ANS-221462 File # 0517-154

	то то рест	ide the lender/ene	one with an ao	curate, and adequate	ely Supported, opi	ווווטוו טו נוופ	market value	of the subject	property.
Property Address 1560 Leblanc St				City Lincoln Parl	k	St	tate MI	Zip Code 48140	6
Borrower Rudalev MI II		Owner o	f Public Record	Rudalev 2 Llc		C	ounty Wayne		
Legal Description NG889B 890A E 20 ft of L	ot 889 and the	e w 20 ft of Lot 89	0 also s 1/2 Ad	Vac Alley Lincolnsh	nire No 2 Sub Pc 1	121 169 L47 P	32 Wcr		
Assessor's Parcel # 45 017 06 0889 002				Tax Year 2016		R	.E. Taxes \$ 1	,885	
Neighborhood Name Lincolnshire sub No 2	- Lincoln Park	k		Map Reference	19804	C	ensus Tract 5	779.00	
Occupant 🗌 Owner 🔀 Tenant 🗌 Vac	ant	Special /	Assessments \$	0	☐ PU	ID HOA\$	0	per year 🗌	per month
Property Rights Appraised 🔀 Fee Simple	Leaseho	ld Other (d	describe)						
Assignment Type Purchase Transaction	Refina	ance Transaction	Other (de	escribe) Ascertain	market value				
Lender/Client Colony American Finance		Addre	ess 4 Park PI	aza, Suite 1950, Irvir	ne, CA 92614				
Is the subject property currently offered for sale of	or has it been o	offered for sale in th				sal?		Yes 🔀 No	
Report data source(s) used, offering price(s), and				lic Records Data. Th			d and has not	t been listed in t	he past
year.	, ,		<u> </u>			•			
I did did not analyze the contract for	sale for the sul	bject purchase tran	saction. Explain	the results of the anal	ysis of the contract	for sale or wh	y the analysis	was not	
performed.		,,,,,,			,		, ,		
5									
Contract Price \$ Date of Con	tract	Is the r	property seller th	e owner of public reco	ord? Yes	□ No Dat	a Source(s)		
Is there any financial assistance (loan charges, s			<u> </u>					Yes	No
If Yes, report the total dollar amount and describe				, o.o., to 20 paid 2) aii	., party on zonan o		•		
in ree, report the total deliar amount and december	o the items to b	o para.							
Note: Race and the racial composition of the	neighborhoo	d are not annrais:	al factors						
Neighborhood Characteristics	cigiibulilo0	a are not appraise		Housing Trands		One Heli	t Housing	Present Lan	d Iloo 9/
	Durel	Droport Melica		Housing Trends	Dealinia -				
Location Urban Suburban	Rural	Property Values	Increasing	Stable Stable	Declining	PRICE	AGE	One-Unit	80 %
Built-Up		Demand/Supply	Shortage	In Balance	Over Supply	\$ (000)	(yrs)	2-4 Unit	%
Growth Rapid Stable	Slow	Marketing Time	Under 3 mt	hs 3-6 mths	Over 6 mths		OW 20	Multi-Family	%
Neighborhood Boundaries The subject's n	eighborhood	is located north o	of Goddard Rd,	south of Outer Dr, ea	ast of Amo Rd,	195 Hi	igh 94	Commercial	10 %
and west of Ecorse.						75 Pr	ed. 67	Other	10 %
Neighborhood Description The subject is I	ocated in a si	ngle family area o	containing prop	erties of various age	e and utility. The e	conomic bas	e of the comn	nunity and empl	oyment
levels have been stable. The area offers good	d access to so	hools, shopping,	and employme	ent. Appraiser did no	ot observe any ne	gative factors	s that would a	dversely affect i	market
appeal. The "other" land use is for parks, sc						•		•	
Market Conditions (including support for the above				s are based on Real	compMLS study o	of the entire n	eighborhood	for trends in the	nast 1
year of this appraisal. The trends are of the									
market. See 1004mc for the subject's market			ine subject s in	arket. The trends of	the heighborhood	a may be and	erent nom the	ose of the subject	,,,,
Dimensions 40x119	i ilelius aliu u		4760 sf	Sha	pe Rectangular		View N ;	Page .	
Specific Zoning Classification R-1							VIOW N;	nes;	
	aanfarmina (C			Single Family Reside					
		randfathered Use)							
Is the highest and best use of subject property as	s improved (or	as proposed per p	lanc and enacitic						
			ialis aliu specilio	ations) the present us	e? <u>×</u>	Yes 🗌 N	No If No, des	cribe	
			•	· ·			,		
Utilities Public Other (describe)			blic Other (de	· ·	Off-site Impro	ovements – Ty	,	Public	Private
Electricity 🖂 🗌		Vater [blic Other (de	· ·	Off-site Impro	ovements – Ty	,		Private
Electricity	S	Vater Sanitary Sewer	blic Other (de	escribe)	Off-site Impro	ovements – Ty	ре	Public	Private
Electricity	No FE	Vater Sanitary Sewer MA Flood Zone	blic Other (de	escribe) FEMA Map # 261	Off-site Impro	ovements – Ty	,	Public	
Electricity Gas Gas Yes FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical	No FE	Vater Sanitary Sewer MA Flood Zone area?	blic Other (de	FEMA Map # 261	Off-site Impro Street Asph Alley None 63C0406E	ovements – Ty	rpe FEMA Map	Public	
Electricity	No FE	Vater Sanitary Sewer MA Flood Zone area?	blic Other (de	FEMA Map # 261	Off-site Impro Street Asph Alley None 63C0406E	ovements – Ty	rpe FEMA Map	Public	
Electricity Gas Gas Yes FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical	No FE	Vater Sanitary Sewer MA Flood Zone area?	blic Other (de	FEMA Map # 261	Off-site Impro Street Asph Alley None 63C0406E	ovements – Ty nalt	rpe FEMA Map	Public	
Electricity Gas Gas Yes FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical	No FE	Vater Sanitary Sewer MA Flood Zone area?	blic Other (de	FEMA Map # 261	Off-site Impro Street Asph Alley None 63C0406E	ovements – Ty nalt	rpe FEMA Map	Public	
Electricity Gas Gas Yes FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical	No FE	Vater Sanitary Sewer MA Flood Zone area?	blic Other (de	FEMA Map # 261	Off-site Impro Street Asph Alley None 63C0406E	ovements – Ty nalt	rpe FEMA Map	Public	
Electricity Gas Gas Yes FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical	No FE	Vater Sanitary Sewer MA Flood Zone area?	blic Other (de	FEMA Map # 261	Off-site Impro Street Asph Alley None 63C0406E es, etc.)?	ovements - Ty	rpe FEMA Map	Public Date 02/02/201 If Yes, describe	
Electricity Gas Gas Gas Gas Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Gas Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical Are there any adverse site conditions or external	No FE	Vater Sanitary Sewer MA Flood Zone Area? Sents, encroachment Foundation	blic Other (de	FEMA Map # 261 lo If No, describe al conditions, land use	Off-site Impro	ovements - Ty nalt Ye	FEMA Map	Public Date 02/02/201 If Yes, describe	2
Electricity Gas Gas Yes FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical Are there any adverse site conditions or external General Description Units One One with Accessory Unit	S No FEI for the market factors (easem	Vater Ganitary Sewer MA Flood Zone area? Jents, encroachmen Foundation Slab Crav	blic Other (de	FEMA Map # 261 Io If No, describe al conditions, land use	Off-site Impro Street Asph Alley None 63C0406E es, etc.)? n material Concrete/Avg	ovements - Ty nalt Ye	FEMA Map S No Interior Floors	Public Date 02/02/201 If Yes, describe materials Cpt/Hwd/Avg	2 /condition
FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical Are there any adverse site conditions or external General Description Units One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 2	S No FEI for the market factors (easem Concrete Full Baser	Vater Sanitary Sewer MA Flood Zone area? Pents, encroachment Foundation Slab Crav ment Part	blic Other (de	FEMA Map # 261 lo If No, describe al conditions, land use Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls	Off-site Impro	ovements - Ty nalt Ye	FEMA Map S No Interior Floors Walls	Public Date 02/02/201 If Yes, describe materials Cpt/Hwd/Avg DW/Painted/Av	2 /condition
Electricity Gas	S No FEI for the market factors (easem Concrete Full Baser Basement Are	Vater Sanitary Sewer MA Flood Zone Area? Sewers, encroachment Slab Crawment Part a	blic Other (de	FEMA Map # 261 lo If No, describe al conditions, land use Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface	Off-site Impro	ovements - Ty nalt Ye	FEMA Map S No Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish	Public Date 02/02/201 If Yes, describe materials Cpt/Hwd/Avg DW/Painted/Av Wd/Painted/Av	2 /condition
Electricity Gas	S No FEI for the market factors (easem Concrete Full Baser Basement Are Basement Fini	Vater Sanitary Sewer MA Flood Zone Area? Sewers, encroachment Slab Crawment Part a sh	blic Other (de	FEMA Map # 261 lo If No, describe al conditions, land use Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspout	Off-site Impro	ovements - Ty nait Ye Is/condition	FEMA Map Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor	Public Date 02/02/201 If Yes, describe materials Cpt/Hwd/Avg DW/Painted/Av Wd/Painted/Av Ceramic/Avg	2 /condition
Electricity	S No FE for the market factors (easem Concrete Full Baser Basement Are Basement Fini Outside E	Vater Sanitary Sewer MA Flood Zone Area? Sewer Sewer Sewer MA Flood Zone Area? Sewer	blic Other (de	FEMA Map # 261 lo If No, describe al conditions, land use Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspout Window Type	Off-site Impro	ovements - Tynalt Ye Is/condition	FEMA Map Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainscol	Public Date 02/02/201 If Yes, describe materials Cpt/Hwd/Avg DW/Painted/Av Wd/Painted/Av Ceramic/Avg t Ceramic/Avg	2 /condition
Electricity Gas	S No FE for the market factors (easem Concrete Full Baser Basement Are Basement Fini Outside E Evidence of	Vater Sanitary Sewer MA Flood Zone Yarea? Seents, encroachment Foundation Slab Crawment Part a sish ntry/Exit Stanitary Station	blic Other (de	FEMA Map # 261 lo If No, describe al conditions, land use Exterior Descriptio Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspout Window Type Storm Sash/Insulated	Off-site Impro Street Asph Alley None 63C0406E es, etc.)? n material Concrete/Avg Aluminum/Avg Shingle/Avg Shingle/Avg Vinyl/Avg Vinyl/Avg	ovements - Tynalt Ye Is/condition	FEMA Map Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainscot Car Storage	Public Date 02/02/201 If Yes, describe materials Cpt/Hwd/Avg DW/Painted/Av Wd/Painted/Av Ceramic/Avg t Ceramic/Avg None	/condition
Electricity	S No FE for the market factors (easem Concrete Full Baser Basement Are Basement Fini Outside E Evidence of Dampnes	Vater Sanitary Sewer MA Flood Zone Yarea? Seents, encroachment Poundation Slab Crawment Part a sish ntry/Exit Still Infestation S Settlemer	blic Other (de	FEMA Map # 261 lo If No, describe al conditions, land use Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspout Window Type Storm Sash/Insulated Screens	Off-site Impro Street Asph Alley None 63C0406E es, etc.)? n material Concrete/Avg Aluminum/Avg Shingle/Avg Is Aluminium/Av Vinyl/Avg Alum/Avg Alum/Avg	ovements - Tynalt Ye Is/condition g	FEMA Map Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainscot Car Storage Driveway	Public Date 02/02/201 If Yes, describe materials Cpt/Hwd/Avg DW/Painted/Av Ceramic/Avg Ceramic/Avg None # of Cars	/condition vg
Electricity	S No FEI for the market factors (easem Concrete Full Baser Basement Are Basement Fini Outside E Evidence of Dampnes Heating	Vater Sanitary Sewer MA Flood Zone Area? Seents, encroachment Poundation Slab Crawment Part a Shntry/Exit St Infestation S Settlemer FWA HWBB	blic Other (de	FEMA Map # 261 lo If No, describe al conditions, land use Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspout Window Type Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Amenities	Off-site Impro Street Asph Alley None 63C0406E es, etc.)? n material Concrete/Avg Aluminum/Avg Shingle/Avg ts Aluminium/Av Vinyl/Avg I Vinyl/Avg Alum/Avg Woodsto	ovements - Tynalt Ye Is/condition g yg	FEMA Map S No Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainscot Car Storage Driveway Driveway Surf	Public Date 02/02/201 If Yes, describe materials Cpt/Hwd/Avg DW/Painted/Av Ceramic/Avg Ceramic/Avg None # of Cars ace Con	/condition vg /g
Electricity	S No FEI for the market factors (easem Concrete Full Baser Basement Are Basement Fini Outside E Evidence of Dampnes Heating Other	Vater Sanitary Sewer MA Flood Zone Area? Foundation Slab Cravement Part a ssh ntry/Exit S Infestation s Settlemer FWA HWBE	blic Other (de	FEMA Map # 261 lo If No, describe al conditions, land use Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspout Window Type Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Amenities Fireplace(s) #	Off-site Impro Street Asph Alley None 63C0406E es, etc.)? n material Concrete/Avg Aluminum/Avg Shingle/Avg ts Aluminium/Av Vinyl/Avg I Vinyl/Avg Alum/Avg Woodsto 0 Fence N	ovements - Ty nait Ye Is/condition g Ye Ve(s) # 0 None	FEMA Map S No Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainscot Car Storage Driveway Driveway Driveway Surf Garage	Public Date 02/02/201 If Yes, describe materials Cpt/Hwd/Avg DW/Painted/Av Ceramic/Avg t Ceramic/Avg None # of Cars # of Cars	/condition vg 1 crete 1
Electricity	SS No FEI for the market factors (easem	Vater Sanitary Sewer MA Flood Zone Area? Seents, encroachment Poundation Slab Crayment Part a Shintry/Exit Significant State FWA HWBE Fuel Conditions Scentral Air Conditions Control Air Conditions Significant State FWA HWBE Fuel Conditions Significant Significant State FWA HWBE Fuel Conditions Significant State FWA Fuel Conditions Significant State FWA HWBE Fuel Conditions Significant State FWA HWBE Fuel Conditions Significant State FWA HWBE Fuel Conditions Significant State FWA Fuel Conditions Significant FWA Fuel Conditions FWA Fuel FWA	blic Other (de	FEMA Map # 261 In If No, describe al conditions, land use al conditions, land use al conditions with the condition walls are conditions. Exterior Description Foundation Walls are condition walls are conditionally with the condition walls are conditionally with the condition was a conditionally with the conditional walls are conditionally walls are condi	Off-site Impro Street Asph Alley None 63C0406E as, etc.)? m material Concrete/Avg Aluminum/Avg Shingle/Avg Is Aluminium/Av Vinyl/Avg Vinyl/Avg Alum/Avg Alum/Avg Fence None Porch Co	ovements - Ty nait Ye Is/condition g Ve(s) # 0 None Covered	FEMA Map FEMA Map No Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainscot Car Storage Driveway Driveway Surf Garage Carport	Public Date 02/02/201 If Yes, describe materials Cpt/Hwd/Avg DW/Painted/Av Ceramic/Avg None # of Cars # of Cars # of Cars	/condition vg 1 crete 1 0
Electricity	S No FEI for the market factors (easem Concrete Full Baser Basement Are Basement Fini Outside E Evidence of Dampnes Heating Other	Vater Sanitary Sewer MA Flood Zone Area? Seents, encroachment Poundation Slab Crayment Part a Shintry/Exit Significant State FWA HWBE Fuel Conditions Scentral Air Conditions Control Air Conditions Significant State FWA HWBE Fuel Conditions Significant Significant State FWA HWBE Fuel Conditions Significant State FWA Fuel Conditions Significant State FWA HWBE Fuel Conditions Significant State FWA HWBE Fuel Conditions Significant State FWA HWBE Fuel Conditions Significant State FWA Fuel Conditions Significant FWA Fuel Conditions FWA Fuel FWA	blic Other (de	FEMA Map # 261 lo If No, describe al conditions, land use Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspout Window Type Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Amenities Fireplace(s) #	Off-site Impro Street Asph Alley None 63C0406E es, etc.)? n material Concrete/Avg Aluminum/Avg Shingle/Avg ts Aluminium/Av Vinyl/Avg I Vinyl/Avg Alum/Avg Woodsto 0 Fence N	ovements - Ty nait Ye Is/condition g Ve(s) # 0 None Covered	FEMA Map S No Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainscot Car Storage Driveway Driveway Driveway Surf Garage	Public Date 02/02/201 If Yes, describe materials Cpt/Hwd/Avg DW/Painted/Av Ceramic/Avg t Ceramic/Avg None # of Cars # of Cars	/condition vg 1 crete 1
Electricity	SS No FEI for the market factors (easem	Vater Sanitary Sewer MA Flood Zone Area? Sents, encroachment Slab Crawment Part a Sh Infestation S Settlemer FWA HWBE Fuel C Central Air Condi	blic Other (de	FEMA Map # 261 lo If No, describe al conditions, land use Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspout Window Type Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Amenities Fireplace(s) # Patio/Deck Nore	Off-site Impro Street Asph Alley None 63C0406E as, etc.)? n material Concrete/Avg Aluminum/Avg Shingle/Avg Is Aluminium/Av Vinyl/Avg Vinyl/Avg Vinyl/Avg Alum/Avg Woodsto 0 Fence None Porch Concrete Other No	ovements - Ty nait Ye Is/condition g Ve(s) # 0 None Covered	FEMA Map FEMA Map No Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainscot Car Storage Driveway Driveway Surf Garage Carport	Public Date 02/02/201 If Yes, describe materials Cpt/Hwd/Avg DW/Painted/Av Ceramic/Avg None # of Cars # of Cars # of Cars	/condition vg 1 crete 1 0
Electricity	S S No FEI for the market factors (easem Concrete Full Basen Basement Are Basement Fini Outside E Evidence of Dampnes Heating S Other Cooling Individual	Vater Sanitary Sewer MA Flood Zone Yarea? Sents, encroachment Slab Crawment Part a Sh ntry/Exit S Settlemer FWA HWBB Fuel C Central Air Conditions Sher Dispos	blic Other (de	FEMA Map # 261 lo If No, describe al conditions, land use Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspout Window Type Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Amenities Fireplace(s) # Patio/Deck Nore	Off-site Impro Street Asph Alley None 63C0406E as, etc.)? In material Concrete/Avg Aluminum/Avg Shingle/Avg Is Aluminium/Avg Vinyl/Avg Vinyl/Avg Uvinyl/Avg Alum/Avg Porch Other N Tyer Other (Other (Other (Asphane))	ovements - Ty nalt S S S S S S S S S S S S S	FEMA Map s No Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainscot Car Storage Driveway Driveway Driveway Surf Garage Carport Att.	Public Date 02/02/201 If Yes, describe materials Cpt/Hwd/Avg DW/Painted/Av Ceramic/Avg None # of Cars # of Cars # of Cars	/condition vg /g 1 crete 1 0 Built-in
Electricity	Some series of the market factors (easems factors (easems factors (easems factors (easems factors (easems factors factors (easems factors factors) Full Basement Are Basement Are Basement Fini Outside Evidence of Dampnes Heating Marketing Marketin	Vater Sanitary Sewer MA Flood Zone X area? Seents, encroachment Part a sish ntry/Exit Settlemer FWA HWBB Fuel Conditions Settlemer FWA HWBB Fuel Conditions Settlemer FWA Dispos	blic Other (de	FEMA Map # 261 lo If No, describe al conditions, land use al conditions, land use Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspout Window Type Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Amenities Fireplace(s) # Patio/Deck Nor Pool None vave Washer/D 1.0 Bath(s)	Off-site Impro Street Asph Alley None 63C0406E es, etc.)? n material Concrete/Avg Aluminum/Avg Shingle/Avg I Vinyl/Avg Vinyl/Avg Alum/Avg Woodsto 0 Fence None Porch Concrete Nother Nother (Concrete) Typer Other (Concrete)	ovements - Tynalt Selection Is/condition g Is/condition g Ve(s) # 0 None Covered None (describe) So Square Fe	FEMA Map Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainscot Car Storage Driveway Driveway Driveway Carport Att.	Public Date 02/02/201 If Yes, describe materials Cpt/Hwd/Avg DW/Painted/Av Ceramic/Avg t Ceramic/Avg To Cars ace Con # of Cars # of Cars # of Cars Det.	/condition vg 1 crete 1 0 Built-in
Electricity	Some series of the market factors (easems factors (easems factors (easems factors (easems factors (easems factors factors (easems factors factors) Full Basement Are Basement Are Basement Fini Outside Evidence of Dampnes Heating Marketing Marketin	Vater Sanitary Sewer MA Flood Zone X area? Seents, encroachment Part a sish ntry/Exit Settlemer FWA HWBB Fuel Conditions Settlemer FWA HWBB Fuel Conditions Settlemer FWA Dispos	blic Other (de	FEMA Map # 261 lo If No, describe al conditions, land use Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspout Window Type Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Amenities Fireplace(s) # Patio/Deck Nor Pool None vave Washer/D	Off-site Impro Street Asph Alley None 63C0406E es, etc.)? n material Concrete/Avg Aluminum/Avg Shingle/Avg I Vinyl/Avg Vinyl/Avg Alum/Avg Woodsto 0 Fence None Porch Concrete Nother Nother (Concrete) Typer Other (Concrete)	ovements - Tynalt Selection Is/condition g Is/condition g Ve(s) # 0 None Covered None (describe) So Square Fe	FEMA Map Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainscot Car Storage Driveway Driveway Driveway Carport Att.	Public Date 02/02/201 If Yes, describe materials Cpt/Hwd/Avg DW/Painted/Av Ceramic/Avg t Ceramic/Avg To Cars ace Con # of Cars # of Cars # of Cars Det.	/condition vg 1 crete 1 0 Built-in
Electricity	Some state of the market factors (easems factors (easems factors (easems factors (easems factors (easems factors factors (easems factors facto	Vater Sanitary Sewer MA Flood Zone X area? Seents, encroachment Part a sish ntry/Exit Sher Settlement FWA HWBE Fuel Colores Sher Dispos 3 Only items of Real	blic Other (de	FEMA Map # 261 lo If No, describe al conditions, land use al conditions, land use Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspout Window Type Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Amenities Fireplace(s) # Patio/Deck Nor Pool None vave Washer/D 1.0 Bath(s) e observed from the	Off-site Impro Street Asph Alley None 63C0406E as, etc.)? n material Concrete/Avg Aluminum/Avg Shingle/Avg I Vinyl/Avg Alum/Avg Woodsto O Fence None Porch Column Tyer Other (1,08) street have been	ovements - Ty nalt Is/condition g Is/condition g Ve(s) # 0 None Covered None describe) 30 Square Fe included in the	FEMA Map Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainscot Car Storage Driveway Driveway Driveway Carge Carport Att.	Public Date 02/02/201 If Yes, describe materials Cpt/Hwd/Avg DW/Painted/Av Wd/Painted/Av Ceramic/Avg None # of Cars ace Con # of Cars # of Cars Det.	/condition vg 1 corete 1 0 Built-in rade energy
Electricity	Solution Services Services Services Season S	Vater Sanitary Sewer MA Flood Zone Area? Foundation Slab Cray ment Part a ssh ntry/Exit Infestation S Settlemer FWA HWBB Fuel Central Air Condi Ott Sher Dispos Donly items of Rea	blic Other (de	FEMA Map # 261 lo If No, describe al conditions, land use Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspout Window Type Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Amenities Fireplace(s) # Patio/Deck Nor Pool None vave Washer/D 1.0 Bath(s) e observed from the	Off-site Impro Street Asph Alley None 63C0406E as, etc.)? n material Concrete/Avg Aluminium/Avg Shingle/Avg I Vinyl/Avg I Vinyl/Avg Alum/Avg Woodsto O Fence None Porch Column Tyer Other (1,08) street have been	Is/condition g Ive(s) # 0 None Covered None describe) 30 Square Fe included in the	FEMA Map FEMA Map FEMA Map FEMA Map FIND NO	Public Date 02/02/201 If Yes, describe materials Cpt/Hwd/Avg DW/Painted/Av Ceramic/Avg None # of Cars ace Con # of Cars Joet. Ing Area Above Gate of value. All the "C" rating is the series of the ser	/condition vg 1 crete 1 0 Built-in rade energy
Electricity Gas	S No FEI for the market factors (easem Concrete Full Baser Basement Are Basement Fini Outside E Evidence of Dampnes Heating Individual Dishwa 5 Rooms , etc.). (Vater Ganitary Sewer MA Flood Zone Area? Interpretation Slab Crave The crave of the crave	blic Other (de	FEMA Map # 261 Io If No, describe al conditions, land use Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspout Window Type Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Amenities Fireplace(s) # Patio/Deck Nor Pool None vave Washer/D 1.0 Bath(s) e observed from the sling, etc.). Ire noted in the adde	Off-site Impro Street Asph Alley None 63C0406E as, etc.)? n material Concrete/Avg Aluminium/Avg Shingle/Avg I Vinyl/Avg I Vinyl/Avg Alum/Avg Woodsto O Fence None Porch Column Tyer Other (1,08) street have been	Is/condition g Ive(s) # 0 None Covered None describe) 30 Square Fe included in the	FEMA Map FEMA Map FEMA Map FEMA Map FIND NO	Public Date 02/02/201 If Yes, describe materials Cpt/Hwd/Avg DW/Painted/Av Ceramic/Avg None # of Cars ace Con # of Cars Joet. Ing Area Above Gate of value. All the "C" rating is the series of the ser	/condition vg 1 crete 1 0 Built-in rade energy
Electricity	S No FEI for the market factors (easem Concrete Full Baser Basement Are Basement Fini Outside E Evidence of Dampnes Heating Individual Dishwa 5 Rooms , etc.). (Vater Ganitary Sewer MA Flood Zone Area? Interpretation Slab Crave The crave of the crave	blic Other (de	FEMA Map # 261 Io If No, describe al conditions, land use Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspout Window Type Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Amenities Fireplace(s) # Patio/Deck Nor Pool None vave Washer/D 1.0 Bath(s) e observed from the sling, etc.). Ire noted in the adde	Off-site Impro Street Asph Alley None 63C0406E as, etc.)? n material Concrete/Avg Aluminium/Avg Shingle/Avg I Vinyl/Avg I Vinyl/Avg Alum/Avg Woodsto O Fence None Porch Column Tyer Other (1,08) street have been	Is/condition g Ive(s) # 0 None Covered None describe) 30 Square Fe included in the	FEMA Map FEMA Map FEMA Map FEMA Map FIND NO	Public Date 02/02/201 If Yes, describe materials Cpt/Hwd/Avg DW/Painted/Av Ceramic/Avg None # of Cars ace Con # of Cars Joet. Ing Area Above Gate of value. All the "C" rating is the series of the ser	/condition vg 1 crete 1 0 Built-in rade energy
Electricity Gas	S No FEI for the market factors (easem Concrete Full Baser Basement Are Basement Fini Outside E Evidence of Dampnes Heating Individual Dishwa 5 Rooms , etc.). (Vater Ganitary Sewer MA Flood Zone Area? Interpretation Slab Crave The crave of the crave	blic Other (de	FEMA Map # 261 Io If No, describe al conditions, land use Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspout Window Type Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Amenities Fireplace(s) # Patio/Deck Nor Pool None vave Washer/D 1.0 Bath(s) e observed from the sling, etc.). Ire noted in the adde	Off-site Impro Street Asph Alley None 63C0406E as, etc.)? n material Concrete/Avg Aluminium/Avg Shingle/Avg I Vinyl/Avg I Vinyl/Avg Alum/Avg Woodsto O Fence None Porch Column Tyer Other (1,08) street have been	Is/condition g Ive(s) # 0 None Covered None describe) 30 Square Fe included in the	FEMA Map FEMA Map FEMA Map FEMA Map FIND NO	Public Date 02/02/201 If Yes, describe materials Cpt/Hwd/Avg DW/Painted/Av Ceramic/Avg None # of Cars ace Con # of Cars Det. ing Area Above G ate of value. All	/condition vg 1 crete 1 0 Built-in rade energy
Electricity	S S No FEI for the market factors (easement factors (easement Are Basement Are Basement Fini Outside E Evidence of Dampnes Heating S Individual Dishwa 5 Rooms G, etc.). (connected repairs or kitchen and external depression of the content of the c	Vater Sanitary Sewer MA Flood Zone area? Ents, encroachment Foundation Slab Crav ment Part a sh ntry/Exit S Settlemer FWA HWBE Fuel Central Air Condi Ott Sher Dispos 3 Only items of Rea deterioration, rend baths only. All c ciation are noted	blic Other (de	Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspout Window Type Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Amenities Patio/Deck Nor Pool None vave Washer/D 1.0 Bath(s) e observed from the eling, etc.). are noted in the addedinspection.	Off-site Impro Street Asph Alley None 63C0406E as, etc.)? In material Concrete/Avg Aluminum/Avg Shingle/Avg Is Aluminium/Avg Vinyl/Avg Vinyl/Avg Vinyl/Avg Vinyl/Avg O Fence None Porch Concrete None Other Noryer Other (1,08) Street have been C4;No update	Is/condition g Ive(s) # 0 None Covered None describe) 30 Square Fe included in the	FEMA Map S No Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainscot Car Storage Driveway Driveway Surf Garage Carport Att. et of Gross Liv ne final estima or 15 years;TI hysical depre	Public Date 02/02/201 If Yes, describe materials Cpt/Hwd/Avg DW/Painted/Av Wd/Painted/Av Ceramic/Avg Ceramic/Avg Coramic/Avg Toramic/Avg Coramic/Avg	/condition vg 1 crete 1 0 Built-in rade energy for the on the
Electricity Gas	S S No FEI for the market factors (easement factors (easement Are Basement Are Basement Fini Outside E Evidence of Dampnes Heating S Individual Dishwa 5 Rooms G, etc.). (conneeded repairs or kitchen and external depression of the content of the c	Vater Sanitary Sewer MA Flood Zone area? Ents, encroachment Foundation Slab Crav ment Part a sh ntry/Exit S Settlemer FWA HWBE Fuel Central Air Condi Ott Sher Dispos 3 Only items of Rea deterioration, rend baths only. All c ciation are noted	blic Other (de	Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspout Window Type Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Amenities Patio/Deck Nor Pool None vave Washer/D 1.0 Bath(s) e observed from the eling, etc.). are noted in the addedinspection.	Off-site Impro Street Asph Alley None 63C0406E as, etc.)? In material Concrete/Avg Aluminum/Avg Shingle/Avg Is Aluminium/Avg Vinyl/Avg Vinyl/Avg Vinyl/Avg Vinyl/Avg O Fence None Porch Concrete None Other Noryer Other (1,08) Street have been C4;No update	Is/condition g Ive(s) # 0 None Covered None describe) 30 Square Fe included in the	FEMA Map S No Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainscot Car Storage Driveway Driveway Surf Garage Carport Att. et of Gross Liv ne final estima or 15 years;TI hysical depre	Public Date 02/02/201 If Yes, describe materials Cpt/Hwd/Avg DW/Painted/Av Ceramic/Avg None # of Cars ace Con # of Cars Det. ing Area Above G ate of value. All	/condition vg 1 crete 1 0 Built-in rade energy for the on the
Electricity	S S No FEI for the market factors (easement factors (easement Are Basement Are Basement Fini Outside E Evidence of Dampnes Heating S Individual Dishwa 5 Rooms G, etc.). (conneeded repairs or kitchen and external depression of the content of the c	Vater Sanitary Sewer MA Flood Zone area? Ents, encroachment Foundation Slab Crav ment Part a sh ntry/Exit S Settlemer FWA HWBE Fuel Central Air Condi Ott Sher Dispos 3 Only items of Rea deterioration, rend baths only. All c ciation are noted	blic Other (de	Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspout Window Type Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Amenities Patio/Deck Nor Pool None vave Washer/D 1.0 Bath(s) e observed from the eling, etc.). are noted in the addedinspection.	Off-site Impro Street Asph Alley None 63C0406E as, etc.)? In material Concrete/Avg Aluminum/Avg Shingle/Avg Is Aluminium/Avg Vinyl/Avg Vinyl/Avg Vinyl/Avg Vinyl/Avg O Fence None Porch Concrete None Other Noryer Other (1,08) Street have been C4;No update	Is/condition g Ive(s) # 0 None Covered None describe) 30 Square Fe included in the	FEMA Map S No Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainscot Car Storage Driveway Driveway Surf Garage Carport Att. et of Gross Liv ne final estima or 15 years;TI hysical depre	Public Date 02/02/201 If Yes, describe materials Cpt/Hwd/Avg DW/Painted/Av Wd/Painted/Av Ceramic/Avg Ceramic/Avg Coramic/Avg Toramic/Avg Coramic/Avg	/condition vg 1 crete 1 0 Built-in rade energy for the on the
Electricity	S S No FEI for the market factors (easement factors (easement Are Basement Are Basement Fini Outside E Evidence of Dampnes Heating S Individual Dishwa 5 Rooms G, etc.). (conneeded repairs or kitchen and external depression of the content of the c	Vater Sanitary Sewer MA Flood Zone area? Ents, encroachment Foundation Slab Crav ment Part a sh ntry/Exit S Settlemer FWA HWBE Fuel Central Air Condi Ott Sher Dispos 3 Only items of Rea deterioration, rend baths only. All c ciation are noted	blic Other (de	Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspout Window Type Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Amenities Patio/Deck Nor Pool None vave Washer/D 1.0 Bath(s) e observed from the eling, etc.). are noted in the addedinspection.	Off-site Impro Street Asph Alley None 63C0406E as, etc.)? In material Concrete/Avg Aluminum/Avg Shingle/Avg Is Aluminium/Avg Vinyl/Avg Vinyl/Avg Vinyl/Avg Vinyl/Avg O Fence None Porch Concrete None Other Noryer Other (1,08) Street have been C4;No update	Is/condition g Ive(s) # 0 None Covered None describe) 30 Square Fe included in the	FEMA Map S No Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainscot Car Storage Driveway Driveway Surf Garage Carport Att. et of Gross Liv ne final estima or 15 years;TI hysical depre	Public Date 02/02/201 If Yes, describe materials Cpt/Hwd/Avg DW/Painted/Av Wd/Painted/Av Ceramic/Avg Ceramic/Avg Coramic/Avg Toramic/Avg Coramic/Avg	/condition vg 1 crete 1 0 Built-in rade energy for the on the
Electricity	S S No FEI for the market factors (easement factors (easement Are Basement Are Basement Fini Outside E Evidence of Dampnes Heating S Individual Dishwa 5 Rooms G, etc.). (conneeded repairs or kitchen and external depression of the content of the c	Vater Sanitary Sewer MA Flood Zone area? Ents, encroachment Foundation Slab Crav ment Part a sh ntry/Exit S Settlemer FWA HWBE Fuel Central Air Condi Ott Sher Dispos 3 Only items of Rea deterioration, rend baths only. All c ciation are noted	blic Other (de	Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspout Window Type Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Amenities Patio/Deck Nor Pool None vave Washer/D 1.0 Bath(s) e observed from the eling, etc.). are noted in the addedinspection.	Off-site Impro Street Asph Alley None 63C0406E as, etc.)? In material Concrete/Avg Aluminum/Avg Shingle/Avg Is Aluminium/Avg Vinyl/Avg Vinyl/Avg Vinyl/Avg Vinyl/Avg O Fence None Porch Concrete None Other Noryer Other (1,08) Street have been C4;No update	Is/condition g Ive(s) # 0 None Covered None describe) 30 Square Fe included in the	FEMA Map S No Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainscot Car Storage Driveway Driveway Surf Garage Carport Att. et of Gross Liv ne final estima or 15 years;TI hysical depre	Public Date 02/02/201 If Yes, describe materials Cpt/Hwd/Avg DW/Painted/Av Wd/Painted/Av Ceramic/Avg Ceramic/Avg Coramic/Avg Toramic/Avg Coramic/Avg	/condition vg 1 crete 1 0 Built-in rade energy for the on the
Electricity	Some service of the market factors (easems factors (easems factors (easems factors (easems factors (easems factors factors (easems factors fac	Vater Sanitary Sewer MA Flood Zone Area? Poundation Slab Cravement Part a sish ntry/Exit S Infestation S Settlemer FWA HWBB Fuel C Central Air Condi Sher Dispos 3 Only items of Rea deterioration, rend baths only. All c ciation are noted ffect the livability, s	blic Other (de	FEMA Map # 261 lo If No, describe al conditions, land use al conditions, land use Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspout Window Type Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Amenities Fireplace(s) # Patio/Deck Nor Pool None vave Washer/D 1.0 Bath(s) e observed from the sling, etc.). Irre noted in the addedinspection. Puctural integrity of the	Off-site Impro Street Asph Alley None 63C0406E as, etc.)? In material Concrete/Avg Aluminum/Avg Shingle/Avg Is Aluminium/Avg Vinyl/Avg Vinyl/Avg Vinyl/Avg Vinyl/Avg O Fence None Porch Concrete None Other Noryer Other (1,08) Street have been C4;No update	ovements - Tynalt Is/condition g Is/c	FEMA Map S No Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainscot Car Storage Driveway Driveway Surf Garage Carport Att. et of Gross Liv ne final estima or 15 years;TI hysical depre	Public Date 02/02/201 If Yes, describe materials Cpt/Hwd/Avg DW/Painted/Av Ceramic/Avg t Ceramic/Avg To Grars ace Con # of Cars con Cars con Cars ace Con # of Cars con Cars con Cars ace Con # of Cars con Car	/condition vg 1 crete 1 0 Built-in rade energy for the on the
Electricity	Some service of the market factors (easems factors (easems factors (easems factors (easems factors (easems factors factors (easems factors fac	Vater Sanitary Sewer MA Flood Zone Area? Poundation Slab Cravement Part a sish ntry/Exit S Infestation S Settlemer FWA HWBB Fuel C Central Air Condi Sher Dispos 3 Only items of Rea deterioration, rend baths only. All c ciation are noted ffect the livability, s	blic Other (de	FEMA Map # 261 lo If No, describe al conditions, land use al conditions, land use Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspout Window Type Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Amenities Fireplace(s) # Patio/Deck Nor Pool None vave Washer/D 1.0 Bath(s) e observed from the sling, etc.). Irre noted in the addedinspection. Puctural integrity of the	Off-site Impro Street Asph Alley None 63C0406E es, etc.)? n material Concrete/Avg Aluminum/Avg Shingle/Avg Is Aluminium/Avg Vinyl/Avg Vinyl/Avg Alum/Avg Other N Typer Other (1,08 street have been C4;No updatenda under "Subject property?	ovements - Tynalt Is/condition g Is/c	FEMA Map FEMA Map Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainscot Car Storage Driveway Driveway Driveway Driveway Surf Att. Let of Gross Live the final estimation of 15 years; The hysical depresentation of 15 years; The hysical depr	Public Date 02/02/201 If Yes, describe materials Cpt/Hwd/Avg DW/Painted/Av Ceramic/Avg t Ceramic/Avg To Grars ace Con # of Cars con Cars con Cars ace Con # of Cars con Cars con Cars ace Con # of Cars con Car	/condition vg 1 crete 1 0 Built-in rade energy for the on the

ANS-221462 File # 0517-154

		offered for sale in	the subject neighborho	ood ranging in price	from \$ 61 900	to \$ 90	. 000
There are 76 comparable			the past twelve mont				·
FEATURE			BLE SALE # 1		SLE SALE # 2		90,000
	SUBJECT		OLE SALE # 1		OLE SALE # 2		BLE SALE # 3
Address 1560 Leblanc St		1667 Ford Blvd		1682 Liberty Ave		1584 Leblanc St	
Lincoln Park, MI 4814	16	Lincoln Park, MI 481	146	Lincoln Park, MI 481	46	Lincoln Park, MI 48	146
Proximity to Subject		0.17 miles SW		0.52 miles NW		0.03 miles W	
Sale Price	\$		\$ 72,000		\$ 74,200		\$ 87,000
Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$ sq.ft.	\$ 68.44 sq.ft.		\$ 66.25 sq.ft.	1 1,- 1	\$ 75.00 sq.f	
	φ 54.11.					10.00	-
Data Source(s)		Realcomp#21609064	41;DOM 58	Realcomp#21702303	36;DOM 4	Realcomp#2170281	167;DOM 6
Verification Source(s)		City Website	T	City Website	I	City Website	T
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DESCRIPTION	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment
Sales or Financing		ArmLth		ArmLth		ArmLth	
Concessions		FHA;0		FHA;0		FHA;2000	-2,000
Date of Sale/Time		s02/17;c11/16		s06/17;c03/17		s05/17;c04/17	
Location	N.D.	· ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		,	
	N;Res;	N;Res;		N;Res;		N;Res;	
Leasehold/Fee Simple	Fee Simple	Fee Simple		Fee Simple		Fee Simple	
Site	4760 sf	5699 sf	0	4356 sf	0	4792 sf	0
View	N;Res;	N;Res;		N;Res;		N;Res;	
Design (Style)	DT2;Bungalow	DT2;Bungalow		DT1;Ranch	0	DT2;Bungalow	
Quality of Construction	Q4	Q4		Q4		Q4	
Actual Age			1				
	76	67	U	68		76	
Condition	C4	C4		C3	-5,000		-5,000
Above Grade	Total Bdrms. Baths	Total Bdrms. Baths		Total Bdrms. Baths	+3,000	Total Bdrms. Bath:	3
Room Count	5 3 1.0	5 3 1.0		5 2 1.0	0	6 3 1.0	0
Gross Living Area	1,080 sq.ft.	1,052 sq.ft.	. 0	1,120 sq.ft.	0	1,160 sq.f	
Basement & Finished	768sf461sfin	696sf25sfin		672sf538sfin		768sf400sfin	0
			1		1		
Rooms Below Grade	1rr0br0.1ba0o	0rr0br0.1ba0o	0	1rr0br1.0ba0o	-500	1rr0br1.0ba0o	-500
Functional Utility	Average	Average		Average		Average	
Heating/Cooling	FWA/None	FWA/CAC	-1,000	FWA/CAC	-1,000	FWA/None	
Energy Efficient Items	None	None		None		None	
Garage/Carport	1gd1dw	1dw	+2,500		±2 500	1gd1dw	
Porch/Patio/Deck			+2,300				
	Porch	Porch		Porch/Patio	-1,000	Porch	
School District	Lincoln Park	Lincoln Park		Lincoln Park		Lincoln Park	
Net Adjustment (Total)		□ + □ -	\$ 2,520	□ + □ -	\$ -2,000	+ -	\$ -7,500
Adjusted Sale Price		Net Adj. 3.5 %	_,	Net Adj. 2.7 %	,	Net Adj. 8.6	1,000
of Comparables							
			,	Gross Adj. 17.5 %	φ /2,200	Gross Adj. 8.6	6 \$ 79,500
I 🔀 did 🔲 did not research t	ne sale or transfer histo	ry of the subject prope	erty and comparable sale	es. If not, explain			
My research did did id did i	not reveal any prior sale	s or transfers of the su	bject property for the th	ree years prior to the e	ffective date of this appr	aisal.	
Data Source(s) MLS/Public R	acorde Data			•	.,		
		oc or transfers of the co	omnarable cales for the	vear prior to the date of	f sale of the comparable	cala	
		3 OF HANSICIS OF THE CO	omparable sales for the	year prior to the date of	i saic of the comparable	Jaio.	
Data Source(s) Realcomp ML			*** *** *				
Report the results of the research a	and analysis of the prior	sale or transfer histor	y of the subject property	and comparable sales	(report additional prior		
ITEM	Sl	JBJECT	COMPARABLE S	ALE #1	COMPARABLE SALE #2	2 COMP	ARABLE SALE #3
Date of Prior Sale/Transfer							
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer							
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer	Wayna County	Pagarda	Wayna County Pagar	de Wayne	County Popords	Wayna Cau	nty Pagards
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s)	Wayne County		Wayne County Record		County Records		nty Records
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s)	06/15/2017		06/15/2017	06/15/2	2017	06/15/2017	•
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s)	06/15/2017		06/15/2017	06/15/2	-	06/15/2017	•
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s)	06/15/2017 story of the subject pro	perty and comparable	06/15/2017 sales Acc	06/15/2 ording to homeowner	2017	06/15/2017	•
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi	06/15/2017 story of the subject pro	perty and comparable	06/15/2017 sales Acc	06/15/2 ording to homeowner	2017	06/15/2017	_
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi	06/15/2017 story of the subject pro	perty and comparable	06/15/2017 sales Acc	06/15/2 ording to homeowner	2017	06/15/2017	•
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi	06/15/2017 story of the subject pro	perty and comparable	06/15/2017 sales Acc	06/15/2 ording to homeowner	2017	06/15/2017	•
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi	06/15/2017 story of the subject pro	perty and comparable	06/15/2017 sales Acc	06/15/2 ording to homeowner	2017	06/15/2017	•
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi subject property have occurred	06/15/2017 story of the subject pro within 3 years of this	perty and comparable : appraisal and no oth	06/15/2017 sales Acc	06/15/2 ording to homeowner	2017	06/15/2017	•
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi	06/15/2017 story of the subject pro within 3 years of this	perty and comparable	06/15/2017 sales Acc	06/15/2 ording to homeowner	2017	06/15/2017	•
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi subject property have occurred	06/15/2017 story of the subject pro within 3 years of this	perty and comparable : appraisal and no oth	06/15/2017 sales Acc	06/15/2 ording to homeowner	2017	06/15/2017	•
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi subject property have occurred	06/15/2017 story of the subject pro within 3 years of this	perty and comparable : appraisal and no oth	06/15/2017 sales Acc	06/15/2 ording to homeowner	2017	06/15/2017	•
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi subject property have occurred	06/15/2017 story of the subject pro within 3 years of this	perty and comparable : appraisal and no oth	06/15/2017 sales Acc	06/15/2 ording to homeowner	2017	06/15/2017	•
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi subject property have occurred	06/15/2017 story of the subject pro within 3 years of this	perty and comparable : appraisal and no oth	06/15/2017 sales Acc	06/15/2 ording to homeowner	2017	06/15/2017	_
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi subject property have occurred	06/15/2017 story of the subject pro within 3 years of this	perty and comparable : appraisal and no oth	06/15/2017 sales Acc	06/15/2 ording to homeowner	2017	06/15/2017	•
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi subject property have occurred	06/15/2017 story of the subject pro within 3 years of this	perty and comparable : appraisal and no oth	06/15/2017 sales Acc	06/15/2 ording to homeowner	2017	06/15/2017	•
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi subject property have occurred	06/15/2017 story of the subject pro within 3 years of this	perty and comparable : appraisal and no oth	06/15/2017 sales Acc	06/15/2 ording to homeowner	2017	06/15/2017	•
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi subject property have occurred Summary of Sales Comparison Ap	06/15/2017 story of the subject pro within 3 years of this proach See atta	perty and comparable : appraisal and no oth	06/15/2017 sales Acc	06/15/2 ording to homeowner	2017	06/15/2017	•
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi subject property have occurred	06/15/2017 story of the subject pro within 3 years of this proach See atta	perty and comparable : appraisal and no oth	06/15/2017 sales Acc	06/15/2 ording to homeowner	2017	06/15/2017	•
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi subject property have occurred Summary of Sales Comparison Ap	06/15/2017 story of the subject pro within 3 years of this proach See atta on Approach \$ 75	perty and comparable sappraisal and no oth	06/15/2017 Sales Accorder sales for comparate	06/15/3	2017 /governmental offices	06/15/2017 /MLS data, no other	title transfers for the
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi subject property have occurred Summary of Sales Comparison Ap Indicated Value by Sales Comparis Indicated Value by: Sales Comp	o6/15/2017 story of the subject pro within 3 years of this proach See atta on Approach \$ 75 arison Approach \$	perty and comparable sappraisal and no oth ched addenda.	06/15/2017 sales According to A	06/15/3 pording to homeowner ples in last 1 year.	2017 /governmental offices	06/15/2017 /MLS data, no other	title transfers for the
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi subject property have occurred Summary of Sales Comparison Ap Indicated Value by Sales Comparis Indicated Value by: Sales Comp Sales approach is held most rel	o6/15/2017 story of the subject pro within 3 years of this proach See atta on Approach \$ 75 arison Approach \$	perty and comparable sappraisal and no oth ched addenda.	06/15/2017 sales According to A	06/15/3 pording to homeowner ples in last 1 year.	2017 /governmental offices	06/15/2017 /MLS data, no other	title transfers for the
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi subject property have occurred Summary of Sales Comparison Ap Indicated Value by Sales Comparis Indicated Value by: Sales Comp	o6/15/2017 story of the subject pro within 3 years of this proach See atta on Approach \$ 75 arison Approach \$	perty and comparable sappraisal and no oth ched addenda.	06/15/2017 sales According to A	06/15/3 pording to homeowner ples in last 1 year.	2017 /governmental offices	06/15/2017 /MLS data, no other	title transfers for the
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi subject property have occurred Summary of Sales Comparison Ap Indicated Value by Sales Comparis Indicated Value by: Sales Comp Sales approach is held most rel occupancy is predominant.	o6/15/2017 story of the subject pro within 3 years of this proach See atta on Approach \$ 75 arison Approach \$ iable because it reflect	perty and comparable appraisal and no oth ched addenda. 5,000 75,000 cts the behavior in th	06/15/2017 Sales According to A	old/15/2 pording to homeowner ples in last 1 year. eloped) \$ 77,100 pst approach support	Income App	06/15/2017 /MLS data, no other roach (if developed me approach is not	title transfers for the
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi subject property have occurred Summary of Sales Comparison Ap Indicated Value by Sales Comparis Indicated Value by: Sales Comp Sales approach is held most rel occupancy is predominant. This appraisal is made "as i	o6/15/2017 story of the subject pro within 3 years of this proach See atta on Approach \$ 75 arison Approach \$ iable because it reflections.	perty and comparable sappraisal and no oth check addenda. 5,000 75,000 cts the behavior in the completion per plans	06/15/2017 sales According to the sales for comparate According to the sales for comp	eloped) \$ 77,100 ost approach support	Income App ts this value. The inco	roach (if developed me approach is not	title transfers for the \$ 0 relevant, as owner
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi subject property have occurred Summary of Sales Comparison Ap Indicated Value by Sales Comparis Indicated Value by: Sales Comp Sales approach is held most rel occupancy is predominant. This appraisal is made "as i	o6/15/2017 story of the subject pro within 3 years of this proach See atta on Approach \$ 75 arison Approach \$ iable because it reflections.	perty and comparable sappraisal and no oth check addenda. 5,000 75,000 cts the behavior in the completion per plans	06/15/2017 sales According to the sales for comparate According to the sales for comp	eloped) \$ 77,100 ost approach support	Income App	roach (if developed me approach is not	title transfers for the \$ 0 relevant, as owner
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi subject property have occurred Summary of Sales Comparison Ap Indicated Value by Sales Comparis Indicated Value by: Sales Comp Sales approach is held most rel occupancy is predominant. This appraisal is made "as i	o6/15/2017 story of the subject pro within 3 years of this proach See atta on Approach \$ 75 arison Approach \$ iable because it reflections.", subject to following repairs or a	perty and comparable appraisal and no oth ched addenda. 5,000 75,000 cts the behavior in the completion per plansalterations on the base	O6/15/2017 Sales Accordance sales for comparate Cost Approach (if device is market area. The costs of a hypothetical costs of	eloped) \$ 77,100 ost approach support	Income App ts this value. The inco	roach (if developed me approach is not	title transfers for the \$ 0 relevant, as owner
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi subject property have occurred Summary of Sales Comparison Ap Indicated Value by Sales Comparis Indicated Value by: Sales Comp Sales approach is held most rel occupancy is predominant. This appraisal is made "as i completed, subject to the	o6/15/2017 story of the subject pro within 3 years of this proach See atta on Approach \$ 75 arison Approach \$ iable because it reflections.", subject to following repairs or a	perty and comparable appraisal and no oth ched addenda. 5,000 75,000 cts the behavior in the completion per plansalterations on the base	O6/15/2017 Sales Accordance sales for comparate Cost Approach (if device is market area. The costs of a hypothetical costs of	eloped) \$ 77,100 ost approach support	Income App ts this value. The inco	roach (if developed me approach is not	title transfers for the \$ 0 relevant, as owner
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi subject property have occurred Summary of Sales Comparison Ap Indicated Value by Sales Comparis Indicated Value by: Sales Comp Sales approach is held most rel occupancy is predominant. This appraisal is made "as i completed, subject to the following required inspection bas Based on a complete visual	o6/15/2017 story of the subject pro within 3 years of this proach See atta on Approach \$ arison Approach \$ iable because it reflect is", subject to following repairs or a sed on the extraordina inspection of the inspe	perty and comparable appraisal and no oth appraisal and no oth appraisal and no oth appraisal and addenda. 5,000 75,000 cts the behavior in the assumption per plans alterations on the bas ary assumption that the appropriate and exterior	Cost Approach (if devise market area. The cost of a hypothetical cost areas of the subject ar	eloped) \$ 77,100 ost approach support	Income App ts this value. The inco pothetical condition the airs or alterations have alteration or repair:	roach (if developed me approach is not at the improvements been completed, contact the improvements at the improvements and the improvements at the improvement at th	title transfers for the \$ 0 relevant, as owner have been or subject to the
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi subject property have occurred Summary of Sales Comparison Ap Indicated Value by Sales Comparis Indicated Value by: Sales Comp Sales approach is held most rel occupancy is predominant. This appraisal is made "as i completed, subject to the	o6/15/2017 story of the subject pro within 3 years of this proach See atta on Approach \$ arison Approach \$ iable because it reflect is", subject to following repairs or a sed on the extraordina inspection of the inspe	perty and comparable appraisal and no oth appraisal and no oth appraisal and no oth appraisal and addenda. 5,000 75,000 cts the behavior in the assumption per plans alterations on the bas ary assumption that the appropriate and exterior	Cost Approach (if devise market area. The cost of a hypothetical cost areas of the subject ar	eloped) \$ 77,100 ost approach support	Income App ts this value. The inco pothetical condition the airs or alterations have alteration or repair:	roach (if developed me approach is not at the improvements been completed, contact the improvements at the improvements and the improvements at the improvement at th	title transfers for the \$ 0 relevant, as owner have been or subject to the

Freddie Mac Form 70 March 2005

INTENDED USE/USER		
The Intended User of this appraisal report is the Lender/Client. The Intended Use is to eva	luate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for a mortgage finance train	nsaction,
subject to the stated Scope of Work, purpose of the appraisal, requirements of this apprai	sal report form, and Definition of Market Value. No additional Intended Users are	eidentified
by the appraiser.		
by the appraison		
The cubicet avenuety is leasted within 50 miles from my office. This sesion ment requires	was wear big a surrestance of most of the same of work. I have smoot a difficient time	a !u 4ha
The subject property is located within 50 miles from my office. This assignment requires		
subjects market and understand the nuances of the local market and the supply and dema		
understanding will not be imparted solely from a consideration of specific data such as de	mographics, costs, sales and rentals. The necessary understanding of local ma	arket
conditions provides the bridge between a sale and a comparable sale or a rental and a co	nparable rental.	
This appraisal was ordered in compliance with Appraisal Independence "AIR" and Mortga	gee Letter 2009-28. No employee, director, officer, or agent of the lender, or any	other
third party acting as a joint venture partner, independent contractor, appraisal manageme		
development, reporting, result, or review of this assignment through coercion, extortion,		
manner. I have not been contacted by anyone other than the intended user (lender/client a		iake ali
appointment to enter the property. I agree to immediately report any unauthorized contact	s either personally by phone or electronically to Client.	
The appraiser is not qualified as a building inspector or environmental inspector. The app	aiser produces an opinion of value. Only a visual inspection of accessible areas	s was
performed. Thus, the appraisal cannot be relied upon to disclose conditions and/or defect	s in the property nor guarantee that the property is free from environmental prob	olems.
Therefore, this appraisal does not guarantee the condition of any structure, water, septic	or sewer system, electrical or plumbing system, existence and/or adequacy of in	sulation.
Also, this appraisal does not guarantee that the appraised property will pass any local or		
		,
guarantee against present or future leakage, bursting, cracking, peeling, flooding, soil ero	sion, earthquake, abnormal water pressure, termites, noise or nuisance.	
Unless otherwise stated in this report, the existence of hazardous materials, which may o		
has no knowledge of the existence of such materials on or in the property. The appraiser,	however, is not qualified to detect such substances. The presence of substance	s such as
asbestos, mold, urea-formaldehyde foam insulation, and other potentially hazardous mate	rials may affect the value of the property. The value estimated is predicated on t	he
assumption that there is no such material on or in the property that would cause a loss in		
engineering knowledge required to discover them. The intended user is urged to retain an		
ongineering knowledge required to discover them. The interlued user is diged to retain an	expert in une neiù n deelleu.	
A 11 (11 () 1 (1 () 1		
Any residential structure built prior to 1978 may present exposure to lead from lead-based	paint. This exposure may place young children at risk of developing lead poiso	oning. The
appraiser was not provided with a risk assessment or inspection report regarding and kno	wn "Lead-based paint hazards" in the subject property.	
Appraiser cannot guarantee that the property is free of encroachments or easements, and	recommends a qualified professional for further investigation and survey.	
No warranty of the appraisal is given or implied.		
No warranty of the appraisar is given of implied.		
A 11 D1 (A F1014 1110DAD () 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 TILL II III III III III III III III III	
Comparable Photos - As per FNMA and USPAP, the appraiser has driven by all comparable	e sales. This is in compliance with USPAP.	
Appraisers are required to be licensed and regulated by The State of Michigan, Departmer	t of Labor and Economic Growth, P.O. Box 30018, Lansing, MI 48909.	
COST APPROACH TO VALUE	(not required by Fannie Mae)	
COST APPROACH TO VALUE Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation		
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation	ns.	i sita valua
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti	ns. Appraiser has made an effort to support opinion of	
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimeth comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable lot data has made this difference of the comparable lot data has made this difference of the comparable lot data has made the comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable lot data has made this difference of the comparable lot data has made the comparable sales.	ns. Appraiser has made an effort to support opinion of	
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti	ns. Appraiser has made an effort to support opinion of	
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimeth comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable lot data has made this difference investors, and the appraiser's knowledge of the market.	nating site value) Appraiser has made an effort to support opinion of icult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from bui	
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimeth comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable lot data has made this difference of the comparable lot data has made this difference of the comparable lot data has made the comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable lot data has made this difference of the comparable lot data has made the comparable sales.	ns. Appraiser has made an effort to support opinion of	
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimeth comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable lot data has made this difference investors, and the appraiser's knowledge of the market.	nating site value) Appraiser has made an effort to support opinion of icult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from bui	ilders,
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimethod with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable lot data has made this difference investors, and the appraiser's knowledge of the market. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book	Appraiser has made an effort to support opinion of icult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from but OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ DWELLING 1,080 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 85.00 = \$	5,000 91,800
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable lot data has made this difference investors, and the appraiser's knowledge of the market. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 09/16	Appraiser has made an effort to support opinion of icult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from bui OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ DWELLING 1,080 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 85.00 = \$ Basement 768 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 20.00 = \$	5,000 91,800 15,360
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimethods with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable lot data has made this difference investors, and the appraiser's knowledge of the market. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 09/16 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)	Appraiser has made an effort to support opinion of icult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from bui OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ DWELLING 1,080 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 85.00 = \$ Basement 768 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 20.00 = \$ Porch = \$	5,000 91,800 15,360 1,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimethod with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable lot data has made this difficulty investors, and the appraiser's knowledge of the market. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 09/16 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost figures taken from the Marshall & Swifts Cost Handbook (Rounded) with local	Appraiser has made an effort to support opinion of icult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from bui	5,000 91,800 15,360 1,000 5,600
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimethods with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable lot data has made this difference investors, and the appraiser's knowledge of the market. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 09/16 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)	Appraiser has made an effort to support opinion of icult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from bui OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ DWELLING 1,080 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 85.00 = \$ Basement 768 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 20.00 = \$ Porch = \$ Garage/Carport 280 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 20.00 = \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New = \$	5,000 91,800 15,360 1,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimethod with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable lot data has made this difficulty investors, and the appraiser's knowledge of the market. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 09/16 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost figures taken from the Marshall & Swifts Cost Handbook (Rounded) with local	Appraiser has made an effort to support opinion of icult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from bui	5,000 91,800 15,360 1,000 5,600
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimethod with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable lot data has made this difficulty investors, and the appraiser's knowledge of the market. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 09/16 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost figures taken from the Marshall & Swifts Cost Handbook (Rounded) with local	Appraiser has made an effort to support opinion of icult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from bui OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,080 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 85.00 = \$ Basement 768 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 20.00 = \$ Porch Sqrage/Carport 280 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 20.00 = \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional External	5,000 91,800 15,360 1,000 5,600
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimethod with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable lot data has made this difficulty investors, and the appraiser's knowledge of the market. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 09/16 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost figures taken from the Marshall & Swifts Cost Handbook (Rounded) with local	Appraiser has made an effort to support opinion of icult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of icult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion op	5,000 91,800 15,360 1,000 5,600 113,760
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimethod with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable lot data has made this difficulty investors, and the appraiser's knowledge of the market. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 09/16 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost figures taken from the Marshall & Swifts Cost Handbook (Rounded) with local	Appraiser has made an effort to support opinion of icult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of icult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion opin	5,000 91,800 15,360 1,000 5,600 113,760 42,660) 71,100
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable lot data has made this difference investors, and the appraiser's knowledge of the market. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 09/16 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost figures taken from the Marshall & Swifts Cost Handbook (Rounded) with local	Appraiser has made an effort to support opinion of icult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of icult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion op	5,000 91,800 15,360 1,000 5,600 113,760
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable lot data has made this difficulty investors, and the appraiser's knowledge of the market. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 09/16 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost figures taken from the Marshall & Swifts Cost Handbook (Rounded) with local multipliers added and appraisers knowledge of the area and builders costs.	Appraiser has made an effort to support opinion of icult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of icult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion op	5,000 91,800 15,360 1,000 5,600 113,760 42,660) 71,100 1,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable lot data has made this difficulty investors, and the appraiser's knowledge of the market. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 09/16 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost figures taken from the Marshall & Swifts Cost Handbook (Rounded) with local multipliers added and appraisers knowledge of the area and builders costs.	Appraiser has made an effort to support opinion of icult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of icult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion opin	5,000 91,800 15,360 1,000 5,600 113,760 42,660) 71,100
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated multipliers added and appraiser's knowledge of the market. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 09/16 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost figures taken from the Marshall & Swifts Cost Handbook (Rounded) with local multipliers added and appraisers knowledge of the area and builders costs. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)	Appraiser has made an effort to support opinion of icult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of icult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing support opinion op	5,000 91,800 15,360 1,000 5,600 113,760 42,660) 71,100 1,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated multipliers added and appraiser's knowledge of the market. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 09/16 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost figures taken from the Marshall & Swifts Cost Handbook (Rounded) with local multipliers added and appraisers knowledge of the area and builders costs. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years	Appraiser has made an effort to support opinion of icult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from bui OPINION OF SITE VALUE	5,000 91,800 15,360 1,000 5,600 113,760 42,660) 71,100 1,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Rent Multiplier	Appraiser has made an effort to support opinion of icult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing in the proof of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing in the proof of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing in the proof of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing in the proof of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing in the proof of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing in the proof of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing in the proof of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing in the proof of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing in the proof of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing in the proof of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing in the proof of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing in the proof of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing in the proof of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing in the proof of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing in the proof of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing in the proof of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing in the proof of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing in the proof of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing in the proof of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing in the proof of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing in the proof of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing in the proof of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing in the proof of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing in the proof of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing in the proof of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing in the proof of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing in the proof of site value is determined utilizing feedback fro	5,000 91,800 15,360 1,000 5,600 113,760 42,660) 71,100 1,000 77,100
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated Marshall sales or other methods for estimated the point of sales or other methods for estim	Appraiser has made an effort to support opinion of icult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from bui OPINION OF SITE VALUE	5,000 91,800 15,360 1,000 5,600 113,760 42,660) 71,100 1,000 77,100
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for market rent and GRM) Provide adequate information of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated heads of cost data has made this difficult investors, and the appraiser's knowledge of the market. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 09/16 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost figures taken from the Marshall & Swifts Cost Handbook (Rounded) with local multipliers added and appraisers knowledge of the area and builders costs. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) The incompression of the provided support for market rent and GRM)	Appraiser has made an effort to support opinion of icult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing instead of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing instead. Sq. Ft. @ \$ 85.00 = \$ 85.0	5,000 91,800 15,360 1,000 5,600 113,760 42,660) 71,100 1,000 77,100
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for market rent and GRM) Provide adequate information of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated heads of cost data has made this difficult investors, and the appraiser's knowledge of the market. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 09/16 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost figures taken from the Marshall & Swifts Cost Handbook (Rounded) with local multipliers added and appraisers knowledge of the area and builders costs. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) The incompression of the provided support for market rent and GRM)	Appraiser has made an effort to support opinion of icult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing in the proof of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing in the proof of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing in the proof of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing in the proof of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing in the proof of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing in the proof of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing in the proof of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing in the proof of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing in the proof of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing in the proof of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing in the proof of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing in the proof of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing in the proof of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing in the proof of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing in the proof of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing in the proof of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing in the proof of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing in the proof of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing in the proof of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing in the proof of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing in the proof of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing in the proof of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing in the proof of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing in the proof of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing in the proof of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing in the proof of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing in the proof of site value is determined utilizing feedback fro	5,000 91,800 15,360 1,000 5,600 113,760 42,660) 71,100 1,000 77,100
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for market rent and GRM) Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation for estimated the point of cost with a sales or other methods for estimated the provided the sales of cost data has made this difficult investors, and the appraiser's knowledge of the market. Project Information Project Information	Appraiser has made an effort to support opinion of icult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing instead of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing instead. Sq. Ft. @ \$ 85.00 = \$ 85.0	5,000 91,800 15,360 1,000 5,600 113,760 42,660) 71,100 1,000 77,100
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated Marshall sales, however, the lack of closed comparable lot data has made this difficurestors, and the appraiser's knowledge of the market. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 09/16 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost figures taken from the Marshall & Swifts Cost Handbook (Rounded) with local multipliers added and appraisers knowledge of the area and builders costs. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) The incorpredominant. PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes	Appraiser has made an effort to support opinion of icult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing instead of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing instead. OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ DWELLING 1,080 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 85.00 = \$ Porch =\$ Garage/Carport 280 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 20.00 = \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional External Depreciation 42,660 = \$(Depreciated Cost of Improvements = \$(Total Estimate of Cost-New = \$(Depreciated Cost of Improvements = \$(Depreciated Cost of Improvements = \$(Total Estimate of Cost-New = \$(Depreciated Cost of Improvements = \$(Depreciated Cost of Improvements = \$(Depreciated Cost of Improvements = \$(Total Estimate of Cost-New = \$(Depreciated Cost of Improvements = \$(De	5,000 91,800 15,360 1,000 5,600 113,760 42,660) 71,100 1,000 77,100
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is no order the summary of the data designed and appraision of the HOA as the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA as the summary of the market in the figure in the figure in control of the HOA as the figure is and calculation of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated that has made this difficult in the figure is and calculation of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated that has made this difficult in the land sales or other methods for estimated the land sales or other	Appraiser has made an effort to support opinion of icult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing instead of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buing instead. OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ DWELLING 1,080 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 85.00 = \$ Porch =\$ Garage/Carport 280 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 20.00 = \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional External Depreciation 42,660 = \$(Depreciated Cost of Improvements = \$(Total Estimate of Cost-New = \$(Depreciated Cost of Improvements = \$(Depreciated Cost of Improvements = \$(Total Estimate of Cost-New = \$(Depreciated Cost of Improvements = \$(Depreciated Cost of Improvements = \$(Depreciated Cost of Improvements = \$(Total Estimate of Cost-New = \$(Depreciated Cost of Improvements = \$(De	5,000 91,800 15,360 1,000 5,600 113,760 42,660) 71,100 1,000 77,100
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project	Appraiser has made an effort to support opinion of icult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from builing feedback from b	5,000 91,800 15,360 1,000 5,600 113,760 42,660) 71,100 1,000 77,100
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated Kentson, and the appraiser's knowledge of the market. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 09/16 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost figures taken from the Marshall & Swifts Cost Handbook (Rounded) with local multipliers added and appraisers knowledge of the area and builders costs. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) The incopredominant. PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project Total number of phases Total number of units	Appraiser has made an effort to support opinion of icult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from builibrate value value is determined utilizing feedback from builibrate value va	5,000 91,800 15,360 1,000 5,600 113,760 42,660) 71,100 1,000 77,100
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated market sales, however, the lack of closed comparable lot data has made this diffinvestors, and the appraiser's knowledge of the market. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 09/16 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost figures taken from the Marshall & Swifts Cost Handbook (Rounded) with local multipliers added and appraisers knowledge of the area and builders costs. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) The incopredominant. PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale	Appraiser has made an effort to support opinion of icult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buicult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buicult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buicult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buicult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buicult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buicult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buicult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buicult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buicult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buicult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buicult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buicult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buicult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buicults and stand is determined utilizing feedback from buicults and stand is determined utilizing feedback from buicults and stand and st	5,000 91,800 15,360 1,000 5,600 113,760 42,660) 71,100 1,000 77,100
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated management of the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated management of the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated management of the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated sales or	Appraiser has made an effort to support opinion of icult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from builibrate value value is determined utilizing feedback from builibrate value va	5,000 91,800 15,360 1,000 5,600 113,760 42,660) 71,100 1,000 77,100
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated market sales, however, the lack of closed comparable lot data has made this diffinvestors, and the appraiser's knowledge of the market. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 09/16 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost figures taken from the Marshall & Swifts Cost Handbook (Rounded) with local multipliers added and appraisers knowledge of the area and builders costs. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) The incopredominant. PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale	Appraiser has made an effort to support opinion of icult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buicult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buicult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buicult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buicult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buicult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buicult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buicult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buicult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buicult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buicult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buicult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buicult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buicult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buicults and stand is determined utilizing feedback from buicults and stand is determined utilizing feedback from buicults and stand and st	5,000 91,800 15,360 1,000 5,600 113,760 42,660) 71,100 1,000 77,100
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated managements and the appraiser's knowledge of the market. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 09/16 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost figures taken from the Marshall & Swifts Cost Handbook (Rounded) with local multipliers added and appraisers knowledge of the area and builders costs. Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) The incopredominant. PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA at Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Pyes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source	Appraiser has made an effort to support opinion of icult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buit instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buit instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buit instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buit instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buit instead. OPINION OF SITE VALUE	5,000 91,800 15,360 1,000 5,600 113,760 42,660) 71,100 1,000 77,100
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated managements and the appraiser's knowledge of the market. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 09/16 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost figures taken from the Marshall & Swifts Cost Handbook (Rounded) with local multipliers added and appraisers knowledge of the area and builders costs. Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) The incopredominant. PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA at Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Pyes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source	Appraiser has made an effort to support opinion of icult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buicult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buicult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buicult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buicult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buicult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buicult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buicult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buicult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buicult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buicult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buicult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buicult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buicult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buicults and stand is determined utilizing feedback from buicults and stand is determined utilizing feedback from buicults and stand and st	5,000 91,800 15,360 1,000 5,600 113,760 42,660) 71,100 1,000 77,100
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated managements and the appraiser's knowledge of the market. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 09/16 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost figures taken from the Marshall & Swifts Cost Handbook (Rounded) with local multipliers added and appraisers knowledge of the area and builders costs. Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) The incopredominant. PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA at Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Pyes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source	Appraiser has made an effort to support opinion of icult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buit instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buit instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buit instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buit instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buit instead. OPINION OF SITE VALUE	5,000 91,800 15,360 1,000 5,600 113,760 42,660) 71,100 1,000 77,100
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable lot data has made this difficurestors, and the appraiser's knowledge of the market. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 09/16 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost figures taken from the Marshall & Swifts Cost Handbook (Rounded) with local multipliers added and appraisers knowledge of the area and builders costs. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) The incorpredominant. PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA at Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No	Appraiser has made an effort to support opinion of icult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buit instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buit instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buit instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buit instead in the status of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buit instead. OPINION OF SITE VALUE	5,000 91,800 15,360 1,000 5,600 113,760 42,660) 71,100 1,000 77,100
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable lot data has made this diffinvestors, and the appraiser's knowledge of the market. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 09/16 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost figures taken from the Marshall & Swifts Cost Handbook (Rounded) with local multipliers added and appraisers knowledge of the area and builders costs. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) The incorpredominant. PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No	Appraiser has made an effort to support opinion of icult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buit instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buit instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buit instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buit instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buit instead. OPINION OF SITE VALUE	5,000 91,800 15,360 1,000 5,600 113,760 42,660) 71,100 1,000 77,100
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable lot data has made this difficurestors, and the appraiser's knowledge of the market. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 09/16 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost figures taken from the Marshall & Swifts Cost Handbook (Rounded) with local multipliers added and appraisers knowledge of the area and builders costs. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) The incorpredominant. PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA at Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No	Appraiser has made an effort to support opinion of icult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buit instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buit instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buit instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buit instead in the status of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buit instead. OPINION OF SITE VALUE	5,000 91,800 15,360 1,000 5,600 113,760 42,660) 71,100 1,000 77,100
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable lot data has made this difficurestors, and the appraiser's knowledge of the market. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 09/16 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost figures taken from the Marshall & Swifts Cost Handbook (Rounded) with local multipliers added and appraisers knowledge of the area and builders costs. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) The incorpredominant. PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA at Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No	Appraiser has made an effort to support opinion of icult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buit instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buit instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buit instead, the opinion of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buit instead in the status of site value is determined utilizing feedback from buit instead. OPINION OF SITE VALUE	5,000 91,800 15,360 1,000 5,600 113,760 42,660) 71,100 1,000 77,100

Freddie Mac Form 70 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011

This report form is designed to report an appraisal of a one-unit property or a one-unit property with an accessory unit; including a unit in a planned unit development (PUD). This report form is not designed to report an appraisal of a manufactured home or a unit in a condominium or cooperative project.

This appraisal report is subject to the following scope of work, intended use, intended user, definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. Modifications, additions, or deletions to the intended use, intended user, definition of market value, or assumptions and limiting conditions are not permitted. The appraiser may expand the scope of work to include any additional research or analysis necessary based on the complexity of this appraisal assignment. Modifications or deletions to the certifications are also not permitted. However, additional certifications that do not constitute material alterations to this appraisal report, such as those required by law or those related to the appraiser's continuing education or membership in an appraisal organization, are permitted.

SCOPE OF WORK: The scope of work for this appraisal is defined by the complexity of this appraisal assignment and the reporting requirements of this appraisal report form, including the following definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. The appraiser must, at a minimum: (1) perform a complete visual inspection of the interior and exterior areas of the subject property, (2) inspect the neighborhood, (3) inspect each of the comparable sales from at least the street, (4) research, verify, and analyze data from reliable public and/or private sources, and (5) report his or her analysis, opinions, and conclusions in this appraisal report.

INTENDED USE: The intended use of this appraisal report is for the lender/client to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for a mortgage finance transaction.

INTENDED USER: The intended user of this appraisal report is the lender/client.

DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE: The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby: (1) buyer and seller are typically motivated; (2) both parties are well informed or well advised, and each acting in what he or she considers his or her own best interest; (3) a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market; (4) payment is made in terms of cash in U. S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and (5) the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions* granted by anyone associated with the sale.

*Adjustments to the comparables must be made for special or creative financing or sales concessions. No adjustments are necessary for those costs which are normally paid by sellers as a result of tradition or law in a market area; these costs are readily identifiable since the seller pays these costs in virtually all sales transactions. Special or creative financing adjustments can be made to the comparable property by comparisons to financing terms offered by a third party institutional lender that is not already involved in the property or transaction. Any adjustment should not be calculated on a mechanical dollar for dollar cost of the financing or concession but the dollar amount of any adjustment should approximate the market's reaction to the financing or concessions based on the appraiser's judgment.

STATEMENT OF ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS: The appraiser's certification in this report is subject to the following assumptions and limiting conditions:

- 1. The appraiser will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature that affect either the property being appraised or the title to it, except for information that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. The appraiser assumes that the title is good and marketable and will not render any opinions about the title.
- 2. The appraiser has provided a sketch in this appraisal report to show the approximate dimensions of the improvements. The sketch is included only to assist the reader in visualizing the property and understanding the appraiser's determination of its size.
- 3. The appraiser has examined the available flood maps that are provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (or other data sources) and has noted in this appraisal report whether any portion of the subject site is located in an identified Special Flood Hazard Area. Because the appraiser is not a surveyor, he or she makes no guarantees, express or implied, regarding this determination.
- 4. The appraiser will not give testimony or appear in court because he or she made an appraisal of the property in question, unless specific arrangements to do so have been made beforehand, or as otherwise required by law.
- 5. The appraiser has noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing the appraisal. Unless otherwise stated in this appraisal report, the appraiser has no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent physical deficiencies or adverse conditions of the property (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) that would make the property less valuable, and has assumed that there are no such conditions and makes no guarantees or warranties, express or implied. The appraiser will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist. Because the appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, this appraisal report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property.
- 6. The appraiser has based his or her appraisal report and valuation conclusion for an appraisal that is subject to satisfactory completion, repairs, or alterations on the assumption that the completion, repairs, or alterations of the subject property will be performed in a professional manner.

Freddie Mac Form 70 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011 Page 4 of 6

APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I have, at a minimum, developed and reported this appraisal in accordance with the scope of work requirements stated in this appraisal report.
- 2. I performed a complete visual inspection of the interior and exterior areas of the subject property. I reported the condition of the improvements in factual, specific terms. I identified and reported the physical deficiencies that could affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property.
- 3. I performed this appraisal in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 4. I developed my opinion of the market value of the real property that is the subject of this report based on the sales comparison approach to value. I have adequate comparable market data to develop a reliable sales comparison approach for this appraisal assignment. I further certify that I considered the cost and income approaches to value but did not develop them, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 5. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on any current agreement for sale for the subject property, any offering for sale of the subject property in the twelve months prior to the effective date of this appraisal, and the prior sales of the subject property for a minimum of three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 6. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on the prior sales of the comparable sales for a minimum of one year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 7. I selected and used comparable sales that are locationally, physically, and functionally the most similar to the subject property.
- 8. I have not used comparable sales that were the result of combining a land sale with the contract purchase price of a home that has been built or will be built on the land.
- 9. I have reported adjustments to the comparable sales that reflect the market's reaction to the differences between the subject property and the comparable sales.
- 10. I verified, from a disinterested source, all information in this report that was provided by parties who have a financial interest in the sale or financing of the subject property.
- 11. I have knowledge and experience in appraising this type of property in this market area.
- 12. I am aware of, and have access to, the necessary and appropriate public and private data sources, such as multiple listing services, tax assessment records, public land records and other such data sources for the area in which the property is located.
- 13. I obtained the information, estimates, and opinions furnished by other parties and expressed in this appraisal report from reliable sources that I believe to be true and correct.
- 14. I have taken into consideration the factors that have an impact on value with respect to the subject neighborhood, subject property, and the proximity of the subject property to adverse influences in the development of my opinion of market value. I have noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that I became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. I have considered these adverse conditions in my analysis of the property value, and have reported on the effect of the conditions on the value and marketability of the subject property.
- 15. I have not knowingly withheld any significant information from this appraisal report and, to the best of my knowledge, all statements and information in this appraisal report are true and correct.
- 16. I stated in this appraisal report my own personal, unbiased, and professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions, which are subject only to the assumptions and limiting conditions in this appraisal report.
- 17. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and I have no present or prospective personal interest or bias with respect to the participants in the transaction. I did not base, either partially or completely, my analysis and/or opinion of market value in this appraisal report on the race, color, religion, sex, age, marital status, handicap, familial status, or national origin of either the prospective owners or occupants of the subject property or of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property or on any other basis prohibited by law.
- 18. My employment and/or compensation for performing this appraisal or any future or anticipated appraisals was not conditioned on any agreement or understanding, written or otherwise, that I would report (or present analysis supporting) a predetermined specific value, a predetermined minimum value, a range or direction in value, a value that favors the cause of any party, or the attainment of a specific result or occurrence of a specific subsequent event (such as approval of a pending mortgage loan application).
- 19. I personally prepared all conclusions and opinions about the real estate that were set forth in this appraisal report. If I relied on significant real property appraisal assistance from any individual or individuals in the performance of this appraisal or the preparation of this appraisal report, I have named such individual(s) and disclosed the specific tasks performed in this appraisal report. I certify that any individual so named is qualified to perform the tasks. I have not authorized anyone to make a change to any item in this appraisal report; therefore, any change made to this appraisal is unauthorized and I will take no responsibility for it.
- 20. I identified the lender/client in this appraisal report who is the individual, organization, or agent for the organization that ordered and will receive this appraisal report.

Freddie Mac Form 70 March 2005

- 21. The lender/client may disclose or distribute this appraisal report to: the borrower; another lender at the request of the borrower; the mortgagee or its successors and assigns; mortgage insurers; government sponsored enterprises; other secondary market participants; data collection or reporting services; professional appraisal organizations; any agency, or instrumentality of the United States; and any state, the District of Columbia, or other jurisdictions; without having to obtain the appraiser's or supervisory appraiser's (if applicable) consent. Such consent must be obtained before this appraisal report may be disclosed or distributed to any other party (including, but not limited to, the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media).
- I am aware that any disclosure or distribution of this appraisal report by me or the lender/client may be subject to certain laws and regulations. Further, I am also subject to the provisions of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that pertain to disclosure or distribution by me.
- 23. The borrower, another lender at the request of the borrower, the mortgagee or its successors and assigns, mortgage insurers, government sponsored enterprises, and other secondary market participants may rely on this appraisal report as part of any mortgage finance transaction that involves any one or more of these parties.
- 24. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.
- 25. Any intentional or negligent misrepresentation(s) contained in this appraisal report may result in civil liability and/or criminal penalties including, but not limited to, fine or imprisonment or both under the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001, et seq., or similar state laws.

SUPERVISORY APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Supervisory Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I directly supervised the appraiser for this appraisal assignment, have read the appraisal report, and agree with the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 2. I accept full responsibility for the contents of this appraisal report including, but not limited to, the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 3. The appraiser identified in this appraisal report is either a sub-contractor or an employee of the supervisory appraiser (or the appraisal firm), is qualified to perform this appraisal, and is acceptable to perform this appraisal under the applicable state law.
- 4. This appraisal report complies with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 5. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.

APPRAISER	SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (ONLY IF REQUIRED)
Signature	Signature
Name Matthew Shaouni	Name
Company Name Flight Appraisal	Company Name
Company Address 42874 Mound Rd	Company Address
Sterling Heights, MI 48314	
Telephone Number (248) 798-0284	Telephone Number
Email Address flightappraisals@outlook.com	Email Address
Date of Signature and Report 06/21/2017	Date of Signature
Effective Date of Appraisal <u>06/15/2017</u>	State Certification #
State Certification #	or State License #
or State License # <u>1201074225</u>	State
or Other (describe) State #	Expiration Date of Certification or License
State MI	
Expiration Date of Certification or License <u>07/31/2017</u>	SUBJECT PROPERTY
ADDRESS OF BRODERTY ADDRASCED	☐ Did not inspect subject property
ADDRESS OF PROPERTY APPRAISED	Did inspect exterior of subject property from street
1560 Leblanc St	Date of Inspection
Lincoln Park, MI 48146	Did inspect interior and exterior of subject property
APPRAISED VALUE OF SUBJECT PROPERTY \$ 75,000	Date of Inspection
LENDER/CLIENT	·
Name Appraisal Nation	COMPARABLE SALES
Company Name Colony American Finance	
Company Address 4 Park Plaza, Suite 1950, Irvine, CA 92614	Did not inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
	Did inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Email Address	Date of Inspection

Freddie Mac Form 70 March 2005

ANS-221462 File # 0517-154

FEATURE	SUBJECT	COMPARAB	LE SALE # 4	COMPARABI	LE SALE # 5	COMPARA	BLE SALE # 6
Address 1560 Leblanc St		1564 Ford Blvd		1579 Leblanc St		4151 Coolidge Ave)
Lincoln Park, MI 4814	16	Lincoln Park, MI 481	46	Lincoln Park, MI 481	46	Lincoln Park, MI 4	
Proximity to Subject		0.08 miles S		0.04 miles SW		0.48 miles SW	
Sale Price	\$		\$ 84,000		\$ 59,900		\$ 75,000
Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$ sq.ft.	\$ 77.78 sq.ft.		\$ 63.79 sq.ft.		\$ 73.53 sq.	-,,
Data Source(s)		Realcomp#21609957		Realcomp#57003445		Realcomp#520313	
Verification Source(s)		City Website	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	City Website	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	City Website	20112,20m 00
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DESCRIPTION	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPTION	+ (-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment
Sales or Financing	DECOMM HOW	ArmLth	1 () \$ Najasanone	ArmLth	ι () φ παμασιποπε		τ () φ παμασιποπί
=			4.500	1		Listing	
Concessions		FHA;1500	-1,500	FHA;0			
Date of Sale/Time		s12/16;c10/16		s08/16;c07/16		Active	0
Location	N;Res;	N;Res;		N;Res;		N;Res;	
Leasehold/Fee Simple	Fee Simple	Fee Simple		Fee Simple		Fee Simple	
Site	4760 sf	6300 sf	0	4400 sf	0	8750 sf	0
View	N;Res;	N;Res;		N;Res;		N;Res;	
Design (Style)	DT2;Bungalow	DT2;Bungalow		DT2;Bungalow		DT2;Bungalow	
Quality of Construction	Q4	Q4		Q4		Q4	
Actual Age	76	68	_	76		93	0
Condition							U
	C4	C3	-5,000			C4	
Above Grade	Total Bdrms. Baths	Total Bdrms. Baths		Total Bdrms. Baths		Total Bdrms. Bath	
Room Count	5 3 1.0	5 3 1.0		6 3 1.0	0		
Gross Living Area	1,080 sq.ft.	1,080 sq.ft.		939 sq.ft.	+4,900	· ·	ft. 0
Basement & Finished	768sf461sfin	720sf25sfin	+970	744sf0sfin	+970	816sf0sfin	+830
Rooms Below Grade	1rr0br0.1ba0o	0rr0br1.0ba0o	-500		+500		+500
Functional Utility	Average	Average		Average		Average	
Heating/Cooling	FWA/None	FWA/CAC	_1 000	FWA/CAC	-1 000	FWA/None	
Energy Efficient Items			-1,000		-1,000		
	None	None		None	+	None	
Garage/Carport	1gd1dw	2gd2dw	-2,500	1gd1dw	-	1dw	+2,500
Porch/Patio/Deck	Porch	Porch	-	Porch		Porch	
School District	Lincoln Park	Lincoln Park		Lincoln Park		Lincoln Park	
Net Adjustment (Total)		□ + □ -	\$ -9.530	X +	\$ 5,370	X +	\$ 3,830
Adjusted Sale Price		Net Adj. 11.3 %		Net Adj. 9.0 %		Net Adj. 5.1	%
of Comparables		Gross Adj. 13.7 %		Gross Adj. 12.3 %			% \$ 78,830
Report the results of the research a	and analysis of the prior						⁷⁰ Ψ
ITEM		JBJECT	COMPARABLE SA		OMPARABLE SALE #		ARABLE SALE # 6
Date of Prior Sale/Transfer	00	DOLOT	OOWII AITABLE OF	ALL # 4	OWN ANADEL OALL # ,	J OOIVII	AITABLE OALL # 0
Date of Filor Sale/ Hallster							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer							
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s)	Wayne County		Wayne County Record		County Records		inty Records
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s)	06/15/2017		06/15/2017	ds Wayne 06/15/2		Wayne Cou 06/15/2017	inty Records
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s)	06/15/2017		06/15/2017				inty Records
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi	06/15/2017		06/15/2017				inty Records
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s)	06/15/2017		06/15/2017				inty Records
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi	06/15/2017		06/15/2017				inty Records
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi	06/15/2017		06/15/2017				inty Records
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi	06/15/2017		06/15/2017				inty Records
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi	06/15/2017		06/15/2017				inty Records
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi	06/15/2017		06/15/2017				inty Records
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi	06/15/2017		06/15/2017				inty Records
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi	06/15/2017		06/15/2017				inty Records
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi	06/15/2017		06/15/2017				inty Records
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi	06/15/2017		06/15/2017				inty Records
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi	06/15/2017		06/15/2017				inty Records
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi	06/15/2017		06/15/2017				inty Records
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi	06/15/2017		06/15/2017				inty Records
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi	06/15/2017		06/15/2017				inty Records
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi	06/15/2017		06/15/2017				inty Records
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi Analysis/Comments	06/15/2017		06/15/2017				inty Records
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi Analysis/Comments	06/15/2017		06/15/2017				inty Records
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi Analysis/Comments	06/15/2017		06/15/2017				Inty Records
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi Analysis/Comments	06/15/2017		06/15/2017				Inty Records
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi	06/15/2017		06/15/2017				Inty Records
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi Analysis/Comments	06/15/2017		06/15/2017				Inty Records
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi Analysis/Comments	06/15/2017		06/15/2017				Inty Records
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi Analysis/Comments	06/15/2017		06/15/2017				inty Records
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi Analysis/Comments	06/15/2017		06/15/2017				Inty Records
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi Analysis/Comments	06/15/2017		06/15/2017				Inty Records
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi Analysis/Comments	06/15/2017		06/15/2017				Inty Records
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi Analysis/Comments	06/15/2017		06/15/2017				Inty Records
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi Analysis/Comments	06/15/2017		06/15/2017				Inty Records
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi Analysis/Comments	06/15/2017		06/15/2017				Inty Records
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi Analysis/Comments	06/15/2017		06/15/2017				Inty Records
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi Analysis/Comments	06/15/2017		06/15/2017				Inty Records
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi Analysis/Comments	06/15/2017		06/15/2017				Inty Records
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi Analysis/Comments	06/15/2017		06/15/2017				Inty Records
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi Analysis/Comments	06/15/2017		06/15/2017				inty Records

ANS-221462 File # 0517-154

	FEATURE		SUBJECT		COMP	ARARI	_E SALE # 7	COM		LE SALE # 8	1 110 11	COM		E SALE # 9
				4007.6	Saint Joh		•	001	וו הווהטנ	LE OALL # 0		OOIVII	ותווחטו	L OALL # 9
	Lincoln Park, MI 4814	6			In Park, I	VII 481	46							
	Proximity to Subject			0.36 m	niles SE		I.			I.				Γ.
		\$					\$ 63,000			\$				\$
	Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$	sq.ft.	\$	54.69	sq.ft.		\$	sq.ft.		\$		sq.ft.	
	Data Source(s)			Realco			1;DOM 91							
	Verification Source(s)				/ebsite									
	VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	Г	ESCRIPTION		SCRIPTION	N	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPT	ION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DF	SCRIPTI	ON	+(-) \$ Adjustment
	Sales or Financing					,,,	1 () \$ riajasanishi	DEGOTHI I	1011	1 () \$ Najasansin			011	i () φ riajaotinont
				Listing	9									
	Concessions													
	Date of Sale/Time			Active			0	1						
-		N;Re	s;	N;Res	;									
ဒ္	Leasehold/Fee Simple	Fee	Simple	Fee Si	imple									
ò	Site	4760	sf	6534 s	sf		0							
ţ		N;Re		N;Res										
₹	Design (Style)				, Bungalov	v								
					ungalov	<u>v</u>								
3	•	Q4		Q4										
₹		76		66			0	1						
⋛		C4		C4				-						
3	Above Grade	Tota	l Bdrms. Baths	Total	Bdrms.	Baths		Total Bdrms.	Baths		Total	Bdrms.	Baths	
S	Room Count	5	3 1.0	6	3	1.0	0							
₹	Gross Living Area		1,080 sq.ft.		1,152	sq.ft.	0		sq.ft.				sq.ft.	
Ø	Basement & Finished	7685		768sf0			+920							
			or0.1ba0o	. 50010			+500							
	Functional Utility			A	~		. 300							
	-	Aver		Avera										
	Heating/Cooling			FWA/0	JAC		-1,000							
	Energy Efficient Items	None		None										
	Garage/Carport	1gd1	dw	1cp1d	w		+2,000							
	Porch/Patio/Deck	Porc	h	Porch	/Deck		-1,000							
	School District	Linc	oln Park	Lincol	ln Park									
	Net Adjustment (Total)			∇	+	٦.	\$ 1,420	+	—	\$		+	٦.	\$
	Adjusted Sale Price			Net Ad		2.3 %	-,	Net Adj.	<u> </u>		Net Ad			
									%		Gross		%	
	of Comparables			Gross		8.6 %		Gross Adj.						φ
	Report the results of the research a	and an	alysis of the prior	sale or	transter	nistory	/ OT THE SUNIECT DYONERT\	<i>i</i> and comparar	ne sales	Trenort additional prior	saies or	i nade 3)	
									_					
	ITEM			BJECT			COMPARABLE SA		CI	OMPARABLE SALE # {				ABLE SALE # 9
	Date of Prior Sale/Transfer			BJECT					C					ABLE SALE # 9
_				BJECT					CI					ABLE SALE # 9
ORY	Date of Prior Sale/Transfer		SU			,	COMPARABLE SA	ALE # 7	C					ABLE SALE # 9
STORY	Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s)		SU Wayne County F				COMPARABLE SA	ALE # 7	CI					ABLE SALE # 9
HISTORY	Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s)		SU Wayne County F 06/15/2017	Record	ls		COMPARABLE SA Wayne County Record 06/15/2017	ALE # 7	CI					ABLE SALE # 9
LE HISTORY	Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s)		SU Wayne County F 06/15/2017	Record	ls		COMPARABLE SA Wayne County Record 06/15/2017	ALE # 7	CI					ABLE SALE # 9
SALE HISTORY	Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s)		SU Wayne County F 06/15/2017	Record	ls		COMPARABLE SA Wayne County Record 06/15/2017	ALE # 7	C					ABLE SALE # 9
SALE HISTORY	Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s)		SU Wayne County F 06/15/2017	Record	ls		COMPARABLE SA Wayne County Record 06/15/2017	ALE # 7	CI					ABLE SALE # 9
	Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s)		SU Wayne County F 06/15/2017	Record	ls		COMPARABLE SA Wayne County Record 06/15/2017	ALE # 7	C					ABLE SALE # 9
SALE HISTORY	Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s)		SU Wayne County F 06/15/2017	Record	ls		COMPARABLE SA Wayne County Record 06/15/2017	ALE # 7	C					ABLE SALE # 9
SALE HISTORY	Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his		SU Wayne County F 06/15/2017	Record	ls		COMPARABLE SA Wayne County Record 06/15/2017	ALE # 7	C					ABLE SALE # 9
SALE HISTORY	Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s)		SU Wayne County F 06/15/2017	Record	ls		COMPARABLE SA Wayne County Record 06/15/2017	ALE # 7	C					ABLE SALE # 9
SALE HISTORY	Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his		SU Wayne County F 06/15/2017	Record	ls		COMPARABLE SA Wayne County Record 06/15/2017	ALE # 7	C					ABLE SALE # 9
SALE HISTORY	Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his		SU Wayne County F 06/15/2017	Record	ls		COMPARABLE SA Wayne County Record 06/15/2017	ALE # 7	C					ABLE SALE # 9
SALE HISTORY	Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his		SU Wayne County F 06/15/2017	Record	ls		COMPARABLE SA Wayne County Record 06/15/2017	ALE # 7	C					ABLE SALE # 9
SALE HISTORY	Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his		SU Wayne County F 06/15/2017	Record	ls		COMPARABLE SA Wayne County Record 06/15/2017	ALE # 7	C					ABLE SALE # 9
SALE HISTORY	Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his		SU Wayne County F 06/15/2017	Record	ls		COMPARABLE SA Wayne County Record 06/15/2017	ALE # 7	C					ABLE SALE # 9
SALE HISTORY	Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his		SU Wayne County F 06/15/2017	Record	ls		COMPARABLE SA Wayne County Record 06/15/2017	ALE # 7	C					ABLE SALE # 9
SALE HISTORY	Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his		SU Wayne County F 06/15/2017	Record	ls		COMPARABLE SA Wayne County Record 06/15/2017	ALE # 7	C					ABLE SALE # 9
SALE HISTORY	Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his		SU Wayne County F 06/15/2017	Record	ls		COMPARABLE SA Wayne County Record 06/15/2017	ALE # 7	C					ABLE SALE # 9
SALE HISTORY	Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his		SU Wayne County F 06/15/2017	Record	ls		COMPARABLE SA Wayne County Record 06/15/2017	ALE # 7	C					ABLE SALE # 9
	Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his		SU Wayne County F 06/15/2017	Record	ls		COMPARABLE SA Wayne County Record 06/15/2017	ALE # 7	C					ABLE SALE # 9
	Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his		SU Wayne County F 06/15/2017	Record	ls		COMPARABLE SA Wayne County Record 06/15/2017	ALE # 7	C					ABLE SALE # 9
	Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his		SU Wayne County F 06/15/2017	Record	ls		COMPARABLE SA Wayne County Record 06/15/2017	ALE # 7	C					ABLE SALE # 9
	Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his		SU Wayne County F 06/15/2017	Record	ls		COMPARABLE SA Wayne County Record 06/15/2017	ALE # 7	C					ABLE SALE # 9
	Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his		SU Wayne County F 06/15/2017	Record	ls		COMPARABLE SA Wayne County Record 06/15/2017	ALE # 7	C					ABLE SALE # 9
	Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his		SU Wayne County F 06/15/2017	Record	ls		COMPARABLE SA Wayne County Record 06/15/2017	ALE # 7	C					ABLE SALE # 9
	Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his		SU Wayne County F 06/15/2017	Record	ls		COMPARABLE SA Wayne County Record 06/15/2017	ALE # 7	C					ABLE SALE # 9
	Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his		SU Wayne County F 06/15/2017	Record	ls		COMPARABLE SA Wayne County Record 06/15/2017	ALE # 7	C					ABLE SALE # 9
	Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his		SU Wayne County F 06/15/2017	Record	ls		COMPARABLE SA Wayne County Record 06/15/2017	ALE # 7	C					ABLE SALE # 9
ANALYSIS / COMMEN IS SALE HISTORY	Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his		SU Wayne County F 06/15/2017	Record	ls		COMPARABLE SA Wayne County Record 06/15/2017	ALE # 7	C					ABLE SALE # 9
	Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his		SU Wayne County F 06/15/2017	Record	ls		COMPARABLE SA Wayne County Record 06/15/2017	ALE # 7	C					ABLE SALE # 9
	Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his		SU Wayne County F 06/15/2017	Record	ls		COMPARABLE SA Wayne County Record 06/15/2017	ALE # 7	C					ABLE SALE # 9
	Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his		SU Wayne County F 06/15/2017	Record	ls		COMPARABLE SA Wayne County Record 06/15/2017	ALE # 7	C					ABLE SALE # 9
	Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his		SU Wayne County F 06/15/2017	Record	ls		COMPARABLE SA Wayne County Record 06/15/2017	ALE # 7	C					ABLE SALE # 9
	Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his		SU Wayne County F 06/15/2017	Record	ls		COMPARABLE SA Wayne County Record 06/15/2017	ALE # 7	C					ABLE SALE # 9
	Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his		SU Wayne County F 06/15/2017	Record	ls		COMPARABLE SA Wayne County Record 06/15/2017	ALE # 7	C					ABLE SALE # 9
	Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his		SU Wayne County F 06/15/2017	Record	ls		COMPARABLE SA Wayne County Record 06/15/2017	ALE # 7	C					ABLE SALE # 9
	Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his		SU Wayne County F 06/15/2017	Record	ls		COMPARABLE SA Wayne County Record 06/15/2017	ALE # 7	C					ABLE SALE # 9
	Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his		SU Wayne County F 06/15/2017	Record	ls		COMPARABLE SA Wayne County Record 06/15/2017	ALE # 7	C					ABLE SALE # 9

ANS-221462 File No. 0517-154

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM

(Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

Condition Ratings and Definitions

С1

The improvements have been recently constructed and have not been previously occupied. The entire structure and all components are new and the dwelling features no physical depreciation.

Note: Newly constructed improvements that feature recycled or previously used materials and/or components can be considered new dwellings provided that the dwelling is placed on a 100 percent new foundation and the recycled materials and the recycled components have been rehabilitated/remanufactured into like-new condition. Improvements that have not been previously occupied are not considered "new" if they have any significant physical depreciation (that is, newly constructed dwellings that have been vacant for an extended period of time without adequate maintenance or upkeep).

C2

The improvements feature no deferred maintenance, little or no physical depreciation, and require no repairs. Virtually all building components are new or have been recently repaired, refinished, or rehabilitated. All outdated components and finishes have been updated and/or replaced with components that meet current standards. Dwellings in this category are either almost new or have been recently completely renovated and are similar in condition to new construction.

Note: The improvements represent a relatively new property that is well maintained with no deferred maintenance and little or no physical depreciation, or an older property that has been recently completely renovated.

C3

The improvements are well maintained and feature limited physical depreciation due to normal wear and tear. Some components, but not every major building component, may be updated or recently rehabilitated. The structure has been well maintained.

Note: The improvement is in its first-cycle of replacing short-lived building components (appliances, floor coverings, HVAC, etc.) and is being well maintained. Its estimated effective age is less than its actual age. It also may reflect a property in which the majority of short-lived building components have been replaced but not to the level of a complete renovation.

C/

The improvements feature some minor deferred maintenance and physical deterioration due to normal wear and tear. The dwelling has been adequately maintained and requires only minimal repairs to building components/mechanical systems and cosmetic repairs. All major building components have been adequately maintained and are functionally adequate.

Note: The estimated effective age may be close to or equal to its actual age. It reflects a property in which some of the short-lived building components have been replaced, and some short-lived building components are at or near the end of their physical life expectancy; however, they still function adequately. Most minor repairs have been addressed on an ongoing basis resulting in an adequately maintained property.

C5

The improvements feature obvious deferred maintenance and are in need of some significant repairs. Some building components need repairs, rehabilitation, or updating. The functional utility and overall livability is somewhat diminished due to condition, but the dwelling remains useable and functional as a residence.

Note: Some significant repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance. It reflects a property in which many of its short-lived building components are at the end of or have exceeded their physical life expectancy but remain functional.

C6

The improvements have substantial damage or deferred maintenance with deficiencies or defects that are severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements. The improvements are in need of substantial repairs and rehabilitation, including many or most major components.

Note: Substantial repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance or property damage. It reflects a property with conditions severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements.

Quality Ratings and Definitions

Q-

Dwellings with this quality rating are usually unique structures that are individually designed by an architect for a specified user. Such residences typically are constructed from detailed architectural plans and specifications and feature an exceptionally high level of workmanship and exceptionally high-grade materials throughout the interior and exterior of the structure. The design features exceptionally high-quality exterior refinements and ornamentation, and exceptionally high-quality interior refinements. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are of exceptionally high quality.

Q2

Dwellings with this quality rating are often custom designed for construction on an individual property owner's site. However, dwellings in this quality grade are also found in high-quality tract developments featuring residence constructed from individual plans or from highly modified or upgraded plans. The design features detailed, high quality exterior ornamentation, high-quality interior refinements, and detail. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are generally of high or very high quality.

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM

(Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

Quality Ratings and Definitions (continued)

Q3

Dwellings with this quality rating are residences of higher quality built from individual or readily available designer plans in above-standard residential tract developments or on an individual property owner's site. The design includes significant exterior ornamentation and interiors that are well finished. The workmanship exceeds acceptable standards and many materials and finishes throughout the dwelling have been upgraded from "stock" standards.

Ω4

Dwellings with this quality rating meet or exceed the requirements of applicable building codes. Standard or modified standard building plans are utilized and the design includes adequate fenestration and some exterior ornamentation and interior refinements. Materials, workmanship, finish, and equipment are of stock or builder grade and may feature some upgrades.

Q5

Dwellings with this quality rating feature economy of construction and basic functionality as main considerations. Such dwellings feature a plain design using readily available or basic floor plans featuring minimal fenestration and basic finishes with minimal exterior ornamentation and limited interior detail. These dwellings meet minimum building codes and are constructed with inexpensive, stock materials with limited refinements and ungrades.

Q6

Dwellings with this quality rating are of basic quality and lower cost; some may not be suitable for year-round occupancy. Such dwellings are often built with simple plans or without plans, often utilizing the lowest quality building materials. Such dwellings are often built or expanded by persons who are professionally unskilled or possess only minimal construction skills. Electrical, plumbing, and other mechanical systems and equipment may be minimal or non-existent. Older dwellings may feature one or more substandard or non-conforming additions to the original structure

Definitions of Not Updated, Updated, and Remodeled

Not Updated

Little or no updating or modernization. This description includes, but is not limited to, new homes.

Residential properties of fifteen years of age or less often reflect an original condition with no updating, if no major components have been replaced or updated. Those over fifteen years of age are also considered not updated if the appliances, fixtures, and finishes are predominantly dated. An area that is 'Not Updated' may still be well maintained and fully functional, and this rating does not necessarily imply deferred maintenance or physical/functional deterioration.

Updated

The area of the home has been modified to meet current market expectations. These modifications are limited in terms of both scope and cost.

An updated area of the home should have an improved look and feel, or functional utility. Changes that constitute updates include refurbishment and/or replacing components to meet existing market expectations. Updates do not include significant alterations to the existing structure.

Remodeled

Significant finish and/or structural changes have been made that increase utility and appeal through complete replacement and/or expansion.

A remodeled area reflects fundamental changes that include multiple alterations. These alterations may include some or all of the following: replacement of a major component (cabinet(s), bathtub, or bathroom tile), relocation of plumbing/gas fixtures/appliances, significant structural alterations (relocating walls, and/or the addition of) square footage). This would include a complete gutting and rebuild.

Explanation of Bathroom Count

Three-quarter baths are counted as a full bath in all cases. Quarter baths (baths that feature only a toilet) are not included in the bathroom count. The number of full and half baths is reported by separating the two values using a period, where the full bath count is represented to the left of the period and the half bath count is represented to the right of the period.

Example:

3.2 indicates three full baths and two half baths.

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM (Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

Abbreviations Used in Data Standardization Text

Abbreviation	Full Name	Fields Where This Abbreviation May Appear
А	Adverse	Location & View
ac	Acres	Area, Site
AdjPrk AdjPwr	Adjacent to Park	Location
ArmLth	Adjacent to Power Lines Arms Length Sale	Location Sale or Financing Concessions
AT	Attached Structure	Design (Style)
В	Beneficial	Location & View
ba	Bathroom(s)	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
br	Bedroom	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
BsyRd	Busy Road	Location
C	Contracted Date	Date of Sale/Time
Cash	Cash Commercial Influence	Sale or Financing Concessions Location
Comm	Conventional	Sale or Financing Concessions
Ср	Carport	Garage/Carport
CrtOrd	Court Ordered Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
CtySky	City View Skyline View	View
CtyStr	City Street View	View
CV	Covered	Garage/Carport
DOM	Days On Market	Data Sources
DT	Detached Structure	Design (Style)
dw	Driveway Evoiration Data	Garage/Carport
Estate	Expiration Date Estate Sale	Date of Sale/Time Sale or Financing Concessions
FHA	Estate Sale Federal Housing Authority	Sale or Financing Concessions Sale or Financing Concessions
g	Garage	Garage/Carport
ga	Attached Garage	Garage/Carport
gbi	Built-in Garage	Garage/Carport
gd	Detached Garage	Garage/Carport
GlfCse	Golf Course	Location
Glfvw	Golf Course View	View
GR	Garden	Design (Style)
HR	High Rise	Design (Style)
in	Interior Only Stairs	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
Ind	Industrial	Location & View
Listing	Listing	Sale or Financing Concessions
Lndfl	Landfill	Location View
LtdSght MR	Limited Sight Mid-rise	Design (Style)
Mtn	Mountain View	View
N	Neutral	Location & View
NonArm	Non-Arms Length Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
0	Other	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
0	Other	Design (Style)
ор	Open	Garage/Carport
Prk	Park View	View
Pstrl	Pastoral View	View
PwrLn	Power Lines	View
PubTrn	Public Transportation	Location Solo or Financing Concessions
Relo REO	Relocation Sale REO Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions Sale or Financing Concessions
Res	Residential	Location & View
RH	USDA - Rural Housing	Sale or Financing Concessions
rr	Recreational (Rec) Room	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
RT	Row or Townhouse	Design (Style)
S	Settlement Date	Date of Sale/Time
SD	Semi-detached Structure	Design (Style)
Short	Short Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
sf	Square Feet	Area, Site, Basement
sqm	Square Meters	Area, Site
Unk	Unknown Veterana Administration	Date of Sale/Time
VA w	Veterans Administration Withdrawn Date	Sale or Financing Concessions Date of Sale/Time
wo	Walk Out Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
Woods	Woods View	View
Wtr	Water View	View
WtrFr	Water Frontage	Location
wu	Walk Up Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade

Sunnlemental Addendum

		Supplementa	I Addendum		F	ile No. 0517-154	
Borrower	Rudalev MI II						
Property Address	1560 Leblanc St						
City	Lincoln Park	County	Wayne	State	MI	Zip Code 4	8146
Lender/Client	Colony American Finance						

SUBJECT DATA

PRIOR SERVICE

I have performed no services, as an appraiser or in any other capacity, regarding the property that is the subject of this report within the three-year period immediately preceding acceptance of this assignment.

The zoning designation in the site section is as noted. This is the only information available and should not be relied upon by any third party. No other specific guidelines are with the subject's zoning. The appraiser recommends that the Client or any third party first verify information regarding zoning and permitted use of the subject property including such items as set back requirements, minimum or maximum sizes allowed, non-conforming uses, etc. The appraiser is not responsible for any errors or changes regarding zoning matters.

NEIGHBORHOOD BUILT-UP AND LAND USE

There does not appear to be a clear definition of built-up vs. land use of a neighborhood in USPAP. Therefore, in this appraisal, the following will be used as definitions:

Built-up - The % built up is for the buildable land, both commercial and residential, in the neighborhood. There is no assumption of future land splits and such. Also, the appraiser has no knowledge as to the large City/County owned properties if they are usable and/or buildable and therefore, not included in the built-up percentage.

Land use - The % land use is for all land and bodies of water in the neighborhood.

The data provided is only an estimate, as an exact figure would be beyond the scope of work of this appraisal. This does not appear to adversely affect the credibility of this appraisal.

CONDITION OF IMPROVEMENTS

All updates made to the subject property are considered and included in the "Condition" rating and all of the upgrades are considered and included in the "Quality of Construction" rating obtained from Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D. See attached definitions.

Subject's characteristics include but not limited to: The subject's location is considered to be residential, the view is residential, and the site is a typical subdivision lot for the area.

Based on a visual inspection of the roof and the interior ceilings for signs of leaks, there does not appear to be any damage to the roof. All the utilities are on and appear to be in working order.

The basement is finished with a rec room and a half bath.

The exterior amenities include: Porch, and a 1 car garage. The interior of the garage has been inspected and no health, safety, or marketability issues noted.

INCOME APPROACH - The appraiser has considered the income approach in this assignment. The subject is a single-family dwelling, and there is insufficient rental data for similar homes in this market area to produce a reliable estimate of market rent for the subject, and a GRM. Because it could not be reliably developed, the income approach has been omitted in this appraisal.

COST APPROACH - The cost approach has been included solely at the request of the client. It was given no weight in arriving at the final opinion of value because of the difficulty in developing and supporting estimates of cost new and accrued depreciation. There is limited data available with which to reliably develop this approach for a structure of this age, and therefore the results of this approach are not considered meaningful or relevant. The estimates of cost new, accrued depreciation, site value, as well as the value indicated by this approach, should not be relied upon by any party for any reason. Appraiser does not assume responsibility for misuse of data and conclusions by others. This appraisal is not to be used by any party for insurance purposes.

SALES COMPARISON COMMENTS

The appraiser has chosen what are believed to be the most current and similar comparables available from the marketplace. Therefore, the comparables are considered reliable indicators of value. Adjustments in the "Market Data Approach" are based on market extraction, not cost figures.

CONCESSIONS - In this market area, seller concessions are common and therefore, adjusted dollar for dollar.

SITE - The adjustment for site is determined not only for size but for utility and contribution to the overall demand of the location. If the site is on acreage, a cul de sac or other premium location the adjustment, if any, would be determined on the appraiser's estimate of overall 'market value' contribution. Appropriate adjustments are made if

BEDROOMS - In this market, 3+ bedroom homes are considered as "typical" for market. In this appraisal, bedroom counts of less than 3 are adjusted accordingly.

BATHROOMS - In the grid, the bathroom count is noted as 1 for each full bath and as 0.1 for each half bath. Bathrooms in the basement are not considered in the total above ground count but are included in the overall basement finish.

FIREPLACE & SHED - If the subject has a fireplace and/or a shed, the appraiser makes no adjustment for these due to the fact that there is no data to suggest added value to the home. Therefore no adjustments is warranted. BASEMENT & FINISH - The subject's basement size and amount finished will be reported for the subject. For comparables, the appraiser will make an attempt to interview the RE agents involved in this transaction in the normal

Supplemental Addendum

		Cappionicital Addonadii	1110	10. 0317-134	
Borrower	Rudalev MI II				
Property Address	1560 Leblanc St				
City	Lincoln Park	County Wayne	State MI	Zip Code 48146	
Lender/Client	Colony American Finance				

File No. 0517 154

course of business to obtain relevant basement data. In the event there is no response from RE agents, the appraiser will make the following "extraordinary assumptions":

a) That the basement data on the listing ticket is correct. b) If the basement is noted in the listing ticket to be "finished", then it will be noted in the grid as 80% finished. c) If the basement is noted in the listing ticket to be "part-finished", then it will be noted in the grid as 50% finished.

The above assumptions are market driven and based on a "typical" buyers perception of finished area in a basement. In this section, the appraiser will make any and all appropriate adjustments pertaining to the size, finish, and the foundation type of the basement.

ROOMS BELOW GRADE - The subject's rooms below grade will be noted and adjusted for in this section. The appraiser makes an adjustment for bath count and kitchen only (if available) in this section of the grid. Difference in room count is not adjusted for as this would be considered a "personal preference". That is, to have the basement partitioned or have one large room is a personal preference.

Basement adjustments are made as follows:

Size \$2 sf. Finish \$2 sf.

AGE - All comparables are within FNMA guidelines. No adjustment is made for age difference of less than 25 years.

SIZE - All comparables are within the acceptable 20% difference in size. No adjustment is made for GLA differences of less than 100 square feet.

GARAGE - In this market and according to feedback from local realtors, it appears that an attached garage adds more value to a home than a detached garage. Therefore, an appropriate adjustments is made when warranted.

SALE DATES (greater than 6 months) - The subject is located in a stable market. Due to a lack of qualified closed comparative data of similar size, condition, and utility in the subject's immediate area, it is necessary to exceed FNMA guidelines for sold dates of greater than six months. No adjustment is warranted at this time for sale date. This is acceptable in this market area.

HIGH/LOW ADJUSTED VALUES - The high/low ratio of the adjusted values exceeds the acceptable 15% due to the varying intangibles such as condition/updates/upgrades of homes in the area, and lack of sales.

ADJUSTMENTS - Adjustments to the comparables on the sales grid were calculated using quantitative (paired sales) whenever possible, often some features of the subject requiring adjustment were determined using data accumulated from the appraiser's database, peer discussions, education, and typical market reaction observed through the appraisers familiarity with the market.

The above variances, with the appropriate adjustments, will not adversely affect value.

EXPOSURE TIME

In appraiser's opinion, a reasonable exposure time for the subject property developed independently from the stated marketing time is 1 - 3 months.

OPINION OF VALUE DEVELOPMENT

Comp 1 is most similar to subject in size, condition, and therefore, given primary weight.

The remaining comparables are in order of similarity to the subject and weighted, in that order, in developing the final opinion of market value. The listings provided, are not used to develop but only to support value.

Market Conditions Addendum to the Appraisal Report File No.

ANS-221462 No. 0517-154

The purpose of this addendum is to provide the lender/client with a clear and accurate understanding of the market trends and conditions prevalent in the subject neighborhood. This is a required addendum for all appraisal reports with an effective date on or after April 1, 2009. ZIP Code 48146 Property Address 1560 Leblanc St City Lincoln Park Borrower Rudalev MI II Instructions: The appraiser must use the information required on this form as the basis for his/her conclusions, and must provide support for those conclusions, regarding housing trends and overall market conditions as reported in the Neighborhood section of the appraisal report form. The appraiser must fill in all the information to the extent it is available and reliable and must provide analysis as indicated below. If any required data is unavailable or is considered unreliable, the appraiser must provide an explanation. It is recognized that not all data sources will be able to provide data for the shaded areas below; if it is available, however, the appraiser must include the data in the analysis. If data sources provide the required information as an average instead of the median, the appraiser should report the available figure and identify it as an average. Sales and listings must be properties that compete with the subject property, determined by applying the criteria that would be used by a prospective buyer of the subject property. The appraiser must explain any anomalies in the data, such as seasonal markets, new construction, foreclosures, etc. Prior 7-12 Months Inventory Analysis Prior 4-6 Months Current - 3 Months Overall Trend Declining Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) 41 Increasing Stable 14 21 Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Increasing Stable Declining 7.00 6.83 4.67 Increasing Total # of Comparable Active Listings Declining Stable 9 3 10 Months of Housing Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Declining Stable Increasing 1.3 0.6 1.4 Median Sale & List Price, DOM, Sale/List % Prior 7-12 Months Prior 4-6 Months Current - 3 Months Overall Trend Increasing Declining Median Comparable Sale Price Stable \$75,500 \$79,900 \$77,500 Median Comparable Sales Days on Market Declining Stable Increasing 9 16.5 13 1 Stable Declining Median Comparable List Price \$80,000 \$77,450 Increasing \$79,900 Median Comparable Listings Days on Market Stable Declining Increasing 27.5 46 136 Median Sale Price as % of List Price Stable Increasing Declining 94.86 99.41 100 Stable Seller-(developer, builder, etc.)paid financial assistance prevalent? No Declining Increasing Yes RCH & Explain in detail the seller concessions trends for the past 12 months (e.g., seller contributions increased from 3% to 5%, increasing use of buydowns, closing costs, condo The data used in the grid above does not indicate there were any concessions associated with the reported transactions. However, this is not a mandatory reporting field for agents and there may be some transactions that do include concessions, but have not been reported. It is beyond the scope of this assignment to confirm each sale used in the Market Conditions Report Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the market? ⊠ No If yes, explain (including the trends in listings and sales of foreclosed properties). Yes The data used in the grid above does not indicate there were any REO/Short sales or other distressed properties associated with the reported transactions. However, this is no a mandatory reporting field for agents and there may be some distressed sales that were not reported. It is beyond the scope of this assignment to confirm each sale used in the Market Conditions Report. Cite data sources for above information. RealComp MLS and Public Records. Foreclosure, REO and Concession estimates are based on data in the MLS, public records reviewed by the appraiser, statistics published online and in the local media. The data was "cleaned" prior to analysis to remove isolated transactions that were numerically distant and may be misleading. If a field indicates N/A or it's shaded, that means the local MLS does not provide this information. Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in the Neighborhood section of the appraisal report form. If you used any additional information, such as an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate your conclusions, provide both an explanation and support for your conclusions The appraiser considered only those homes that are competitive to the subject, only those that are similar in physical characteristics, lot size, view, condition, etc, at three levels; the market area level, the neighborhood level and the immediate area level and considers directly competitive and reasonable alternatives. The indicated " median, totals, etc." may slightly vary from gross numbers reported by the MLS, etc., but reflect trends, totals less impacted by abnormal transactions. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the following: Project Name: Subject Project Data Prior 7-12 Months Prior 4-6 Months Current - 3 Months Overall Trend Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Stable Declining Increasing Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Increasing Stable Declining Total # of Active Comparable Listings Stable Declining Increasing Stable Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Declining Increasing Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? If yes, indicate the number of REO listings and explain the trends in listings and sales of Yes No foreclosed properties. 0/CO-OP Summarize the above trends and address the impact on the subject unit and project. Signature Signature Supervisory Appraiser Name Appraiser Name atth Company Name Company Name **A**oprais Company Address Company Address 42874 Mound Rd, Sterling Heights, MI 48314 State License/Certification # State State License/Certification # State 1201074225 Email Address **Email Address** flightappraisals@outlook.com

Freddie Mac Form 71 March 2009

Page 1 of 1

Fannie Mae Form 1004MC March 2009

Subject Photo Page

Borrower	Rudalev MI II			
Property Address	1560 Leblanc St			
City	Lincoln Park	County Wayne	State MI	Zip Code 48146
Lender/Client	Colony American Finance			



Subject Front

1560 Leblanc St

Sales Price

Gross Living Area 1,080 Total Rooms Total Bedrooms Total Bathrooms 1.0 Location N;Res; View N;Res; 4760 sf Site Quality Q4 Age 76



Subject Rear



Subject Street

Borrower	Rudalev MI II				
Property Address	1560 Leblanc St				
City	Lincoln Park	County Wayne	State MI	Zip Code 48146	
Lender/Client	Colony American Finance				





Street/other side

Front/side





Front Front





Front Garage

Borrower	Rudalev MI II			
Property Address	1560 Leblanc St			
City	Lincoln Park	County Wayne	State MI	Zip Code 48146
Lender/Client	Colony American Finance			





Inside of garage

Rear






Rear/side

No photo No photo

Borrower	Rudalev MI II				
Property Address	1560 Leblanc St	·			·
City	Lincoln Park	County Wayne	State MI	Zip Code	48146
Lender/Client	Colony American Finance				





Living room Nook





Kitchen Kitchen






Bed Bed

Borrower	Rudalev MI II			
Property Address	1560 Leblanc St			
City	Lincoln Park	County Wayne	State MI	Zip Code 48146
Lender/Client	Colony American Finance			





Bath view 2



Bed No photo

No photo No photo

Borrower	Rudalev MI II			
Property Address	1560 Leblanc St			
City	Lincoln Park	County Wayne	State MI	Zip Code 48146
Lender/Client	Colony American Finance			





Basement Basement





Basement 1/2 Bath Laundry



HVAC No photo

Comparable Photo Page

Borrower	Rudalev MI II			
Property Address	1560 Leblanc St			
City	Lincoln Park	County Wayne	State MI	Zip Code 48146
Lender/Client	Colony American Finance			



Comparable 1

1667 Ford Blvd

0.17 miles SW Prox. to Subject Sale Price 72,000 Gross Living Area 1,052 Total Rooms Total Bedrooms 3 Total Bathrooms 1.0 Location N;Res; View N;Res; Site 5699 sf Quality Q4 67 Age



Comparable 2

1682 Liberty Ave

Prox. to Subject 0.52 miles NW Sale Price 74,200 Gross Living Area 1,120 Total Rooms 5 Total Bedrooms 2 Total Bathrooms 1.0 Location N;Res; View N;Res; 4356 sf Site Quality Q4 Age 68



Comparable 3

1584 Leblanc St

Prox. to Subject 0.03 miles W Sale Price 87,000 Gross Living Area 1,160 Total Rooms 6 Total Bedrooms 3 Total Bathrooms 1.0 Location N;Res; View N;Res; Site 4792 sf Quality Q4 Age 76

Comparable Photo Page

Borrower	Rudalev MI II							
Property Address	1560 Leblanc St							
City	Lincoln Park	County	Wayne	State	MI	Zip Code	48146	
Lender/Client	Colony American Finance							



Comparable 4

1564 Ford Blvd

Prox. to Subject 0.08 miles S Sale Price 84,000 Gross Living Area 1,080 **Total Rooms** Total Bedrooms 3 Total Bathrooms 1.0 Location N;Res; View N;Res; Site 6300 sf Quality Q4 68 Age



Comparable 5

1579 Leblanc St

Prox. to Subject 0.04 miles SW Sale Price 59,900 Gross Living Area 939 Total Rooms 6 Total Bedrooms Total Bathrooms 1.0 Location N;Res; View N;Res; 4400 sf Site Quality Q4 Age 76



Comparable 6

4151 Coolidge Ave

Prox. to Subject 0.48 miles SW Sale Price 75,000 Gross Living Area 1,020 Total Rooms 5 Total Bedrooms 3 Total Bathrooms 1.0 Location N;Res; N;Res; View Site 8750 sf Quality Q4 Age 93

Comparable Photo Page

Borrower	Rudalev MI II							
Property Address	1560 Leblanc St							
City	Lincoln Park	County	Wayne	State	MI	Zip Code	48146	
Lender/Client	Colony American Finance							



Comparable 7

1087 Saint Johns Blvd

Prox. to Subject 0.36 miles SE Sale Price 63,000 Gross Living Area 1,152 Total Rooms 6 Total Bedrooms 3 Total Bathrooms 1.0 N;Res; Location View N;Res; Site 6534 sf Quality Q4 66 Age

Comparable 8

Prox. to Subject
Sale Price
Gross Living Area
Total Rooms
Total Bedrooms
Total Bathrooms
Location
View
Site
Quality
Age


Comparable 9

Prox. to Subject
Sale Price
Gross Living Area
Total Rooms
Total Bedrooms
Total Bathrooms
Location
View
Site
Quality
Age

ANS-221462 File No. 0517-154

USPAP ADDENDUM

Borrower	Rudalev MI II	_		
Property Address	1560 Leblanc St			
City	Lincoln Park	County Wayne	State MI	Zip Code 48146
Lender	Colony American Finance			
This was and		fallowing HODAD good atting and to a		
· ·		following USPAP reporting option:		
Apprais:	al Report	This report was prepared in accordance with USPAP Standards Rule 2	'-2(a).	
Restrict	ed Appraisal Report	This report was prepared in accordance with USPAP Standards Rule 2	2-2(b).	
			. ,	
Reasonable	Exposure Time			
	•	ne for the subject property at the market value stated in this report is:	1-3 Months	
	•	, , , ,		
Additional				
	Certifications	a and haliaf		
	to the best of my knowledge			
1 —		an appraiser or in any other capacity, regarding the property that is the subj	ect of this report	within the
three-ye	ar period immediately prece	ding acceptance of this assignment.		
	performed cervices as an ar	opraiser or in another capacity, regarding the property that is the subject of	this report within	the three-year
·		tance of this assignment. Those services are described in the comments b		i lie lillee-yeal
·			GIOW.	
	ents of fact contained in this re	•	ara may navaanal :	innestial and unbised
		clusions are limited only by the reported assumptions and limiting conditions and	are my personai, i	mparuai, and unbiased
	nalyses, opinions, and conclus		naroanal interest	with respect to the parties
involved.	wise indicated, i have no presi	ent or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report and no	personal interest	with respect to the parties
	no with roopport to the property	that is the subject of this report or the parties involved with this conjument		
		that is the subject of this report or the parties involved with this assignment.		
	=	ot contingent upon developing or reporting predetermined results.		a control that force as the access of
		gnment is not contingent upon the development or reporting of a predetermined v		
		he attainment of a stipulated result, or the occurrence of a subsequent event direct		
		rere developed, and this report has been prepared, in conformity with the Uniform	Standards of Prof	essional Appraisal Practice that
	at the time this report was prep			
		personal inspection of the property that is the subject of this report.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	no constitue the constitue to
	·	ed significant real property appraisal assistance to the person(s) signing this certi	tication (it there ar	e exceptions, the name of each
individual prov	riding significant real property a	appraisal assistance is stated elsewhere in this report).		
Additional (Comments			
/ taditional c	7011111011CO			
		/		
APPRAISER	//	SUPERVISORY APPRAIS	SER: (only if	required)
	_ //		, , ,	- •
Signature:	+///			
Name: Matthe				
Date Signed:		Date Signed:		
State Certification		State Certification #:		
	#: 1201074225	or State License #:		
State: MI		State:		
Expiration Date of	of Certification or License: 07	7/31/2017 Expiration Date of Certification or I	License:	
Effective Date of	Appraisal: 06/15/2017	Supervisory Appraiser Inspection		
	·	Did Not Exterior-only	v from Street	Interior and Exterior





General Star Nation al Insur ance Company P O Box 10360 (Attn: GSN) Stamford, CT 06904

REAL ESTATE ERRORS AND OMISSIONS INSURANCE POLICY

DECLARATIONS PAGE

THIS IS A CLAIMS-MADE AND REPORTED POLICY PLEASE READ THIS POLICY AND ALL ENDORSEMENTS AND ATTACHMENTS CAREFULLY.

Policy Number: NJA898434A Renewal of Number: NJA898434

Flight Appraisal 1. NAMED INSURED:

38201 Medville Drive STREET ADDRESS:

Sterling Heights, MI 48312

2. POLICY PERIOD: Inception Date: 11/03/2016 Expiration Date: 11/03/2017

Effective 12:01 a.m. Standard Time at the street address of the Named Insur ed.

3. LIMIT OF LIABILITY:

Each Claim: \$1,000,000 Aggregate: \$1,000,000

4. CLAIMS EXPENSES:

🛛 a. Are included within the Limit of Liability

b. Have a separate Limit of Liability

5. DEDUCTIBLE:

Each Claim: \$1,000

a. The deductible amount specified above applies to Damages only.

X b. The deductible amount specified above applies to both Damages and Claims Expenses.

6. RETROACTIVE DATE: 11/03/2015

If a date is indicated, this Policy will not provide coverage for any Claim arising out of any act, error, omission or Person al Injur y which occurred before such date.

7. ANNUAL PREMIUM: \$2,323.00

TOTAL: \$2,323.00

8. ENDORSEMENTS:

This Policy is made and accepted subject to the printed conditions in this Policy together with the provisions, stipulations and agreements contained in the following form(s) or endorsement(s). RE 00 0001 01 12; SGN 90 0001 (0710); RE 03 0001 01 12; RE 04 0001 01 12; RE 11 0001 01 12; RE 04 0003 01 12;

RE 20 0003 01 12; RE 21 0003 01 12; RE 21 0003 01 12; RE 01 0021MI 01 12; RE 08 0001 03 12;


PRODUCER NAME: Mercer Consumer STREET ADDRESS: 12421 Meredith Drive Urbandale, IA 50398

Producer Code: 26460 Class Code: 73127 Date: 10/12/2016

RE 10 0001 01 12 Page 1 of 1 Copyright 2012, General Star Management Company, Stamford, CT

Building Sketch

Borrower	Rudalev MI II							
Property Address	1560 Leblanc St							
City	Lincoln Park	County	Wayne	Sta	te MI	Zip Code	48146	
Lender/Client	Colony American Finance							



Location Map

Borrower	Rudalev MI II			
Property Address	1560 Leblanc St			
City	Lincoln Park	County Wayne	State MI	Zip Code 48146
Lender/Client	Colony American Finance			

