appraisal report form, including the following definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. The appraiser must, at a minimum: (1) perform a complete visual inspection of the interior and exterior areas of the subject property, (2) inspect the neighborhood, (3) inspect each of the comparable sales from at least the street, (4) research, verify, and analyze data from reliable public and/or private sources, and (5) report his or her analysis, opinions, and conclusions in this appraisal report.

INTENDED USE: The intended use of this appraisal report is for the lender/client to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for a mortgage finance transaction.

INTENDED USER: The intended user of this appraisal report is the lender/client.

DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE: The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby: (1) buyer and seller are typically motivated; (2) both parties are well informed or well advised, and each acting in what he or she considers his or her own best interest; (3) a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market; (4) payment is made in terms of cash in U. S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and (5) the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions\* granted by anyone associated with the sale.

\*Adjustments to the comparables must be made for special or creative financing or sales concessions. No adjustments are necessary for those costs which are normally paid by sellers as a result of tradition or law in a market area; these costs are readily identifiable since the seller pays these costs in virtually all sales transactions. Special or creative financing adjustments can be made to the comparable property by comparisons to financing terms offered by a third party institutional lender that is not already involved in the property or transaction. Any adjustment should not be calculated on a mechanical dollar for dollar cost of the financing or concession but the dollar amount of any adjustment should approximate the market's reaction to the financing or concessions based on the appraiser's judgment.

STATEMENT OF ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS: The appraiser's certification in this report is subject to the following assumptions and limiting conditions:

1. The appraiser will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature that affect either the property being appraised or the title to it, except for information that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. The appraiser assumes that the title is good and marketable and will not render any opinions about the title.

2. The appraiser has provided a sketch in this appraisal report to show the approximate dimensions of the improvements. The sketch is included only to assist the reader in visualizing the property and understanding the appraiser's determination of its size.

3. The appraiser has examined the available flood maps that are provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (or other data sources) and has noted in this appraisal report whether any portion of the subject site is located in an identified Special Flood Hazard Area. Because the appraiser is not a surveyor, he or she makes no guarantees, express or implied, regarding this determination.

4. The appraiser will not give testimony or appear in court because he or she made an appraisal of the property in question, unless specific arrangements to do so have been made beforehand, or as otherwise required by law.

5. The appraiser has noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing the appraisal. Unless otherwise stated in this appraisal report, the appraiser has no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent physical deficiencies or adverse conditions of the property (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) that would make the property less valuable, and has assumed that there are no such conditions and makes no guarantees or warranties, express or implied. The appraiser will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist. Because the appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, this appraisal report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property.

6. The appraiser has based his or her appraisal report and valuation conclusion for an appraisal that is subject to satisfactory completion, repairs, or alterations on the assumption that the completion, repairs, or alterations of the subject property will be performed in a professional manner.

#### APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

1. I have, at a minimum, developed and reported this appraisal in accordance with the scope of work requirements stated in this appraisal report.

2. I performed a complete visual inspection of the interior and exterior areas of the subject property. I reported the condition of the improvements in factual, specific terms. I identified and reported the physical deficiencies that could affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property.

3. I performed this appraisal in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.

4. I developed my opinion of the market value of the real property that is the subject of this report based on the sales comparison approach to value. I have adequate comparable market data to develop a reliable sales comparison approach for this appraisal assignment. I further certify that I considered the cost and income approaches to value but did not develop them, unless otherwise indicated in this report.

5. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on any current agreement for sale for the subject property, any offering for sale of the subject property in the twelve months prior to the effective date of this appraisal, and the prior sales of the subject property for a minimum of three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal, unless otherwise indicated in this report.

6. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on the prior sales of the comparable sales for a minimum of one year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale, unless otherwise indicated in this report.

7. I selected and used comparable sales that are locationally, physically, and functionally the most similar to the subject property.

8. I have not used comparable sales that were the result of combining a land sale with the contract purchase price of a home that has been built or will be built on the land.

9. I have reported adjustments to the comparable sales that reflect the market's reaction to the differences between the subject property and the comparable sales.

10. I verified, from a disinterested source, all information in this report that was provided by parties who have a financial interest in the sale or financing of the subject property.

11. I have knowledge and experience in appraising this type of property in this market area.

12. I am aware of, and have access to, the necessary and appropriate public and private data sources, such as multiple listing services, tax assessment records, public land records and other such data sources for the area in which the property is located.

13. I obtained the information, estimates, and opinions furnished by other parties and expressed in this appraisal report from reliable sources that I believe to be true and correct.

14. I have taken into consideration the factors that have an impact on value with respect to the subject neighborhood, subject property, and the proximity of the subject property to adverse influences in the development of my opinion of market value. I have noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that I became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. I have considered these adverse conditions in my analysis of the property value, and have reported on the effect of the conditions on the value and marketability of the subject property.

15. I have not knowingly withheld any significant information from this appraisal report and, to the best of my knowledge, all statements and information in this appraisal report are true and correct.

16. I stated in this appraisal report my own personal, unbiased, and professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions, which are subject only to the assumptions and limiting conditions in this appraisal report.

17. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and I have no present or prospective personal interest or bias with respect to the participants in the transaction. I did not base, either partially or completely, my analysis and/or opinion of market value in this appraisal report on the race, color, religion, sex, age, marital status, handicap, familial status, or national origin of either the prospective owners or occupants of the subject property or of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property or on any other basis prohibited by law.

18. My employment and/or compensation for performing this appraisal or any future or anticipated appraisals was not conditioned on any agreement or understanding, written or otherwise, that I would report (or present analysis supporting) a predetermined specific value, a predetermined minimum value, a range or direction in value, a value that favors the cause of any party, or the attainment of a specific result or occurrence of a specific subsequent event (such as approval of a pending mortgage loan application).

19. I personally prepared all conclusions and opinions about the real estate that were set forth in this appraisal report. If I relied on significant real property appraisal assistance from any individual or individuals in the performance of this appraisal or the preparation of this appraisal report, I have named such individual(s) and disclosed the specific tasks performed in this appraisal report. I certify that any individual so named is qualified to perform the tasks. I have not authorized anyone to make a change to any item in this appraisal report; therefore, any change made to this appraisal is unauthorized and I will take no responsibility for it.

20. I identified the lender/client in this appraisal report who is the individual, organization, or agent for the organization that ordered and will receive this appraisal report.

File # ANS-221385

21. The lender/client may disclose or distribute this appraisal report to: the borrower; another lender at the request of the borrower; the mortgagee or its successors and assigns; mortgage insurers; government sponsored enterprises; other secondary market participants; data collection or reporting services; professional appraisal organizations; any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States; and any state, the District of Columbia, or other jurisdictions; without having to obtain the appraiser's or supervisory appraiser's (if applicable) consent. Such consent must be obtained before this appraisal report may be disclosed or distributed to any other party (including, but not limited to, the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media).

22. I am aware that any disclosure or distribution of this appraisal report by me or the lender/client may be subject to certain laws and regulations. Further, I am also subject to the provisions of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that pertain to disclosure or distribution by me.

23. The borrower, another lender at the request of the borrower, the mortgagee or its successors and assigns, mortgage insurers, government sponsored enterprises, and other secondary market participants may rely on this appraisal report as part of any mortgage finance transaction that involves any one or more of these parties.

24. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.

25. Any intentional or negligent misrepresentation(s) contained in this appraisal report may result in civil liability and/or criminal penalties including, but not limited to, fine or imprisonment or both under the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001, et seq., or similar state laws.

SUPERVISORY APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Supervisory Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

1. I directly supervised the appraiser for this appraisal assignment, have read the appraisal report, and agree with the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.

2. I accept full responsibility for the contents of this appraisal report including, but not limited to, the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.

3. The appraiser identified in this appraisal report is either a sub-contractor or an employee of the supervisory appraiser (or the appraisal firm), is qualified to perform this appraisal, and is acceptable to perform this appraisal under the applicable state law.

4. This appraisal report complies with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.

5. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.

APPRAISER	SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (ONLY IF REQUIRED)
Signature Ann Ann	Signature
Name Larry Andrew Ødom	Name
Company Name Accredited Appraisers	Company Name
Company Address 37459 Lakeshore Dr	Company Address
Harrison Township, MI 48045	
Telephone Number <u>313-820-7482</u>	Telephone Number
Email Address accreditedappraisers@yahoo.com	Email Address
Date of Signature and Report 06/12/2017	Date of Signature
Effective Date of Appraisal 06/08/2017	State Certification #
State Certification # 1201072698	or State License #
or State License #	State
or Other (describe) State #	Expiration Date of Certification or License
State MI	
Expiration Date of Certification or License 07/31/2018	SUBJECT PROPERTY
ADDRESS OF PROPERTY APPRAISED	Did not inspect subject property
15004 Veronica Ave	Did inspect exterior of subject property from street
Eastpointe, MI 48021	Date of Inspection
APPRAISED VALUE OF SUBJECT PROPERTY \$ 55.000	Did inspect interior and exterior of subject property
LENDER/CLIENT	Date of Inspection
Name Appraisal Nation	COMPARABLE SALES
Company Name Colony American Finance	COMPARABLE SALES
Company Address 4 Park Plaza, Suite 1950, Irvine, CA 92614	Did not inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
	<ul> <li>Did inspect exterior of comparable sales from street</li> </ul>
Email Address	Date of Inspection
	·

Freddie Mac Form 70 March 2005

Fannie Mae Form 1004 March 2005

# Uniform Residential Appraisal Report

FEATURE	SUBJECT			[ [.111/1	HUARI	LE UALF# n	GUIVIFANA			
Address 15004 Veronica Ave 22104 Hayes			COMPARABLE SALE # 4			LE SALE # 5	COMPARABLE SALE # 6 21111 Beechwood Ave			
Eastpointe, MI 4		Eastpointe, MI 4		22336 Haye Eastpointe,			Eastpointe, MI 48			
Proximity to Subject		0.42 MILES N	0021	0.52 MILES			0.28 MILES SW	021		
Sale Price	\$	0.42 MILLO N	\$ 82,000			\$ 45,900		\$ 67,00		
Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$ sq.ft.	\$ 59.04 sq.ft.	32,000	\$ 38.41		10,000	\$ 60.14 sq.ft.	07,00		
Data Source(s)			1295684;DOM 50			15305;DOM 100	RICPMLS#21600	2385;DOM 645		
Verification Source(s)		Realcomp/ Eastpoir		Realcomp/ Ea			Realcomp/ Eastpoint			
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DESCRIPTION	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPTI		+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPTION	+ (-) \$ Adjustment		
Sales or Financing		ArmLth		Listing			Listing			
Concessions		Conv;0					eg			
Date of Sale/Time		s09/16;c08/16		Active			Active			
Location	N;Res;	N;Res;		N;Res;			N;Res;			
Leasehold/Fee Simple	Fee Simple	Fee Simple		Fee Simple	•		Fee Simple			
Site	6000 sf	5750 sf	0	5750 sf		0	4800 sf			
View	N;Res;	N;Res;		N;Res;			N;Res;			
Design (Style)	DT2;Cape Cod	DT2;Bungalow	0	DT2;Bunga	low	0	DT2;Bungalow			
Quality of Construction	Q3	Q3		Q3			Q3			
Actual Age	75	70	0	78		0	76			
Condition	C3	C3		C3			C3			
Above Grade	Total Bdrms. Baths	Total Bdrms. Baths		Total Bdrms.	Baths		Total Bdrms. Baths			
Room Count	6 3 1.1	6 3 1.0	+2,000		1.0	+2,000	6 3 1.0	+2,00		
Gross Living Area	1,228 sq.ft.	1,389 sq.ft.	-4,991	1,195		+1,023	1,114 sq.ft.	+3,53		
Basement & Finished	828sf0sfin	926sf600sfin		808sf0sfin			747sf0sfin	+1,00		
Rooms Below Grade		1rr0br0.1ba1o	-2,000					.,		
Functional Utility	Average	Average		Average			Average			
Heating/Cooling	GFA/CAC	GFA/CAC		GFA		+1,500		+1,50		
Energy Efficient Items	Window,insol	Window,insol		Window,ins	sol		Window,insol			
Garage/Carport	2gd2dw	1gd1dw	+5,000			+10,000		+5,00		
Porch/Patio/Deck	Porch/Deck	Porch		Porch/Deck	<b>(</b>		Porch	+1,00		
Fireplace	None	None	.,	None			None	.,30		
Updated Kitchen Bath	None	Kitchen Bath	-10,000				None			
Net Adjustment (Total)		□ + X -	\$ -11,991	<b>X</b> + [	- [	\$ 14,523	<b>X</b> +	\$ 14,03		
Adjusted Sale Price		Net Adj. 14.6 %			31.6 %		Net Adj. 20.9 %	,		
of Comparables		Gross Adj. 34.1 %	\$ 70.009		31.6 %		Gross Adj. 20.9 %	\$ 81,034		
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer I	Realcomp/ Eas 06/01/2017 history of the subject prop		Realcomp/ Eastpoint 06/01/2017 ;ales			omp/ Eastpointe T.R. /2017	Realcomp/ Ea 06/01/2017			
Analysis/Comments										
ddie Mac Form 70 March 2			UAD Version 9/2				Fannie Mae Form			

### Supplemental Addendum

Borrower	Rudalev MI I			
Property Address	15004 Veronica Ave			
City	Eastpointe	County Macomb	State MI	Zip Code 48021
Lender/Client	Colony American Finance			

#### <u>URAR : Neighborhood - Market Conditions</u>

The subject neighborhood seems economically stable. Supply in shortage. Non-realty items have no effect on value. FHA, VA & Conventional financing are typical of the area. Market conditions are currently good with interest rates for conventional mortgages at their lowest levels in years. Marketing times for homes in the area are generally 0 to 3 months. Values in the subject's area have increased over the past year, and are considered likely to continue increasing over the next year. Concessions are not typical, although they do occur occasionally. Concessions are typical at 3-6 % of sales value.

#### URAR : Neighborhood - Description

The subject neighborhood is located in Port Huron, Michigan. Shopping is available within Minutes of the neighborhood. The neighborhood consists of mostly 1 and 2 story single-family homes. There are no conditions noted which may negatively affect marketability. The subject is serviced by the Port Huron Public School District.

### **Comments Regarding Sales Comparison Approach**

In the adjustment grid, where no dollar amount adjustments were made, the difference in market value for each feature was concluded not to be high enough to warrant a specific adjustment for appraisal purposes. The lack of a dollar amount adjustment for any particular feature is not intended to imply that any feature of a comparable sale is identical to the subject property.

#### **Extraordinary Assumptions and Limiting Conditions**

USPAP defines an extraordinary assumption as "an assumption, directly related to a specific assignment, which, if found to be false, could alter the appraisers opinions or conclusions." (USPAP2004, Page 3) The following extraordinary assumption applies to this appraisal: No Extraordinary Assumptions.

#### ADDITIONAL GENERAL ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS

1. It is understood that this appraisal will be used by the client for Assessing Valuation Purposes. This appraisal report has been prepared for the exclusive use of Mortgage Center LLC. The appraisers responsibility is limited to that client. Possession of this report, or a copy there of, does not with it the right of publication or use. This report may not be used for any purpose by any person other than the party to whom it is addressed without the written consent of the appraiser, and in any event only with the proper written qualification and only in its entirety. Any party who uses or relies upon any information in this report, without the appraiser's written consent, does so at his own risk.

#### 2. The appraiser is not a home or environmental inspector and does not qualified to detect hazardous waste and/or

toxic materials. Any comment by the appraiser that might suggest the possibility of the presence of such substances should not be taken as confirmation of their presence. Such determination would require investigation by a qualified expert in the field of environmental assessment. The existence of potentially hazardous material used in the construction or maintenance of the building, such as urea formaldehyde foam insulation, asbestos insulation, and lead-based paint, may affect the value of the property. The appraiser's value estimate is predicated on the assumption that there is no such material on or in or near the property that would cause a loss in value unless otherwise stated in this report. No responsibility is assumed for any environmental conditions, or for any expertise or engineering knowledge required to discover such conditions. The appraiser's descriptions and resulting comments are the result of routine observations made during the appraisal process.

3. The appraiser has not conducted tests to determine the presence of, or absence of, radon. The appraiser is not qualified to detect the presence of radon gas, which requires special tests and therefore must suggest that if the client or any user of this report is concerned with the presence of radon or any other potentially hazardous substances, he or she should take steps to have proper testing done by a qualified professional who has the equipment and expertise to determine the presence of this substance in the property.

4. The appraiser looks at visible and accessible areas only. Mold or mildew was not observed during the appraiser's inspection. However, mold may be present in areas the appraiser cannot see. A professional home inspection or environmental inspection is recommended if the presence or absence of mold must be determined with certainty.

### 5. The physical condition of any improvements and mechanical systems described herein was based on visual inspection only. Electrical, heating, cooling, plumbing, sewer and/or septic system, mechanical equipment and water supply were not specifically tested, but were assumed to be in good working order, and adequate, unless otherwise specified. No

liability is assumed for the soundness of structural members of the building or decks, since no engineering test were made of same. The roof(s) of structures described herein are assumed to be in good repair unless otherwise noted.

### **APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION**

I certify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief:

- The statements of fact contained in this report are true and correct.

- The report analyses, opinions, and conclusions are limited only by the reported assumptions and limiting conditions, and are my personal, impartial, and unbiased professional analyses, opinions, and conclusions.

I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and I have no personal interest with respect to the parties involved.

- I have no bias with respect to the property that is the subject of this report or to the parties involved with this assignment.

- My engagement in this assignment was not contingent upon developing or reporting predetermined results

- My compensation for completing this assignment is not contingent upon the development or reporting of a predetermined value or direction in value that favors the cause of the client, the amount of the value opinion, the attainment of a stipulated result, or the occurrence of a subsequent event directly related to the intended use of this appraisal.

- My analyses, opinions, and conclusions were developed, and his report has been prepared, in conformity with the Uniform

S	Suppleme	ntal Ado	dendum	

Borrower	Rudalev MI I				
Property Address	15004 Veronica Ave				
City	Eastpointe	County Macomb	State MI	Zip Code 48021	
Lender/Client	Colony American Finance				

\_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_

Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP) and the Financial Institutions Reform Recovery and Enforcement Act of 1989 (FIRREA).

Appraisers are required to be licensed and are regulated by the Michigan Department of Licensing and Regulatory Affairs, P.O. Box 30018, Lansing, MI 48909

**Exposure Time**: The estimated length of time the property interest being appraised would have been offered on the market prior to the hypothetical consummation of a sale at market value on the effective date of the appraisal; a retrospective estimated based on an analysis of past events assuming a competitive and open market. Exposure time is always presumed to occur prior to the effective date of the appraisal. The overall concept of reasonable exposure encompasses not only adequate, sufficient and reasonable time but also adequate, sufficient and reasonable effort. (Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Standards No. 6, "Reasonable Exposure Time in Real Property and Personal Property Market Value Opinions"). Effective 01/01/2012 the appraiser must include the results of the exposure time analysis in the appraisal report.

Market value estimates imply that an adequate marketing effort and reasonable time for exposure occurred prior to the effective date of the appraisal.

After analyzing the market data in the subject marketing area during the time period that the subject would have been exposed to the market prior to the effective date of valuation, it is this appraiser's opinion that the reasonable exposure time for the subject property would have been 0-3 months.

Additional Certification: I have performed no services, as an appraiser or in any other capacity, regarding the property that is the subject of this report within the three-year period immediately preceding acceptance of this assignment.

The appraiser has NOT performed a previous appraisal assignment on the subject within 36 months of the effective date of inspection

The intended User of this appraisal report are the client and any intended users identified by the client at the time of engagement who have been specifically name along with the client and are the only intended users of this valuation report. Other parties who choose to rely on this report, including those that receive the report through established processes of disclosure or regulation, are not intended users of this report. While the appraiser recognizes that other parties may choose to rely on this report, see item 23 of attached certification, the appraiser does not intend user of the report by these parties, and to avoid misleading them, they are hereby notified that they are neither the client nor intended user(s) in the development of the assignment.

### • URAR : Sales Comparison Analysis - Summary of Sales Comparison Approach

The comparables utilized reflect the subject and its area, consequently, all the adjustments are justifiable in determining indicated values. All sales were considered in arriving at the concluded value. These comparables were selected because they are the closest geographic proximity to the subject and are similar in terms of physical size, characteristics, age, foundation and located. These comparables are the best comparables available within the subjects marketing area and within 12 months sale date of this appraisal. Adjustment for living space was given at \$31 a Sq. Ft. and was extracted form the market . There was a 28.0% increase in the median sales price for the past year in Clay Township, Per Realcomp MLS. Adjustment for sale date was not warranted. 28.0% is for all home sold in Clay Township. There is no credible data for each comparable with different size, foundation, ages etc. to give a creditable adjustment. Comp 1-4 were given equal weight with the final value given at mid range. Also due to limited comparables net and gross adjustments exceed the norm. All adjustments were derived using matched paired analysis. Where matched pairs were not available, appraiser used his experience in the area.

	Conditions Add						ANS-2213	85	
The purpose of this addendum is to provide the lender/c neighborhood. This is a required addendum for all appra			-		preva	ent in the sub	ject		
Property Address 15004 Veronica Ave			/ Eastpoin		S	ate MI	ZIP Code 48	021	
Borrower Rudalev MI I									
Instructions: The appraiser must use the information rea housing trends and overall market conditions as reported	•								
it is available and reliable and must provide analysis as i									
explanation. It is recognized that not all data sources wil	•								
in the analysis. If data sources provide the required infor	-					-	-		
average. Sales and listings must be properties that comp subject property. The appraiser must explain any anoma		-			seu by	a prospective	e buyer of the		
Inventory Analysis	Prior 7–12 Months		6 Months	Current – 3 Months			Overall Trend	_	
Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)	2		0	2		Increasing	Stable		Declining
Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Comparable Active Listings	0.33		.00 3	0.67		Declining	Stable Stable	┢	Declining Increasing
Months of Housing Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)	6.1		.0	3.0		Declining	Stable		Increasing
Median Sale & List Price, DOM, Sale/List %	Prior 7–12 Months		6 Months	Current – 3 Months		1	Overall Trend		Deelisiee
Median Comparable Sale Price Median Comparable Sales Days on Market	75,950 30		0 0	<u>54,000</u>		Declining	Stable Stable	_	Declining Increasing
Median Comparable List Price	63,450		900	56,450		] Increasing	Stable	_	Declining
Median Comparable Listings Days on Market	400		85	308	X	Declining	Stable		Increasing
Median Sale Price as % of List Price Seller-(developer, builder, etc.)paid financial assistance (	prevalent? Yes		00	90.15		Increasing	Stable Stable		Declining Increasing
Explain in detail the seller concessions trends for the pas			ncreased from	3% to 5%, increasing use	of buy	<u> </u>			morodoling
fees, options, etc.). Concessions are typic	cal,and do occur occ	asionally.	When cor	cessions do occur th	ney a	re typically	/ 3 to 6		
% of sales value.									
Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the market		-		ing the trends in listings and			,		
Foreclosure typically sell for less then man transactions to be lowered do to a supply			roperties a	it a discounted rate to	orces	s the sale of	or arms leng	gth	
Cite data sources for above information.	Comp MLS Eastpoin	ite assess	sor						
Cite data sources for above information. Real (	Comp MLS Eastpoin	te assess	sor						
				al report form. If you used a		litional inform	ation such as		
Cite data sources for above information. Real of Summarize the above information as support for your cor an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra	onclusions in the Neighborh	nood section (	of the apprais		•				
Summarize the above information as support for your co	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you	nood section ( ur conclusion	of the apprais	h an explanation and suppo	ort for	our conclusio	ons.		
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you	nood section ( ur conclusion	of the apprais	h an explanation and suppo	ort for	our conclusio	ons.	•	
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you	nood section ( ur conclusion	of the apprais	h an explanation and suppo	ort for	our conclusio	ons.	•	
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you	nood section ( ur conclusion	of the apprais	h an explanation and suppo	ort for	our conclusio	ons.	•	
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you	nood section ( ur conclusion	of the apprais	h an explanation and suppo	ort for	our conclusio	ons.	•	
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you	nood section ( ur conclusion	of the apprais	h an explanation and suppo	ort for	our conclusio	ons.		
Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The number above do not reflect the man	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you <b>arket area. Number</b>	nood section of ur conclusion	of the apprais	h an explanation and support the support t	e tru	our conclusio	ons.	•	
Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The number above do not reflect the ma If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you <b>arket area. Number</b> project , complete the follow	nood section ( ur conclusior <b>of sales</b>	of the apprais is, provide bo <b>are not er</b>	h an explanation and support the support t	e tru	our conclusio	ons. in this area		
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The number above do not reflect the ma If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you <b>arket area. Number</b>	nood section ( ur conclusior <b>of sales</b>	of the apprais	h an explanation and support the support t	e tru	our conclusio	ons.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Declining
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra <b>The number above do not reflect the ma</b> If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you <b>arket area. Number</b> project , complete the follow	nood section ( ur conclusior <b>of sales</b>	of the apprais is, provide bo <b>are not er</b>	h an explanation and support the support t	e tru	our conclusio	ons. in this area Overall Trend	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Declining
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra <b>The number above do not reflect the ma</b> If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you <b>arket area. Number</b> project , complete the follow	nood section ( ur conclusior <b>of sales</b>	of the apprais is, provide bo <b>are not er</b>	h an explanation and support the support t	e tru	our conclusion e market   Increasing   Increasing   Declining	Overall Trend Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable		Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra <b>The number above do not reflect the m</b> If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you <b>arket area. Number</b> project , complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	ving:	of the apprais is, provide bo are not er 6 Months	h an explanation and support to support the cough to support the Project Current – 3 Months	Name:	our conclusion market Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Overall Trend Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable		Declining
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra <b>The number above do not reflect the ma</b> If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you <b>arket area. Number</b> project , complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	ving:	of the apprais is, provide bo are not er 6 Months	h an explanation and support the support t	Name:	our conclusion market Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Overall Trend Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable		Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra <b>The number above do not reflect the ma</b> If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you <b>arket area. Number</b> project , complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	ving:	of the apprais is, provide bo are not er 6 Months	h an explanation and support to support the cough to support the Project Current – 3 Months	Name:	our conclusion market Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Overall Trend Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable		Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra <b>The number above do not reflect the ma</b> If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you <b>arket area. Number</b> project , complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	ving:	of the apprais is, provide bo are not er 6 Months	h an explanation and support to support the cough to support the Project Current – 3 Months	Name:	our conclusion market Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Overall Trend Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable		Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra <b>The number above do not reflect the m</b> If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you <b>arket area. Number</b> project , complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	ving:	of the apprais is, provide bo are not er 6 Months	h an explanation and support to support the cough to support the Project Current – 3 Months	Name:	our conclusion market Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Overall Trend Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable		Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra <b>The number above do not reflect the ma</b> If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you <b>arket area. Number</b> project , complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	ving:	of the apprais is, provide bo are not er 6 Months	h an explanation and support to support the cough to support the Project Current – 3 Months	Name:	our conclusion market Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Overall Trend Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable		Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra <b>The number above do not reflect the ma</b> <b>If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative</b> Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you arket area. Number project , complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months ??Yes No	ving: Prior 4-4	of the apprais is, provide bo are not er 6 Months	h an explanation and support to support the cough to support the Project Current – 3 Months	Name:	our conclusion market Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Overall Trend Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable		Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra <b>The number above do not reflect the m</b> If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you arket area. Number project , complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months ??Yes No	ving: Prior 4-4	of the apprais is, provide bo are not er 6 Months	h an explanation and support to support the cough to support the Project Current – 3 Months	Name:	our conclusion market Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Overall Trend Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable		Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra <b>The number above do not reflect the ma</b> <b>If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative</b> Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you arket area. Number project , complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months ??Yes No	ving: Prior 4-4	of the apprais is, provide bo are not er 6 Months	h an explanation and support to support the cough to support the Project Current – 3 Months	Name:	our conclusion market Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Overall Trend Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable		Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra <b>The number above do not reflect the ma</b> <b>If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative</b> Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you arket area. Number project , complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months ??Yes No	ving: Prior 4-4	of the apprais is, provide bo are not er 6 Months	h an explanation and support to support the cough to support the Project Current – 3 Months	Name:	our conclusion market Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Overall Trend Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable		Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra <b>The number above do not reflect the ma</b> <b>If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative</b> Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you arket area. Number project , complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months ??Yes No	ving: Prior 4-4	of the apprais is, provide bo are not er 6 Months	h an explanation and support to support the cough to support the Project Current – 3 Months	Name:	our conclusion market Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Overall Trend Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable		Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra <b>The number above do not reflect the ma</b> <b>If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative</b> Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you arket area. Number project , complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months ??Yes No	ving: Prior 4-4	of the apprais is, provide bo are not er 6 Months	h an explanation and support to support the cough to support the Project Current – 3 Months	Name:	our conclusion market Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Overall Trend Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable		Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra <b>The number above do not reflect the m</b> a <b>If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative</b> Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you arket area. Number project , complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months ??Yes No	ving: Prior 4-4 Prior 4-4 Prio	of the apprais is, provide bo are not er 6 Months 6 Months indicate the nu	h an explanation and support to support the cough to support the Project Current – 3 Months	Name:	our conclusion market Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Overall Trend Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable		Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra <b>The number above do not reflect the ma</b> <b>If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative</b> Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you arket area. Number project , complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months ??Yes No	ving: Prior 4-4 Prior 4-4 Prio	of the apprais ns, provide bo are not er 6 Months 6 Months indicate the nu	h an explanation and support to support the cough to support the Project Current – 3 Months	Name:	our conclusion market Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Overall Trend Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable		Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra <b>The number above do not reflect the m</b> <b>If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative</b> Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you arket area. Number	ving: Prior 4-4 Prior 4-4 o If yes, i	of the apprais is, provide bo' are not er are not er 6 Months 6 Months indicate the nu indicate the nu Signature Supervisory / Company Na	h an explanation and support rough to support the Project Current – 3 Months Imber of REO listings and e Appraiser Name me	Name:	our conclusion market Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Overall Trend Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable		Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra <b>The number above do not reflect the m</b> <b>If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative</b> Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you arket area. Number	ving: Prior 4-4 Prior 4-4 o If yes, i	of the apprais is, provide bo' are not er are not er 6 Months 6 Months indicate the nu indicate the nu Signature Supervisory / Company Na Company Ad	h an explanation and support rough to support the Project Current – 3 Months mber of REO listings and e means of the support of the supp	Name:	our conclusion market Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Overall Trend Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable		Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra <b>The number above do not reflect the m</b> <b>If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative</b> Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you arket area. Number project , complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months Prior 7–12 Months ??Yes No	ving: Prior 4-4 Prior 4-4 O If yes, i	of the apprais is, provide bo' are not er are not er 6 Months 6 Months indicate the nu indicate the nu Signature Supervisory / Company Na Company Ad	h an explanation and support rough to support the Project Current – 3 Months Imber of REO listings and e me Appraiser Name me dress //Certification #	Name:	our conclusion market Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Overall Trend Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable		Declining Increasing

Borrower	Rudalev MI I
Property Address	15004 Veronica Ave
City	Eastpointe
Lender/Client	Colony American Finance

County Macomb



### **Subject Front**

15004 Veronica Ave						
Sales Price						
Gross Living Area	1,228					
Total Rooms	6					
Total Bedrooms	3					
Total Bathrooms	1.1					
Location	N;Res;					
View	N;Res;					
Site	6000 sf					
Quality	Q3					
Age	75					

Subject Rear





Subject Street

Borrower	Rudalev MI I
Property Address	15004 Veronica Ave
City	Eastpointe
Lender/Client	Colony American Finance

County Macomb



### Subject Living

15004 Veronica Ave						
Sales Price						
Gross Living Area	1,228					
Total Rooms	6					
Total Bedrooms	3					
Total Bathrooms	1.1					
Location	N;Res;					
View	N;Res;					
Site	6000 sf					
Quality	Q3					
Age	75					

# Subject Kitchen





**Subject Dining** 

Borrower	Rudalev MI I			
Property Address	15004 Veronica Ave			
City	Eastpointe	County Macomb	State MI	Zip Code 48021
Lender/Client	Colony American Finance			



### Subject 1/2 Bath

15004 Veronica Ave						
Sales Price						
Gross Living Area	1,228					
Total Rooms	6					
Total Bedrooms	3					
Total Bathrooms	1.1					
Location	N;Res;					
View	N;Res;					
Site	6000 sf					
Quality	Q3					
Age	75					

Subject Bath





Subject Bed

Borrower	Rudalev MI I			
Property Address	15004 Veronica Ave			
City	Eastpointe	County Macomb	State MI	Zip Code 48021
Lender/Client	Colony American Finance			



### Subject Bed

15004 Veronica Ave Sales Price						
Gross Living Area	1,228					
Total Rooms	6					
Total Bedrooms	3					
Total Bathrooms	1.1					
Location	N;Res;					
View	N;Res;					
Site	6000 sf					
Quality	Q3					
Age	75					

Subject Bed





Subject Basement Toilet

Borrower	Rudalev MI I			
Property Address	15004 Veronica Ave			
City	Eastpointe	County Macomb	State MI	Zip Code 48021
Lender/Client	Colony American Finance			



### Subject Basement

15004 Veronica Ave						
Sales Price						
Gross Living Area	1,228					
Total Rooms	6					
Total Bedrooms	3					
Total Bathrooms	1.1					
Location	N;Res;					
View	N;Res;					
Site	6000 sf					
Quality	Q3					
Age	75					





Subject Lh Side

Subject Furnace W/Tank

Borrower	Rudalev MI I							
Property Address	15004 Veronica Ave							
City	Eastpointe	County	Macomb	State	MI	Zip Code	48021	
Lender/Client	Colony American Finance							



### Subject Rh Side

15004 Veronica Ave					
Sales Price					
Gross Living Area	1,228				
Total Rooms	6				
Total Bedrooms	3				
Total Bathrooms	1.1				
Location	N;Res;				
View	N;Res;				
Site	6000 sf				
Quality	Q3				
Age	75				



# Subject Garage

# **Comparable Photo Page**

Borrower	Rudalev MI I							
Property Address	15004 Veronica Ave							
City	Eastpointe	County	Macomb	State	MI	Zip Code	48021	
Lender/Client	Colony American Finance							



### Comparable 1

21218 Beechwood Ave					
Prox. to Subject	0.22 MILES W				
Sales Price	54,000				
Gross Living Area	1,094				
Total Rooms	6				
Total Bedrooms	3				
Total Bathrooms	1.1				
Location	N;Res;				
View	N;Res;				
Site	4800 sf				
Quality	Q3				
Age	76				



# Comparable 2

21229 Beechwo	od Ave
Prox. to Subject	0.26 MILES W
Sales Price	54,000
Gross Living Area	1,160
Total Rooms	6
Total Bedrooms	3
Total Bathrooms	1.1
Location	N;Res;
View	N;Res;
Site	4800 sf
Quality	Q3
Age	76



### **Comparable 3**

21120 Beechwoo	d Ave
Prox. to Subject	0.24 MILES SW
Sales Price	69,900
Gross Living Area	1,326
Total Rooms	6
Total Bedrooms	3
Total Bathrooms	1.0
Location	N;Res;
View	N;Res;
Site	4800 sf
Quality	Q3
Age	76

### **Comparable Photo Page**

Borrower	Rudalev MI I			
Property Address	15004 Veronica Ave			
City	Eastpointe	County Macomb	State MI	Zip Code 48021
Lender/Client	Colony American Finance			



# Comparable 4

22104 Hayes Av	е
Prox. to Subject	0.42 MILES N
Sale Price	82,000
Gross Living Area	1,389
Total Rooms	6
Total Bedrooms	3
Total Bathrooms	1.0
Location	N;Res;
View	N;Res;
Site	5750 sf
Quality	Q3
Age	70





### Listing 1 Comp 5

e
0.52 MILES N
45,900
1,195
6
3
1.0
N;Res;
N;Res;
5750 sf
Q3
78

### Listing 2 Comp 6

21111 Beechwoo	od Ave
Prox. to Subject	0.28 MILES SW
Sale Price	67,000
Gross Living Area	1,114
Total Rooms	6
Total Bedrooms	3
Total Bathrooms	1.0
Location	N;Res;
View	N;Res;
Site	4800 sf
Quality	Q3
Age	76

### UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM

(Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

#### **Condition Ratings and Definitions**

#### C1

The improvements have been very recently constructed and have not previously been occupied. The entire structure and all components are new and the dwelling features no physical depreciation.\*

\*Note: Newly constructed improvements that feature recycled materials and/or components can be considered new dwellings provided that the dwelling is placed on a 100% new foundation and the recycled materials and the recycled components have been rehabilitated/re-manufactured into like-new condition. Recently constructed improvements that have not been previously occupied are not considered "new" if they have any significant physical depreciation (i.e., newly constructed dwellings that have been vacant for an extended period of time without adequate maintenance or upkeep).

#### C2

The improvements feature no deferred maintenance, little or no physical depreciation, and require no repairs. Virtually all building components are new or have been recently repaired, refinished, or rehabilitated. All outdated components and finishes have been updated and/or replaced with components that meet current standards. Dwellings in this category either are almost new or have been recently completely renovated and are similar in condition to new construction.

#### C3

The improvements are well maintained and feature limited physical depreciation due to normal wear and tear. Some components, but not every major building component, may be updated or recently rehabilitated. The structure has been well maintained.

#### C4

The improvements feature some minor deferred maintenance and physical deterioration due to normal wear and tear. The dwelling has been adequately maintained and requires only minimal repairs to building components/mechanical systems and cosmetic repairs. All major building components have been adequately maintained and are functionally adequate.

#### C5

The improvements feature obvious deferred maintenance and are in need of some significant repairs. Some building components need repairs, rehabilitation, or updating. The functional utility and overall livability is somewhat diminished due to condition, but the dwelling remains useable and functional as a residence.

#### C6

The improvements have substantial damage or deferred maintenance with deficiencies or defects that are severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements. The improvements are in need of substantial repairs and rehabilitation, including many or most major components.

#### **Quality Ratings and Definitions**

#### Q1

Dwellings with this quality rating are usually unique structures that are individually designed by an architect for a specified user. Such residences typically are constructed from detailed architectural plans and specifications and feature an exceptionally high level of workmanship and exceptionally high-grade materials throughout the interior and exterior of the structure. The design features exceptionally high-quality exterior refinements and ornamentation, and exceptionally high-quality interior refinements. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are of exceptionally high quality.

#### Q2

Dwellings with this quality rating are often custom designed for construction on an individual property owner's site. However, dwellings in this quality grade are also found in high-quality tract developments featuring residence constructed from individual plans or from highly modified or upgraded plans. The design features detailed, high quality exterior ornamentation, high-quality interior refinements, and detail. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are generally of high or very high quality.

#### Q3

Dwellings with this quality rating are residences of higher quality built from individual or readily available designer plans in above-standard residential tract developments or on an individual property owner's site. The design includes significant exterior ornamentation and interiors that are well finished. The workmanship exceeds acceptable standards and many materials and finishes throughout the dwelling have been upgraded from "stock" standards.

### Q4

Dwellings with this quality rating meet or exceed the requirements of applicable building codes. Standard or modified standard building plans are utilized and the design includes adequate fenestration and some exterior ornamentation and interior refinements. Materials, workmanship, finish, and equipment are of stock or builder grade and may feature some upgrades.

### UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM

(Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

### Quality Ratings and Definitions (continued)

#### Q5

Dwellings with this quality rating feature economy of construction and basic functionality as main considerations. Such dwellings feature a plain design using readily available or basic floor plans featuring minimal fenestration and basic finishes with minimal exterior ornamentation and limited interior detail. These dwellings meet minimum building codes and are constructed with inexpensive, stock materials with limited refinements and upgrades.

#### Q6

Dwellings with this quality rating are of basic quality and lower cost; some may not be suitable for year-round occupancy. Such dwellings are often built with simple plans or without plans, often utilizing the lowest quality building materials. Such dwellings are often built or expanded by persons who are professionally unskilled or possess only minimal construction skills. Electrical, plumbing, and other mechanical systems and equipment may be minimal or non-existent. Older dwellings may feature one or more substandard or non-conforming additions to the original structure

#### Definitions of Not Updated, Updated, and Remodeled

#### Not Updated

Little or no updating or modernization. This description includes, but is not limited to, new homes. Residential properties of fifteen years of age or less often reflect an original condition with no updating, if no major components have been replaced or updated. Those over fifteen years of age are also considered not updated if the appliances, fixtures, and finishes are predominantly dated. An area that is 'Not Updated' may still be well maintained and fully functional, and this rating does not necessarily imply deferred maintenance or physical/functional deterioration.

#### Updated

The area of the home has been modified to meet current market expectations. These modifications are limited in terms of both scope and cost.

An updated area of the home should have an improved look and feel, or functional utility. Changes that constitute updates include refurbishment and/or replacing components to meet existing market expectations. Updates do not include significant alterations to the existing structure.

#### Remodeled

Significant finish and/or structural changes have been made that increase utility and appeal through complete replacement and/or expansion.

A remodeled area reflects fundamental changes that include multiple alterations. These alterations may include some or all of the following: replacement of a major component (cabinet(s), bathtub, or bathroom tile), relocation of plumbing/gas fixtures/appliances, significant structural alterations (relocating walls, and/or the addition of) square footage). This would include a complete gutting and rebuild.

#### Explanation of Bathroom Count

Three-quarter baths are counted as a full bath in all cases. Quarter baths (baths that feature only a toilet) are not included in the bathroom count. The number of full and half baths is reported by separating the two values using a period, where the full bath count is represented to the left of the period and the half bath count is represented to the right of the period.

#### Example:

3.2 indicates three full baths and two half baths.

# UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM (Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

### Abbreviations Used in Data Standardization Text

Abbreviation	Full Name	Fields Where This Abbreviation May Appear
ac	Acres	Area, Site
AdjPrk	Adjacent to Park	Location
AdjPwr	Adjacent to Power Lines	Location
A	Adverse	Location & View
ArmLth	Arms Length Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
ba	Bathroom(s)	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
br	Bedroom	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
B	Beneficial	Location & View
Cash	Cash	Sale or Financing Concessions
CtySky	City View Skyline View	View
CtyStr	City Street View	View
Comm	Commercial Influence	Location
C	Contracted Date	Date of Sale/Time
Conv	Conventional	Sale or Financing Concessions
CrtOrd	Court Ordered Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
DOM	Days On Market	Data Sources
e	Expiration Date	Date of Sale/Time
Estate	Estate Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
FHA	Federal Housing Authority	Sale or Financing Concessions
GlfCse	Golf Course	
Glfvw	Golf Course View	View
Ind	Industrial	Location & View
in	Interior Only Stairs	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
Lndfl		Location
LtdSght		View
-	Limited Sight	
Listing	Listing Mountain View	Sale or Financing Concessions View
Mtn N	Mountain View	Location & View
	Neutral	
NonArm	Non-Arms Length Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
BsyRd	Busy Road Other	Location Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
0		
Prk	Park View	View
Pstrl	Pastoral View	View
PwrLn	Power Lines	View
PubTrn	Public Transportation	Location
rr	Recreational (Rec) Room	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
Relo	Relocation Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
REO	REO Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
Res	Residential	Location & View
RH	USDA - Rural Housing	Sale or Financing Concessions
S	Settlement Date	Date of Sale/Time
Short	Short Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
sf	Square Feet	Area, Site, Basement
sqm	Square Meters	Area, Site
Unk	Unknown	Date of Sale/Time
VA	Veterans Administration	Sale or Financing Concessions
W	Withdrawn Date	Date of Sale/Time
WO	Walk Out Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
wu	Walk Up Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
WtrFr	Water Frontage	Location
Wtr	Water View	View
Woods	Woods View	View


Other Appraiser-Defined Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Full Name	Fields Where This Abbreviation May Appear

UAD Version 9/2011

### **Building Sketch**

Borrower	Rudalev MI I							
Property Address	15004 Veronica Ave							
City	Eastpointe	County	Macomb	State	MI	Zip Code	48021	
Lender/Client	Colony American Finance							




### **Comparable Sales Map**

Borrower	Rudalev MI I							
Property Address	15004 Veronica Ave							
City	Eastpointe	County	Macomb	Stat	e MI	Zip Code	48021	
Lender/Client	Colony American Finance							



### **Community Map**

Borrower	Rudalev MI I							
Property Address	15004 Veronica Ave							
City	Eastpointe	County N	Macomb	State	MI	Zip Code	48021	
Lender/Client	Colony American Finance							



Plat Map

#### Macomb County GIS 15004 VERONICA AVE Fri Jun 9 2017 01:15:37 PM. 50 14. 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 67 13-36-431-008 13-36-431-009 50 13-36-431-010 50 99 50 14-31-305-001 50 120 STPOINTE 78. 14-31-305-003 120 14-31-305-004 14.31.305.005 41.21 61 120 41.21 41.21 02'Da 112.87 41.21 150.50 #31.021 47.60 41.21 41.21 50 ft 2 Copyright 2013 MCPED. All rights res

### **12 Month CMA**


### Realcomp Online

								Cr	teria	Мар	Results
Previous - Next - 1-4 of 4	1	Checked 0 All None Pe	ge Full	Large w/ I	Map & PRD	d Dis	play Thum	bnail	🔲 at	10 📋	per page
	21218 B MLS#: County: School D: Prop Type: Style: Office: Office Ph:	EECHWOOD Avenue, Ea 216053320 Macomb East Detroit Residential Bungalow Keller Williams Realty Cen (586) 979-4200	Status: Area: Beds: Baths: Sum Tx:	48021 SOLD 03161 3 1.0 \$1,629	Stat Dt: Sqft Abv:	1941 No	DOM: Acreage: Grg Size: Bsmt: Agent:		CZAK	ē.	
	MLS#: County: School D:	EECHWOOD Avenue, Ea 217005895 Macomb East Detroit Residential Bungalow <u>American Real Estate Serv</u> (586) 226-8783	Status: Area: Beds: Baths: Sum Tx:	48021 SOLD 03161 3 1.1 \$1,218	Stat Dt: Sqft Abv:	1941 No	DOM: Acreage: Grg Size: Bsmt: Agent:	\$54,000 <u>\$59,900</u> N/36/36 0.11 1 Car Yes <u>MICHAEL</u> (586) 405		Lup.	
	21120 B MLS#: County: School D: Prop Type: Style: Office: Office Ph:	EECHWOOD, EASTPOIN 56031293877 Macomb East Detroit Residential Bungalow <u>RE/ MAX First</u> 5867928000	ITE 4802 Status: Area: Beds: Baths: Sum Tx:	SOLD 03161 3 1.0	Stat Dt: Sqft Abv: Yr Built: Fireplace: Wntr Tx:	1948	S Price: L Price: DOM: Acreage: Grg Size: Bsmt: Agent: Agent Ph:	Yes Kevin Pla		7	
	MLS# : County: School D:	AYES, EASTPOINTE 480 58031295684 Macomb East Detroit Residential Bungalow <u>Reaity Executives America</u> 5867727400	Status: Area: Beds: Baths: Sum Tx:	SOLD 03161 3 1.0 \$72	Stat Dt: Sqft Abv: Yr Built: Fireplace: Wntr Tx:	1947	DOM: Acreage: Grg Size: Bsmt: Agent:	\$82,000 <u>\$79,900</u> N/ 50/ 0.3 1 1/ 2 Car Yes <u>DOMINIC</u> (586) 772	A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL	DMANO [	H
Check Page											

Status is 'Sold' Status Contractual Search Date is 06/09/2017 to 06/09/2016 Est Fin Abv Grd SqFt is 1100 to 1400 Latitude, Longitude is around 42.46, -82.97 Listing Type is one of 'Exclusive Right to Sell', 'Exclusive Agency', 'Unknown (Data Share Listing)' Level of Service is one of 'Full Service', 'Limited Service', 'MLS Entry Only', 'Unknown/Data Share Listings' Current Price is 3000-Transaction Type is 'Sale' Beds Total is 3+ Year Built is 1932 to 1952 Short Sale is 'No' County is 'MCC - Macomb County' MLS Area Major is '03161 - Eastpointe' School District is 'East Detroit' Architecture Level is '1 1/2 Story' Architecture Style is in this list (click to view) Foundation is 'Basement' Ownership is 'Private - Owned' Ordered by Status, Current Price, City Found 4 results in 0.36 seconds.

http://matrix.realcomponline.com/Matrix/Results.aspx?c=AAEAAAD\*\*\*\*\*AQAAAAAA... 6/9/2017

License



### LEXINGTON INSURANCE COMPANY

WILMINGTON, DELAWARE Administrative Offices – 99 High Street, Floor 23, Boston, Massachusetts 02110-23110

Certificate Number:		011964019-04				
This Certificate forms a pa Renewal of Master Policy I	umber: 018389876-04 018389876-03					
YOUR RIS			OUP MASTER PO CHED MASTER P			E POLICY.
THE	AMERI	CAN ACAD	EMY OF STATE	CERTIFIED AF	PRAISE	ERS
		CERT	IFICATE DECLA	RATIONS		
1. Name and Address of C	ertificate	e Holder:	Accredited Appra	aisers, LLC		
		37459 Lakeshore Drive Harrison Township		МІ	48045	
2 Certificate Period:	Effective Date:		04/06/17 to Expiration D 12:01 a.m. Local Time at the Address of the			04/06/18
2a. Retroactive Date:	04/06/12 12:01 a.m. Local Time at the Address of the Insured.					
3. Limit of Liability:	\$ \$	1.55	each claim aggregate limit			
4. Deductible:		\$1,000	) each claim			
5. Professional Covered S	ervices i	nsured by th	nis policy are: <u>RE</u>	AL ESTATE APP	RAISAL	SERVICES
6. Advance Certificate Holder Premium: \$ 721						
7. Minimum Earned Premium: 25% or			\$	180		
Forms and Endorsements: PRG 3512 (12/15) Real Esta Declarations, PRG 3935 (2/1 Endorsement, 91222 (09/16) Appraisers Professional Liab	ate Apprai 16) Premi ) Policyhc	ses Liability ( older Notice, *	Coverage Amendate 118477 (03/15) Poli	ory Endorsement	, 89644 (	6/13) Economic Sanctions
Additional Endorsements a None	applicabl	e to this Cer	rtificate only:			
Agency Name and Address: INTERCORP, INC. 1438-F West Main Street Ephrata, PA 17522-1345						
IT IS HEREBY UNDERSTOOD SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHE			HE CERTIFICATE H	OLDER AGREES	TO ALL TI	ERMS AND CONDITIONS AS
THIS POLICY IS ISSUED BY Y INSURANCE LAWS AND REG AVAILABLE FOR YOUR RISK	ULATION	S OF YOUR S	TATE. STATE INSU			
	8	C	20en Barry I	\$	Count	y: Macomb
Authorized Representative OR Countersignature (in states where applicable) PRG 3152 (10/05)					Date	e: March 9, 2017