ANS-221472 File # 0517-169

The purpose of this summary appraisal repo	ort is to provide	the lender/clier	nt with an ac	curate, and adequate	ely supported, opi	inion of the	market value	of the subjec	t property.
Property Address 1089 White Ave		, , , , , ,		City Lincoln Parl				Zip Code 481	
Borrower Rudalev MI II		Owner of	Public Record	Rudalev 2 LLC			ounty Wayne		
Legal Description FH71 Lot 71 also N 1/2 Ad	di Vac Alley Line					0.0	y wayile		
Assessor's Parcel # 45 009 03 0071 000	ij vac Alley Lilic	JOIN FAIR SOD N	0. 1 1 0 45,04,	Tax Year <b>2016</b>		R	.E. Taxes \$ 2	.357	
Neighborhood Name Alley Lincoln Park SUI					19804		ensus Tract 5		
Occupant Owner Tenant Vac		Snecial A	ssessments \$	0	19604 PU			per year	per month
Property Rights Appraised Fee Simple	Leasehold	Other (de		U		υ πολφ	U	por your _	_ por monu
Assignment Type Purchase Transaction		ce Transaction	Other (de	ocoribo) A					
· ,	Incillianc			,	market value				
Lender/Client Colony American Finance	ar has it has affe	Addres		aza, Suite 1950, Irvir				/oo No	
Is the subject property currently offered for sale				•				∕es ⊠ No	
Report data source(s) used, offering price(s), and	ı date(s).	Multiple Listing	g Sources/Pub	lic Records Data. Th	he subject is not c	currently liste	d and has not	been listed in	the past
year.	1.6.11.11								
I did did not analyze the contract for	sale for the subje	ect purchase trans	saction. Explain	the results of the anal	ysis of the contract	for sale or wh	ly the analysis	was not	
performed.									
Contract Price \$ Date of Cor		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	e owner of public reco			a Source(s)		
Is there any financial assistance (loan charges, s			nent assistance,	etc.) to be paid by an	ly party on behalf of	f the borrower	?	Ye	es No
If Yes, report the total dollar amount and describ	e the items to be r	paid.							
Note: Race and the racial composition of the	neighborhood a	are not appraisa	l factors.						
Neighborhood Characteristics			One-Unit I	lousing Trends		One-Unit	t Housing	Present La	and Use %
Location Urban Suburban	Rural Pr	roperty Values [	Increasing		Declining	PRICE	AGE	One-Unit	80 %
Built-Up Over 75% 25-75%		emand/Supply	Shortage		Over Supply	\$ (000)	(yrs)	2-4 Unit	%
Growth Rapid Stable			Under 3 mt		Over 6 mths	, ,	OW 12	Multi-Family	%
<u> </u>				south of Outer Dr, ea			gh 101	Commercial	10 %
	eigiibornooa is i	iocated north of	Joudard Kd,	SOULIT OF OUTER Dr. 62	asi ui Amo Kū,			Other	
and west of Ecorse.		J. f. "	4-1-1						10 %
•	_			erties of various age	-				
levels have been stable. The area offers goo				ent. Appraiser did no	ot observe any ne	gative factors	s that would a	dversely affec	t market
appeal. The "other" land use is for parks, so									
Market Conditions (including support for the abo				s are based on Real					
year of this appraisal. The trends are of the	neighborhood w	hich includes th	ne subject's ma	arket. The trends of	the neighborhood	d may be diffe	erent from the	se of the subj	ect's
market. See 1004mc for the subject's market	t trends and data	a.							
Dimensions 35x119		Area .	4165 sf	Sha	ре Rectangular		View <b>N</b> ;	Res;	
Specific Zoning Classification R-1		Zoning	Description s	ingle Family Reside					
Zoning Compliance   Legal   Legal Nor	nconforming (Gran		No Zonin						
	- '			g   Illogui (uoooili	D()				
Is the highest and best use of subject property as	s improved (or as	proposed per pla	ans and specific	3		Yes N	lo If No. des	cribe	
Is the highest and best use of subject property a	s improved (or as	proposed per pla	ans and specific	3		Yes N	lo If No, des	cribe	
, , , ,	s improved (or as		'	ations) the present us	e?				Private
Utilities Public Other (describe)	. (	Pub	olic Other (de	ations) the present us	Off-site Impro	ovements - Ty		Public	Private
Utilities Public Other (describe)  Electricity	Wat	Pub ter $\succeq$	olic Other (de	ations) the present us	Off-site Impro	ovements - Ty			Private
Utilities Public Other (describe)  Electricity  Gas	Wat San	Pub ter $\succeq$ nitary Sewer $\succeq$	olic Other (de	ations) the present us	Off-site Impro	ovements - Ty	pe	Public	
Utilities Public Other (describe)  Electricity	Wat San No FEMA	Pub ter  itary Sewer  A Flood Zone  X	olic Other (de	scribe)  FEMA Map # 261	Off-site Impro	ovements - Ty		Public	
Utilities Public Other (describe)  Electricity	Wat San ⊠ No FEMA I for the market ar	Pub ter   initary Sewer  A Flood Zone  xrea?	olic Other (de	scribe)  FEMA Map # 261 o If No, describe	Off-site Impro Street Conc Alley None 63C0406E	ovements - Ty crete	pe FEMA Map	Public  Date 02/02/2	012
Utilities Public Other (describe)  Electricity	Wat San ⊠ No FEMA I for the market ar	Pub ter   initary Sewer  A Flood Zone  xrea?	olic Other (de	scribe)  FEMA Map # 261 o If No, describe	Off-site Impro Street Conc Alley None 63C0406E	ovements - Ty	pe FEMA Map	Public	012
Utilities Public Other (describe)  Electricity	Wat San ⊠ No FEMA I for the market ar	Pub ter   initary Sewer  A Flood Zone  xrea?	olic Other (de	scribe)  FEMA Map # 261 o If No, describe	Off-site Impro Street Conc Alley None 63C0406E	ovements - Ty crete	pe FEMA Map	Public  Date 02/02/2	012
Utilities Public Other (describe)  Electricity	Wat San ⊠ No FEMA I for the market ar	Pub ter   initary Sewer  A Flood Zone  xrea?	olic Other (de	scribe)  FEMA Map # 261 o If No, describe	Off-site Impro Street Conc Alley None 63C0406E	ovements - Ty crete	pe FEMA Map	Public  Date 02/02/2	012
Utilities Public Other (describe)  Electricity  Gas  FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes  Are the utilities and off-site improvements typica  Are there any adverse site conditions or external	Wat San ⊠ No FEMA I for the market ar	Pub ter  A Flood Zone  xea?  A sear  Flood Zone  xear  A sear	olic Other (de	ations) the present us scribe)  FEMA Map # 261 o If No, describe al conditions, land use	Off-site Impro Street Conc Alley None 63C0406E	povements - Ty	pe FEMA Map s ⊠ No	Public  Date 02/02/2	012
Utilities Public Other (describe)  Electricity Gas Gas Yes  FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes  Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical  Are there any adverse site conditions or external  General Description	Wat San San No FEMA I for the market ar factors (easement	Pub ter  A Flood Zone  X rea?  Ats, encroachment	Other (de	FEMA Map # 261 o If No, describe al conditions, land use	Off-site Impro Street Conc Alley None 63C0406E es, etc.)?	pvements - Ty rete Ye	FEMA Map s No	Public  Date 02/02/2  If Yes, describe	012
Utilities Public Other (describe)  Electricity	Wat San No FEMA I for the market ar factors (easement	Pub ter  initary Sewer  A Flood Zone  xea?  bts, encroachment  Foundation ab Craw	Olic Other (de	FEMA Map # 261 o If No, describe al conditions, land use  Exterior Descriptio Foundation Walls	Off-site Impro Street Conc Alley None 63C0406E  as, etc.)?	pvements - Ty rete Ye	FEMA Map s No Interior Floors	Public  Date 02/02/2  If Yes, describe  materia  Hwd/Avg	012
Utilities Public Other (describe)  Electricity	Wat San  No FEMA I for the market an factors (easement	Pub ter  initary Sewer  A Flood Zone  xea?  bts, encroachment  Foundation ab Craw	Ves Nts, environment	FEMA Map # 261 o If No, describe al conditions, land use  Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls	Off-site Improstreet Conc Alley None 63C0406E  es, etc.)?  n material Concrete/Avg Vinyl/Avg	pvements - Ty rete Ye	FEMA Map  s No  Interior Floors Walls	Public  Date 02/02/2  If Yes, describe  materia  Hwd/Avg  DW/Painted/	012  Bls/condition
Utilities Public Other (describe)  Electricity	Wat San No FEMA I for the market and factors (easement) Concrete Sla Full Baseme Basement Area	Pub ter  A Flood Zone  xea?   This, encroachment  Foundation ab Craw ent Partia	Ves Nts, environments  Space al Basement  800 sq.ft.	FEMA Map # 261 o If No, describe al conditions, land use  Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface	Off-site Improstreet Concalley None 63C0406E  as, etc.)?  n material Concrete/Avg Vinyl/Avg Shingle/Avg	ovements - Ty rete Ye	FEMA Map  s No  Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish	Public  Date 02/02/2  If Yes, describe  materia  Hwd/Avg  DW/Painted/  Wd/Painted/	O12  Is/condition  Avg
Utilities Public Other (describe)  Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes  Are the utilities and off-site improvements typica  Are there any adverse site conditions or external  General Description  Units One One with Accessory Unit  # of Stories 2  Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit  Existing Proposed Under Const.	Wat San No FEMA I for the market and factors (easement  Concrete Sla Full Baseme Basement Area Basement Finish	Pub ter  A Flood Zone  X rea?  Ats, encroachment  Foundation  ab Craw ent Partia	Yes Nts, environments  Space al Basement  800 sq.ft. 60 %	FEMA Map # 261 o If No, describe al conditions, land use  Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspout	Off-site Improstreet Concalley None 63C0406E  s, etc.)?  m material Concrete/Avg Vinyl/Avg Shingle/Avg	ovements - Ty crete Ye	FEMA Map  s No  Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor	Public  Date 02/02/2  If Yes, describe  materia  Hwd/Avg  DW/Painted/  Wd/Painted/  Ceramic/Avg	O12  Is/condition  Avg  Avg
Utilities Public Other (describe)  Electricity	Wat San No FEMA I for the market and factors (easement) Concrete Sla Full Baseme Basement Area	Pub ter  A Flood Zone X rea?   This, encroachment  Foundation ab Craw ent Partia	Ves Nts, environments  Space al Basement  800 sq.ft.	FEMA Map # 261 o If No, describe al conditions, land use  Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspout Window Type	Off-site Improstreet Concalley None 63C0406E  as, etc.)?  n material Concrete/Avg Vinyl/Avg Shingle/Avg ts Aluminium/Avy Vinyl/Avg	ovements - Ty rete Ye	FEMA Map  s No  Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainscot	Public  Date 02/02/2  If Yes, describe  materia  Hwd/Avg  DW/Painted/  Wd/Painted/	O12  Is/condition  Avg  Avg
Utilities Public Other (describe)  Electricity	Wat San No FEMA I for the market and factors (easement  Concrete Sla Full Baseme Basement Area Basement Finish	Pub ter  A Flood Zone X rea?   This, encroachment  Foundation ab Craw ent Partice  Try/Exit St Infestation	Yes Nts, environments  I Space al Basement 800 sq.ft. 60 % ump Pump	FEMA Map # 261 o If No, describe al conditions, land use  Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspout	Off-site Improstreet Concalley None 63C0406E  as, etc.)?  n material Concrete/Avg Vinyl/Avg Shingle/Avg ts Aluminium/Avy Vinyl/Avg	ovements - Ty rete Ye	FEMA Map  s No  Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor	Public  Date 02/02/2  If Yes, describe  materia  Hwd/Avg  DW/Painted/  Wd/Painted/  Ceramic/Avg	O12  Is/condition  Avg  Avg
Utilities Public Other (describe)  Electricity	Wat San No FEMA I for the market an factors (easement Concrete Sla Full Baseme Basement Area Basement Finish Outside Entr	Pub ter  A Flood Zone X rea?   This, encroachment  Foundation ab Craw ent Partia	Yes Nts, environments  I Space al Basement 800 sq.ft. 60 % ump Pump	FEMA Map # 261 o If No, describe al conditions, land use  Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspout Window Type	Off-site Improstreet Concalley None 63C0406E  as, etc.)?  n material Concrete/Avg Vinyl/Avg Shingle/Avg ts Aluminium/Avg Vinyl/Avg	ovements - Ty rete Ye	FEMA Map  s No  Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainscot	Public  Date 02/02/2  If Yes, describe  materia  Hwd/Avg  DW/Painted/  Wd/Painted/ Ceramic/Avg  Ceramic/Avg	O12  Is/condition  Avg  Avg
Utilities Public Other (describe)  Electricity	Wat San No FEMA I for the market an factors (easement Concrete Sta Full Baseme Basement Area Basement Finish Outside Entr	Pub ter  A Flood Zone X rea?  Tots, encroachment  Foundation ab Craw ent Partia  Ty/Exit Si Infestation Settlement	Yes Nts, environments  Space al Basement 800 sq.ft. 60 % ump Pump	FEMA Map # 261 o If No, describe al conditions, land use  Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspout Window Type Storm Sash/Insulated	Off-site Impro Street Conc Alley None 63C0406E  as, etc.)?  n material Concrete/Avg Vinyl/Avg Shingle/Avg Shingle/Avg Vinyl/Avg Vinyl/Avg Vinyl/Avg	ovements - Ty rete Ye	FEMA Map  s No  Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainscot Car Storage	Public  Date 02/02/2  If Yes, describe  materia  Hwd/Avg  DW/Painted/  Wd/Painted/  Ceramic/Avg  Ceramic/Avg  None  # of Cars	olication  Avg Avg G
Utilities Public Other (describe)  Electricity	Wat San No FEMA I for the market an factors (easement  Concrete Sta Full Baseme Basement Area Basement Finish Outside Entr Evidence of Dampness	Pub ter  A Flood Zone X rea?  Tots, encroachment  Foundation ab Craw ent Partia  Try/Exit Si Infestation Settlement VA HWBB	Yes Nts, environments  Space al Basement  800 sq.ft.  60 %  ump Pump  t	FEMA Map # 261 o If No, describe al conditions, land use  Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspout Window Type Storm Sash/Insulated Screens	Off-site Impro Street Conc Alley None 63C0406E  as, etc.)?  n material Concrete/Avg Vinyl/Avg Shingle/Avg Shingle/Avg Vinyl/Avg I Vinyl/Avg Alum/Avg Woodstor	ovements - Ty prete  Ye  Is/condition  g	FEMA Map  s No  Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainscot Car Storage Driveway	Public  Date 02/02/2  If Yes, describe  materia  Hwd/Avg  DW/Painted/  Wd/Painted/  Ceramic/Avg  Ceramic/Avg  None  # of Cars	O12  O12  O1S/condition  O1S/conditi
Utilities Public Other (describe)  Electricity Gas	Wat San No FEMA I for the market an factors (easement Concrete State Full Baseme Basement Area Basement Finish Outside Entre Evidence of Dampness Heating FW Other	Pub ter  initary Sewer  A Flood Zone  xrea?  ats, encroachment  Foundation ab Craw ent Partia  ry/Exit Si Infestation Settlement VA HWBB Fuel G	Yes Nts, environments  Space al Basement 800 sq.ft. 60 % ump Pump  t Radiant as	FEMA Map # 261  o If No, describe al conditions, land use  Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspout Window Type Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Amenities Fireplace(s) #	Off-site Impro Street Conc Alley None 63C0406E  es, etc.)?  n material Concrete/Avg Vinyl/Avg Shingle/Avg ts Aluminium/Av, Vinyl/Avg Alum/Avg Alum/Avg Woodstor 0 Fence W	ye(s) # 0	FEMA Map  s No  Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainscot Car Storage Driveway Driveway Driveway Surf.	Public  Date 02/02/2  If Yes, describe  materia  Hwd/Avg  DW/Painted/  Wd/Painted/  Ceramic/Avg  None  # of Cars  ace Ca  # of Cars	Is/condition  VAvg Avg 3 2 concrete 2
Utilities Public Other (describe)  Electricity	Wat San  No FEMA I for the market an factors (easement  Concrete Sla Full Baseme Basement Area Basement Finish Outside Entr Evidence of Dampness Heating FW Other Cooling C	Pub ter  initary Sewer  A Flood Zone  xrea?  ats, encroachment  Foundation ab Craw ent Partia  ry/Exit St Infestation Settlement VA HWBB Fuel G Central Air Conditi	Yes Nts, environments  Space Al Basement  800 sq.ft. 60 %  ump Pump  t Radiant  as ioning	FEMA Map # 261  o If No, describe al conditions, land use al conditions, land use al conditions with the condition with the con	Off-site Impro Street Conc Alley None 63C0406E  Ss, etc.)?  n material Concrete/Avg Vinyl/Avg Shingle/Avg ts Aluminium/Av, Vinyl/Avg Alum/Avg Unyl/Avg Alum/Avg Fence W O'd Porch C	ye(s) # 0  Vood  Covered	FEMA Map  S No  Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainscot Car Storage Driveway Driveway Driveway Surf. Garage Carport	Public  Date 02/02/2  If Yes, describe  materia  Hwd/Avg  DW/Painted/  Wd/Painted/ Ceramic/Avg  None # of Cars ace Co # of Cars # of Cars	Is/condition  VAvg Avg 3 2 concrete 2 0
Utilities Public Other (describe)  Electricity	Wat San San No FEMAI for the market and factors (easement factors (easement Finish Outside Entresidence of Dampness Heating FW Other Cooling Coling Coling Coling Individual	Pub ter  A Flood Zone X rea?  Ats, encroachment  Foundation ab Craw ent Partice  Ty/Exit St Infestation Settlement VA HWBB Fuel G, Central Air Conditi	Yes Nts, environments  Space al Basement  800 sq.ft. 60 %  ump Pump  t Radiant as ioning er None	FEMA Map # 261 o If No, describe al conditions, land use Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspout Window Type Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Amenities Fireplace(s) # Patio/Deck Cov Pool None	Off-site Impro Street Conc Alley None 63C0406E  Ss, etc.)?  n material Concrete/Avg Vinyl/Avg Shingle/Avg ts Aluminium/Avy Vinyl/Avg Alum/Avg Woodstor O Fence W C'd Porch C	ye(s) # 0 Vood Covered	FEMA Map  s No  Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainscot Car Storage Driveway Driveway Driveway Surf.	Public  Date 02/02/2  If Yes, describe  materia  Hwd/Avg  DW/Painted/  Wd/Painted/  Ceramic/Avg  None  # of Cars  ace Ca  # of Cars	Is/condition  VAvg Avg 3 2 concrete 2
Utilities Public Other (describe)  Electricity	Wat San  No FEMA I for the market arr factors (easement  Concrete Sla Full Baseme Basement Area Basement Area Basement Finish Outside Entr Evidence of Dampness Heating FW Other Cooling Coling Colindividual Dishwashe	Pub ter  A Flood Zone X rea?  A Flood Zone X Rea.	Ves Nts, environments  Nats, environments  Nat	FEMA Map # 261 o If No, describe al conditions, land use Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspout Window Type Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Amenities Fireplace(s) # Patio/Deck Cov Pool None vave Washer/D	Off-site Impro Street Conc Alley None 63C0406E  as, etc.)?  n material Concrete/Avg Vinyl/Avg Shingle/Avg ts Aluminium/Avg Vinyl/Avg Alum/Avg Woodstor O Fence Word Other N Inver Other (o	s/condition  g  ve(s) # 0  Vood Covered Jone describe)	FEMA Map  s No  Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainscot Car Storage Driveway Driveway Driveway Carage Att.	Public  Date 02/02/2  If Yes, describe  materia  Hwd/Avg  DW/Painted/  Ceramic/Avg  None  # of Cars  ace Ca  # of Cars  # of Cars  Det.	olis/condition  Avg Avg  2 concrete 2 0 Built-in
Utilities Public Other (describe)  Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes  Are the utilities and off-site improvements typica  Are there any adverse site conditions or external  General Description  Units One One with Accessory Unit  # of Stories 2  Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit  Existing Proposed Under Const.  Design (Style) Bungalow  Year Built 1928  Effective Age (Yrs) 35  Attic None  Drop Stair Stairs  Floor Scuttle  Finished Refrigerator Range/Oven  Finished area above grade contains:	Wat San San No FEMAI for the market and factors (easement factors (easement Finish Outside Entresidence of Dampness Heating FW Other Cooling Coling Coling Colind North FW Other Rooms	Pub ter  A Flood Zone X rea?   This, encroachment  Foundation  Ab Craw ent Partia  Pry/Exit So Infestation Settlement  VA HWBB Fuel G. Central Air Conditi er Disposa	Ves Nts, environments  Space al Basement  800 sq.ft.  60 %  ump Pump  t Radiant  as  ioning  er None  al Microw  Bedrooms	FEMA Map # 261 o If No, describe al conditions, land use Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspout Window Type Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Amenities Fireplace(s) # Patio/Deck Cov Pool None vave Washer/D 1.0 Bath(s)	Off-site Impro Street Conc Alley None 63C0406E  Ses, etc.)?  In material Concrete/Avg Vinyl/Avg Shingle/Avg ts Aluminium/Avy Vinyl/Avg Alum/Avg Woodston O Fence W O'd Porch C Other N Other (0) Injer (0) Inj	ye(s) # 0 Vood Covered Jone describe) Square Fe	FEMA Map  s No  Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainscot Car Storage Driveway Driveway Surf. Garage Carport Att. et of Gross Live	Public  Date 02/02/2  If Yes, describe  materia  Hwd/Avg  DW/Painted/  Wd/Painted/ Ceramic/Avg  None # of Cars ace Co # of Cars # of Cars  Joet.	Is/condition  Avg Avg  2 concrete 2 0 Built-in
Utilities Public Other (describe)  Electricity	Wat San San No FEMAI for the market and factors (easement factors (easement Finish Outside Entresidence of Dampness Heating FW Other Cooling Coling Coling Colind North FW Other Rooms	Pub ter  A Flood Zone X rea?   This, encroachment  Foundation  Ab Craw ent Partia  Pry/Exit So Infestation Settlement  VA HWBB Fuel G. Central Air Conditi er Disposa	Ves Nts, environments  Space al Basement  800 sq.ft.  60 %  ump Pump  t Radiant  as  ioning  er None  al Microw  Bedrooms	FEMA Map # 261 o If No, describe al conditions, land use Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspout Window Type Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Amenities Fireplace(s) # Patio/Deck Cov Pool None vave Washer/D	Off-site Impro Street Conc Alley None 63C0406E  Ses, etc.)?  In material Concrete/Avg Vinyl/Avg Shingle/Avg ts Aluminium/Avy Vinyl/Avg Alum/Avg Woodston O Fence W O'd Porch C Other N Other (0) Injer (0) Inj	ye(s) # 0 Vood Covered Jone describe) Square Fe	FEMA Map  s No  Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainscot Car Storage Driveway Driveway Surf. Garage Carport Att. et of Gross Live	Public  Date 02/02/2  If Yes, describe  materia  Hwd/Avg  DW/Painted/  Wd/Painted/ Ceramic/Avg  None # of Cars ace Co # of Cars # of Cars  Joet.	Is/condition  Avg Avg  2 concrete 2 0 Built-in
Utilities Public Other (describe)  Electricity	Wat San No FEMA I for the market an factors (easement Concrete Sta Full Baseme Basement Area Basement Area Basement Finish Outside Entrevidence of Dampness Heating FW Other Cooling Coling Col	Pub ter  A Flood Zone X rea?   This, encroachment  Foundation  Ab Craw	Ves Nts, environments  Space al Basement  800 sq.ft.  60 %  ump Pump  t Radiant  as  ioning  er None  al Microw  Bedrooms  Estate that are	FEMA Map # 261 o If No, describe al conditions, land use Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspout Window Type Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Amenities Fireplace(s) # Patio/Deck Cov Pool None vave Washer/D 1.0 Bath(s) e observed from the	Off-site Impro Street Conc Alley None 63C0406E  Ses, etc.)?  In material Concrete/Avg Vinyl/Avg Shingle/Avg ts Aluminium/Avy Vinyl/Avg Alum/Avg Woodston O Fence W O'd Porch C Other N Other (0) Injer (0) Inj	ye(s) # 0 Vood Covered Jone describe) Square Fe	FEMA Map  s No  Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainscot Car Storage Driveway Driveway Surf. Garage Carport Att. et of Gross Live	Public  Date 02/02/2  If Yes, describe  materia  Hwd/Avg  DW/Painted/  Wd/Painted/ Ceramic/Avg  None # of Cars ace Co # of Cars # of Cars  Joet.	Is/condition  Avg Avg  2 concrete 2 0 Built-in
Utilities Public Other (describe)  Electricity	Wat San No FEMA I for the market an factors (easement Concrete Sta Full Baseme Basement Area Basement Area Basement Finish Outside Entrevidence of Dampness Heating FW Other Cooling Coling Col	Pub ter  A Flood Zone X rea?   This, encroachment  Foundation  Ab Craw	Ves Nts, environments  Space al Basement  800 sq.ft.  60 %  ump Pump  t Radiant  as  ioning  er None  al Microw  Bedrooms  Estate that are	FEMA Map # 261 o If No, describe al conditions, land use Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspout Window Type Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Amenities Fireplace(s) # Patio/Deck Cov Pool None vave Washer/D 1.0 Bath(s) e observed from the	Off-site Impro Street Conc Alley None 63C0406E  Ss, etc.)?  In material Concrete/Avg Vinyl/Avg Shingle/Avg Shingle/Avg Vinyl/Avg Vinyl/Avg Alum/Avg Woodstor O Fence W O'd Porch C Other N Oryer Other (o) 1,23 street have been in	ye(s) # 0 Vood Covered lone describe) 12 Square Fe included in th	FEMA Map  s No  Interior  Floors  Walls  Trim/Finish  Bath Floor  Bath Wainscol  Car Storage  Driveway  Driveway  Driveway  Carge  Att.  et of Gross Live  te final estima	Public  Date 02/02/2  If Yes, describe  materia  Hwd/Avg  DW/Painted/  Wd/Painted/ Ceramic/Avg  None # of Cars ace Co # of Cars # of Cars  Joet.	Is/condition  Avg Avg  2 concrete 2 0 Built-in  Grade  II energy
Utilities Public Other (describe)  Electricity	Wat San  No FEMA I for the market and factors (easement)  Concrete Slate   Full Baseme Basement Area Basement Finish Outside Entrest   Evidence of Dampness Heating FW Other Cooling C Individual Dishwashe Rooms Seec.) Oni	Pub ter  initary Sewer  A Flood Zone  xrea?  A Flood Zone  xrea?  bits, encroachment  Foundation  ab Craw ent Partia  ry/Exit Si  Infestation  Settlement VA HWBB  Fuel G. Central Air Conditi  Fuel Condition Other Disposa  4  Ily items of Real	Ves Nts, environments  Name of Space  Al Space  Al Basement  800 sq.ft.  60 %  Comp Pump  I Radiant  as  Coning  Conin	FEMA Map # 261  o If No, describe al conditions, land use al conditions, land use al conditions are series as a condition walls  Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspout Window Type Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Amenities Fireplace(s) # Patio/Deck Cov Pool None Ave Washer/D 1.0 Bath(s) Be observed from the	Off-site Impro Street Conc Alley None 63C0406E  es, etc.)?  n material Concrete/Avg Vinyl/Avg Shingle/Avg ts Aluminium/Avy Vinyl/Avg Alum/Avg Woodstor 0 Fence W y'd Porch C Other N Other (0) 1,23 street have been in	ye(s) # 0 Vood Covered Jone describe) L2 Square Fe included in the	FEMA Map  s No  Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainscol Car Storage Driveway Driveway Driveway Driveway Carport Att.  et of Gross Liv ne final estima	Public  Date 02/02/2  If Yes, describe  materia  Hwd/Avg  DW/Painted//  Ceramic/Avg  None  # of Cars  # of Cars	Is/condition  Avg Avg  2 concrete 2 0 Built-in  Grade III energy
Utilities Public Other (describe)  Electricity	Wat San San No FEMA I for the market and factors (easement factors (easement Finish Outside Entresidence of Dampness Heating FW Other Cooling Coling Coling Colind Section Control Control Coling Colind Coli	Pub ter	Ves Nts, environments  Name of Space  None	FEMA Map # 261 o If No, describe al conditions, land use Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspout Window Type Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Amenities Fireplace(s) # Patio/Deck Cov Pool None vave Washer/D 1.0 Bath(s) e observed from the ling, etc.). re noted in the adde	Off-site Impro Street Conc Alley None 63C0406E  es, etc.)?  n material Concrete/Avg Vinyl/Avg Shingle/Avg ts Aluminium/Avy Vinyl/Avg Alum/Avg Woodstor 0 Fence W y'd Porch C Other N Other (0) 1,23 street have been in	ye(s) # 0 Vood Covered Jone describe) L2 Square Fe included in the	FEMA Map  s No  Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainscol Car Storage Driveway Driveway Driveway Driveway Carport Att.  et of Gross Liv ne final estima	Public  Date 02/02/2  If Yes, describe  materia  Hwd/Avg  DW/Painted//  Ceramic/Avg  None  # of Cars  # of Cars	Is/condition  Avg Avg  2 concrete 2 0 Built-in  Grade III energy
Utilities Public Other (describe)  Electricity	Wat San San No FEMA I for the market and factors (easement factors (easement Finish Outside Entresidence of Dampness Heating FW Other Cooling Coling Coling Colind Section Control Control Coling Colind Coli	Pub ter	Ves Nts, environments  Name of Space  None	FEMA Map # 261 o If No, describe al conditions, land use Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspout Window Type Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Amenities Fireplace(s) # Patio/Deck Cov Pool None vave Washer/D 1.0 Bath(s) e observed from the ling, etc.). re noted in the adde	Off-site Impro Street Conc Alley None 63C0406E  es, etc.)?  n material Concrete/Avg Vinyl/Avg Shingle/Avg ts Aluminium/Avy Vinyl/Avg Alum/Avg Woodstor 0 Fence W y'd Porch C Other N Other (0) 1,23 street have been in	ye(s) # 0 Vood Covered Jone describe) L2 Square Fe included in the	FEMA Map  s No  Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainscol Car Storage Driveway Driveway Driveway Driveway Carport Att.  et of Gross Liv ne final estima	Public  Date 02/02/2  If Yes, describe  materia  Hwd/Avg  DW/Painted//  Ceramic/Avg  None  # of Cars  # of Cars	Is/condition  Avg Avg  2 concrete 2 0 Built-in  Grade III energy
Utilities Public Other (describe)  Electricity	Wat San San No FEMA I for the market and factors (easement factors (easement Finish Outside Entresidence of Dampness Heating FW Other Cooling Coling Coling Colind Section Control Control Coling Colind Coli	Pub ter	Ves Nts, environments  Name of Space  None	FEMA Map # 261 o If No, describe al conditions, land use Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspout Window Type Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Amenities Fireplace(s) # Patio/Deck Cov Pool None vave Washer/D 1.0 Bath(s) e observed from the ling, etc.). re noted in the adde	Off-site Impro Street Conc Alley None 63C0406E  es, etc.)?  n material Concrete/Avg Vinyl/Avg Shingle/Avg ts Aluminium/Avy Vinyl/Avg Alum/Avg Woodstor 0 Fence W y'd Porch C Other N Other (0) 1,23 street have been in	ye(s) # 0 Vood Covered Jone describe) L2 Square Fe included in the	FEMA Map  s No  Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainscol Car Storage Driveway Driveway Driveway Driveway Carport Att.  et of Gross Liv ne final estima	Public  Date 02/02/2  If Yes, describe  materia  Hwd/Avg  DW/Painted//  Ceramic/Avg  None  # of Cars  # of Cars	Is/condition  Avg Avg  2 concrete 2 0 Built-in  Grade III energy
Utilities Public Other (describe)  Electricity	Wat San  No FEMA I for the market and factors (easement)  Concrete Slate Full Basement Area Basement Area Basement Finish Outside Entre Evidence of Dampness Heating FW Other Cooling Coling Colindividual Dishwashe Rooms Seet.) Ond needed repairs, door kitchen and baxternal deprecia	Pub ter       itary Sewer     A Flood Zone     A Flood Zone     Tea?     its, encroachment	Ves Nts, environments  Nats, environments  Nat	FEMA Map # 261 o If No, describe al conditions, land use Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspout Window Type Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Amenities Fireplace(s) # Patio/Deck Cov Pool None vave Washer/D 1.0 Bath(s) e observed from the ling, etc.). re noted in the addenspection.	Off-site Impro Street Conc Alley None 63C0406E  Ss, etc.)?  In material Concrete/Avg Vinyl/Avg Shingle/Avg Its Aluminium/Avg Vinyl/Avg Alum/Avg I Vinyl/Avg Alum/Avg I Vinyl/Avg O Fence W O'd Porch C Other N Inyer Other (0) 1,23 Street have been in C4;No update	ye(s) # 0 Vood Covered Jone describe) L2 Square Fe included in the	FEMA Map  S No  Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainscot Car Storage Driveway Surf Garage Carport Att.  et of Gross Liv ne final estima or 15 years;TI nysical depre	Public  Date 02/02/2  If Yes, describe  materia  Hwd/Avg  DW/Painted/  Ceramic/Avg  None  # of Cars  # of Cars  # of Cars  Det.  Ing Area Above  Interest of value. A  The "C" rating is ciation is based	Is/condition  Avg Avg  2 concrete 2 0 Built-in  Grade II energy s for the
Utilities Public Other (describe)  Electricity	Wat San  No FEMA I for the market and factors (easement)  Concrete Slate Full Basement Area Basement Area Basement Finish Outside Entre Evidence of Dampness Heating FW Other Cooling Coling Colindividual Dishwashe Rooms Seet.) Ond needed repairs, door kitchen and baxternal deprecia	Pub ter       itary Sewer     A Flood Zone     A Flood Zone     Tea?     its, encroachment	Ves Nts, environments  Nats, environments  Nat	FEMA Map # 261 o If No, describe al conditions, land use Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspout Window Type Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Amenities Fireplace(s) # Patio/Deck Cov Pool None vave Washer/D 1.0 Bath(s) e observed from the ling, etc.). re noted in the addenspection.	Off-site Impro Street Conc Alley None 63C0406E  Ss, etc.)?  In material Concrete/Avg Vinyl/Avg Shingle/Avg Its Aluminium/Avg Vinyl/Avg Alum/Avg I Vinyl/Avg Alum/Avg I Vinyl/Avg O Fence W O'd Porch C Other N Inyer Other (0) 1,23 Street have been in C4;No update	ye(s) # 0 Vood Covered Jone describe) L2 Square Fe included in the	FEMA Map  S No  Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainscot Car Storage Driveway Surf Garage Carport Att.  et of Gross Liv ne final estima  or 15 years;TI nysical depre	Public  Date 02/02/2  If Yes, describe  materia  Hwd/Avg  DW/Painted/  Ceramic/Avg  None  # of Cars  # of Cars  # of Cars  Det.  Ing Area Above  Ite of value. A  The "C" rating is ciation is base	Is/condition  Avg Avg  2 concrete 2 0 Built-in  Grade II energy s for the
Utilities Public Other (describe)  Electricity	Wat San  No FEMA I for the market and factors (easement)  Concrete Slate Full Basement Area Basement Area Basement Finish Outside Entre Evidence of Dampness Heating FW Other Cooling Coling Colindividual Dishwashe Rooms Seet.) Ond needed repairs, door kitchen and baxternal deprecia	Pub ter       itary Sewer     A Flood Zone     A Flood Zone     Tea?     its, encroachment	Ves Nts, environments  Nats, environments  Nat	FEMA Map # 261 o If No, describe al conditions, land use Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspout Window Type Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Amenities Fireplace(s) # Patio/Deck Cov Pool None vave Washer/D 1.0 Bath(s) e observed from the ling, etc.). re noted in the addenspection.	Off-site Impro Street Conc Alley None 63C0406E  Ss, etc.)?  In material Concrete/Avg Vinyl/Avg Shingle/Avg Its Aluminium/Avg Vinyl/Avg Alum/Avg I Vinyl/Avg Alum/Avg I Vinyl/Avg O Fence W O'd Porch C Other N Inyer Other (0) 1,23 Street have been in C4;No update	ye(s) # 0 Vood Covered Jone describe) L2 Square Fe included in the	FEMA Map  S No  Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainscot Car Storage Driveway Surf Garage Carport Att.  et of Gross Liv ne final estima or 15 years;TI nysical depre	Public  Date 02/02/2  If Yes, describe  materia  Hwd/Avg  DW/Painted/  Ceramic/Avg  None  # of Cars  # of Cars  # of Cars  Det.  Ing Area Above  Interest of value. A  The "C" rating is ciation is based	Is/condition  Avg Avg  2 concrete 2 0 Built-in  Grade II energy s for the
Utilities Public Other (describe)  Electricity	Wat San  No FEMA I for the market and factors (easement)  Concrete Slate Full Basement Area Basement Area Basement Finish Outside Entre Evidence of Dampness Heating FW Other Cooling Coling Colindividual Dishwashe Rooms Seet.) Ond needed repairs, door kitchen and baxternal deprecia	Pub ter       itary Sewer     A Flood Zone     A Flood Zone     Tea?     its, encroachment	Ves Nts, environments  Nats, environments  Nat	FEMA Map # 261 o If No, describe al conditions, land use Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspout Window Type Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Amenities Fireplace(s) # Patio/Deck Cov Pool None vave Washer/D 1.0 Bath(s) e observed from the ling, etc.). re noted in the addenspection.	Off-site Impro Street Conc Alley None 63C0406E  Ss, etc.)?  In material Concrete/Avg Vinyl/Avg Shingle/Avg Its Aluminium/Avg Vinyl/Avg Alum/Avg I Vinyl/Avg Alum/Avg I Vinyl/Avg O Fence W O'd Porch C Other N Inyer Other (0) 1,23 Street have been in C4;No update	ye(s) # 0 Vood Covered Jone describe) L2 Square Fe included in the	FEMA Map  S No  Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainscot Car Storage Driveway Surf Garage Carport Att.  et of Gross Liv ne final estima or 15 years;TI nysical depre	Public  Date 02/02/2  If Yes, describe  materia  Hwd/Avg  DW/Painted/  Ceramic/Avg  None  # of Cars  # of Cars  # of Cars  Det.  Ing Area Above  Interest of value. A  The "C" rating is ciation is based	Is/condition  Avg Avg  2 concrete 2 0 Built-in  Grade II energy s for the
Utilities Public Other (describe)  Electricity	Wat San  No FEMA I for the market arr factors (easement  Concrete State of the market arr factors (easement  Full Baseme Basement Area Basement Area Basement Finish Outside Entre Evidence of Dampness Heating FW Other Cooling Cooli	Pub ter  A Flood Zone X rea?  A Flood Zone X Real Infestation Settlement VA HWBB Fuel G. Central Air Condition Real Infestation Flood A Infestation Real Inferioration, renormaths only. All of attion are noted attional control of the c	Ves Nts, environments  Nos Sq.ft.  Nos Sq.	FEMA Map # 261 o If No, describe al conditions, land use al conditions, land use Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspout Window Type Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Amenities Fireplace(s) # Patio/Deck Cov Pool None vave Washer/D 1.0 Bath(s) e observed from the ling, etc.). re noted in the addenspection.	Off-site Impro Street Conc Alley None 63C0406E  as, etc.)?  n material Concrete/Avg Vinyl/Avg Shingle/Avg Shingle/Avg Vinyl/Avg Vinyl/Avg Vinyl/Avg Vinyl/Avg OMFence W V'd Porch C Other N Pryer Other (c) 1,23 Street have been in C4;No update	ye(s) # 0 Vood Covered Jone describe) 2 Square Fe included in the price tes in the price ect Data". Pr	FEMA Map  S No  Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainscot Car Storage Driveway Driveway Surf Garage Carport Att.  et of Gross Liv ne final estima or 15 years;TI nysical depre	Public  Date 02/02/2  If Yes, describe  materia  Hwd/Avg  DW/Painted/  Ceramic/Avg  None  # of Cars  # of Cars  # of Cars  Det.  ing Area Above  ite of value. A  ne "C" rating is  ciation is base  to lif Yes, describe	Is/condition  Avg Avg  2 concrete 2 0 Built-in  Grade II energy s for the
Utilities Public Other (describe)  Electricity	Wat San  No FEMA I for the market arr factors (easement  Concrete State of the market arr factors (easement  Full Baseme Basement Area Basement Area Basement Finish Outside Entre Evidence of Dampness Heating FW Other Cooling Cooli	Pub ter  A Flood Zone X rea?  A Flood Zone X Real Infestation Settlement VA HWBB Fuel G. Central Air Condition Real Infestation Flood A Infestation Real Inferioration, renormaths only. All of attion are noted attional control of the c	Ves Nts, environments  Nos Sq.ft.  Nos Sq.	FEMA Map # 261 o If No, describe al conditions, land use al conditions, land use Exterior Description Foundation Walls Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspout Window Type Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Amenities Fireplace(s) # Patio/Deck Cov Pool None vave Washer/D 1.0 Bath(s) e observed from the ling, etc.). re noted in the addenspection.	Off-site Impro Street Conc Alley None 63C0406E  Ss, etc.)?  In material Concrete/Avg Vinyl/Avg Shingle/Avg Its Aluminium/Avg Vinyl/Avg Alum/Avg I Vinyl/Avg Alum/Avg I Vinyl/Avg O Fence W O'd Porch C Other N Inyer Other (0) 1,23 Street have been in C4;No update	ye(s) # 0 Vood Covered Ione describe) 2 Square Fe included in the	FEMA Map  S No  Interior Floors Walls Trim/Finish Bath Floor Bath Wainscot Car Storage Driveway Surf Garage Carport Att.  et of Gross Liv ne final estima or 15 years;TI nysical depre	Public  Date 02/02/2  If Yes, describe  materia  Hwd/Avg  DW/Painted/  Ceramic/Avg  None  # of Cars  # of Cars  # of Cars  Det.  ing Area Above  ite of value. A  ne "C" rating is  ciation is base  to lif Yes, describe	Is/condition  Avg Avg  2 concrete 2 0 Built-in  Grade II energy s for the

ANS-221472 File # 0517-169

				•		<u> </u>			
There are 11 comparable	e properties currently	offered for sale in	the subject neighborho	ood ranging i	n price	from \$ 63,000		to \$ 86,0	
There are 66 comparable	e sales in the subject	neighborhood within	the past twelve mont	hs ranging in	sale pr	rice from \$ 50,000		to \$ 9	0,000
FEATURE	SUBJECT		BLE SALE # 1			LE SALE # 2		COMPARABI	
	0020201						4420 8		
		1402 Mark Ave		1588 Ethel A			1120 N		
Lincoln Park, MI 4814	16	Lincoln Park, MI 481	146	Lincoln Park	, MI 481	46	Lincol	In Park, MI 481	46
Proximity to Subject		0.47 miles SW		0.39 miles NI	E		0.20 m	niles SW	
Sale Price	\$		\$ 78,000			\$ 83,000			\$ 50,000
Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$ sq.ft.	\$ 67.71 sq.ft			<b>)4</b> sq.ft.		\$	41.67 sq.ft.	- 33,000
•	Ψ οη.ιτ.						, .		0.004.0
Data Source(s)		Realcomp#2170122	63;DOM 5	Realcomp#2		37;DOM 21		omp#21702432	U;DOM 8
Verification Source(s)		City Website		City Website				/ebsite	
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DESCRIPTION	DESCRIPTION	+ (-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPT	TION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DE	SCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment
Sales or Financing		ArmLth		ArmLth			ArmLt	·h	
Concessions		FHA;3000	2 000	FHA;2490		2 400	Cash;		
		·	-3,000			-2,490			
Date of Sale/Time		s05/17;c03/17		s05/17;c04/1	7		s04/17	7;c03/17	
Location	N;Res;	N;Res;		N;Res;			N;Res	;	
Leasehold/Fee Simple	Fee Simple	Fee Simple		Fee Simple			Fee Si	imple	
Site	4165 sf	3485 sf	0	4792 sf		0	3920 s		0
View			· · · · · · · · ·			•			•
	N;Res;	N;Res;		N;Res;			N;Res	·	
Design (Style)	DT2;Bungalow	DT2;Bungalow		DT2;Bungalo	ow		DT2;B	Bungalow	
Quality of Construction	Q4	Q4		Q4			Q4		
Actual Age	89	57	0	95		0	66		0
Condition	C4	C4	•			-			•
				C3		-5,000		D. D.	
Above Grade	Total Bdrms. Baths	Total Bdrms. Baths		Total Bdrms.	. Baths		Total	Bdrms. Baths	
Room Count	6 4 1.0	6 3 1.0	0	8 5	2.0	-5,000	5	3 1.0	0
Gross Living Area	1,232 sq.ft.	1.152 sq.ft	. 0	1 23	8 sq.ft.	0		1,200 sq.ft.	0
Basement & Finished	800sf480sfin	768sf614sfin		825sf500sfin		ļ	792sf0		+980
			-510					וווופע	
Rooms Below Grade	1rr0br1.0ba0o	1rr0br1.0ba0o	1	1rr0br0.0ba0	0	+500			+500
Functional Utility	Average	Average		Average			Avera	ge	
Heating/Cooling	FWA/None	FWA/CAC	-1.000	FWA/None			FWA/0	CAC	-1,000
Energy Efficient Items			1,000						-1,000
	None	None		None			None		
Garage/Carport	2gd2dw	1dw	+5,000	1dw		+5,000	1gd1d	lw	+2,500
Porch/Patio/Deck	Porch/Patio	Porch/Patio		Porch		+1,000	Porch	/Patio	
School District	Lincoln Park	Lincoln Park		Lincoln Park	,	,,,,,		In Park	
School District	Lincolli i aik	Lincolli i aik		Lincolli alk			Lincol	III I QIK	
Net Adjustment (Total)		+   -	\$ 490	+	⊠ -	\$ -5,990		+	\$ 2,980
Adimeted Only Dates		Net Adj. 0.6 %		Net Adj.	7.2 %		Net Ad		_,
•							1		Φ
of Comparables		Gross Adj. 12.2 %		Gross Adj.	22.9 %	\$ 77,010	Gross	Adj. 10.0 %	\$ 52,980
I did did not research t	he sale or transfer histo	ry of the subject prop	erty and comparable sale	es. If not, expla	iin				
My research did did id did i	not reveal any prior sale	s or transfers of the su	ubject property for the th	ree years prior	to the et	ffective date of this app	raisal.		
Data Source(s) MLS/Public R	ecords Data								
( /=5// 4.5// 1.		e or transfers of the co	omparable sales for the	year prior to the	a data of	cale of the comparable	cala		
		טו וומווסופוס טו נוופ טו	oniparable sales for the	year prior to the	e uale oi	Sale of the comparable	Sait.		
Data Source(s) Realcomp ML	-								
Report the results of the research a	and analysis of the prior	sale or transfer histor	y of the subject property	and comparat	ole sales	(report additional prior	sales or	n page 3).	
ITEM	SI	IBJECT	COMPARABLE S	ALE #1	(	COMPARABLE SALE #2	2	COMPA	RABLE SALE #3
Date of Prior Sale/Transfer		-							
								-	
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer									
Data Source(s)	Wayne County	Records	Wayne County Record	ds	Wavne	County Records		Wayne Count	v Records
Effective Date of Data Source(s)						-		_	,
. , ,	06/15/2017		06/15/2017		06/15/2	-		06/15/2017	
Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi	story of the subject pro	perty and comparable	sales Acc	ording to hom	eowner	governmental offices	/MLS d	ata, no other ti	tle transfers for the
subject property have occurred	within 3 years of this	appraisal and no oth	ner sales for comparat	oles in last 1 v	ear.				
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	. ,								
Summary of Sales Comparison Ap	nroach c	ahad addad-							
ourninary of Sales Companson Ap	ριυαυιι See atta	ched addenda.							
Indicated Value by Sales Comparis	on Approach \$ 75	5,000							
Indicated Value by: Sales Comp		,	Cost Approach (if dev	eloped) \$	76.827	Income Ann	roach (	(if developed) \$	0
		,	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		-,-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Sales approach is held most rel	iable because it reflec	ts the behavior in th	is market area. The co	ost approach	support	s this value. The inco	me app	oroach is not re	elevant, as owner
occupancy is predominant.				_					
, ., . ,									
This appraisal is made 🔀 "as i	e"   nubicat to	completion per plan	s and specifications o	n the basis s	of a bur	nothetical condition the	at the	improvemente	nave heen
,	• .		sis of a hypothetical o				e been	completed, or	subject to the
following required inspection bas	sed on the extraordina	ry assumption that t	he condition or deficie	ncy does not	require	alteration or repair:			
		•			•	•			
Recod on a complete viewal	inenaction of the :	ntariar and autoria	arose of the outlin	ot proposti	dofinad	econe of work at	atomor	t of accument	one and limiting
Based on a complete visual	inspection of the L	menor and exterior	areas or the Subie	u property.	uenned	i scope of work, St	aternen	ι οι assumpti	uns and ilmiting
conditions and summits and	ortification /-	\ oninion of H.	androt value at the	nod of the	wool -	onorty that in the	ا الطرور	شده ملطه کم	ut in
conditions, and appraiser's c	ertification, my (our	) opinion of the n	narket value, as defi the date of inspecti	ned, of the	real pr			of this repo	rt is

Freddie Mac Form 70 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011

Page 2 of 6

INTENDED USE/USER		
The Intended User of this appraisal report is the Lender/Client. The Intended Use is to eva	luate the property that is the subject of this app	praisal for a mortgage finance transaction,
subject to the stated Scope of Work, purpose of the appraisal, requirements of this apprai		
by the appraiser.		
by the appraiser.		
The subject are set in boated within 20 miles from any office. This section was to an in-		
The subject property is located within 30 miles from my office. This assignment requires		•
subjects market and understand the nuances of the local market and the supply and dema		
understanding will not be imparted solely from a consideration of specific data such as de	mographics, costs, sales and rentals. The nec	essary understanding of local market
conditions provides the bridge between a sale and a comparable sale or a rental and a co	nparable rental.	
This appraisal was ordered in compliance with Appraisal Independence "AIR" and Mortga	gee Letter 2009-28. No employee, director, offic	er, or agent of the lender, or any other
third party acting as a joint venture partner, independent contractor, appraisal manageme		
development, reporting, result, or review of this assignment through coercion, extortion,		•
manner. I have not been contacted by anyone other than the intended user (lender/client a		
appointment to enter the property. I agree to immediately report any unauthorized contact	s either personally by phone or electronically to	Client.
The appraiser is not qualified as a building inspector or environmental inspector. The app	raiser produces an opinion of value. Only a visu	ial inspection of accessible areas was
performed. Thus, the appraisal cannot be relied upon to disclose conditions and/or defect	s in the property nor guarantee that the propert	y is free from environmental problems.
Therefore, this appraisal does not guarantee the condition of any structure, water, septic	r sewer system, electrical or plumbing system,	existence and/or adequacy of insulation.
Also, this appraisal does not guarantee that the appraised property will pass any local or	ederal regulations or inspections. Furthermore	this report does not in any way.
guarantee against present or future leakage, bursting, cracking, peeling, flooding, soil ero		
_gg, p.cg, p.cg, p.cg, p.cg,	,	
Unless otherwise stated in this report the evictories of hererdeus metarials, which may a	may not be present on the preparty was not a	becaused by the energiage. The energiage
Unless otherwise stated in this report, the existence of hazardous materials, which may or		
has no knowledge of the existence of such materials on or in the property. The appraiser,	•	•
asbestos, mold, urea-formaldehyde foam insulation, and other potentially hazardous mate		
assumption that there is no such material on or in the property that would cause a loss in	value. No responsibility is assumed for such c	onditions or for any expertise or
engineering knowledge required to discover them. The intended user is urged to retain an	expert in this field if desired.	
Any residential structure built prior to 1978 may present exposure to lead from lead-based	paint. This exposure may place young childre	n at risk of developing lead poisoning. The
appraiser was not provided with a risk assessment or inspection report regarding and kno	wn "Lead-based paint hazards" in the subject p	property.
Appraiser cannot guarantee that the property is free of encroachments or easements, and	•	•
	recommends a quamica professional for furthe	investigation and survey.
No warranty of the appraisal is given or implied.		
Comparable Photos - As per FNMA and USPAP, the appraiser has driven by all comparable	e sales. This is in compliance with USPAP.	
Appraisers are required to be licensed and regulated by The State of Michigan, Departmer	t of Labor and Economic Growth, P.O. Box 300	18, Lansing, MI 48909.
Appraisers are required to be licensed and regulated by The State of Michigan, Departmen	t of Labor and Economic Growth, P.O. Box 300	18, Lansing, MI 48909.
Appraisers are required to be licensed and regulated by The State of Michigan, Departmen	t of Labor and Economic Growth, P.O. Box 300	18, Lansing, MI 48909.
Appraisers are required to be licensed and regulated by The State of Michigan, Departmen	t of Labor and Economic Growth, P.O. Box 300	18, Lansing, MI 48909.
		18, Lansing, MI 48909.
COST APPROACH TO VALUE	(not required by Fannie Mae)	18, Lansing, MI 48909.
COST APPROACH TO VALUE Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation	(not required by Fannie Mae)	
COST APPROACH TO VALUE Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti	(not required by Fannie Mae) ns. mating site value)  Appraiser has made	de an effort to support opinion of site value
COST APPROACH TO VALUE Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable lot data has made this diff	(not required by Fannie Mae) ns. mating site value)  Appraiser has made	de an effort to support opinion of site value
COST APPROACH TO VALUE Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti	(not required by Fannie Mae) ns. mating site value)  Appraiser has made	de an effort to support opinion of site value
COST APPROACH TO VALUE Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable lot data has made this diff investors, and the appraiser's knowledge of the market.	(not required by Fannie Mae) ns. nating site value) Appraiser has madicult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determ	de an effort to support opinion of site value
COST APPROACH TO VALUE Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable lot data has made this diff	(not required by Fannie Mae) ns. mating site value)  Appraiser has made	de an effort to support opinion of site value
COST APPROACH TO VALUE Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable lot data has made this diff investors, and the appraiser's knowledge of the market.	(not required by Fannie Mae) ns. nating site value) Appraiser has madicult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determ	de an effort to support opinion of site value mined utilizing feedback from builders,
COST APPROACH TO VALUE  Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti  with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable lot data has made this diff investors, and the appraiser's knowledge of the market.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book	(not required by Fannie Mae)  as.  nating site value)  Appraiser has mad  icult. Instead, the opinion of site value is detern  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,232 Sq.Ft. @\$	de an effort to support opinion of site value mined utilizing feedback from builders,  =\$ 5,000 80.00 =\$ 98,560
COST APPROACH TO VALUE  Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti  with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable lot data has made this diff investors, and the appraiser's knowledge of the market.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book  Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 09/16	(not required by Fannie Mae)  ns.  nating site value) Appraiser has madicult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined by the control of the control	de an effort to support opinion of site value mined utilizing feedback from builders,  =\$ 5,000 80.00 =\$ 98,560 15.00 =\$ 12,000
COST APPROACH TO VALUE  Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable lot data has made this diff investors, and the appraiser's knowledge of the market.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 09/16 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)	(not required by Fannie Mae)  ns.  nating site value) Appraiser has madicult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined by the control of the control	de an effort to support opinion of site value mined utilizing feedback from builders,  =\$ 5,000 80.00 =\$ 98,560 15.00 =\$ 12,000 =\$ 3,000
COST APPROACH TO VALUE  Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable lot data has made this diff investors, and the appraiser's knowledge of the market.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book  Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 09/16  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost figures taken from the Marshall & Swifts Cost Handbook (Rounded) with local	(not required by Fannie Mae)  Instead, the opinion of site value is determined by the site val	de an effort to support opinion of site value mined utilizing feedback from builders,  =\$ 5,000 80.00 =\$ 98,560 15.00 =\$ 12,000
COST APPROACH TO VALUE  Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable lot data has made this diff investors, and the appraiser's knowledge of the market.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 09/16 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)	(not required by Fannie Mae)  Is.  Inating site value)  Appraiser has made icult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined by the process of the pro	de an effort to support opinion of site value mined utilizing feedback from builders,  =\$ 5,000 80.00 =\$ 98,560 15.00 =\$ 12,000
COST APPROACH TO VALUE  Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable lot data has made this diff investors, and the appraiser's knowledge of the market.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book  Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 09/16  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost figures taken from the Marshall & Swifts Cost Handbook (Rounded) with local	(not required by Fannie Mae)  Is.  Insting site value)  Appraiser has made icult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined by the process of the pro	Section   Sect
COST APPROACH TO VALUE  Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable lot data has made this diff investors, and the appraiser's knowledge of the market.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book  Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 09/16  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost figures taken from the Marshall & Swifts Cost Handbook (Rounded) with local	(not required by Fannie Mae)  Is.  Instanting site value)  Appraiser has made icult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined by the process of the	de an effort to support opinion of site value mined utilizing feedback from builders,  =\$ 5,000 80.00 =\$ 98,560 15.00 =\$ 12,000 20.00 =\$ 8,800 =\$ 122,360  External =\$( 53,533)
COST APPROACH TO VALUE  Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable lot data has made this diff investors, and the appraiser's knowledge of the market.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book  Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 09/16  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost figures taken from the Marshall & Swifts Cost Handbook (Rounded) with local	(not required by Fannie Mae)  Ins.  Instaling site value)  Appraiser has made identification of site value is determined by the opinion of site value is d	Section   Sect
COST APPROACH TO VALUE  Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable lot data has made this diff investors, and the appraiser's knowledge of the market.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book  Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 09/16  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost figures taken from the Marshall & Swifts Cost Handbook (Rounded) with local	(not required by Fannie Mae)  Is.  Instanting site value)  Appraiser has made icult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined by the process of the	de an effort to support opinion of site value mined utilizing feedback from builders,  =\$ 5,000 80.00 =\$ 98,560 15.00 =\$ 12,000 =\$ 3,000 20.00 =\$ 8,800 =\$ 122,360  External =\$( 53,533)
COST APPROACH TO VALUE  Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable lot data has made this diff investors, and the appraiser's knowledge of the market.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book  Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 09/16  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost figures taken from the Marshall & Swifts Cost Handbook (Rounded) with local	(not required by Fannie Mae)  Ins.  Instaling site value)  Appraiser has made identification of site value is determined by the opinion of site value is d	de an effort to support opinion of site value mined utilizing feedback from builders,  =\$ 5,000 80.00 =\$ 98,560 15.00 =\$ 12,000 =\$ 3,000 20.00 =\$ 8,800 =\$ 122,360  External =\$( 53,533) =\$ 68,827
COST APPROACH TO VALUE  Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable lot data has made this diff investors, and the appraiser's knowledge of the market.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book  Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 09/16  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost figures taken from the Marshall & Swifts Cost Handbook (Rounded) with local multipliers added and appraisers knowledge of the area and builders costs.	(not required by Fannie Mae)  Ins.  Instanct Instead, the opinion of site value is determined by the process of	de an effort to support opinion of site value mined utilizing feedback from builders,  =\$ 5,000 80.00 =\$ 98,560 15.00 =\$ 12,000 =\$ 3,000 20.00 =\$ 8,800 =\$ 122,360  External  =\$( 53,533) =\$ 68,827 =\$ 3,000
COST APPROACH TO VALUE  Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable lot data has made this diff investors, and the appraiser's knowledge of the market.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 09/16 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost figures taken from the Marshall & Swifts Cost Handbook (Rounded) with local multipliers added and appraisers knowledge of the area and builders costs.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)	Indicated by Fannie Mae)  Instead, the opinion of site value is determined by Eastern Appraiser has made icult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined by Eastern Appraiser has made icult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined by Eastern Appraiser has made icult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined by Eastern Approach Basement Bould Sq.Ft. @ \$    Porch/Patio   Sq.Ft. @ \$   Porch/Patio   Sq.Ft. @ \$   Total Estimate of Cost-New     Less	de an effort to support opinion of site value mined utilizing feedback from builders,  =\$ 5,000 80.00 =\$ 98,560 15.00 =\$ 12,000 =\$ 3,000 20.00 =\$ 8,800 =\$ 122,360  External =\$( 53,533) =\$ 68,827 =\$ 3,000
COST APPROACH TO VALUE  Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable lot data has made this diff investors, and the appraiser's knowledge of the market.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 09/16 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost figures taken from the Marshall & Swifts Cost Handbook (Rounded) with local multipliers added and appraisers knowledge of the area and builders costs.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  45 Years	(not required by Fannie Mae)  ns.  mating site value)  Appraiser has made icult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined by the properties of the p	de an effort to support opinion of site value mined utilizing feedback from builders,  =\$ 5,000 80.00 =\$ 98,560 15.00 =\$ 12,000 =\$ 3,000 20.00 =\$ 8,800 =\$ 122,360  External =\$( 53,533) =\$ 68,827 =\$ 3,000 =\$ 76,827
COST APPROACH TO VALUE  Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable lot data has made this diff investors, and the appraiser's knowledge of the market.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 09/16 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost figures taken from the Marshall & Swifts Cost Handbook (Rounded) with local multipliers added and appraisers knowledge of the area and builders costs.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  45 Years  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE  Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Rent Multiplier	(not required by Fannie Mae)  Is.  Instead, the opinion of site value is determined by Fannie Mae)  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  Basement  Boo Sq.Ft. @ \$  Porch/Patio  Garage/Carport  Total Estimate of Cost-New  Less  Physical  Depreciation  53,533  Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH  E (not required by Fannie Mae)  0 = \$ 0	de an effort to support opinion of site value mined utilizing feedback from builders,  =\$ 5,000 80.00 =\$ 98,560 15.00 =\$ 12,000 20.00 =\$ 8,800 =\$ 122,360  External  =\$( 53,533) =\$ 68,827 =\$ 3,000  =\$ 76,827
COST APPROACH TO VALUE Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable lot data has made this diff investors, and the appraiser's knowledge of the market.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 09/16 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost figures taken from the Marshall & Swifts Cost Handbook (Rounded) with local multipliers added and appraisers knowledge of the area and builders costs.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  45 Years  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)  The income	(not required by Fannie Mae)  ns.  mating site value)  Appraiser has made icult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined by the properties of the p	de an effort to support opinion of site value mined utilizing feedback from builders,  =\$ 5,000 80.00 =\$ 98,560 15.00 =\$ 12,000 20.00 =\$ 8,800 =\$ 122,360  External  =\$( 53,533) =\$ 68,827 =\$ 3,000  =\$ 76,827
COST APPROACH TO VALUE  Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable lot data has made this diff investors, and the appraiser's knowledge of the market.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 09/16 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost figures taken from the Marshall & Swifts Cost Handbook (Rounded) with local multipliers added and appraisers knowledge of the area and builders costs.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  45 Years  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE  Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Rent Multiplier	(not required by Fannie Mae)  Is.  Instead, the opinion of site value is determined by Fannie Mae)  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  Basement  Boo Sq.Ft. @ \$  Porch/Patio  Garage/Carport  Total Estimate of Cost-New  Less  Physical  Depreciation  53,533  Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH  E (not required by Fannie Mae)  0 = \$ 0	de an effort to support opinion of site value mined utilizing feedback from builders,  =\$ 5,000 80.00 =\$ 98,560 15.00 =\$ 12,000 20.00 =\$ 8,800 =\$ 122,360  External  =\$( 53,533) =\$ 68,827 =\$ 3,000  =\$ 76,827
COST APPROACH TO VALUE Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable lot data has made this diff investors, and the appraiser's knowledge of the market.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 09/16 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost figures taken from the Marshall & Swifts Cost Handbook (Rounded) with local multipliers added and appraisers knowledge of the area and builders costs.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  45 Years  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)  The incompredominant.	(not required by Fannie Mae)  Is.  Instead, the opinion of site value is determined by Fannie Mae)  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  Basement  Boo Sq.Ft. @ \$  Porch/Patio  Garage/Carport  Total Estimate of Cost-New  Less  Physical  Depreciation  53,533  Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH  E (not required by Fannie Mae)  0 = \$ 0	de an effort to support opinion of site value mined utilizing feedback from builders,  =\$ 5,000 80.00 =\$ 98,560 15.00 =\$ 12,000 20.00 =\$ 8,800 =\$ 122,360  External  =\$( 53,533) =\$ 68,827 =\$ 3,000  =\$ 76,827
COST APPROACH TO VALUE Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable lot data has made this diff investors, and the appraiser's knowledge of the market.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 09/16 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost figures taken from the Marshall & Swifts Cost Handbook (Rounded) with local multipliers added and appraisers knowledge of the area and builders costs.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  45 Years  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0	(not required by Fannie Mae)  Instead, the opinion of site value is determined in the state of cult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined in the state of cult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined in the state of cult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined in the state of cult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined in the state of cult. Instead, the state of cult. Instead of cult. I	de an effort to support opinion of site value mined utilizing feedback from builders,  =\$ 5,000 80.00 =\$ 98,560 15.00 =\$ 12,000 20.00 =\$ 8,800 20.00 =\$ 8,800 External =\$( 53,533) =\$ 68,827 =\$ 3,000 =\$ 76,827  Indicated Value by Income Approach of data available, as owner occupancy is
COST APPROACH TO VALUE Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable lot data has made this diff investors, and the appraiser's knowledge of the market.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 09/16 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost figures taken from the Marshall & Swifts Cost Handbook (Rounded) with local multipliers added and appraisers knowledge of the area and builders costs.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  45 Years  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)  The incopredominant.  PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes	(not required by Fannie Mae)  Is.  Install Instead, the opinion of site value is determined in the instead, the opinion of site value is determined in the instead, the opinion of site value is determined in the installation of site in the installation of sit	See an effort to support opinion of site value mined utilizing feedback from builders,
COST APPROACH TO VALUE Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable lot data has made this diff investors, and the appraiser's knowledge of the market.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 09/16 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost figures taken from the Marshall & Swifts Cost Handbook (Rounded) with local multipliers added and appraisers knowledge of the area and builders costs.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  45 Years  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) The incompredominant.  PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a	(not required by Fannie Mae)  Is.  Install Instead, the opinion of site value is determined in the instead, the opinion of site value is determined in the instead, the opinion of site value is determined in the installation of site in the installation of sit	See an effort to support opinion of site value mined utilizing feedback from builders,
COST APPROACH TO VALUE Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable lot data has made this diff investors, and the appraiser's knowledge of the market.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 09/16 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost figures taken from the Marshall & Swifts Cost Handbook (Rounded) with local multipliers added and appraisers knowledge of the area and builders costs.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  45 Years  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)  The incopredominant.  PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project	Incorrequired by Fannie Mae)  Ins.  Inating site value)  Appraiser has made icult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined by Fannie Mae  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,232 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Basement  800 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Porch/Patio  Garage/Carport  440 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Total Estimate of Cost-New  Less Physical Functional  Depreciation  53,533  Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH  E (not required by Fannie Mae)  0 = \$ 0  Image: Open content of the lack of the subject property is an attached dwelling unit.	See an effort to support opinion of site value mined utilizing feedback from builders,
COST APPROACH TO VALUE Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable lot data has made this diff investors, and the appraiser's knowledge of the market.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 09/16 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost figures taken from the Marshall & Swifts Cost Handbook (Rounded) with local multipliers added and appraisers knowledge of the area and builders costs.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  45 Years  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) The incorpredominant.  PROJECT INFORMATION  Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project Total number of phases  Total number of units	Inditing site value)  Appraiser has made it in the property of the property is an attached dwelling unit.  Appraiser has made it in the property is an attached dwelling unit.  Appraiser has made it in the property is an attached dwelling unit.  Appraiser has made it in the property is an attached dwelling unit.  Appraiser has made it in the property is an attached dwelling unit.  Appraiser has made it is determined in the property is an attached dwelling unit.  Appraiser has made it is determined in the property is an attached dwelling unit.	See an effort to support opinion of site value mined utilizing feedback from builders,
COST APPROACH TO VALUE Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable lot data has made this diff investors, and the appraiser's knowledge of the market.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 09/16 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost figures taken from the Marshall & Swifts Cost Handbook (Rounded) with local multipliers added and appraisers knowledge of the area and builders costs.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  45 Years  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) The incorpredominant.  PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project Total number of units for sale	Interval (Incomposite value) Instead, the opinion of site value is determined in the state of th	See an effort to support opinion of site value mined utilizing feedback from builders,
COST APPROACH TO VALUE  Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable lot data has made this diff investors, and the appraiser's knowledge of the market.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 09/16 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost figures taken from the Marshall & Swifts Cost Handbook (Rounded) with local multipliers added and appraisers knowledge of the area and builders costs.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  45 Years  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE  Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Rent Multiplier  Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) The incorpredominant.  PROJECT INFORMATION  Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA as Legal Name of Project  Total number of phases Total number of units for sale  Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes	Inditing site value)  Appraiser has made it in the property of the property is an attached dwelling unit.  Appraiser has made it in the property is an attached dwelling unit.  Appraiser has made it in the property is an attached dwelling unit.  Appraiser has made it in the property is an attached dwelling unit.  Appraiser has made it in the property is an attached dwelling unit.  Appraiser has made it is determined in the property is an attached dwelling unit.  Appraiser has made it is determined in the property is an attached dwelling unit.	See an effort to support opinion of site value mined utilizing feedback from builders,
COST APPROACH TO VALUE Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable lot data has made this diff investors, and the appraiser's knowledge of the market.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 09/16 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost figures taken from the Marshall & Swifts Cost Handbook (Rounded) with local multipliers added and appraisers knowledge of the area and builders costs.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  45 Years  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) The incorpredominant.  PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project Total number of units for sale	Interval (Incomposite value) Instead, the opinion of site value is determined in the state of th	See an effort to support opinion of site value mined utilizing feedback from builders,
COST APPROACH TO VALUE  Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable lot data has made this diff investors, and the appraiser's knowledge of the market.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 09/16 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost figures taken from the Marshall & Swifts Cost Handbook (Rounded) with local multipliers added and appraisers knowledge of the area and builders costs.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  45 Years  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE  Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Rent Multiplier  Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) The incorpredominant.  PROJECT INFORMATION  Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA as Legal Name of Project  Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale  Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes  Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source	Interval (Incomposite value) Instead, the opinion of site value is determined in the state of th	See an effort to support opinion of site value mined utilizing feedback from builders,
COST APPROACH TO VALUE  Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable lot data has made this diff investors, and the appraiser's knowledge of the market.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 09/16 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost figures taken from the Marshall & Swifts Cost Handbook (Rounded) with local multipliers added and appraisers knowledge of the area and builders costs.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  45 Years  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE  Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Rent Multiplier  Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) The incorpredominant.  PROJECT INFORMATION  Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA at Legal Name of Project  Total number of units for sale  Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes  Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source	(not required by Fannie Mae)  Is.  Instead, the opinion of site value is determined by Fannie Mae)  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  Basement  Boo Sq.Ft. @ \$  Porch/Patio  Garage/Carport  Total Estimate of Cost-New  Less  Physical  Depreciation  53,533  Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH  E (not required by Fannie Mae)  0 = \$ 0  One approach is not developed due to the lack of the subject property is an attached dwelling unit.  Total number of units sold  Data source(s)  No If Yes, date of conversion.	See an effort to support opinion of site value mined utilizing feedback from builders,
COST APPROACH TO VALUE  Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable lot data has made this diff investors, and the appraiser's knowledge of the market.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 09/16 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost figures taken from the Marshall & Swifts Cost Handbook (Rounded) with local multipliers added and appraisers knowledge of the area and builders costs.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  45 Years  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE  Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Rent Multiplier  Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) The incorpredominant.  PROJECT INFORMATION  Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA at Legal Name of Project  Total number of units for sale  Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes  Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source	(not required by Fannie Mae)  Is.  Instead, the opinion of site value is determined by Fannie Mae)  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  Basement  Boo Sq.Ft. @ \$  Porch/Patio  Garage/Carport  Total Estimate of Cost-New  Less  Physical  Depreciation  53,533  Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH  E (not required by Fannie Mae)  0 = \$ 0  One approach is not developed due to the lack of the subject property is an attached dwelling unit.  Total number of units sold  Data source(s)  No If Yes, date of conversion.	See an effort to support opinion of site value mined utilizing feedback from builders,
COST APPROACH TO VALUE  Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable lot data has made this difi investors, and the appraiser's knowledge of the market.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 09/16 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost figures taken from the Marshall & Swifts Cost Handbook (Rounded) with local multipliers added and appraisers knowledge of the area and builders costs.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  45 Years  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE  Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0	(not required by Fannie Mae)  Is.  Inating site value)  Appraiser has made icult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined by Fannie Mae  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,232 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Basement  800 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Porch/Patio  Garage/Carport  440 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Total Estimate of Cost-New  Less Physical Functional  Depreciation  53,533  Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH  E (not required by Fannie Mae)  0 = \$ 0  one approach is not developed due to the lack of the subject property is an attached dwelling unit.  Total number of units sold  Data source(s)  No If Yes, date of conversion.  If No, describe the status of completion.	See an effort to support opinion of site value mined utilizing feedback from builders,
COST APPROACH TO VALUE  Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable lot data has made this difi investors, and the appraiser's knowledge of the market.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 09/16 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost figures taken from the Marshall & Swifts Cost Handbook (Rounded) with local multipliers added and appraisers knowledge of the area and builders costs.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  45 Years  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE  Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Rent Multiplier  Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) The incorpredominant.  PROJECT INFORMATION  Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a  Legal Name of Project  Total number of phases  Total number of units rented  Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source  Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete?	(not required by Fannie Mae)  Is.  Instead, the opinion of site value is determined by Fannie Mae)  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  Basement  Boo Sq.Ft. @ \$  Porch/Patio  Garage/Carport  Total Estimate of Cost-New  Less  Physical  Depreciation  53,533  Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH  E (not required by Fannie Mae)  0 = \$ 0  One approach is not developed due to the lack of the subject property is an attached dwelling unit.  Total number of units sold  Data source(s)  No If Yes, date of conversion.	See an effort to support opinion of site value mined utilizing feedback from builders,
COST APPROACH TO VALUE  Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti with comparable sales, however, the lack of closed comparable lot data has made this difi investors, and the appraiser's knowledge of the market.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Marshall & Swifts Cost Hand Book Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 09/16 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost figures taken from the Marshall & Swifts Cost Handbook (Rounded) with local multipliers added and appraisers knowledge of the area and builders costs.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  45 Years  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE  Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0	(not required by Fannie Mae)  Is.  Inating site value)  Appraiser has made icult. Instead, the opinion of site value is determined by Fannie Mae  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,232 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Basement  800 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Porch/Patio  Garage/Carport  440 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Total Estimate of Cost-New  Less Physical Functional  Depreciation  53,533  Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH  E (not required by Fannie Mae)  0 = \$ 0  one approach is not developed due to the lack of the subject property is an attached dwelling unit.  Total number of units sold  Data source(s)  No If Yes, date of conversion.  If No, describe the status of completion.	See an effort to support opinion of site value mined utilizing feedback from builders,

Freddie Mac Form 70 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011

Page 3 of 6

This report form is designed to report an appraisal of a one-unit property or a one-unit property with an accessory unit; including a unit in a planned unit development (PUD). This report form is not designed to report an appraisal of a manufactured home or a unit in a condominium or cooperative project.

This appraisal report is subject to the following scope of work, intended use, intended user, definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. Modifications, additions, or deletions to the intended use, intended user, definition of market value, or assumptions and limiting conditions are not permitted. The appraiser may expand the scope of work to include any additional research or analysis necessary based on the complexity of this appraisal assignment. Modifications or deletions to the certifications are also not permitted. However, additional certifications that do not constitute material alterations to this appraisal report, such as those required by law or those related to the appraiser's continuing education or membership in an appraisal organization, are permitted.

SCOPE OF WORK: The scope of work for this appraisal is defined by the complexity of this appraisal assignment and the reporting requirements of this appraisal report form, including the following definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. The appraiser must, at a minimum: (1) perform a complete visual inspection of the interior and exterior areas of the subject property, (2) inspect the neighborhood, (3) inspect each of the comparable sales from at least the street, (4) research, verify, and analyze data from reliable public and/or private sources, and (5) report his or her analysis, opinions, and conclusions in this appraisal report.

INTENDED USE: The intended use of this appraisal report is for the lender/client to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for a mortgage finance transaction.

INTENDED USER: The intended user of this appraisal report is the lender/client.

DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE: The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby: (1) buyer and seller are typically motivated; (2) both parties are well informed or well advised, and each acting in what he or she considers his or her own best interest; (3) a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market; (4) payment is made in terms of cash in U. S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and (5) the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions\* granted by anyone associated with the sale.

\*Adjustments to the comparables must be made for special or creative financing or sales concessions. No adjustments are necessary for those costs which are normally paid by sellers as a result of tradition or law in a market area; these costs are readily identifiable since the seller pays these costs in virtually all sales transactions. Special or creative financing adjustments can be made to the comparable property by comparisons to financing terms offered by a third party institutional lender that is not already involved in the property or transaction. Any adjustment should not be calculated on a mechanical dollar for dollar cost of the financing or concession but the dollar amount of any adjustment should approximate the market's reaction to the financing or concessions based on the appraiser's judgment.

STATEMENT OF ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS: The appraiser's certification in this report is subject to the following assumptions and limiting conditions:

- 1. The appraiser will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature that affect either the property being appraised or the title to it, except for information that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. The appraiser assumes that the title is good and marketable and will not render any opinions about the title.
- 2. The appraiser has provided a sketch in this appraisal report to show the approximate dimensions of the improvements. The sketch is included only to assist the reader in visualizing the property and understanding the appraiser's determination of its size
- 3. The appraiser has examined the available flood maps that are provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (or other data sources) and has noted in this appraisal report whether any portion of the subject site is located in an identified Special Flood Hazard Area. Because the appraiser is not a surveyor, he or she makes no guarantees, express or implied, regarding this determination.
- 4. The appraiser will not give testimony or appear in court because he or she made an appraisal of the property in question, unless specific arrangements to do so have been made beforehand, or as otherwise required by law.
- 5. The appraiser has noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing the appraisal. Unless otherwise stated in this appraisal report, the appraiser has no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent physical deficiencies or adverse conditions of the property (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) that would make the property less valuable, and has assumed that there are no such conditions and makes no guarantees or warranties, express or implied. The appraiser will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist. Because the appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, this appraisal report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property.
- 6. The appraiser has based his or her appraisal report and valuation conclusion for an appraisal that is subject to satisfactory completion, repairs, or alterations on the assumption that the completion, repairs, or alterations of the subject property will be performed in a professional manner.

Freddie Mac Form 70 March 2005

APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I have, at a minimum, developed and reported this appraisal in accordance with the scope of work requirements stated in this appraisal report.
- 2. I performed a complete visual inspection of the interior and exterior areas of the subject property. I reported the condition of the improvements in factual, specific terms. I identified and reported the physical deficiencies that could affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property.
- 3. I performed this appraisal in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 4. I developed my opinion of the market value of the real property that is the subject of this report based on the sales comparison approach to value. I have adequate comparable market data to develop a reliable sales comparison approach for this appraisal assignment. I further certify that I considered the cost and income approaches to value but did not develop them, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 5. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on any current agreement for sale for the subject property, any offering for sale of the subject property in the twelve months prior to the effective date of this appraisal, and the prior sales of the subject property for a minimum of three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 6. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on the prior sales of the comparable sales for a minimum of one year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 7. I selected and used comparable sales that are locationally, physically, and functionally the most similar to the subject property.
- 8. I have not used comparable sales that were the result of combining a land sale with the contract purchase price of a home that has been built or will be built on the land.
- 9. I have reported adjustments to the comparable sales that reflect the market's reaction to the differences between the subject property and the comparable sales.
- 10. I verified, from a disinterested source, all information in this report that was provided by parties who have a financial interest in the sale or financing of the subject property.
- 11. I have knowledge and experience in appraising this type of property in this market area.
- 12. I am aware of, and have access to, the necessary and appropriate public and private data sources, such as multiple listing services, tax assessment records, public land records and other such data sources for the area in which the property is located.
- 13. I obtained the information, estimates, and opinions furnished by other parties and expressed in this appraisal report from reliable sources that I believe to be true and correct.
- 14. I have taken into consideration the factors that have an impact on value with respect to the subject neighborhood, subject property, and the proximity of the subject property to adverse influences in the development of my opinion of market value. I have noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that I became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. I have considered these adverse conditions in my analysis of the property value, and have reported on the effect of the conditions on the value and marketability of the subject property.
- 15. I have not knowingly withheld any significant information from this appraisal report and, to the best of my knowledge, all statements and information in this appraisal report are true and correct.
- 16. I stated in this appraisal report my own personal, unbiased, and professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions, which are subject only to the assumptions and limiting conditions in this appraisal report.
- 17. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and I have no present or prospective personal interest or bias with respect to the participants in the transaction. I did not base, either partially or completely, my analysis and/or opinion of market value in this appraisal report on the race, color, religion, sex, age, marital status, handicap, familial status, or national origin of either the prospective owners or occupants of the subject property or of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property or on any other basis prohibited by law.
- 18. My employment and/or compensation for performing this appraisal or any future or anticipated appraisals was not conditioned on any agreement or understanding, written or otherwise, that I would report (or present analysis supporting) a predetermined specific value, a predetermined minimum value, a range or direction in value, a value that favors the cause of any party, or the attainment of a specific result or occurrence of a specific subsequent event (such as approval of a pending mortgage loan application).
- 19. I personally prepared all conclusions and opinions about the real estate that were set forth in this appraisal report. If I relied on significant real property appraisal assistance from any individual or individuals in the performance of this appraisal or the preparation of this appraisal report, I have named such individual(s) and disclosed the specific tasks performed in this appraisal report. I certify that any individual so named is qualified to perform the tasks. I have not authorized anyone to make a change to any item in this appraisal report; therefore, any change made to this appraisal is unauthorized and I will take no responsibility for it.
- 20. I identified the lender/client in this appraisal report who is the individual, organization, or agent for the organization that ordered and will receive this appraisal report.

Freddie Mac Form 70 March 2005

- 21. The lender/client may disclose or distribute this appraisal report to: the borrower; another lender at the request of the borrower; the mortgagee or its successors and assigns; mortgage insurers; government sponsored enterprises; other secondary market participants; data collection or reporting services; professional appraisal organizations; any agency, or instrumentality of the United States; and any state, the District of Columbia, or other jurisdictions; without having to obtain the appraiser's or supervisory appraiser's (if applicable) consent. Such consent must be obtained before this appraisal report may be disclosed or distributed to any other party (including, but not limited to, the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media).
- I am aware that any disclosure or distribution of this appraisal report by me or the lender/client may be subject to certain laws and regulations. Further, I am also subject to the provisions of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that pertain to disclosure or distribution by me.
- 23. The borrower, another lender at the request of the borrower, the mortgagee or its successors and assigns, mortgage insurers, government sponsored enterprises, and other secondary market participants may rely on this appraisal report as part of any mortgage finance transaction that involves any one or more of these parties.
- 24. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.
- 25. Any intentional or negligent misrepresentation(s) contained in this appraisal report may result in civil liability and/or criminal penalties including, but not limited to, fine or imprisonment or both under the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001, et seq., or similar state laws.

SUPERVISORY APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Supervisory Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I directly supervised the appraiser for this appraisal assignment, have read the appraisal report, and agree with the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 2. I accept full responsibility for the contents of this appraisal report including, but not limited to, the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 3. The appraiser identified in this appraisal report is either a sub-contractor or an employee of the supervisory appraiser (or the appraisal firm), is qualified to perform this appraisal, and is acceptable to perform this appraisal under the applicable state law.
- 4. This appraisal report complies with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 5. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.

APPRAISER	SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (ONLY IF REQUIRED)
Signature	Signature
Name Matthew Shaouni	Name
Company Name Elight Appraisal	Company Name
Company Address 42874 Mound Rd	Company Address
Sterling Heights, MI 48314	<u> </u>
Telephone Number (248) 798-0284	Telephone Number
Email Address flightappraisals@outlook.com	Email Address
Date of Signature and Report 06/21/2017	Date of Signature
Effective Date of Appraisal 06/15/2017	State Certification #
State Certification #	or State License #
or State License # <u>1201074225</u>	State
or Other (describe) State #	Expiration Date of Certification or License
State MI	
Expiration Date of Certification or License <u>07/31/2017</u>	SUBJECT PROPERTY
	☐ Did not inspect subject property
ADDRESS OF PROPERTY APPRAISED	Did inspect subject property  Did inspect exterior of subject property from street
1089 White Ave	Date of Inspection
Lincoln Park, MI 48146	Did inspect interior and exterior of subject property
APPRAISED VALUE OF SUBJECT PROPERTY \$ 75,000	Date of Increation
LENDER/CLIENT	Date of inspection
Name Appraisal Nation	COMPARABLE SALES
Company Name Colony American Finance	COIVII ATTABLE CALLO
Company Address 4 Park Plaza, Suite 1950, Irvine, CA 92614	Did not inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
	Did inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Email Address	Date of Inspection

Freddie Mac Form 70 March 2005

ANS-221472 File # 0517-169

FEATURE		SUBJECT			COM	PΔRΔR	LE SALE # 4	COM	IPΔRΔRI	LE SALE # 5	1 110 7			E SALE # 6
Address 1089 White Ave		OODOLOT		875 M		ו הווהט	LL OALL # 4	712 Clevelan		LL OALL # J		OOW	וו אוואטו	LE OALL # U
Lincoln Park, MI 4814	46				In Park,		46	Lincoln Park		46				
Proximity to Subject				0.35 n	niles SE		Ι.	0.48 miles SE	<u> </u>	Г.				Ι.
Sale Price	\$						\$ 89,999			\$ 69,995	5			\$
Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$		sq.ft.	\$	79.8	6 sq.ft.		\$ 63.1	<b>7</b> sq.ft.		\$		sq.ft.	
Data Source(s)				Realc			92;DOM 84	Realcomp#2						
Verification Source(s)					Vebsite		,	City Website						
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DF	ESCRIPTIO	N		SCRIPT	ION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPT		+(-) \$ Adjustment	<u>п</u>	ESCRIPT	ION	+(-) \$ Adjustment
Sales or Financing		LOOTHI TIO				1011	T ( ) Ψ / (a)dotinone		1011	1 ( ) \$ riajaoanone	<u> </u>	L001111 1	1011	i ( ) Ψ / (α)αστίποπε
_				Listin	g			Listing						
Concessions														
Date of Sale/Time				c05/1	7		-899	c05/17		-699	)			
Location	N;Res	S;		N;Res	s;			N;Res;						
Leasehold/Fee Simple	Fee S	imple		Fee S	imple			Fee Simple						
Site	4165	sf		7405 9	sf		0	3920 sf			)			
View	N;Res			N;Res				N;Res;						
Design (Style)		Sungalow			, Bungalo			DT2;Bungalo	····					
Quality of Construction		bullgalow			oungalo	w			)W					
quality of construction	Q4			Q4				Q4						
Actual Age	89			88				67		(	)			
Condition	C4			C3			-5,000							
Above Grade	Total	Bdrms. E	Baths	Total	Bdrms.	Baths		Total Bdrms.	Baths		Total	Bdrms.	Baths	
Room Count	6	4	1.0	6	3	2.0	-5,000	5 3	1.0		)			
Gross Living Area		1,232				7 sq.ft.		<del></del>	8 sq.ft.	+3,100			sq.ft.	
Basement & Finished	800-6	1,232 480sfin	- 4	644sf				760sf0sfin	<u>4.16.</u>				- y-11.	
	1			04451	บธาเก					+1,040				
Rooms Below Grade		r1.0ba0o					+500			+500	)			
Functional Utility	Avera	age		Avera	ige			Average			1			
Heating/Cooling	FWA/	None		FWA/	CAC		-1,000	FWA/CAC		-1,000	)			
Energy Efficient Items	None			None				None						
Garage/Carport	2gd2d			2gd2d				2gd2dw						
Porch/Patio/Deck		n/Patio			/Patio			Porch/Patio						
											-			
School District	Linco	In Park		Linco	In Park			Lincoln Park			1			
											-			
											1			
Net Adjustment (Total)					] + [	☑ -	\$ -7,529			\$ 2,94		] + [		\$
Adjusted Sale Price				Net Ac		8.4 %		Net Adj.	4.2 %		Net A	dj.	<u></u> %	
of Comparables				Gross	-	18.1 %		Gross Adj.	9.1 %		Gross			\$
Report the results of the research	and and	alysis of the	e nrior											Ι.
ITEM	una una	aryoro or are	_	JBJECT		11110101	COMPARABLE SA			OMPARABLE SALE #				RABLE SALE # 6
Date of Prior Sale/Transfer			00	DOLUI			OOIWII AITABLE OA	1LL # 4	U	OWN ANABLE SALL #	J	+ '	JUIVII AI	INDEL ONLE # 0
Duine of Duine Colo/Tunnefer														
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer			until	Record	ds		Wayne County Record	ds	Wayne	County Records				
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer  Data Source(s)	,	Wayne Co	unity				06/15/2017		06/15/2	2017				
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s)		Wayne Co 06/15/2017												
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s)	(	06/15/2017	7		nd comp	arable s								
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi	(	06/15/2017	7		nd comp	arable								
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi	(	06/15/2017	7		nd comp	arable s								
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi	(	06/15/2017	7		nd comp	arable s								
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi	(	06/15/2017	7		nd comp	arable s								
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi	(	06/15/2017	7		nd comp	arable s								
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi	(	06/15/2017	7		nd comp	arable								
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi	(	06/15/2017	7		nd comp	arable s								
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi	(	06/15/2017	7		nd comp	arable s								
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi	(	06/15/2017	7		nd comp	arable s								
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi	(	06/15/2017	7		nd comp	arable s								
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi	(	06/15/2017	7		nd comp	arable s								
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi	(	06/15/2017	7		nd comp	arable s								
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi	(	06/15/2017	7		nd comp	arable s								
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi	(	06/15/2017	7		nd comp	arable s								
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi	(	06/15/2017	7		nd comp	arable s								
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi	(	06/15/2017	7		nd comp	arable s								
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi	(	06/15/2017	7		nd comp	arable s								
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi  Analysis/Comments	(	06/15/2017	7		nd comp	arable s								
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi  Analysis/Comments	(	06/15/2017	7		nd comp	arable s								
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi  Analysis/Comments	(	06/15/2017	7		nd comp	arable s								
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi  Analysis/Comments	(	06/15/2017	7		nd comp	arable s								
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi  Analysis/Comments	(	06/15/2017	7		nd comp	arable s								
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi  Analysis/Comments	(	06/15/2017	7		nd comp	arable s								
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi  Analysis/Comments	(	06/15/2017	7		nd comp	arable s								
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi  Analysis/Comments	(	06/15/2017	7		nd comp	arable s								
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi  Analysis/Comments	(	06/15/2017	7		nd comp	arable s								
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi  Analysis/Comments	(	06/15/2017	7		nd comp	arable s								
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi  Analysis/Comments	(	06/15/2017	7		nd comp	arable s								
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi  Analysis/Comments	(	06/15/2017	7		nd comp	arable s								
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi  Analysis/Comments	(	06/15/2017	7		nd comp	arable s								
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi  Analysis/Comments	(	06/15/2017	7		nd comp	arable s								
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi  Analysis/Comments	(	06/15/2017	7		nd comp	arable s								
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi  Analysis/Comments	(	06/15/2017	7		nd comp	arable s								
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi  Analysis/Comments	(	06/15/2017	7		nd comp	arable s								
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi  Analysis/Comments	(	06/15/2017	7		nd comp	arable s								
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi	(	06/15/2017	7		nd comp	arable s								
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi  Analysis/Comments	(	06/15/2017	7		nd comp	arable s								

ANS-221472 File No. 0517-169

#### UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM

(Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

#### Condition Ratings and Definitions

C1

The improvements have been recently constructed and have not been previously occupied. The entire structure and all components are new and the dwelling features no physical depreciation.

Note: Newly constructed improvements that feature recycled or previously used materials and/or components can be considered new dwellings provided that the dwelling is placed on a 100 percent new foundation and the recycled materials and the recycled components have been rehabilitated/remanufactured into like-new condition. Improvements that have not been previously occupied are not considered "new" if they have any significant physical depreciation (that is, newly constructed dwellings that have been vacant for an extended period of time without adequate maintenance or upkeep).

C2

The improvements feature no deferred maintenance, little or no physical depreciation, and require no repairs. Virtually all building components are new or have been recently repaired, refinished, or rehabilitated. All outdated components and finishes have been updated and/or replaced with components that meet current standards. Dwellings in this category are either almost new or have been recently completely renovated and are similar in condition to new construction.

Note: The improvements represent a relatively new property that is well maintained with no deferred maintenance and little or no physical depreciation, or an older property that has been recently completely renovated.

C3

The improvements are well maintained and feature limited physical depreciation due to normal wear and tear. Some components, but not every major building component, may be updated or recently rehabilitated. The structure has been well maintained.

Note: The improvement is in its first-cycle of replacing short-lived building components (appliances, floor coverings, HVAC, etc.) and is being well maintained. Its estimated effective age is less than its actual age. It also may reflect a property in which the majority of short-lived building components have been replaced but not to the level of a complete renovation.

C/

The improvements feature some minor deferred maintenance and physical deterioration due to normal wear and tear. The dwelling has been adequately maintained and requires only minimal repairs to building components/mechanical systems and cosmetic repairs. All major building components have been adequately maintained and are functionally adequate.

Note: The estimated effective age may be close to or equal to its actual age. It reflects a property in which some of the short-lived building components have been replaced, and some short-lived building components are at or near the end of their physical life expectancy; however, they still function adequately. Most minor repairs have been addressed on an ongoing basis resulting in an adequately maintained property.

C5

The improvements feature obvious deferred maintenance and are in need of some significant repairs. Some building components need repairs, rehabilitation, or updating. The functional utility and overall livability is somewhat diminished due to condition, but the dwelling remains useable and functional as a residence.

Note: Some significant repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance. It reflects a property in which many of its short-lived building components are at the end of or have exceeded their physical life expectancy but remain functional.

C6

The improvements have substantial damage or deferred maintenance with deficiencies or defects that are severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements. The improvements are in need of substantial repairs and rehabilitation, including many or most major components.

Note: Substantial repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance or property damage. It reflects a property with conditions severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements.

#### **Quality Ratings and Definitions**

Q1

Dwellings with this quality rating are usually unique structures that are individually designed by an architect for a specified user. Such residences typically are constructed from detailed architectural plans and specifications and feature an exceptionally high level of workmanship and exceptionally high-grade materials throughout the interior and exterior of the structure. The design features exceptionally high-quality exterior refinements and ornamentation, and exceptionally high-quality interior refinements. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are of exceptionally high quality.

00

Dwellings with this quality rating are often custom designed for construction on an individual property owner's site. However, dwellings in this quality grade are also found in high-quality tract developments featuring residence constructed from individual plans or from highly modified or upgraded plans. The design features detailed, high quality exterior ornamentation, high-quality interior refinements, and detail. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are generally of high or very high quality.

## UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM

(Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

#### Quality Ratings and Definitions (continued)

#### Q3

Dwellings with this quality rating are residences of higher quality built from individual or readily available designer plans in above-standard residential tract developments or on an individual property owner's site. The design includes significant exterior ornamentation and interiors that are well finished. The workmanship exceeds acceptable standards and many materials and finishes throughout the dwelling have been upgraded from "stock" standards.

#### Ω4

Dwellings with this quality rating meet or exceed the requirements of applicable building codes. Standard or modified standard building plans are utilized and the design includes adequate fenestration and some exterior ornamentation and interior refinements. Materials, workmanship, finish, and equipment are of stock or builder grade and may feature some upgrades.

#### Q5

Dwellings with this quality rating feature economy of construction and basic functionality as main considerations. Such dwellings feature a plain design using readily available or basic floor plans featuring minimal fenestration and basic finishes with minimal exterior ornamentation and limited interior detail. These dwellings meet minimum building codes and are constructed with inexpensive, stock materials with limited refinements and ungrades.

#### Q6

Dwellings with this quality rating are of basic quality and lower cost; some may not be suitable for year-round occupancy. Such dwellings are often built with simple plans or without plans, often utilizing the lowest quality building materials. Such dwellings are often built or expanded by persons who are professionally unskilled or possess only minimal construction skills. Electrical, plumbing, and other mechanical systems and equipment may be minimal or non-existent. Older dwellings may feature one or more substandard or non-conforming additions to the original structure

#### Definitions of Not Updated, Updated, and Remodeled

#### Not Updated

Little or no updating or modernization. This description includes, but is not limited to, new homes.

Residential properties of fifteen years of age or less often reflect an original condition with no updating, if no major components have been replaced or updated. Those over fifteen years of age are also considered not updated if the appliances, fixtures, and finishes are predominantly dated. An area that is 'Not Updated' may still be well maintained and fully functional, and this rating does not necessarily imply deferred maintenance or physical/functional deterioration.

## Updated

The area of the home has been modified to meet current market expectations. These modifications are limited in terms of both scope and cost.

An updated area of the home should have an improved look and feel, or functional utility. Changes that constitute updates include refurbishment and/or replacing components to meet existing market expectations. Updates do not include significant alterations to the existing structure.

#### Remodeled

Significant finish and/or structural changes have been made that increase utility and appeal through complete replacement and/or expansion.

A remodeled area reflects fundamental changes that include multiple alterations. These alterations may include some or all of the following: replacement of a major component (cabinet(s), bathtub, or bathroom tile), relocation of plumbing/gas fixtures/appliances, significant structural alterations (relocating walls, and/or the addition of) square footage). This would include a complete gutting and rebuild.

#### **Explanation of Bathroom Count**

Three-quarter baths are counted as a full bath in all cases. Quarter baths (baths that feature only a toilet) are not included in the bathroom count. The number of full and half baths is reported by separating the two values using a period, where the full bath count is represented to the left of the period and the half bath count is represented to the right of the period.

#### Example:

3.2 indicates three full baths and two half baths.

# UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM (Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

# Abbreviations Used in Data Standardization Text

Abbreviation	Full Name	Fields Where This Abbreviation May Appear
А	Adverse	Location & View
ac	Acres	Area, Site
AdjPrk AdjPwr	Adjacent to Park	Location
ArmLth	Adjacent to Power Lines  Arms Length Sale	Location Sale or Financing Concessions
AT	Attached Structure	Design (Style)
В	Beneficial	Location & View
ba	Bathroom(s)	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
br	Bedroom	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
BsyRd	Busy Road	Location
C	Contracted Date	Date of Sale/Time
Cash	Cash Commercial Influence	Sale or Financing Concessions Location
Comm	Conventional	Sale or Financing Concessions
Ср	Carport	Garage/Carport
CrtOrd	Court Ordered Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
CtySky	City View Skyline View	View
CtyStr	City Street View	View
CV	Covered	Garage/Carport
DOM	Days On Market	Data Sources
DT	Detached Structure	Design (Style)
dw	Driveway  Evoiration Data	Garage/Carport
Estate	Expiration Date Estate Sale	Date of Sale/Time Sale or Financing Concessions
FHA	Estate Sale   Federal Housing Authority	Sale or Financing Concessions  Sale or Financing Concessions
g	Garage	Garage/Carport
ga	Attached Garage	Garage/Carport
gbi	Built-in Garage	Garage/Carport
gd	Detached Garage	Garage/Carport
GlfCse	Golf Course	Location
Glfvw	Golf Course View	View
GR	Garden	Design (Style)
HR	High Rise	Design (Style)
in	Interior Only Stairs	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
Ind	Industrial	Location & View
Listing	Listing	Sale or Financing Concessions
Lndfl	Landfill	Location View
LtdSght MR	Limited Sight Mid-rise	Design (Style)
Mtn	Mountain View	View
N	Neutral	Location & View
NonArm	Non-Arms Length Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
0	Other	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
0	Other	Design (Style)
ор	Open	Garage/Carport
Prk	Park View	View
Pstrl	Pastoral View	View
PwrLn	Power Lines	View
PubTrn	Public Transportation	Location  Solo or Financing Concessions
Relo REO	Relocation Sale REO Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions Sale or Financing Concessions
Res	Residential	Location & View
RH	USDA - Rural Housing	Sale or Financing Concessions
rr	Recreational (Rec) Room	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
RT	Row or Townhouse	Design (Style)
S	Settlement Date	Date of Sale/Time
SD	Semi-detached Structure	Design (Style)
Short	Short Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
sf	Square Feet	Area, Site, Basement
sqm	Square Meters	Area, Site
Unk	Unknown Veterana Administration	Date of Sale/Time
VA w	Veterans Administration Withdrawn Date	Sale or Financing Concessions  Date of Sale/Time
wo	Walk Out Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
Woods	Woods View	View
Wtr	Water View	View
WtrFr	Water Frontage	Location
wu	Walk Up Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade

## Cupplemental Addendum

Supplemental Addendum	File No. <b>0517-169</b>
County Wayne	State Mu 7 Tin Code 48446

#### SUBJECT DATA

Rudalev MI II

Lincoln Park

1089 White Ave

**Colony American Finance** 

#### **PRIOR SERVICE**

I have performed no services, as an appraiser or in any other capacity, regarding the property that is the subject of this report within the three-year period immediately preceding acceptance of this assignment.

Borrower

City

Property Address

Lender/Client

The zoning designation in the site section is as noted. This is the only information available and should not be relied upon by any third party. No other specific guidelines are with the subject's zoning. The appraiser recommends that the Client or any third party first verify information regarding zoning and permitted use of the subject property including such items as set back requirements, minimum or maximum sizes allowed, non-conforming uses, etc. The appraiser is not responsible for any errors or changes regarding zoning matters.

#### **NEIGHBORHOOD BUILT-UP AND LAND USE**

There does not appear to be a clear definition of built-up vs. land use of a neighborhood in USPAP. Therefore, in this appraisal, the following will be used as definitions:

Built-up - The % built up is for the buildable land, both commercial and residential, in the neighborhood. There is no assumption of future land splits and such. Also, the appraiser has no knowledge as to the large City/County owned properties if they are usable and/or buildable and therefore, not included in the built-up percentage.

Land use - The % land use is for all land and bodies of water in the neighborhood.

The data provided is only an estimate, as an exact figure would be beyond the scope of work of this appraisal. This does not appear to adversely affect the credibility of this appraisal.

#### CONDITION OF IMPROVEMENTS

All updates made to the subject property are considered and included in the "Condition" rating and all of the upgrades are considered and included in the "Quality of Construction" rating obtained from Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D. See attached definitions.

Subject's characteristics include but not limited to: The subject's location is considered to be residential, the view is residential, and the site is a typical subdivision lot for the area.

Based on a visual inspection of the roof and the interior ceilings for signs of leaks, there does not appear to be any damage to the roof. All the utilities are on and appear to be in working order.

The basement is finished with a rec room and a bathroom.

The exterior amenities include: Porch, patio, and a 2 car detached garage. The interior of the garage has been inspected and no health, safety, or marketability issues noted.

INCOME APPROACH - The appraiser has considered the income approach in this assignment. The subject is a single-family dwelling, and there is insufficient rental data for similar homes in this market area to produce a reliable estimate of market rent for the subject, and a GRM. Because it could not be reliably developed, the income approach has been omitted in this appraisal.

COST APPROACH - The cost approach has been included solely at the request of the client. It was given no weight in arriving at the final opinion of value because of the difficulty in developing and supporting estimates of cost new and accrued depreciation. There is limited data available with which to reliably develop this approach for a structure of this age, and therefore the results of this approach are not considered meaningful or relevant. The estimates of cost new, accrued depreciation, site value, as well as the value indicated by this approach, should not be relied upon by any party for any reason. Appraiser does not assume responsibility for misuse of data and conclusions by others. This appraisal is not to be used by any party for insurance purposes.

#### **SALES COMPARISON COMMENTS**

The appraiser has chosen what are believed to be the most current and similar comparables available from the marketplace. Therefore, the comparables are considered reliable indicators of value. Adjustments in the "Market Data Approach" are based on market extraction, not cost figures.

CONCESSIONS - In this market area, seller concessions are common and therefore, adjusted dollar for dollar.

SITE - The adjustment for site is determined not only for size but for utility and contribution to the overall demand of the location. If the site is on acreage, a cul de sac or other premium location the adjustment, if any, would be determined on the appraiser's estimate of overall 'market value' contribution. Appropriate adjustments are made if

BEDROOMS - In this market, 3+ bedroom homes are considered as "typical" for market. In this appraisal, bedroom counts of less than 3 are adjusted accordingly.

BATHROOMS - In the grid, the bathroom count is noted as 1 for each full bath and as 0.1 for each half bath. Bathrooms in the basement are not considered in the total above ground count but are included in the overall basement finish.

FIREPLACE & SHED - If the subject has a fireplace and/or a shed, the appraiser makes no adjustment for these due to the fact that there is no data to suggest added value to the home. Therefore no adjustments is warranted. BASEMENT & FINISH - The subject's basement size and amount finished will be reported for the subject. For comparables, the appraiser will make an attempt to interview the RE agents involved in this transaction in the normal

#### **Supplemental Addendum**

		Cappionionia Addonadii	1110	110. 0317-109	
Borrower	Rudalev MI II				
Property Address	1089 White Ave				
City	Lincoln Park	County Wayne	State MI	Zip Code 48146	
Lender/Client	Colony American Finance				

File No. 0517 160

course of business to obtain relevant basement data. In the event there is no response from RE agents, the appraiser will make the following "extraordinary assumptions":

a) That the basement data on the listing ticket is correct. b) If the basement is noted in the listing ticket to be "finished", then it will be noted in the grid as 80% finished. c) If the basement is noted in the listing ticket to be "part-finished", then it will be noted in the grid as 50% finished.

The above assumptions are market driven and based on a "typical" buyers perception of finished area in a basement. In this section, the appraiser will make any and all appropriate adjustments pertaining to the size, finish, and the foundation type of the basement.

ROOMS BELOW GRADE - The subject's rooms below grade will be noted and adjusted for in this section. The appraiser makes an adjustment for bath count and kitchen only (if available) in this section of the grid. Difference in room count is not adjusted for as this would be considered a "personal preference". That is, to have the basement partitioned or have one large room is a personal preference.

Basement adjustments are made as follows:

Size \$2 sf. Finish \$2 sf.

AGE -The acceptable age variance rule of 30% is exceeded, in reference to Comparable #1, because a search of the MLS Database and public records reveal a lack of comparables similar in size and design style that sold recently. All age adjustments are made based on the market reaction of age differences. No adjustment is made for age difference of less than 35 years.

SIZE - All comparables are within the acceptable 20% difference in size. No adjustment is made for GLA differences of less than 100 square feet.

GARAGE - In this market and according to feedback from local realtors, it appears that an attached garage adds more value to a home than a detached garage. Therefore, an appropriate adjustments is made when warranted.

SALE DATES (greater than 6 months) - The subject is located in a stable market. Due to a lack of qualified closed comparative data of similar size, condition, and utility in the subject's immediate area, it is necessary to exceed FNMA guidelines for sold dates of greater than six months. No adjustment is warranted at this time for sale date. This is acceptable in this market area.

HIGH/LOW ADJUSTED VALUES - The high/low ratio of the adjusted values exceeds the acceptable 15% due to the varying intangibles such as condition/updates/upgrades of homes in the area, and lack of sales.

ADJUSTMENTS - Adjustments to the comparables on the sales grid were calculated using quantitative (paired sales) whenever possible, often some features of the subject requiring adjustment were determined using data accumulated from the appraiser's database, peer discussions, education, and typical market reaction observed through the appraisers familiarity with the market.

The above variances, with the appropriate adjustments, will not adversely affect value.

#### **EXPOSURE TIME**

In appraiser's opinion, a reasonable exposure time for the subject property developed independently from the stated marketing time is 1 - 3 months.

## **OPINION OF VALUE DEVELOPMENT**

Comp 1 is most similar to subject in size, condition, most recent sale, and therefore, given primary weight.

The remaining comparables are in order of similarity to the subject and weighted, in that order, in developing the final opinion of market value. The listings provided, are not used to develop but only to support value.

ANS-221472

**Market Conditions Addendum to the Appraisal Report** 

File No. 0517-169

The purpose of this addendum is to provide the lender/cl					oreval	ent in the sub	ject			
neighborhood. This is a required addendum for all apprai	isal reports with an effectiv				C+	ata su	7ID	Codo 4044		
Property Address 1089 White Ave Borrower Rudalev MI II		City L	incoln Park		- 31	ate MI	ZIP	Code 4814	6	
Borrower Rudalev MI II Instructions: The appraiser must use the information rec	quired on this form as the b	hasis for his/her	r conclusions, a	and must provide suppor	t for t	hose conclusi	ons r	enarding		
housing trends and overall market conditions as reported	•									
it is available and reliable and must provide analysis as in										
explanation. It is recognized that not all data sources will	- '					•				
in the analysis. If data sources provide the required inform	mation as an average inste	ad of the media	ın, the appraiser	should report the availa	ble fiç	jure and identi	ify it a	is an		
average. Sales and listings must be properties that comp					ed by	a prospective	e buye	er of the		
subject property. The appraiser must explain any anomal					_					
Inventory Analysis	Prior 7–12 Months	Prior 4–6 N	Vionths	Current – 3 Months	+	1 (		erall Trend		D. elledes
Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)	34	12		20	╬	Increasing	=	Stable Stable	=	Declining
Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Comparable Active Listings	5.67 6	4.00	)	6.67	┢	Increasing Declining		Stable Stable	=	Declining Increasing
Months of Housing Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)	1.1	2.0		11 1.6	┢	Declining	_	Stable	=	Increasing
Median Sale & List Price, DOM, Sale/List %	Prior 7–12 Months	Prior 4–6 N		Current – 3 Months	_	] Booming		erall Trend		morodomig
Median Comparable Sale Price	\$68,000	\$65,00		\$70,000	┢	Increasing		Stable		Declining
Median Comparable Sales Days on Market	11.5	21		13.5	Ī	Declining		Stable		Increasing
Median Comparable List Price	\$69,450	\$71,40	00	\$75,000	$\boxtimes$	Increasing		Stable		Declining
Median Comparable Listings Days on Market	81.5	30.5	i	15	$\boxtimes$	Declining		Stable		Increasing
Median Sale Price as % of List Price	98.66	100.0	0	98.66		Increasing		Stable		Declining
Seller-(developer, builder, etc.)paid financial assistance p		_				Declining	_ V	Stable		Increasing
Explain in detail the seller concessions trends for the pas	• •									
fees, options, etc.). The data used in the grid ab										
mandatory reporting field for agents and there may		at do include o	concessions, b	out have not been repo	rted.	It is beyond	the so	cope of this	ass	ignment to
confirm each sale used in the Market Conditions Re	port.									
Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the market?	? Yes 🖂 No	n If ves exm	nlain (including t	the trends in listings and	sales	of foreclosed	l nrone	erties)		
The data used in the grid above does not indicate th									over	this is not
a mandatory reporting field for agents and there ma										
the Market Conditions Report.	y be some distressed sa	ies that were i	iot reported. It	is beyond the scope (	)	assigninen	1000	Jillii eacii	Juic	useu III
The manner of th										
Cite data sources for above information. RealCo	mp MLS and Public Reco	ords. Foreclos	ure, REO and	Concession estimates	are l	pased on data	a in th	ne MLS, pub	olic r	ecords
reviewed by the appraiser, statistics published onlin	ne and in the local media	. The data was	s "cleaned" pri		ve is					
distant and may be misleading. If a field indicates N	I/A or it's shaded, that m	eans the local	MLS does not	ior to analysis to remo	on.	olated transa	ction	s that were		
distant and may be misleading. If a field indicates N Summarize the above information as support for your co	I/A or it's shaded, that monclusions in the Neighborh	eans the local lood section of t	MLS does not the appraisal rep	ior to analysis to remo provide this informati port form. If you used a	on. ny add	olated transa	action:	s that were		
distant and may be misleading. If a field indicates N Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray	I/A or it's shaded, that monclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you	eans the local lood section of t ur conclusions,	MLS does not the appraisal rep provide both an	ior to analysis to remo provide this informati port form. If you used an n explanation and suppo	on. ny add t for y	olated transa ditional informa your conclusion	action: ation, ons.	s that were	num	erically
distant and may be misleading. If a field indicates N Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw The appraiser considered only those homes that are	I/A or it's shaded, that m inclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you e competitive to the subj	eans the local nood section of the ur conclusions, ect, only those	MLS does not the appraisal rep provide both an that are similar	ior to analysis to remo provide this informati port form. If you used an explanation and suppo ar in physical characte	on. ny add t for y eristic	olated transa ditional information our conclusions, lot size, vi	ation, ons.	s that were such as	num	three
distant and may be misleading. If a field indicates N Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw The appraiser considered only those homes that are levels; the market area level, the neighborhood leve	I/A or it's shaded, that me inclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you e competitive to the subjuted I and the immediate area	eans the local nood section of the ur conclusions, ect, only those a level and con	MLS does not the appraisal rep provide both an that are similar siders directly	ior to analysis to remo provide this informati port form. If you used an explanation and suppo ar in physical character competitive and reas	on. ny add t for y eristic onabl	ditional inform your conclusions, lot size, vide alternative	ation, ons.	s that were such as	num	three
distant and may be misleading. If a field indicates N Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw The appraiser considered only those homes that are	I/A or it's shaded, that me inclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you e competitive to the subjuted I and the immediate area	eans the local nood section of the ur conclusions, ect, only those a level and con	MLS does not the appraisal rep provide both an that are similar siders directly	ior to analysis to remo provide this informati port form. If you used an explanation and suppo ar in physical character competitive and reas	on. ny add t for y eristic onabl	ditional inform your conclusions, lot size, vide alternative	ation, ons.	s that were such as	num	three
distant and may be misleading. If a field indicates N Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw The appraiser considered only those homes that are levels; the market area level, the neighborhood leve	I/A or it's shaded, that me inclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you e competitive to the subjuted I and the immediate area	eans the local nood section of the ur conclusions, ect, only those a level and con	MLS does not the appraisal rep provide both an that are similar siders directly	ior to analysis to remo provide this informati port form. If you used an explanation and suppo ar in physical character competitive and reas	on. ny add t for y eristic onabl	ditional inform your conclusions, lot size, vide alternative	ation, ons.	s that were such as	num	three
distant and may be misleading. If a field indicates N Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw The appraiser considered only those homes that are levels; the market area level, the neighborhood leve	I/A or it's shaded, that me inclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you e competitive to the subjuted I and the immediate area	eans the local nood section of the ur conclusions, ect, only those a level and con	MLS does not the appraisal rep provide both an that are similar siders directly	ior to analysis to remo provide this informati port form. If you used an explanation and suppo ar in physical character competitive and reas	on. ny add t for y eristic onabl	ditional inform your conclusions, lot size, vide alternative	ation, ons.	s that were such as	num	three
distant and may be misleading. If a field indicates N Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw The appraiser considered only those homes that are levels; the market area level, the neighborhood leve	I/A or it's shaded, that me inclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you e competitive to the subjuted I and the immediate area	eans the local nood section of the ur conclusions, ect, only those a level and con	MLS does not the appraisal rep provide both an that are similar siders directly	ior to analysis to remo provide this informati port form. If you used an explanation and suppo ar in physical character competitive and reas	on. ny add t for y eristic onabl	ditional inform your conclusions, lot size, vide alternative	ation, ons.	s that were such as	num	three
distant and may be misleading. If a field indicates N Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw The appraiser considered only those homes that are levels; the market area level, the neighborhood leve	I/A or it's shaded, that me inclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you e competitive to the subjuted I and the immediate area	eans the local nood section of the ur conclusions, ect, only those a level and con	MLS does not the appraisal rep provide both an that are similar siders directly	ior to analysis to remo provide this informati port form. If you used an explanation and suppo ar in physical character competitive and reas	on. ny add t for y eristic onabl	ditional inform your conclusions, lot size, vide alternative	ation, ons.	s that were such as	num	three
distant and may be misleading. If a field indicates N Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw The appraiser considered only those homes that are levels; the market area level, the neighborhood leve	I/A or it's shaded, that me inclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you e competitive to the subjuted I and the immediate area	eans the local nood section of the ur conclusions, ect, only those a level and con	MLS does not the appraisal rep provide both an that are similar siders directly	ior to analysis to remo provide this informati port form. If you used an explanation and suppo ar in physical character competitive and reas	on. ny add t for y eristic onabl	ditional inform your conclusions, lot size, vide alternative	ation, ons.	s that were such as	num	three
distant and may be misleading. If a field indicates N Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. The appraiser considered only those homes that are levels; the market area level, the neighborhood leve totals, etc." may slightly vary from gross numbers related to the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative parts.	I/A or it's shaded, that muclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you accompetitive to the subjul and the immediate area eported by the MLS, etc.,	eans the local nood section of the conclusions, ect, only those the level and continued the continued to the continued the conti	MLS does not the appraisal rep provide both an that are similar siders directly	ior to analysis to remo provide this informati port form. If you used an explanation and suppo ar in physical character competitive and reas	on.  ny add  t for y  eristic  onabl  nal tra	ditional inform your conclusions, lot size, vide alternative	ation, ons.	s that were such as	num	three
distant and may be misleading. If a field indicates N Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray The appraiser considered only those homes that are levels; the market area level, the neighborhood leve totals, etc." may slightly vary from gross numbers re  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative p Subject Project Data	I/A or it's shaded, that muclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you accompetitive to the subjul and the immediate area eported by the MLS, etc.,	eans the local nood section of the conclusions, ect, only those the level and continued the continued to the continued the conti	MLS does not the appraisal rep provide both an e that are simila siders directly ends, totals les	ior to analysis to remo provide this informati port form. If you used an explanation and suppo ar in physical character competitive and reas as impacted by abnorm	on.  ny add  t for y  eristic  onabl  nal tra	ditional inform your conclusions, lot size, vide alternative	ation, ation, ons. iew, c s. The	s that were such as condition, et e indicated erall Trend	num	three
distant and may be misleading. If a field indicates N Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw The appraiser considered only those homes that are levels; the market area level, the neighborhood leve totals, etc." may slightly vary from gross numbers re  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative p Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)	I/A or it's shaded, that munclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you competitive to the subjut and the immediate area eported by the MLS, etc.,	eans the local nood section of the conclusions, ect, only those the level and continuous, but reflect trees.	MLS does not the appraisal rep provide both an e that are simila siders directly ends, totals les	ior to analysis to remo provide this informati port form. If you used an explanation and suppo ar in physical charactor competitive and reas is impacted by abnorm	on.  ny add  t for y  eristic  onabl  nal tra	ditional information of the conclusion of the co	ation, ation, ons.	s that were such as condition, et e indicated erall Trend Stable	num	three dian,
distant and may be misleading. If a field indicates N Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw The appraiser considered only those homes that are levels; the market area level, the neighborhood leve totals, etc." may slightly vary from gross numbers re  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative p Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)	I/A or it's shaded, that munclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you competitive to the subjut and the immediate area eported by the MLS, etc.,	eans the local nood section of the conclusions, ect, only those the level and continuous, but reflect trees.	MLS does not the appraisal rep provide both an e that are simila siders directly ends, totals les	ior to analysis to remo provide this informati port form. If you used an explanation and suppo ar in physical charactor competitive and reas is impacted by abnorm	on.  ny add  t for y  eristic  onabl  nal tra	olated transa ditional inform. your conclusions, lot size, vie alternative unsactions.	ove	s that were such as condition, et e indicated  erall Trend Stable Stable	num	three dian,  Declining Declining
distant and may be misleading. If a field indicates N Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw The appraiser considered only those homes that are levels; the market area level, the neighborhood leve totals, etc." may slightly vary from gross numbers re  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative p Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings	I/A or it's shaded, that munclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you competitive to the subjut and the immediate area eported by the MLS, etc.,	eans the local nood section of the conclusions, ect, only those the level and continuous, but reflect trees.	MLS does not the appraisal rep provide both an e that are simila siders directly ends, totals les	ior to analysis to remo provide this informati port form. If you used an explanation and suppo ar in physical charactor competitive and reas is impacted by abnorm	on.  ny add  t for y  eristic  onabl  nal tra	olated transa ditional inform. /our conclusion cs, lot size, via e alternative ensactions.  Increasing Increasing Declining	ove	s that were such as condition, et e indicated  erall Trend Stable Stable Stable	num	three dian,  Declining Declining Increasing
distant and may be misleading. If a field indicates N Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw The appraiser considered only those homes that are levels; the market area level, the neighborhood leve totals, etc." may slightly vary from gross numbers re  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative p Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)	I/A or it's shaded, that menclusions in the Neighborh who listings, to formulate you be competitive to the subject and the immediate area eported by the MLS, etc., project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	eans the local lood section of the conclusions, ect, only those the level and continuous, but reflect trees.  Prior 4–6 March 1997.	MLS does not the appraisal rep provide both and that are similated in the siders directly ends, totals les	ior to analysis to remo provide this informati port form. If you used an explanation and suppo ar in physical character competitive and reas is impacted by abnorn Project I Current – 3 Months	on.  ny addo t for y eristic conab nal tra	olated transa ditional inform. /our conclusion cs, lot size, via e alternative unsactions.  Increasing Increasing Declining	ove	s that were such as condition, et e indicated  erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	num	three dian,  Declining Declining
distant and may be misleading. If a field indicates N Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw The appraiser considered only those homes that are levels; the market area level, the neighborhood leve totals, etc." may slightly vary from gross numbers re  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative p Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project?	I/A or it's shaded, that menclusions in the Neighborh who listings, to formulate you be competitive to the subject and the immediate area eported by the MLS, etc., project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	eans the local lood section of the conclusions, ect, only those the level and continuous, but reflect trees.  Prior 4–6 March 1997.	MLS does not the appraisal rep provide both and that are similated in the siders directly ends, totals les	ior to analysis to remo provide this informati port form. If you used an explanation and suppo ar in physical charactor competitive and reas is impacted by abnorm	on.  ny addo t for y eristic conab nal tra	olated transa ditional inform. /our conclusion cs, lot size, via e alternative unsactions.  Increasing Increasing Declining	ove	s that were such as condition, et e indicated  erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	num	three dian,  Declining Declining Increasing
distant and may be misleading. If a field indicates N Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw The appraiser considered only those homes that are levels; the market area level, the neighborhood leve totals, etc." may slightly vary from gross numbers re  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative p Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)	I/A or it's shaded, that menclusions in the Neighborh who listings, to formulate you be competitive to the subject and the immediate area eported by the MLS, etc., project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	eans the local lood section of the conclusions, ect, only those the level and continuous, but reflect trees.  Prior 4–6 March 1997.	MLS does not the appraisal rep provide both and that are similated in the siders directly ends, totals les	ior to analysis to remo provide this informati port form. If you used an explanation and suppo ar in physical character competitive and reas is impacted by abnorn Project I Current – 3 Months	on.  ny addo t for y eristic conab nal tra	olated transa ditional inform. /our conclusion cs, lot size, via e alternative unsactions.  Increasing Increasing Declining	ove	s that were such as condition, et e indicated  erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	num	three dian,  Declining Declining Increasing
distant and may be misleading. If a field indicates N Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw The appraiser considered only those homes that are levels; the market area level, the neighborhood leve totals, etc." may slightly vary from gross numbers re  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative p Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project?	I/A or it's shaded, that menclusions in the Neighborh who listings, to formulate you be competitive to the subject and the immediate area eported by the MLS, etc., project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	eans the local lood section of the conclusions, ect, only those the level and continuous, but reflect trees.  Prior 4–6 March 1997.	MLS does not the appraisal rep provide both and that are similated in the siders directly ends, totals les	ior to analysis to remo provide this informati port form. If you used an explanation and suppo ar in physical character competitive and reas is impacted by abnorn Project I Current – 3 Months	on.  ny addo t for y eristic conab nal tra	olated transa ditional inform. /our conclusion cs, lot size, via e alternative unsactions.  Increasing Increasing Declining	ove	s that were such as condition, et e indicated  erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	num	three dian,  Declining Declining Increasing
distant and may be misleading. If a field indicates N Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw The appraiser considered only those homes that are levels; the market area level, the neighborhood leve totals, etc." may slightly vary from gross numbers re  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative p Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project?	I/A or it's shaded, that menclusions in the Neighborh who listings, to formulate you be competitive to the subject and the immediate area eported by the MLS, etc., project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	eans the local lood section of the conclusions, ect, only those the level and continued the continue	MLS does not the appraisal rep provide both and that are similated in the siders directly ends, totals les	ior to analysis to remo provide this informati port form. If you used an explanation and suppo ar in physical character competitive and reas is impacted by abnorn Project I Current – 3 Months	on.  ny addo t for y eristic conab nal tra	olated transa ditional inform. /our conclusion cs, lot size, via e alternative unsactions.  Increasing Increasing Declining	ove	s that were such as condition, et e indicated  erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	num	three dian,  Declining Declining Increasing
distant and may be misleading. If a field indicates N Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw The appraiser considered only those homes that are levels; the market area level, the neighborhood leve totals, etc." may slightly vary from gross numbers re  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative p Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project?	I/A or it's shaded, that menclusions in the Neighborh who listings, to formulate you be competitive to the subject and the immediate area eported by the MLS, etc., project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	eans the local lood section of the conclusions, ect, only those the level and continued the continue	MLS does not the appraisal rep provide both and that are similated in the siders directly ends, totals les	ior to analysis to remo provide this informati port form. If you used an explanation and suppo ar in physical character competitive and reas is impacted by abnorn Project I Current – 3 Months	on.  ny addo t for y eristic conab nal tra	olated transa ditional inform. /our conclusion cs, lot size, via e alternative unsactions.  Increasing Increasing Declining	ove	s that were such as condition, et e indicated  erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	num	three dian,  Declining Declining Increasing
distant and may be misleading. If a field indicates N Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw The appraiser considered only those homes that are levels; the market area level, the neighborhood leve totals, etc." may slightly vary from gross numbers re  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative p Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project?	I/A or it's shaded, that menclusions in the Neighborh who listings, to formulate you be competitive to the subject and the immediate area eported by the MLS, etc., project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	eans the local lood section of the conclusions, ect, only those the level and continued the continue	MLS does not the appraisal rep provide both and that are similated in the siders directly ends, totals les	ior to analysis to remo provide this informati port form. If you used an explanation and suppo ar in physical character competitive and reas is impacted by abnorn Project I Current – 3 Months	on.  ny addo t for y eristic conab nal tra	olated transa ditional inform. /our conclusion cs, lot size, via e alternative unsactions.  Increasing Increasing Declining	ove	s that were such as condition, et e indicated  erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	num	three dian,  Declining Declining Increasing
distant and may be misleading. If a field indicates N Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw The appraiser considered only those homes that are levels; the market area level, the neighborhood leve totals, etc." may slightly vary from gross numbers re  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative p Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project?	I/A or it's shaded, that menclusions in the Neighborh who listings, to formulate you be competitive to the subject and the immediate area eported by the MLS, etc., project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	eans the local lood section of the conclusions, ect, only those the level and continued the continue	MLS does not the appraisal rep provide both and that are similated in the siders directly ends, totals les	ior to analysis to remo provide this informati port form. If you used an explanation and suppo ar in physical character competitive and reas is impacted by abnorn Project I Current – 3 Months	on.  ny addo t for y eristic conab nal tra	olated transa ditional inform. /our conclusion cs, lot size, via e alternative unsactions.  Increasing Increasing Declining	ove	s that were such as condition, et e indicated  erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	num	three dian,  Declining Declining Increasing
distant and may be misleading. If a field indicates N Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw The appraiser considered only those homes that are levels; the market area level, the neighborhood leve totals, etc." may slightly vary from gross numbers re  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative p Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project?	A/A or it's shaded, that menclusions in the Neighborh who listings, to formulate you be competitive to the subject and the immediate area eported by the MLS, etc., prior 7–12 Months  Prior 7–12 Months  Yes No.	eans the local lood section of the conclusions, ect, only those the level and continuous, but reflect trees.  Prior 4–6 March 19 March 19 March 20	MLS does not the appraisal rep provide both and that are similated in the siders directly ends, totals les	ior to analysis to remo provide this informati port form. If you used an explanation and suppo ar in physical character competitive and reas is impacted by abnorn Project I Current – 3 Months	on.  ny addo t for y eristic conab nal tra	olated transa ditional inform. /our conclusion cs, lot size, via e alternative unsactions.  Increasing Increasing Declining	ove	s that were such as condition, et e indicated  erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	num	three dian,  Declining Declining Increasing
distant and may be misleading. If a field indicates N Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw The appraiser considered only those homes that are levels; the market area level, the neighborhood leve totals, etc." may slightly vary from gross numbers resulting the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)  Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.	A/A or it's shaded, that menclusions in the Neighborh who listings, to formulate you be competitive to the subject and the immediate area eported by the MLS, etc., prior 7–12 Months  Prior 7–12 Months  Yes No.	eans the local lood section of the conclusions, ect, only those the level and continuous, but reflect trees.  Prior 4–6 March 19 March 19 March 20	MLS does not the appraisal rep provide both and that are similated in the siders directly ends, totals les	ior to analysis to remo provide this informati port form. If you used an explanation and suppo ar in physical character competitive and reas is impacted by abnorn Project I Current – 3 Months	on.  ny addo t for y eristic conab nal tra	olated transa ditional inform. /our conclusion cs, lot size, via e alternative unsactions.  Increasing Increasing Declining	ove	s that were such as condition, et e indicated  erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	num	three dian,  Declining Declining Increasing
distant and may be misleading. If a field indicates N Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw The appraiser considered only those homes that are levels; the market area level, the neighborhood leve totals, etc." may slightly vary from gross numbers resulting the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)  Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.	A/A or it's shaded, that menclusions in the Neighborh who listings, to formulate you be competitive to the subject and the immediate area eported by the MLS, etc., prior 7–12 Months  Prior 7–12 Months  Yes No.	eans the local lood section of the conclusions, ect, only those the level and continuous, but reflect trees.  Prior 4–6 March 19 March 19 March 20	MLS does not the appraisal rep provide both and that are similated in the siders directly ends, totals les	ior to analysis to remo provide this informati port form. If you used an explanation and suppo ar in physical character competitive and reas is impacted by abnorn Project I Current – 3 Months	on.  ny addo t for y eristic conab nal tra	olated transa ditional inform. /our conclusion cs, lot size, via e alternative unsactions.  Increasing Increasing Declining	ove	s that were such as condition, et e indicated  erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	num	three dian,  Declining Declining Increasing
distant and may be misleading. If a field indicates N Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw The appraiser considered only those homes that are levels; the market area level, the neighborhood leve totals, etc." may slightly vary from gross numbers resulting the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)  Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.	A/A or it's shaded, that menclusions in the Neighborh who listings, to formulate you be competitive to the subject and the immediate area eported by the MLS, etc., prior 7–12 Months  Prior 7–12 Months  Yes No.	eans the local lood section of the conclusions, ect, only those the level and continuous, but reflect trees.  Prior 4–6 March 19 March 19 March 20	MLS does not the appraisal rep provide both and that are similated in the siders directly ends, totals les	ior to analysis to remo provide this informati port form. If you used an explanation and suppo ar in physical character competitive and reas is impacted by abnorn Project I Current – 3 Months	on.  ny addo t for y eristic conab nal tra	olated transa ditional inform. /our conclusion cs, lot size, via e alternative unsactions.  Increasing Increasing Declining	ove	s that were such as condition, et e indicated  erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	num	three dian,  Declining Declining Increasing
distant and may be misleading. If a field indicates N Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw The appraiser considered only those homes that are levels; the market area level, the neighborhood leve totals, etc." may slightly vary from gross numbers resulting the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)  Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.	A/A or it's shaded, that menclusions in the Neighborh who listings, to formulate you be competitive to the subject and the immediate area eported by the MLS, etc., prior 7–12 Months  Prior 7–12 Months  Yes No.	eans the local lood section of the conclusions, ect, only those the level and continuous, but reflect trees.  Prior 4–6 March 19 March 19 March 20	MLS does not the appraisal rep provide both and that are similated in the siders directly ends, totals les	ior to analysis to remo provide this informati port form. If you used an explanation and suppo ar in physical character competitive and reas is impacted by abnorn Project I Current – 3 Months	on.  ny addo t for y eristic conab nal tra	olated transa ditional inform. /our conclusion cs, lot size, via e alternative unsactions.  Increasing Increasing Declining	ove	s that were such as condition, et e indicated  erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	num	three dian,  Declining Declining Increasing
distant and may be misleading. If a field indicates N Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw The appraiser considered only those homes that are levels; the market area level, the neighborhood leve totals, etc." may slightly vary from gross numbers resulting the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)  Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.	A/A or it's shaded, that menclusions in the Neighborh who listings, to formulate you be competitive to the subject and the immediate area eported by the MLS, etc., prior 7–12 Months  Prior 7–12 Months  Yes No.	eans the local lood section of the conclusions, ect, only those the level and continuous, but reflect trees.  Prior 4–6 March 19 March 19 March 20	MLS does not the appraisal rep provide both and that are similated in the siders directly ends, totals les	ior to analysis to remo provide this informati port form. If you used an explanation and suppo ar in physical character competitive and reas is impacted by abnorn Project I Current – 3 Months	on.  ny addo t for y eristic conab nal tra	olated transa ditional inform. /our conclusion cs, lot size, via e alternative unsactions.  Increasing Increasing Declining	ove	s that were such as condition, et e indicated  erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	num	three dian,  Declining Declining Increasing
distant and may be misleading. If a field indicates N Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw The appraiser considered only those homes that are levels; the market area level, the neighborhood leve totals, etc." may slightly vary from gross numbers resulting the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)  Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.	A/A or it's shaded, that menclusions in the Neighborh who listings, to formulate you be competitive to the subject and the immediate area eported by the MLS, etc., prior 7–12 Months  Prior 7–12 Months  Yes No.	eans the local lood section of the conclusions, ect, only those the level and continuous, but reflect trees.  Prior 4–6 March 19 March 19 March 20	MLS does not the appraisal rep provide both and that are similated in the siders directly ends, totals les	ior to analysis to remo provide this informati port form. If you used an explanation and suppo ar in physical character competitive and reas is impacted by abnorn Project I Current – 3 Months	on.  ny addo t for y eristic conab nal tra	olated transa ditional inform. /our conclusion cs, lot size, via e alternative unsactions.  Increasing Increasing Declining	ove	s that were such as condition, et e indicated  erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	num	three dian,  Declining Declining Increasing
distant and may be misleading. If a field indicates No Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw. The appraiser considered only those homes that are levels; the market area level, the neighborhood leve totals, etc." may slightly vary from gross numbers resulted in the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)  Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.	A/A or it's shaded, that menclusions in the Neighborh who listings, to formulate you be competitive to the subject and the immediate area eported by the MLS, etc., prior 7–12 Months  Prior 7–12 Months  Yes No.	eans the local lood section of the ur conclusions, ect, only those the level and continuous, but reflect trees.  Wing:  Prior 4–6 March 1997  If yes, independent to the unit of the unit	MLS does not the appraisal reprovide both and that are similarisiders directly ends, totals les	ior to analysis to remo provide this informati port form. If you used an explanation and suppo ar in physical character competitive and reas is impacted by abnorn Project I Current – 3 Months	on.  ny addo t for y eristic conab nal tra	olated transa ditional inform. /our conclusion cs, lot size, via e alternative unsactions.  Increasing Increasing Declining	ove	s that were such as condition, et e indicated  erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	num	three dian,  Declining Declining Increasing
distant and may be misleading. If a field indicates Normarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw. The appraiser considered only those homes that are levels; the market area level, the neighborhood leve totals, etc." may slightly vary from gross numbers resulted by the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)  Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.  Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Signature	A/A or it's shaded, that menclusions in the Neighborh who listings, to formulate you be competitive to the subject and the immediate area eported by the MLS, etc., prior 7–12 Months  Prior 7–12 Months  Yes No.	eans the local lood section of the result of	MLS does not the appraisal reprovide both and that are similarisiders directly ends, totals less when the number of the number o	ior to analysis to remonstrate provide this information port form. If you used an explanation and support are in physical character competitive and reasts impacted by abnormalist impacted by abnorma	on.  ny addo t for y eristic conab nal tra	olated transa ditional inform. /our conclusion cs, lot size, via e alternative unsactions.  Increasing Increasing Declining	ove	s that were such as condition, et e indicated  erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	num	three dian,  Declining Declining Increasing
distant and may be misleading. If a field indicates Normarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw. The appraiser considered only those homes that are levels; the market area level, the neighborhood leve totals, etc." may slightly vary from gross numbers resulted by the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project Data.  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)  Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.  Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Signature  Appraiser Name  Matthew Shaduni	A/A or it's shaded, that menclusions in the Neighborh who listings, to formulate you be competitive to the subject and the immediate area eported by the MLS, etc., prior 7–12 Months  Prior 7–12 Months  Yes No.	eans the local lood section of the conclusions, ect, only those the level and continuous the continuous transfer of the continuou	MLS does not the appraisal reprovide both and that are similarisiders directly ends, totals less when the number of the number o	ior to analysis to remonstrate provide this information port form. If you used an explanation and support are in physical character competitive and reasts impacted by abnormalist impacted by abnorma	on.  ny addo t for y eristic conab nal tra	olated transa ditional inform. /our conclusion cs, lot size, via e alternative unsactions.  Increasing Increasing Declining	ove	s that were such as condition, et e indicated  erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	num	three dian,  Declining Declining Increasing
distant and may be misleading. If a field indicates Normarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw. The appraiser considered only those homes that are levels; the market area level, the neighborhood leve totals, etc." may slightly vary from gross numbers resulting the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project Data.  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)  Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.  Summarize the above trends and address the impact on summarize the above trends and address the impact on summarize the above trends and address the impact on signature  Appraiser Name Matthew shapunic Company Name Fight Appraisal	A/A or it's shaded, that menclusions in the Neighborh will listings, to formulate you be competitive to the subject and the immediate area eported by the MLS, etc., prior 7–12 Months  Prior 7–12 Months  The subject unit and project the subject unit and project with the subject unit and project the subject	eans the local lood section of fur conclusions, ect, only those level and con but reflect tre  Prior 4–6 M  If yes, ind  t.	MLS does not the appraisal reprovide both and that are similariated that are similariate	ior to analysis to remonstrate provide this information port form. If you used an explanation and supposar in physical character competitive and reasts impacted by abnorm  Project Management of REO listings and explanation and explanation and explanation and explanation are of REO listings and explanation and explanation are of REO listings and explanation and explanation are of REO listings are of REO list	on.  ny addo t for y eristic conab nal tra	olated transa ditional inform. /our conclusion cs, lot size, via e alternative unsactions.  Increasing Increasing Declining	ove	s that were such as condition, et e indicated  erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	num	three dian,  Declining Declining Increasing
distant and may be misleading. If a field indicates Normarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw. The appraiser considered only those homes that are levels; the market area level, the neighborhood leve totals, etc." may slightly vary from gross numbers resulted by the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)  Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.  Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Fight Appraisal  Signature  Appraiser Name  Company Name  Fight Appraisal	A/A or it's shaded, that menclusions in the Neighborh will listings, to formulate you be competitive to the subject and the immediate area eported by the MLS, etc., prior 7–12 Months  Prior 7–12 Months  The subject unit and project the subject unit and project with the subject unit and project the subject	eans the local lood section of the conclusions, ect, only those the level and continuous prices and the continuous prices are level and continuous prices are	MLS does not the appraisal reprovide both and that are similarisiders directly ends, totals less when the number of the number o	ior to analysis to remonstrate provide this information port form. If you used an explanation and supposar in physical character competitive and reasts impacted by abnormatical current – 3 Months  Project Management of REO listings and explanation and explanation and explanation are removed.	on.  ny addo t for y eristic conab nal tra	olated transa ditional inform. /our conclusion cs, lot size, via e alternative unsactions.  Increasing Increasing Declining	Ove	s that were such as condition, et e indicated  erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	num	three dian,  Declining Declining Increasing
distant and may be misleading. If a field indicates Normarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw. The appraiser considered only those homes that are levels; the market area level, the neighborhood leve totals, etc." may slightly vary from gross numbers resulted by the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project Data.  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)  Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.  Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Signature  Appraiser Name Mattinew Staduni  Company Name Fight Appraisal  Company Address 42874 Mound Rd, Sterling He	A/A or it's shaded, that munclusions in the Neighborh will listings, to formulate you be competitive to the subject and the immediate area eported by the MLS, etc., or oject, complete the followard Prior 7–12 Months  The Subject unit and project the subject unit and project ights, MI 48314	eans the local lood section of the conclusions, ect, only those the level and continuous prior 4–6 Median Prior 4–6 Median St.  Signature St.  Signature St.  Signature St.  Signature St.	MLS does not the appraisal reprovide both and that are similariated that are similariate	ior to analysis to remonstrate provide this information port form. If you used an explanation and supposar in physical character competitive and reasts impacted by abnormatical current – 3 Months  Project Management of REO listings and explanation and explanation and explanation are removed.	on.  ny addo t for y eristic conab nal tra	olated transa ditional inform. /our conclusion cs, lot size, via e alternative unsactions.  Increasing Increasing Declining	Ove	erall Trend Stable	num	three dian,  Declining Declining Increasing

# **Subject Photo Page**

Borrower	Rudalev MI II					
Property Address	1089 White Ave					
City	Lincoln Park	County <b>W</b> a	ayne	State M	II Zip Code	48146
Lender/Client	Colony American Finance					



# **Subject Front**

1089 White Ave

Sales Price

Gross Living Area 1,232 Total Rooms Total Bedrooms Total Bathrooms 1.0 Location N;Res; View N;Res; 4165 sf Site Quality Q4 Age 89



# **Subject Rear**



# **Subject Street**

Borrower	Rudalev MI II			
Property Address	1089 White Ave			
City	Lincoln Park	County Wayne	State MI	Zip Code <b>48146</b>
Lender/Client	Colony American Finance			





Street/other side

Front/side





Front/side Front





Front/side Front

Borrower	Rudalev MI II					
Property Address	1089 White Ave					
City	Lincoln Park	County <b>W</b> a	ayne	State M	II Zip Code	48146
Lender/Client	Colony American Finance					





Front/side Garage





Inside of garage Rear



Rear No photo

Borrower	Rudalev MI II			
Property Address	1089 White Ave			
City	Lincoln Park	County Wayne	State MI	Zip Code 48146
Lender/Client	Colony American Finance			





Living room Kitchen





Kitchen Bed





Bath view 2


Borrower	Rudalev MI II			
Property Address	1089 White Ave			
City	Lincoln Park	County Wayne	State MI	Zip Code 48146
Lender/Client	Colony American Finance			





Loft Bed





Bed Bed

No photo No photo

Borrower	Rudalev MI II			
Property Address	1089 White Ave			
City	Lincoln Park	County Wayne	State MI	Zip Code 48146
Lender/Client	Colony American Finance			





**Basement - Bath** 

**Basement - Bath view 2** 





**Basement** 

**Basement** 





HWH Laundry

# **Comparable Photo Page**

Borrower	Rudalev MI II				
Property Address	1089 White Ave				
City	Lincoln Park	County Wayne	State MI	Zip Code 48146	
Lender/Client	Colony American Finance				



# Comparable 1

#### 1402 Mark Ave

Prox. to Subject 0.47 miles SW Sale Price 78,000 Gross Living Area 1,152 Total Rooms 6 Total Bedrooms 3 Total Bathrooms 1.0 Location N;Res; View N;Res; Site 3485 sf Quality Q4 57 Age



## Comparable 2

## 1588 Ethel Ave

Prox. to Subject 0.39 miles NE Sale Price 83,000 Gross Living Area 1,238 Total Rooms 8 Total Bedrooms 5 Total Bathrooms 2.0 Location N;Res; View N;Res; Site 4792 sf Quality Q4 Age 95



# Comparable 3

# 1120 Mill St

Prox. to Subject 0.20 miles SW Sale Price 50,000 Gross Living Area 1,200 Total Rooms 5 Total Bedrooms 3 **Total Bathrooms** 1.0 Location N;Res; View N;Res; Site 3920 sf Quality Q4 Age 66

# **Comparable Photo Page**

Borrower	Rudalev MI II							
Property Address	1089 White Ave							
City	Lincoln Park	County	Wayne	State	MI	Zip Code	48146	
Lender/Client	Colony American Finance							



# Comparable 4

## 875 Mill St

0.35 miles SE Prox. to Subject Sale Price 89,999 Gross Living Area 1,127 Total Rooms 6 Total Bedrooms 3 Total Bathrooms 2.0 Location N;Res; View N;Res; Site 7405 sf Quality Q4 88 Age



## Comparable 5

## 712 Cleveland Ave


Prox. to Subject 0.48 miles SE Sale Price 69,995 Gross Living Area 1,108 Total Rooms 5 Total Bedrooms Total Bathrooms 1.0 Location N;Res; View N;Res; Site 3920 sf Quality Q4 Age 67

# Comparable 6

Prox. to Subject
Sale Price
Gross Living Area
Total Rooms
Total Bedrooms
Total Bathrooms
Location
View
Site
Quality
Age

# **USPAP ADDENDUM**

Borrower	Rudalev MI II		
roperty Address	1089 White Ave	County Wayne	State MI Zip Code 48146
ender	Lincoln Park Colony American Financ		State WI 210 Code 48146
This report y	•	e following USPAP reporting option:	
Appraisa		This report was prepared in accordance with USPAP Standa	rds Rule 2-2(a)
		This report was prepared in accordance with USPAP Standa	
nestricte	d Appraisal Report	This report was prepared in accordance with OSPAP Standa	rus nuie 2-2(u).
Danasahla	Francisco Timo		
1	Exposure Time a reasonable exposure t	ime for the subject property at the market value stated in this repor	t is: 1-3 Months
,			<u>i O inorialo</u>
Additional C	ertifications to the best of my knowled	due and belief:	
		s an appraiser or in any other capacity, regarding the property that	is the subject of this report within the
1		ceding acceptance of this assignment.	is the subject of this report within the
		appraiser or in another capacity, regarding the property that is the	aubicat of this raport within the three year
		eptance of this assignment. Those services are described in the co	
· ·		report are true and correct.	
		inclusions are limited only by the reported assumptions and limiting cond	ditions and are my personal, impartial, and unbiased
1 '	nalyses, opinions, and cond	clusions. Resent or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this rep	part and no pareagal interact with respect to the parties
involved.	wise indicated, i have no pi	esent of prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this rep	ort and no personal interest with respect to the parties
1		rty that is the subject of this report or the parties involved with this assig	nment.
		not contingent upon developing or reporting predetermined results.	the second colors and discosting in colors that for each the second the
		ssignment is not contingent upon the development or reporting of a prede , the attainment of a stipulated result, or the occurrence of a subsequent	<b>.</b>
		s were developed, and this report has been prepared, in conformity with t	
were in effect a	at the time this report was p	prepared.	
1	·	e a personal inspection of the property that is the subject of this report.	as this contification (if there are accompliance the name of each
		ided significant real property appraisal assistance to the person(s) signin ty appraisal assistance is stated elsewhere in this report).	ig this certification (if there are exceptions, the flame of each
	gg	,	
Additional C	omments		
APPRAISER:		CHDEDWICODY	ADDRAISED: (only if required)
AFFNAIÐEK:	_ / /	SUPERVISURY	APPRAISER: (only if required)
Signatura		Cianabura	
Signature: Name: Matthe	w Shaouni	Name:	
Date Signed: 0		Date Signed:	
State Certification	#:	State Certification #:	
	#: <u>1201074225</u>		
State: MI Expiration Date of	Certification or License:	07/31/2017         State:	ification or License:
Effective Date of		Supervisory Appraiser	Inspection of Subject Property:
		Did Not	Exterior-only from Street Interior and Exterior





General Star Nation al Insur ance Company P O Box 10360 (Attn: GSN) Stamford, CT 06904

#### REAL ESTATE ERRORS AND OMISSIONS INSURANCE POLICY

#### **DECLARATIONS PAGE**

THIS IS A CLAIMS-MADE AND REPORTED POLICY PLEASE READ THIS POLICY AND ALL ENDORSEMENTS AND ATTACHMENTS CAREFULLY.

Policy Number: NJA898434A Renewal of Number: NJA898434

Flight Appraisal 1. NAMED INSURED:

38201 Medville Drive STREET ADDRESS:

Sterling Heights, MI 48312

2. POLICY PERIOD: Inception Date: 11/03/2016 Expiration Date: 11/03/2017

Effective 12:01 a.m. Standard Time at the street address of the Named Insur ed.

3. LIMIT OF LIABILITY:

Each Claim: \$1,000,000 Aggregate: \$1,000,000

4. CLAIMS EXPENSES:

🛛 a. Are included within the Limit of Liability

b. Have a separate Limit of Liability

5. DEDUCTIBLE:

Each Claim: \$1,000

a. The deductible amount specified above applies to Damages only.

X b. The deductible amount specified above applies to both Damages and Claims Expenses.

6. RETROACTIVE DATE: 11/03/2015

If a date is indicated, this Policy will not provide coverage for any Claim arising out of any act, error, omission or Person al Injur y which occurred before such date.

7. ANNUAL PREMIUM: \$2,323.00

TOTAL: \$2,323.00

8. ENDORSEMENTS:

This Policy is made and accepted subject to the printed conditions in this Policy together with the provisions, stipulations and agreements contained in the following form(s) or endorsement(s). RE 00 0001 01 12; SGN 90 0001 (0710); RE 03 0001 01 12; RE 04 0001 01 12; RE 11 0001 01 12; RE 04 0003 01 12;

RE 20 0003 01 12; RE 21 0003 01 12; RE 21 0003 01 12; RE 01 0021MI 01 12; RE 08 0001 03 12;


PRODUCER NAME: Mercer Consumer STREET ADDRESS: 12421 Meredith Drive Urbandale, IA 50398

Producer Code: 26460 Class Code: 73127 Date: 10/12/2016

RE 10 0001 01 12 Page 1 of 1 Copyright 2012, General Star Management Company, Stamford, CT


# **Building Sketch**

Borrower	Rudalev MI II				
Property Address	1089 White Ave				
City	Lincoln Park	County Wayne	State MI	Zip Code 48146	
Lender/Client	Colony American Finance				



# **Aerial Map**

Borrower	Rudalev MI II				
Property Address	1089 White Ave				
City	Lincoln Park	County Wayne	State	MI Zip Code	48146
Lender/Client	Colony American Finance				



#### **Location Map**

Borrower	Rudalev MI II				
Property Address	1089 White Ave				
City	Lincoln Park	County Wayne	State MI	Zip Code 48146	
Lender/Client	Colony American Finance				

